



ORIGINAL

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CENTRE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION - LAW

MICHAEL J. MCQUEARY

: NO. 2012-1804

VS

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS (JURY TRIAL A.M. DAY 3)

BEFORE:

THOMAS G. GAVIN, SENIOR JUDGE

SPECIALLY PRESIDING

15TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DATE:

OCTOBER 19, 2016

PLACE:

CENTRE COUNTY COURTHOUSE ANNEX

ANNEX COURTROOM

108 SOUTH ALLEGHENY STREET

BELLEFONTE, PA 16823

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: ELLIOTT STROKOFF, ESQUIRE WILLIAM T. FLEMING, ESQUIRE

FOR THE DEFENDANT: NANCY CONRAD, ESQUIRE GEORGE MORRISON, ESQUIRE KIMBERLY HAVEAR, ESQUIRE





ORIGINAL

NOTES BY:

JENNIFER AMENTLER

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

ROOM 101, CENTRE COUNTY COURTHOUSE

BELLEFONTE, PA 16823

814 355-6734 OF FAX 814 548-1158

ı					
1		Index t	o Witne	sses	
2		Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross
3	For Plaintiff:				-
4	Mark Sherburne Joseph Doncsecz		3 69, 99	54	63 104 , 106
5	Anthony Sassano	110	117		107, 107
6	-				
7	For Defendant:				
8	(None)				
10					:
11		Index t	o Exhib	its	
12				Ac	dmitted:
13	Plaintiff:				88
14	Exhibit 82 Exhibit 84 Exhibit 58				90 94
15	Exhibit 68	106			
16	Defendant:				
17	Exhibit 27				15
18 19	Exhibit 26 Exhibit 51 Exhibit 45				22 24 28
20	Exhibit 43 Exhibit 41 Exhibit 43				35 120
21	Exhibit 37				135
22					
23					
24					
25					

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Strokoff.

MR. STROKOFF: Your Honor, I believe Mr. Sherburne's going to be crossed.

THE COURT: Yes. I'm sorry, yes. Again, sir, thank you for accommodating us.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MS. CONRAD:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Sherburne.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. My name is Nancy Conrad and I represent The Pennsylvania State University. I'm standing at the podium because it's easier to see you from here versus in a seat where at the table. I want to take you through some questions related to the testimony you provided yesterday as well as some additional areas that we will cover.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. First -- and I'll try not to be duplicative of that information, but I want to be sure that we are able to put the events back in context for today's testimony. When did you first become aware of the release of the presentment?
- A. I believe it was Saturday morning when I received the phone call from Erikka Runkle, the

human resource representative.

- Q. And I believe you testified already that that led to some additional phone calls as well as scheduling a meeting on Sunday morn -- or participating in a meeting on Sunday morning?
- A. Participating in I think phone calls and -I'm not sure exactly when that occurred, but it was
 Sunday.
- Q. And what was the executive council formed?
 Or was that already in existence?
 - A. The President's Council?
- Q. Not the President's Council. It was my understanding from your testimony that within athletics, in particular football, there was a group that started to meet on a regular basis to address the issues that followed from the presentment being released?
- A. Yeah. That would just be an athletic department staff meeting, which we had every morning.
- Q. And did you have a staff meeting every morning prior to the release of the presentment?
 - A. No.
 - O. So when was that instituted?
 - A. That Monday morning.

Do you recall the statement that was issued 1 0. by Dr. Spanier? 2 I recall seeing it. I'm not sure when I saw 3 it. 4 Could you pull up the statement? 5 please. And Mr. Sherburne, the exhibits are also in 6 the binders before you if you would find that 7 easier. Is it --8 Α. This is fine. 9 Thank you. So directing your attention to 10 0. the statement from President Spanier, when did you 11 first become aware that the statement was issued? 12 I'm not sure exactly when it was. 13 Α. And did there come a point in time that you 14 Q. read the statement? 15 I believe I may have read the statement, it 16 may have been after Monday morning. 17 And when you read the statement, did you at 18 0. any time connect any of the information contained in 19 the statement to Mike McQueary? 20 No. 21 Α. You will agree with me, won't you, that Mr. 22 McQueary's name is not referenced in the statement; 23 24 correct?

A. Correct.

And you had known Mike McQueary how long, as 1 Ο. of November 5th, 2011? 2 Since he became a student athlete at Penn 3 State and enrolled as a freshman. 4 So approximately how many years would that 5 have been, sir? 6 I'm not exactly -- I don't recall exactly 7 when Mike enrolled. So I would think that it would 8 have been probably eight to ten years. 9 Eight to ten years you knew Mike McQueary? 10 0. Yes. Α. 11 And you worked with him, both as a player --12 0. you knew him as a player and a coach; is that 13 correct? 14 Correct. 15 Α. And in reading this statement, when there was 16 references made to Tim Curley and Gary Schultz, did 17 you equate any of those statements to Michael 18 19 McQueary? 20 Α. No. When Dr. Spanier expressed his opinion that 21 Q. he has complete confidence in how they handled the 22 allegations about a former University employee, did 23 you connect that at all to Mike McQueary? 24 25 Α. No.

- Q. When President Spanier stated that Tim Curley and Gary Schultz has his unconditional support, did you relate that to Michael McQueary?
 - A. I didn't.
- Q. Did you infer that President Spanier was not supporting Michael McQueary?
- A. No. At the time I'm not sure I even knew that Mike McQueary was the person that they referred to as the graduate assistant.
- Q. When did you learn that Michael McQueary was the graduate assistant referenced in the presentment?
- A. I'm not sure exactly when I found out. I don't think it was -- some time after Monday.

 Midweek. I don't recall.
- Q. And when President Spanier expressed his opinion that Curley and Schultz operate at the highest level of honesty, integrity, and compassion, did you infer from that statement that Mike McQueary did not operate at the highest level of honesty, integrity, and compassion?
 - A. No.
- Q. And when you learned that Mike McQueary was the grad assistant referenced in the presentment, did you at any time relate President Spanier's

statement to Michael McQueary?

- A. No, I didn't connect that. I didn't think about that.
- Q. And when President Spanier goes on to say,
 "I'm confident the record will show that these
 charges are groundless and they conducted themselves
 professionally and appropriately," would that
 statement, did you connect it at all to Michael
 McQueary?
 - A. No.

- Q. And after you learned that Mike McQueary was the graduate assistant referenced in the presentment, did you infer from President Spanier's statement that Mike McQueary must have been lying to the grand jury?
- A. Again, I don't recall thinking of it in that context or thinking about the statement after I initially read it.
- Q. And in your position with athletics, did you hear anybody talking about the Spanier statement in connection with Mike McQueary?
 - A. I don't recall that.
- Q. Now, you were appointed, I believe you testified, on November 6th as the interim athletic director?

Can you give me a date, please? 1 Α. Tuesday, November 6th. 2 Ο. As the interim athletic director? 3 Α. 0. Yes. 4 I received a phone call that Sunday night in Α. 5 which they asked me to accept that responsibility. 6 7 And I agreed to it. So that you were appointed as of Sunday evening? 9 That's the way I understood it. 10 Α. All right. And that following Monday, 11 Ο. 12 President Spanier held meetings with members of intercollegiate athletics and the head coaches, 13 didn't he? 14 Athletics had organized those meetings. 15 President Spanier attended those meetings. 16 And I believe you testified you were present 17 0. at those meetings? 18 Α. I was. 19 20 And which meeting took place first? Ο. The head coaches meeting. 21 Α. And do you recall the remarks of President 22 Q. Spanier, or Dr. Spanier in those meetings? 23 I think they were pretty consistent with what 24 I said yesterday. He addressed the group. He did 25

say that, you know, he felt that Tim and Gary were two people that he had worked closely with for a number of years. He was confident in them. He felt that they would be exonerated. He felt that Tim would be returning to the position of athletic director. And then he asked me to step in in the interim to serve in the capacity until Tim got through that process.

- Q. And from what I heard you just state, Dr. Spanier expressed his confidence in Mr. Curley and Mr. Schultz based on the fact he had worked with them for 16 years, or a number of years; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. During those two meetings, you were present for both, weren't you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did Dr. Spanier make any reference to Mike McQueary?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. And while you heard the statements of the president, did you in any way connect his statements to Michael McQueary?
- A. Like I said, I don't think that I knew that Mike McQueary was the person referenced in the

presentment.

1.5

- Q. And when you learned that Mike McQueary was the person referenced in the presentment, did you look back at those statements that Dr. Spanier had made in those meetings and in any way connect those statements to Michael McQueary?
- A. As I previously said, no, I didn't think of that in that context at the time.
- Q. So based on Dr. Spanier's statement that was published, as well as the remarks that he had made at the two meetings, you never inferred any conclusion related to Mike McQueary?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And during those two meetings, let's start first with the meetings of the head coaches, did you hear anyone during that meeting or leaving that meeting raise a question or make a reference about Mike McQueary?
 - A. No.
- Q. And did you, after you left the -- during the meeting during or as you left the meeting with the intercollegiate athletic staff, did you hear anyone making reference or making a statement about Mike McQueary?
 - A. Not that I recall.

- Q. Did you ever, during the course of your employment following Dr. Spanier's written statement as well as his re marks, hear from anyone that connected the president's statement and remarks to Michael McQueary?
 - A. No.
- Q. Now, you were asked during your direct examination about practices during that week in November. Were you attending those practices of the football team?
 - A. No.
- Q. Could you describe for me the general atmosphere following the release of the presentment and that first week in November in your position and what you were observing?
- A. I just think the totality of the circumstances that we were dealing with and the complexity of those circumstances and the amount of media presence that began to converge in State College, it was a very difficult time. Obviously, there was a lot of people that were learning about this information. There was a lot of people that were sending emails, messages through various media related to that, expressing their opinions, providing very threatening and vulgar statements

with regard to the circumstances that were tough to 1 read and tough to look at at times. 2 Working long days? Ο. 3 Very long days, yes. 4 Α. Much sleep? 5 Q. Not much. 6 Ά. And the emails and messages that you were 7 0. receiving, are we talking about hundreds, thousands? 8 And by you I mean the athletic department. 9 I would think there would have been hundreds, 10 Α. possibly thousands. 11 And you mentioned that the media was present. 12 Swarmed in that week? 13 Media was present, yes. 14 Α. One or two? Or were they everywhere? 15 0. They were everywhere. 16 Α. Were they at the athletic building? 17 Q. They were downtown, on campus, they were 18 Α. everywhere. 19 They were everywhere. And were there media 20 articles being released about the information 21 related to the presentment? 22 I would assume. I don't recall. 23 Α. You were focused on doing your job so you 24

really didn't focus on the media articles; is that

fair to say?

- A. Correct.
- Q. You did mention threatening, vulgar messages. What did you mean by that?
- A. Just from what I recall, the messages were disturbing, concerning. You know, I was receiving a lot of information through email. We were receiving information via phone calls within the athletic department, so there's just a variety of those kinds of messages coming in that were concerning.
- Q. Based on those messages that you were receiving, did there come a point in time that any of those messages related to Michael McQueary?
- A. Yes. There were several messages that related to Mike.
 - Q. And what were the nature of those messages?
- A. Again, I can't recall the exact, but very -- sometimes very vulgar, very threatening.
 - Q. What do you mean by threatening?
 - A. Life threatening at times.
 - Q. Were they death threats?
- A. Some of them I took as serious threats against Mike.
- Q. Now, I want to direct your attention to the press conference that Coach Bradley held on November

Were you at that conference? 1 10th. Yes. 2 Α. And was it customary to have that -- those 3 0. statements recorded and then published? 4 Typically at a press conference, that's 5 Α. what occurs. 6 I want you -- I want to direct your 7 Ο. Okav. attention to the binder that's directly in front of 8 you in the middle and turn to tab 27. It would be 9 Defendant's 27. 10 (The witness complied.) Okay. 11 Α. Are you familiar with that document? 12 0. It looks like the summary of the press 13 Α. conference. 14 And what press conference? 15 Ο. Tom Bradley press conference. 16 Α. And that was what -- what date was that? 17 Q. It's listed here as November 10th. 18 Α. MS. CONRAD: Move for the admission of D27. 19 20 THE COURT: Any objection? MR. STROKOFF: None, Your Honor, except I 21 22 think it may already be in. But I might be mistaken. But no objection, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: It's admitted. 24 25 MS. CONRAD: Permission to publish.

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MS. CONRAD:

- Q. Mr. Sherburne, I'd like to direct your attention to the sixth question.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And I'm going to read the question that was posed to Coach Bradley and ask that you provide the answer. "Will Mike McQueary be coaching in the upcoming home game against Nebraska? Will McQueary be coaching on the sideline or in the press box?" And what was the response that Coach Bradley provided?
- A. "Yes, Mike McQueary will be coaching on Saturday. It will be a game-time decision as to where he will be placed."
- Q. And was it your understanding as of the morning of November 10th, 2011 that coach McQueary would be coaching in the Saturday Nebraska game?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have any concerns at that point about Mr. McQueary, based on the threatening messages that you had received?
- A. Yes. I became concerned about that. I don't exactly know when, but as they began and continue to come in, it was some time midweek.

Ω

- Q. And did you at any time consider while these concerns were surfacing about options to protect Mike McQueary at the game that Saturday?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what were -- what were you considering?
- A. Just in talking with a senior member of our staff, Mark Bodenschatz, who is an associate athletic director for facilities and operation, who would be the person who would coordinate security and that sort of thing. We had begun discussing about where Mike might be located and the best place for him to be located if he were to be coaching in the game. And I asked Mark to continue to give that some thought.
- Q. So when Coach Bradley said it will be a game-time decision as to where he will be placed, that was part of the consideration of how best to protect Mike McQueary; is that right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. I'm going to direct your attention now to page three of this document, in particular to the second question. And again, I'm going to read the question and ask that you provide the response.

 "Tom, given the intense national scrutiny for Mike McQueary, why do you think it is appropriate for him

to coach in this game and be the face of the 1 University?" And the response that Coach Bradley 2 provided? 3 Can you repeat that for me? I missed the 4 question you asked me to refer to. 5 It's the second question. 0. Yes. 6 7 This is the second page? Α. On the third page. If you look down at the Ο. 8 lower right hand corner of the page, it will say 9 177. 10 11 Α. Okay. 12 0. Then second question then. Α. 13 Okay. "Tom," are you with me? 14 0. Yes. 15 Α. "Given the intense national scrutiny for Mike 16 0. McQueary, why do you think it is appropriate for him 17 to coach in this game and be the face of the 18 19 University?" And Coach Bradley answered? "That is a decision that is up to the 20 Α. 21 administration and Mark Sherburne, our acting athletic director." 22 23 And is it fair to say at that point in time, 24 Mr. Sherburne, a decision hadn't been made about

whether or not Coach McQueary would be coaching in

the Nebraska game?

A. Yes.

- Q. The following question, "Was there any consideration given to dismissing Mike McQueary? Were you part of any conversation whatsoever about potentially relieving him of his duties with the football team?" Coach Bradley's answer?
 - A. "Absolutely not."
- Q. And is that consistent with your understanding of the status of Mr. McQueary, that is, there had been no discussions about firing him or relieving him of his duties?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And then if you could turn two more pages. At the bottom of the page it would be 179.
 - A. 179?
 - Q. Yes, sir.
 - A. Okay. Bottom of the page?
- Q. Bottom of the page. I'm directing your attention to the next to the last question. The question reads, "Can you put into words," are you with me?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. "Can you put into words why McQueary gets to coach on Saturday and Joe Paterno does not?" And

Coach Bradley responded?

- A. "Once again, that's based on the administration."
- Q. So was it your understanding that administration would be making decisions with respect to the employment status of Mr. McQueary?
- A. Yes. I believe that I provided testimony yesterday to that fact.
 - Q. And that testimony was, sir?
- A. That it was apparent to me that no decisions were being made as it related to anybody related to the Sandusky matters, whether it be those implicated Mike, anybody, other than the board and those who had conversation with the board.
- Q. And would that include Dr. Rod Erickson, who by this time had been appointed interim president?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I want to go back now to what followed. And we can take the exhibit down now, please. Following Coach Bradley's press conference where he stated that Coach McQueary would be coaching on Saturday, did you receive any messages, any information following that press conference related to Mr. McQueary?
 - A. Yes.

What kinds of information were you receiving 1 0. 2 after that press conference? I don't recall exactly. Only to what I had 3 Α. testified to yesterday, later Thursday afternoon. 4 Before we get to the afternoon, you had made 5 reference to threats. Did the threats increase or 6 7 did they continue after the press conference? They were steady. And I can't recall exactly 8 9 whether or not they picked up in intensity after 10 that press conference or not. 11 0. Again, it was a chaotic time? 12 Α. Very much so, yes. 13 Q. And you were trying to multitask on numerous activities? 14 Correct. 1.5 Α. I'd like to direct your attention to D26. 16 Q. 17 Α. Will that be on the screen? 18 That will be in the binder, sir. Q. 19 What was it again? Α. 26. 20 0. Take a moment to review that email. 21 please. 22 (The witness complied.) Okay. Α. 23 Mr. Sherburne, is that an email that was 0. 24 directed to you on November 9th to your email 25 address at Penn State?

1 Α. It appears to be, yes. MS. CONRAD: Okay. Move for the admission of 2 D26. 3 MR. STROKOFF: No objection, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: It's admitted. 6 MS. CONRAD: Permission to publish. BY MS. CONRAD: 7 Directing your attention to the email that 8 9 appears at the lower part of the page, that would be 10 the first email that you received; correct, with 11 respect to the two that are on the page? 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. And directing your attention to the third paragraph, what information did you receive in that 14 15 email about Mike McQueary? 16 Α. Do you want me to read it out loud? 17 Yes, sir. Q. "Mike McQueary also has to go. He shouldn't 18 Α. be allowed to coach from the sidelines or the 19 booth." 20 21 And then could you continue to the next 22 paragraph? 23 "You really need extra security for Saturday's game versus Nebraska. This isn't a 24 25 threat or an implied threat, it is just in the

interest of public safety. I would hate to see innocent people get hurt. Penn State doesn't care about innocent people especially children. All Penn State cares about is Penn State. You need real security for Saturday, not just a cop or students wearing jackets that say security on the back. I have never been to Nebraska or rooted for that school before, but I will be a big Corn Husker fan on Saturday. Go Big Red."

- Q. What did you do with this email that you received that indicated McQueary has to go, he shouldn't be allowed to coach, there's a need for extra security?
- A. I had forwarded the email, it appears to certain members of the senior staff, including our sports information director.
- Q. And is that reflected on the upper portion of the email?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Who specifically did you forward this email to?
- A. Greg Myford, who was an associate athletic director; Mark Bodenschatz, another associate athletic director; and Jeff Nelson, who was the head of our sports information office and point person

1 for football, sports information. And Mark Bodenschatz, what was his role and 2 primary job duties and responsibilities? 3 He was an associate athletic director for 4 facilities and operations. And he was very integral 5 in the safety and security leading up to and around 6 events especially at Beaver Stadium. 7 And why did you forward this email to Mr. 8 Bodenschatz? 9 Because I knew he needed to see it. 10 Α. 11 Ο. Why did he need to see it? Because of the threats and because of the 12 Α. 13 things that were outlined in the email. 14 And I want to direct your attention now to tab 51. Are you familiar with the document at tab 15 51? 16 I'm just taking a minute to read it. 17 Α. 18 0. Understood. 19 Α. Okay. Is this an email that you were copied on? 20 Q. 21 Α. It appears so. MS. CONRAD: Move for the admission of D51. 22 MR. STROKOFF: No objection, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: It's admitted. 24 MS. CONRAD: One moment, please, while we 25

publish it. 1 BY MS. CONRAD: 2 And while we're doing that, Mr. Sherburne, 3 0. who was this email, as reflected on D51, who was it 4 5 sent to? To Mike McQueary. 6 Α. And was it copied to you? 7 0. Yes. 8 Α. Can you tell who else it was copied to? 9 Ο. B-O-T at P-S-U dot E-D-U, which I'm assuming 10 Α. maybe Board of Trustees, Tom Bradley, and myself. 11 12 Ο. And then it indicates who it's from; correct? 13 Α. Yes. Did you know the identity of the person who 14 sent it? 15 I do not. 16 Α. 17 0. And what is the subject line? And with all 18 due respect to the language that's being used, I apologize. 19 "I hope Nebraska kicks the shit out of you 20 today." 21 And with respect to the information contained 22 on D1, what did this sender -- what message did this 23 24 sender convey to Mr. McQueary and copied to you? 25 Do you want me to read it out loud?

- 1
- Q. Yes, sir.
- 2

- A. "The same way McQueary should have kicked the shit out of that faggot Sandusky when he had a
- 4
- chance. Fuck Penn State football."
- 5
- Q. And then directing your attention to tab 48.

 Take a moment to review that email.
- 6

7

- A. (The witness complied.) Okay.
- 8
- Q. Was that an email that you were copied on?
- 9
- A. Yes.
- 10
- Q. And D48 has been already admitted, we'll post it for the jury. What was the substance of the
- 12

11

- message received in this email?
- 13
- A. Bomb threat.
- 14
- Q. A bomb threat to whom?
- 15
- A. Would you like me to read it?
- 16
- Q. Why don't you read the first paragraph.
- 17
- A. "A threat was received by university
- 18 19
- around the stadium. The caller's phone number was

Were you aware that there came a point in

operators this evening claiming bombs will go off

- 20
- blocked. Police and FBI investigating."
- 21
- time that the University received a bomb threat

prior to the Nebraska game?

- 23
- A. Yes.
- 2425
- Q. How did you become aware of it?

- I was with Mark Bodenschatz at the time and 1 Α. he had received the information. 2 And what -- was this bomb threat assessed as Ο. 3 credible? 4 5 Α. Any threat was assessed as credible. And what action, if any, did the University 6 0. 7 take with respect to this bomb threat? Again, I know that agents that were on the 8 9 ground, officers, bomb-sniffing dogs, others were busy going through and searching the stadium and 10 11 that extra measures were being put into place to 12 make sure that we did everything we could to take 13 that threat seriously. 14 And did you take any action with respect to 0. Nebraska team that would be traveling to State 15 College to play that game? 16 17 Α. Yes. I'd like to direct your attention to D51. 18 Oh, I'm sorry, 45. Are you familiar with this 19 20 exhibit? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And on the second page, are you familiar with Ο. 23 the page that follows?
 - Q. And what are these documents?

25

Α.

Yes.

A. Two emails that were drafted and put out under my signature related to our athletic department looking forward to Nebraska football team coming to the University for the weekend, and expressing to both Tom Osborne, the athletic director at Nebraska, and Bo Pelini, the head football coach at Nebraska, all of the efforts that we were making to ensure a good experience and a safe experience as they visited State College and Beaver Stadium.

MS. CONRAD: Move for the admission of D45.

MR. STROKOFF: No objection.

THE COURT: It's admitted.

MS. CONRAD: Publish it, please.

BY MS. CONRAD:

- Q. Starting on the first page then, I believe you said this first email was to to athletic director at Nebraska; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And directing your attention to that first paragraph, you state, "We realize the events that have brought national attention to our University over the past few days might have created some concerns." Do you see that statement?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What do you go on to say about those concerns in the next sentence?
- A. "Therefore, in an effort to alleviate any concerns, we would like to ensure that the safety is our number one priority. We are confident that our emergency management team is taking every measure to ensure the safety of all who will be on our campus for this weekends's events."
- Q. And when you say every measure, what are you referring to?
- A. Just literally every measure. Dotting the I's, crossing the T's, making sure that we have the amount of officers on the ground, that we have plans in place for security of our venues and the stadium, that we have our plans ready in case we have to respond to any sort of emergency.
- Q. And did that include having additional security and officers at the game?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And directing your attention to the second page. I believe you stated that this email was sent to the head coach at Nebraska; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And directing your attention to the first paragraph, did you provide the same assurances to

the head coach at Nebraska?

A. Identical.

- Q. Now following, Mr. Sherburne that press conference that Coach Bradley had in which he said Mike McQueary would be coaching at the Nebraska game, I believe you indicated that the threats against Mike McQueary continued; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And did there come a point in time that you received information -- did you form a concern about Mike McQueary's safety for that game?
- A. I always had a concern for Mike's safety for that game.
- Q. And did you have any conversations with Mr. McQueary about your concerns for his safety?
- A. Yeah. At one time during the course of the week, and I don't recall exactly the day, it may have been Wednesday-ish, midweek, I had a phone conversation, I reached out to Mike because of the information that I received and let him know I just was concerned about him and I wanted to check in with him.
- Q. And was your concern extended to concern for his family?
 - A. Just concern for him personally with

2.4

everything that was going on and the fact that I had been concerned as a result of the traffic that we were receiving, the emails, the phone calls. I'm not sure exactly what I conveyed to Mike, but it was more to call to check on him.

- Q. And that concern was based on the emails that were raising death threats and making other vile statements against Mr. McQueary; is that right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And at that point in time, did you ever recommend to Mr. McQueary that he should leave town for the weekend?
 - A. No, not at that point in time.
- Q. Did McQueary at any point in time ask you for advice about what he should do?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Did there come a point in time that you were informed that Thursday that a decision had been made that Coach McQueary would not be coaching in the Nebraska game?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And when did you receive that information?
- A. I think it was late in the day on Thursday, afternoon, at a President's Council meeting, after a President's Council meeting.

Ο.

from?

A. As I recall, Cynthia Baldwin just made sure that I knew that the decision had been made that Mike wouldn't be available to coach on Saturday, that his status would be pending, and that I needed to be sure that I communicated that with Tom and Mike.

And who did you receive that information

- Q. And did you receive any information about the reason why this decision had been made that he wouldn't be coaching?
 - A. Not that I recall at that time.
- Q. What did you do then after you received the information that he wouldn't be coaching?
- A. Again, I had some other meetings that evening scheduled in football. Like I said, I think it was later in the afternoon when I had received that information. So when I got to the football facility that night, I talked with Tom and Mike prior to the meetings that I had scheduled.
- Q. And did you convey the message to Mr. McQueary that he would not be coaching?
 - A. I did.
- Q. And did you relate any reason to Mr. McQueary about why he would not be coaching?

1	A. Again, you know, it was a result of my
2	concern with regard to the safety and security for
3	not only Mike but the overall safety and security
4	for all of those that would be in attendance and at
5	the game on Saturday.
6	Q. And did you convey that to Mr. McQueary?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Did he respond at all to you after you
9	provided that explanation?
10	A. I don't recall exactly. I know that we had a
11	conversation. I know that, you know, Mike, and the
12	competitor that he is and his interest in wanting to
13	be involved and out there, that, you know, it was a
14	hard conversation.
15	Q. It was a tough call? You knew that Mr.
16	McQueary wanted to coach?
17	MR. STROKOFF: Your Honor, at this point, I
18	would like to object to leading beyond the pale.
19	MS. CONRAD: I'll rephrase.
20	BY MS. CONRAD:
21	Q. Was it a tough decision to let Mr. McQueary
22	know that he couldn't couch?
23	A. I think any time you have a conversation with
24	somebody where, you know, they really want to do
25	what they're trained to do it's hard.

Did you believe it was the right decision 1 Ο. that he shouldn't coach? 2 I didn't seem to think it was unreasonable. 3 Α. And what was that based on? 4 Ο. 5 Α. Again, the overall safety and security 6 concerns that I had. And, you know, just the 7 overall totality of circumstances and the 8 complexities. 9 Q. I'd like to direct your attention now to tab Did you have an exchange of email messages with 10 11 Mr. McQueary about the release of a press statement 12 from Penn State Athletics? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. And directing your attention to the entire 15 packet that's contained at page 41, is that the 16 email exchanges as well as the press statement that was ultimately released? 17 18 Α. Just this page 396? 19 Q. Starting with the first page 395. 20 Α. Can you repeat the question? There's a series of emails contained at 21 Q. Yes. Are those emails that you exchanged with Mr. 22 D41. 23 McQueary about the press statement to be released? 24 Α. Yes. 25 MS. CONRAD: Move for the admission of D41.

MR. STROKOFF: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's admitted.

BY MS. CONRAD:

- Q. Directing your attention to the first page of D41. What is that, sir?
- A. Again, it appears to be an email from me to Tom and Mike regarding the potential for a possible release.
- Q. And directing your attention to the text of the email, is that the proposed statement to be utilized for the press release?
- A. Again, from what I recall, it was a possible way to begin framing a release.
- Q. Do you know who initially drafted that statement?
- A. I don't recall if I just sent that or if there had been conversation. I know that at the time that Cynthia informed me that Mike wouldn't be coaching, I can remember Bill Mahon being nearby in conversation. I don't recall exactly why I may have framed it this way or what I may have been thinking about.
 - O. And how is it framed Mr. Sherburne?
 - A. Do you want me to read this?
 - Q. Yes, sir.

"Coach Bradley and Assistant Coach McQueary 1 Α. 2 have decided it would be in the best interest of the 3 team and the school that McQueary not coach and not 4 be in attendance at the game with Nebraska." 5 Ο. Are there any references to death threats in this email? 6 7 Α. No. And you sent this to Mr. McQueary and Coach 8 0. 9 Bradley for what purpose? Again, as I recall, it was the potential for 10 Α. a possible way to put out a release. 1.1 12 Were you seeking input from Mr. McQueary 13 about this statement? I don't recall. I sent it to him and Mike 14 Α. responded. 15 And directing your attention then to the next 16 0. 17 page. And in the middle of the message exchange is 18 the message you received from Mr. McQueary, isn't it? 19 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 And directing your attention to the text of Q. that message, what does Mr. McQueary say to you? 22 23 Α. He says, "Mark, please hold on the release. 24 My lawyers are examining it. I will get with you as

soon as I hear from them."

Did you understand -- had any understanding 1 Q. why Mr. McQueary's lawyers would be reviewing this 2 draft press statement? 3 Other than like I had stated, just the 4 5 complexities of the case. 6 Q. Had Mr. -- were you aware that Mr. McQueary 7 had lawyers at this point in time? 8 It wasn't surprising to me that he did, yeah. 9 Q. Did he have any discussion with you about his 10 lawyers at this time? 11 Ά. No. 12 0. And what do you respond to Mr. McQueary when he says hold on the release, my lawyers are 13 14 examining it? 15 I said, "I will not send it until I see you before I leave." 16 17 And directing your attention then to the next 18 Mr. McQueary thanks you for your response, doesn't he? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 And how do you respond to him? 0. 22 "Call my cell." Α. 23 And do you recall whether you had a Q. 24 conversation with Mr. McQueary by telephone on or about this time? 25

I don't recall. 1 Α. All right. I'm going to direct your 2 0. attention now to page 39 -- 389. So we're skipping 3 one page and going to the next. 4 99? 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 Q. 7 Α. Okay. 8 0. It appears to be a message from Mr. Fleming 9 to Mike McQueary, isn't it? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Ο. And this message gets forwarded to you by Mike McQueary, doesn't it? If you look at the top 12 of the page. 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 And directing your attention to the text of 16 the message that Mr. Fleming sent, what does Mr. 17 Fleming say? Do you want me to read the whole email? 18 Α. Let's start with the first sentence. 19 0. 20 Α. "I think it would be better to read as follows." 21 And then is there a proposed draft? Or does 22 23 it repeat the same message?

I don't know if that's the exact statement.

Do you want me to read this?

24

1 0. Is the message, in essence, the same that had been proposed to Mr. McQueary? 2 It appears to be similar if not the same, Α. 3 4 ves. And then what does Mr. Fleming say to Mike 5 0. McQueary? 6 7 "But Mike, please hold onto this until I hear Α. from Tim Reeves, our PR quy. He should get back to 8 9 me soon tonight." 10 0. So did you know at this time, that is November 10th, 2011, that Mr. McQueary not only is 11 consulting with his lawyers about this statement, 12 he's also consulting with his PR guy, Tim Reeves? 13 No, I did not know that. 14 Α. 15 And do you know what PR stands for? Q. 16 Public relations, I'm assuming. Α. 17 Did you know that Mr. McQueary, as of Q. November 10, 2011, had a public relations guy that 18 19 he was consulting with? 20 Α. No. 21 Q. And did you follow Mr. Fleming's request to Mr. McQueary to hold off on this until the PR guy 22 23 got back to them? 24 Again, I'm not sure how much of this I 25 actually saw, I was in meetings. So, you know, as I

told Mike, I would circle back with him before I 1 2 left the building. 3 So you were holding off on finalizing the 4 press statement until you heard back from Mike? 5 Α. Until I circled back with Mike. So as of the time that this message was 6 0. 7 forwarded to you on November 10th, you hadn't finalized the press statement, did you? 8 9 Α. Correct. I did not. You were waiting to hear from Mike after he 10 Q. 11 consulted with his lawyers and his PR guy? 12 Α. I was waiting to circle back with Mike. 13 Q. Now, directing your attention to the page 14 marked 401, it's about three pages and it starts 15 with do. Do you see that page? Α. 16 Yes. 17 Q. And what is this page? 18 It appears to be the statement that Mike had Α. 19 provided to me when I eventually did circle back 20 with him regarding what we had been talking about. So this is the statement that you received 21 Q. from Mr. McQueary after he told you to hold off and 22 23 after Mr. Fleming had told him to hold off until the 24 lawyers and the PR consultant was contacted; is that

25

correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And after -- what did this message provide? Could you read that into the record, sir?
- A. "Due to multiple threats made against
 Assistant Coach Mike McQueary, the University has
 decided it would be in the best interest of all for
 Assistant Coach Mike McQueary not to be in
 attendance at Saturday's Nebraska game.
- Q. So comparing that to the draft you had sent, there's new language in this email, or this statement, isn't there?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And what is that new language?
- A. Just the original concern that I always had about safety and security.
- Q. Okay. But I'm asking, contrasting what Mike McQueary had given to you for a press statement to what you had proposed, there's an additional language in there. What is that language?
- A. The University has decided it would be in the best interest not to be in attendance at Saturday's game.
- Q. So instead of it saying Coach Bradley and Mike McQueary, it said the University had decided it would be in the best interest; is that correct?

Correct. 1 Α. 2 And what about the language, due to multiple death threats against Assistant Coach McQueary, was 3 that in the original draft? 4 5 Α. No. So the due to multiple death threats language 6 7 was added after Mr. McQueary consulted with his lawyers and his PR consultant? 8 9 Α. Correct. MR. STROKOFF: Objection, Your Honor. 10 That's not what the statement says. There's nothing about 11 death threats, it says threats. 12 13 MS. CONRAD: I correct. 14 BY MS. CONRAD: 1.5 The statements due to multiple threats Ο. 16 against Assistant Coach McQueary, that language was 17 added after Mr. McQueary consulted with his lawyers 18 and his PR consultant; is that correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And in fact, turning your attention to the 21 last page of D41. Does that page contain the statement that was released by Penn State Athletics? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 And what was the statement that was released 25 by Penn State Athletics?

- A. The same one that I had received from Mike before leaving the building.
 - Q. So you agree then to publish the statement that Mr. McQueary provided after he consulted with his lawyers and PR consultant?
 - A. Correct. It was consistent with what I had talked with Mike about and my concerns.
 - Q. And that included the due to multiple threats language?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Now, following the release of the statement and notice to Mr. McQueary that he would not be coaching in the Nebraska game due to safety concerns, did you then have any follow up with Mr. McQueary about his status?
 - A. I believe it was Friday morning.
 - Q. What occurred Friday morning?
- A. Cynthia Baldwin had stopped into my office to let me know that Mike's employment status would be changed, and we needed to reach out to him so that we could meet with him as soon as possible.
 - Q. How was his employment status changing?
- A. She had said that we were going to place him on administrative leave. I'm not sure exactly if I knew what that meant at the time.

- Q. And did you have any understanding whether or not it would be paid administrative leave?
 - A. I don't recall if I knew that at that time.
- Q. What did you do when you learned from Ms. Baldwin that Mr. McQueary was going to be placed on administrative leave?
- A. I did what she asked me to do, I reached out to Mike. I had alerted her that I wasn't sure that Mike would be in town. But I made that phone call.
- Q. And what did you tell Mr. McQueary in that phone call?
- A. I relayed information that Cynthia had given me with regard to Mike, let him know that we needed to meet with him as soon as possible. He confirmed that he was already out of town and I said that's fine. And I said when you return to town, we can get together.
 - Q. And did you schedule a meeting?
- A. I told him that when he returned to town, which I believe he had said would be after the weekend on Sunday, to get a hold of me and that we would schedule a time to meet. And I explained to Mike what Cynthia had shared with me with regard there would be a change in his employment status, that there would be certain individuals in the

meeting, and that he would be given a copy of whatever was presented to him, and that he would be required to sign it, and that he would receive a copy of it, and he didn't need to have anybody with him in the meeting.

- Q. Did he ask to have anyone with him?
- A. I can't recall. He may have said, should I have somebody with me, and I just shared with him what I had heard from Cynthia. And I told him who would be in the meeting. And I said there would be an opportunity to ask questions. And so, we agreed that we would come together on Sunday at some point once he got back into town.
- Q. Now, had you ever conducted a meeting of this nature during your professional career, that is informing an employee that they were being placed on administrative leave?
 - A. No.
- Q. You mentioned that you weren't certain of the terms related to the administrative leave; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So, how did you go about preparing for this meeting?
- A. I relayed that information. Cynthia had said to me that she needed to get started on pulling

together the details that we would need to present 1 to Mike at the meeting. 2 And you needed those details because you were 3 running the meeting, weren't you? 4 5 Α. I was in attendance at the meeting. 6 Q. Okay. Weren't you the one that presented to Mr. McOueary the terms and conditions of his 7 administrative leave? 8 9 Α. Yes. Yes, as Cynthia told me to do that. 10 0. And so, you needed that information as to what were the terms and conditions of his 11 administrative leave? 12 13 Α. Correct. And how did you obtain that information? 14 Ο. I had received it Sunday evening prior to the 15 Α. time that we were scheduled to meet, a few minutes 16 17 prior to. And who did you receive it from? 18 Ο. 19 Α. Cynthia Baldwin. 20 And what did you receive from Ms. Baldwin? 0. 21 Α. A copy of what she had given me and asked me 22 to read out loud to Mike to start the meeting. 23 And did that copy contain the terms and

conditions of Mr. McQueary's administrative leave?

25

Α.

Yes.

Okay. D53, please. Directing your attention 1 0. to an exhibit that's been marked D53. Are you 2 familiar with this? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. And what is it? 5 It appears to be the document that Cynthia Α. 6 7 had provided me a few minutes prior to our meeting with Mike. 8 9 Q. And directing your attention to the first sentence. Did you provide Mr. McQueary information 10 that he was being placed on paid administrative 11 12 leave? 13 Α. In this document, yes. 14 0. Did you tell Mr. McQueary that he was being placed on administrative leave with pay? 15 Α. I read this document to Mike exactly as it 16 17 is. So is the answer yes? 18 Q. 19 Α. Yes. And did you tell Mr. McQueary that his future 20 0. status had not been determined? 21 22 Α. Yes.

A. That it was to be determined.

23

25

Q.

Q. Did you inform Mr. McQueary that during the

And what did you take that to mean?

term of his leave, he would receive his full current 1 pay and benefits? 2 Ά. Yes. 3 And did you inform Mr. McQueary that he was 4 5 to do no work on behalf of the University during his leave? 6 7 Α. Yes. And did you direct Mr. McQueary that if he 8 had any questions during his leave, to refer them to 9 Tom Bradley? 10 Refer information, if you're contacted, to 11 Α. Tom Bradley. 12 13 Q. Did you go on to then tell Mr. McQueary that 14 certain facilities were off limits to him? Α. Yes. 1.5 Did you tell him that all facilities on the 16 0. 17 campus were off limits to him? 18 Α. Facilities associate with the Penn State 19 football program. And that was the program in which he worked; 20 correct? 21 The program in which I worked? 22 Α. In which Mr. McQueary worked. 23 Q. 24 Α. Yes.

25 Q. You didn't tell him that he couldn't go to

other locations on campus, did you? 1 Α. No. 2 And he was permitted to do -- to go on to 3 other locations on campus, wasn't he? 4 5 Α. To my understanding. You then went on to explain to him that his Ο. 6 fixed-term contract is scheduled to end on June 30, 7 2012. Do you see that statement? 8 9 Ά. Yes. And did you provide that information to Mr. 1.0 McQueary? 11 Α. Yes. 12 13 Q. Did you also inform him that it was not yet determined whether a new contract would be offered? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Did Mr. McQueary have any questions about the 16 0. 17 nature of his fixed-term contract at the meeting? Α. No. 18 You went on to state that a media 19 announcement would be made by Dr. Erickson about Mr. 20 McQueary's status; correct? 21 MR. STROKOFF: Objection. 22 characterization of what he said is not what's in 23 the text. She said it was in the text. 24 The text says that a media announcement was made, not would 25

1 be made. MS. CONRAD: I will rephrase the question. 2 MR. STROKOFF: And Your Honor, if he said 3 that this is what he read, I don't understand the 4 point of having counsel re-characterize each and 5 every part of it. He said this is what he said. 6 MS. CONRAD: I will rephrase my questions 7 accordingly, sir. 8 BY MS. CONRAD: 9 Did you inform Mr. McQueary that a media 10 0. announcement concerning the above-described action 11 was made by Dr. Erickson on November 11, 2011 at 12 13 four o'clock p.m.? 14 Α. Yes. Did you provide information to Mr. McQueary 15 about the return of personal items? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And did you provide Mr. McQueary information 18 0. about arrangements for the return of University 19 items? 2.0 21 Α. Yes. And did you inform Mr. McQueary that he could 22 0. keep his ID card and parking permit? 23 Yes. 24 Α.

And did you inform Mr. McQueary that

25

Q.

arrangements would be made for the return of his 1 dealer vehicle? 2 Yes. 3 Α. And then finally, did you inform Mr. McQueary 4 that he may also discuss the employee assistance 5 program with your human resources manager? 6 Yes. 7 Α. Do you know whether Mr. McQueary contacted 8 9 his human resources manager about the employee 10 assistance program? Α. I do not. 11 Now, following your meeting -- strike that. 12 13 Did Mr. McQueary ask any questions or make any statements during the course of the meeting? 14 Α. No. 15 Do you recall Ms. Baldwin making any 16 statements? 17 I apologize, I'd like to correct the record. 18 Α. 19 Q. Yes, sir. Following me reading this, Mike was asked if 20 21 he had any questions. And what I recall Mike saying is that he wanted to be certain that we knew that he 22 23 felt that he had done nothing wrong and that the

only thing that he wanted to do was to continue to

coach at Penn State.

24

1	Q. Did anyone respond to Mr. McQueary's
2	statement?
3	A. From what I recall, Cynthia said, "Mike
4	nobody's suggesting that you did anything wrong."
5	Q. Now, following that meeting and the placement
6	of Mr. McQueary on paid leave, did you have any
7	further interactions with Mr. McQueary?
8	A. Not that I recall.
9	Q. Were you involved in the decision with
10	respect to bowl bonuses following the Ticket City
11	Bowl?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Were you involved in decisions related to
14	whether or not Mr. McQueary would receive 18 months
15	of severance per a letter agreement?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Following the placement of Mr. McQueary on
18	administrative leave, did you hear anyone in
19	athletics make a negative statement about Mr.
20	McQueary?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Did you hear anyone in the Penn State
23	community make a single negative statement about Mr.
24	McQueary?
25	A. No. Not that I recall.

Did you believe that the placement of Mr.

25

Q.

McQueary on paid leave was appropriate based on the 1 continued chaos that followed the events of November 2 5, 2011? 3 I think I had previously said that it was in 4 addition to the safety and security and the totality 5 and the complexities. 6 And did you hear anyone in athletics make any 7 statement that placing Mr. McQueary on paid leave reflected negatively on him? 9 No, not that I recall. 10 Α. And did you hear anyone in the Penn State 11 0. 12 community make any statement that the placement of Mr. McQueary on paid leave reflected negatively on 13 him? 14 1.5 No, not that I recall. Α. 16 And do you believe that the University did 17 all that it could to support Mr. McQueary? Objection, Your Honor. 18 MR. STROKOFF: 19 Objection is sustained. That's THE COURT: 20 for you, members of the jury, to decide. 21 MS. CONRAD: I have no further questions. 22 Thank you, Mr. Sherburne. 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STROKOFF: 24 25 Mr. Sherburne, can you flip back to Q.

Defendant's Exhibit 41, please?

- A. (The witness complied.) Okay.
- Q. Now the first page of 41 is an email which you sent to Tom Bradley and Mike McQueary stating that they decided it would be in the best interest of the team and the school that Mike not coach. I'm paraphrasing, right?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. So you sent the two of them a suggested public release that really wasn't true, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And Mike then responds on the next page,
 "Please hold on that release. My lawyers are
 examining it now." He didn't conceal the fact that
 he had lawyers, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And in fact, you knew that he had been summoned before the grand jury and so he must have had lawyers, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Then we go a few pages into this and Mike McQueary forwards to you --
- A. Are you saying a few pages into this? Can you give me the page.
 - Q. It's page 399.

- A. Okay.

- Q. Mike McQueary, palms up, forwards to you a text message or email he got from his lawyer saying, I think the language that the lawyer came up with, Tim Fleming, would be better. But hold off until our PR guy takes a look at this. So again, Mike forwards this to you and doesn't conceal the fact that not only does he have a lawyer, but there's a public relations person that's going to look at this, right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Again, totally palms up, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you had -- before you sent your email stating that Tom Bradley and Mike decided that Mike shouldn't coach, you had told him that your concerns were for his safety, and that's why you think the decision that he not coach in the Nebraska game was a solid decision, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So at the end of that few hours late November 11th, Mike gives you a statement which reflects what you said the reason was why he wasn't going to be allowed to coach, right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And that's a truthful statement, right?
- A. Absolutely.

- Q. Statement wasn't that due to multiple threats, the administration and Mike McQueary think he shouldn't coach, the administration thinks, right? Or the University. I'm sorry, I said administration.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you have detailed today what those threats were, right?
 - A. I tried to, yes.
- Q. Okay. I want to go over just a few of them, the ones that you identified this morning. First one is D26. D26, do you have it, sir?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. It's from J-E-F. Do you know who that is?
 - A. I don't.
- Q. The very first line of this threatening email to Mike McQueary is quote, "Joe Paterno must resign effective immediately." And he goes on to justify why Joe Paterno had to be fired. Right?
 - A. He's stating his opinion, yes.
- Q. Okay. And he then says, "Mike McQueary also has to go. And you really need extra security.

 This isn't a threat or implied threat." It's his

opinion, right? 1 Α. Yes. 2 And you forwarded this on to your 3 0. subordinates Greg Myford, Mark Bodenschatz, and Jeff 4 Nelson, right? 5 Correct. 6 Α. You didn't forward it on to the police or 7 0. anything like that? 8 Α. Correct. 9 Now, let's go to the next threatening email 10 0. which you identified, Defense Exhibit 51. Now, that 11 was sent by -- an email sent by Ken Kirby. 12 Ken Kirby? 13 I don't know Ken Kirby. 14 Α. All right. And this is 3:00 p.m. Saturday 1.5 Ο. afternoon, November 12th, right? 16 17 Α. It looks like that's the time stamp. Well, that's after Mike McQueary's been 18 0. placed on administrative leave, right? 19 That's correct. 20 Α. There was a public announcement, 4:00 p.m. on 21 0. Friday, that he was on administrative leave, right? 22 Correct. 23 Α. And in fact, the night before, late the night 24

before, there was an announcement that he wouldn't

be coaching in Nebraska -- or the Nebraska game, 1 right? 2 Α. Correct. 3 So you see this email of Saturday as being a 4 5 threat against Mike McQueary? I don't see it as a threat against Mike Α. 6 7 McQueary. It's an email from Bill Mahon, Okav. D48. 8 9 9:27 p.m. Friday, November 11th, reporting a threat received by university operators claiming bombs, 10 right? 11 Correct. 12 Α. 13 And this is almost the full day after the Q. 14 public announcement that Mike wouldn't be coaching the Nebraska game and five hours or so after the 15 public announcement that he was on administrative 16 17 leave. Right? 18 Α. Correct. Now, one of the things that you didn't 19 mention, sir, in your testimony yet was the uproar 20 and riot following the announcement that Joe Paterno 21 had been terminated. Do you remember any of that? 22 I remember there was a lot of people that 23 Α.

Q. And that was Wednesday night?

were upset and yes, the uproar.

24

Α. Yes. 1 Now, I want to go back to your testimony 2 Ο. about President Spanier's published statement. 3 Number one, when did you read the full presentment? 4 I don't recall exactly when. I think it was 5 Α. likely Sunday, Saturday or Sunday, end of Sunday. 6 Okay. Well, let's -- I'm going to ask you to 7 Ο. go to the plaintiff's exhibit list. Okay? 8 This is in the same book? 9 No. Plaintiff's is a different book. Ιt 10 0. savs witness exhibits. 11 THE COURT: It says exhibits right there at 12 13 top. THE WITNESS: Okay. I got the book. 14 BY MR. STROKOFF: 15 Okay. Exhibit 35. It's a criminal 16 0. 17 complaint. Do you see that? Yes. 18 Α. And then behind the criminal complaint, the 19 20 criminal complaint's about four pages long, there's 21 the presentment. I want you to go to page 12 of the 22 presentment. 23 Α. Okay.

Q. Beginning the second paragraph on the page, which is towards the bottom, "The grand jury finds

that Tim Curley made a materially false statement 1 under oath in an official proceeding on January 2 12th, 2011, when he testified before the 30th statewide investigating grand jury relating to the 2002 incident that he was not told by the graduate assistant that Sandusky was engaged in sexual conduct or anal sex with a boy in the Lasch Building showers." When did you read that part of the 8 9 presentment?

- Again, like I said, I can't recall the exact Α. date, but I believe it was, this document, presentment was Saturday into Sunday.
- So, you knew the presentment referred to a Q. grad assistant for the football team, right?
 - Yes. Α.
- And in fact, sir, wasn't your office bombarded with requests from the media beginning Saturday morning who was the grad assistant?
 - Α. I can't tell you that, sir.
- Well, when you got back -- because you were hunting. When you got back Sunday, didn't you see that your office was bombarded with media requests asking for the identity of the grad assistant?
 - No, I did not. Α.
 - Okay. And weren't you aware, sir, that Q.

10

11

12 13

14 15

> 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 2

beginning 6:00 a.m. Sunday morning, various media sources had identified Mike McQueary as the grad assistant in the presentment?

- A. Like I said, I don't recall learning that information until some time later than what you're suggesting.
 - Q. Because you were busy doing other things?
 - A. Absolutely, yes.
- Q. All right. When did you read President Spanier's statement? Before or after you read the presentment?
- A. Again, like I said, I'm not sure when exactly I read that statement.
- Q. Do you recall, sir, and you can turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 39 to look at the opening words of that statement, that his statement begins with, "The allegations about a former coach are troubling and it is appropriate that they be investigated thoroughly." Do you remember reading that?
 - A. I see that here, yes.
- Q. Okay. Well, sir, didn't you think that the allegations against Tim Curley and Gary Schultz were troubling and didn't you think it was appropriate that they be investigated thoroughly? I'm talking about you.

Yeah, I think all of this was troubling. 1 Α. And didn't you think it should be 2 0. investigated thoroughly? 3 I believe it all needed to be investigated 4 5 thoroughly. But that's not what President Spanier said. 6 0. 7 President Spanier said these charges are groundless? 8 Α. That's what he says in his statement. Q. And once you found out that Mike McQueary was 10 the grad student, to you that didn't mean that the 11 president of the University was saying his testimony 12 was false, groundless? 13 And again, like I had stated, I don't think that I reflected back on that in that context. 14 really can't recall that. 15 MR. STROKOFF: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 16 MS. CONRAD: Just a few follow up questions, 17 Mr. Sherburne. 1.8 19 RECROSS EXAMINATION 20 BY MS. CONRAD: 21 With respect to the press release that was 22 issued by Penn State Athletics, the press release 23 that contained the due to multiple threats made 24 against Assistant Coach Mike McQueary, did you add 25 that phrase, "due to multiple threats"?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

No. Α.

2 Ο.

What is your understanding of how that phrase was added to the press statement?

Again, I circled back with Mike. provided me that document. And that's the document that was released.

- So it was Mr. McQueary that provided the language, due to multiple death -- "Due to multiple threats made against Assistant Coach Mike McQueary, the University has decided it would not be in the best interest for Assistant Coach Mike McQueary not to be in attendance at Saturday's game;" is that correct?
- Α. Correct. It was consistent with what my concern was all along.
- Now, the threats that your office and the University were receiving, were they only in emails?
 - Α. No.
- Were they also -- how else were they being received?
- Various forms. Possibly social media, email, Α. telephone messages.
 - Were there voicemail messages being left? 0.
 - Α. Yes.
 - When you came into the office in the morning, Q.

24

what was the status of your inbox?

- A. It was cluttered just as everything else was. There were times -- I wasn't checking voicemail messages for the general athletic department phones, that was being done by our administrative assistant.
- Q. And did the threats continue after Mr. McQueary was placed on administrative leave?
- A. The threats, as I said, were consistent. I'm not sure if they picked up the pace or -- but they were steady.
- Q. So, is it fair to say they continued after Mr. McQueary was placed on administrative leave?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Now, when you read the Spanier statement that was published on Penn State Live, did you read Dr. Spanier's statement that he has complete confidence in how they handled the allegations about a former University employee?
 - A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Sure. Let me rephrase that. That was not the best question. Do you have the statement in front of you?
- A. From the exhibit that Mr. Strokoff had just referred to, yes. A statement from President Spanier.

1 0. Let me direct your attention to one part if it, it says, "I have known and worked daily for Tim 2 and Gary for more than 16 years." Do you see that 3 statement? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Did you understand that statement to be true? 7 Α. I don't know how long he knew them, but he 8 knew them for a number of years. Q. And then he went on to say, "I am confident 10 the record will show that these charges are 11 groundless and they conducted themselves 12 professionally and appropriately. Did you view that 13 as Dr. Spanier's opinion? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And did you -- when Dr. Spanier said, "I wish to say that Tim Curley and Gary Schultz have my 16 17 unconditional support," did you view that as Dr. Spanier's opinion? 18 19 Α It's his statement, so yes. 20 Ο. Was it a statement of his opinion? 21 Α. It appears to be his statement. 22 And did you view it as his opinion? Ο. I did. 23 Α.

Q. Thank you. Finally, Mr. Sherburne, was there anything more you could have done to support Mr.

24

McQueary? 1 Not that I can think of. I tried to be, you 2 know, supportive of Mike. 3 Thank you. I have no further 4 MS. CONRAD: 5 questions. MR. STROKOFF: Nothing, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: You may step down, sir. Again, 8 thank you fore accommodating us. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 9 MR. STROKOFF: Next witness will be Joseph 10 Doncsecz, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MS. STROKOFF: He's not going to be a five minute witness. 14 15 THE COURT: Come up here for a minute, 16 please. 17 (Whereupon, the following discussion was held at sidebar:) 18 19 THE COURT: What did you say? 20 MR. STROKOFF: He's not going to be a five 21 minute witness. I know we're getting close to when you usually like to take a break. 22 23 THE COURT: We'll take a break. You're too 24 good a lawyer to have asked the last question that 25 you asked at the conclusion of your first

cross-exam.

1.5

2.0

MS. CONRAD: And I corrected it in my last question on my redirect.

THE COURT: So you get your bye there. And secondly, in your tennis match between the two of you, direct and redirect, and redirect and recross back and forth, we must have heard five times what his view of Spanier's statement was.

MS. CONRAD: You want us to cut it down, I get the message, sir.

THE COURT: I would think that four times is adequate, five is a little too much..

MS. CONRAD: I understand. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: So, take a break.

(End of sidebar.)

THE COURT: Members of the jury, I understand that the next witness may be a little lengthy so it's probably a good place for us to take our mid-morning break so that you can stretch your legs and then you'll be able to go direct and cross with the witness. So again, put your notes away. Remember not to discuss it with anyone and we'll see you at quarter of the hour.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

MR. STROKOFF: Mr. Doncsecz.

JOSEPH DONCSECZ 1 Was called as a witness and having be duly sworn, 2 was examined and testified as follows: 3 THE COURT: Please try and stay on the 4 microphone. 5 AS ON CROSS EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. STROKOFF: 7 Ο. Could you please state for the record your name? 9 Α. Joseph Donczez. 10 And would you please spell your last name for 11 0. 12 the court reporter? Α. D-O-N-C-S-E-C-Z. 13 14 And your current occupation, sir? 15 Α. Associate vice president for finance and 16 controller at Penn State University. 17 MR. STROKOFF: Permission to examine the witness as if on cross, Your Honor. He's the 18 highest ranking existing Penn State employee who 19 will be testifying. 20 21 THE COURT: Wish to be heard? 22 MS. CONRAD: I have no objection. THE COURT: Okay. 23

THE COURT: Okay. Members of the jury,
generally when a party calls a witness, they are
vouching for that witness because theoretically,

they've had the opportunity to sit down and talk to them, they know what they're going to say and after all, they are their witness.

A party is entitled to call someone who has an adverse interest, someone who is not favorable to the plaintiff and to call them as of cross-examination. And the difference is that on cross-examination, the witness can be led, the witness can be given statements and asked to agree with the statement or disagree with the statement, they can then explain it. But because this is a lawsuit against The Pennsylvania State University and this gentleman is a representative of the University, his interest is adverse to that of the plaintiff, so that the plaintiff's attorney is permitted to call him and to treat him, essentially, as a hostile witness.

And it's more normally done when we get to the point where Ms. Conrad starts calling her witnesses, clearly they would be perceived to be hostile to the plaintiff and he could cross-examine them just as Ms. Conrad is able to treat the witnesses that he's now calling as hostile from their position.

So this is a perfectly permissible way to proceed and Mr. Strokoff will be permitted to treat

the witness as a hostile witness and to lead him. Go ahead.

BY MR. STROKOFF:

- Q. Sir, could you tell us what your employment history is at The Pennsylvania State University?
- A. I started at Penn State in 1994 as a financial administrative services coordinator. I was promoted to assistant controller in 1998. And then to corporate controller in April of 2007. The associate vice president for finance title was added to the corporate controller title in September of 2011.
- Q. And could you tell the jury what your duties are as associate vice president of finance and controller?
- A. Essentially, it's all management of cash flows of the University, internal controls, all the accounting and reporting issues associated with that, and managing our external audit and other -- both of our -- our audit of our financial statements and the audit of any government agencies that happen to be looking into the University's finances.
- Q. Can we assume that you have a staff that assists you in performing these duties?
 - A. Yes, I do.

Q. And how large is that staff?

- A. The entire controller staff is about 170.
- Q. And in broad categories, what types of staff are they?
- A. Accountants, financial managers, a lot of staff assistants. We have some specialists in the risk management function. But general accounting and finance along with just customer service, our office helps our students, so a number of customer service type folks that are the public face of the finance organization.
- Q. Okay. Since September of 2011, to what officer within the University do you report?
- A. As of September 2011, I was reporting to Al Horvath, who was the then senior vice president for finance. And he -- I purported to that position my entire tenure in this position. He left shortly after that and Gary Schultz returned to the University and into that position. And for a very short period of time after Mr. Schultz retired in 2011, I was reporting directly to Rod Erickson, the president of the -- the interim president of the University at that time.
 - Q. But now you're reporting to --
 - A. Again, I'm reporting to the current senior

vice president for finance, which is David Gray.

- Q. Now you've said among your duties is managing cash flow. What does that mean?
- A. The University, like any business enterprise, receives cash, disburses cash for various purposes, both our general funds, activities, the education and research missions of the University, in addition to our self-supporting enterprises like athletics, like housing and food services. And basically, my office is responsible for overseeing the control and flows of those funds.
 - Q. And your office also has an audit function?
- A. The internal audit function is actually not part of my office, it's part of the finance and business organization I belong to. But it's not part of we have a staff that deals with external auditors, both our accounting firm and for government auditors. So, we don't have auditors on staff, we are the ones that manage external auditors.
- Q. Explain to the jury what managing external auditors means.
- A. So, our annual financial statement audit and a number of other audits that are required by the federal government are conducted by Deloitte &

Touche, who was hired as our current accounting firm, our auditing firm. So basically we field all of their requests, provide documentation for them to conduct their audits and make their conclusion and draw their opinions. Likewise, both the state and federal government can come in for specific purpose audits regarding our finances, either around grants and contracts or specific cash flows, has cash been expended in the appropriate way for the appropriate and assigned purposes. And again, we're the interface with those auditors. We're the first level of contact with them. A lot of times we have the answers ourselves, other times we may need to go and reach out to others in the University who would have the appropriate answers.

- Q. Okay. You made reference to state coming in from time to time and conducting an audit. Is that to see that the University is complying with whatever requirements there might be for using state funds?
 - A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. And you refer to a specific purpose audit. What is that?
- A. Well, when we get a grant or contract from the state that has a very specific intended use of

the funds, occasionally they can come in and audit the audit to make sure that the funds have been expended in the way they intended for the purpose they had prescribed. The state used to perform an annual appropriation audit, but that audit has not been done in a number of year. Can you better quantify what a number of 0. years is?

MS. CONRAD: Your Honor, I'm going to object at this point. I'm not certain what the relevance of the auditing function is to this witness's testimony.

MR. STROKOFF: Well, one of the things, Your Honor, in the whistleblower aspect is to confirm receipt of state monies. I'm going to be there in about 30 seconds.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. STROKOFF: Could the court reporter repeat the question?

(Whereupon, the reporter read back.)

THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm going to say roughly 2009 was the last audit, appropriation audit that was completed. It's on our website, but I just don't recall, sitting here, when that was.

BY MR. STROKOFF:

- Q. All right. Are you able to tell the jury how much of an annual appropriation Penn State gets from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, let's say on average for the last three years?
- A. On average for the last three years about just shy of \$300 million. Around 275 to \$285 million.
- Q. And would that be true for the two or three years even before that?
- A. Somewhere around 2010, we had crested at above \$300 million.
- Q. And that's general appropriations. Are there also specific purpose grants from the state?
- A. There are about \$40 million a year on average for capital construction grants for specific capital projects. There's also -- part of our appropriation is also specifically designated to The Pennsylvania College of Technology in Williamsport, which is part of the University.
- Q. Are there any other monies which Penn State gets from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania?
- A. State grants and contracts, as I mentioned earlier, which are outside the general appropriation and given to us for very specific purposes.
 - Q. And can you quantify them on an annual basis?

- A. It is less -- it's less than \$100 million.

 I'd be uncomfortable pecking into a precise number.
 - Q. Now sir, from time to time in this lawsuit, you have signed documents called a verification on behalf of the University. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And do you know why it was that you were designated to be the official for the University to sign on behalf of the University?
- A. It's not unusual I sign. Because of the risk management function and our claims office, that within the risk management function, it's not unusual for me as a designated University officer to sign the verifications on any number of matters, including proceedings like this.
- Q. So, you have some experience in signing verifications?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Sir, do you also have a responsibility with respect to releasing special payments to employees or former employees?
- A. Yes. Through my -- the payroll office is part of my -- is part of the controller's office as well.
 - Q. Do you recall, sir, in July of 2012 being

instructed not to make any severance payments to 1 2 Mike McQueary? I do not recall in July of 2012. I believe 3 4 his fixed-term contract had expired as of June 30th. 5 And that was the rationale for discontinuing payments at that time. 6 7 But my question is, do you recall receiving any instructions with respect to making severance 8 9 payments to Mr. McQueary? Severance payments, no, not in July 2012. 10 Α. At any other time? 11 Q. 12 After the fixed-term contract had expired in Α. 13 June and somewhere in the August, possibly September 14 timeframe of 2012, we were instructed to resume monthly payments on leave. 15 So, someone advised you to stop making 16 17 payments to Mike McQueary in June of 2012? Again, it would just be normal course of 18 Α. 19 business on a fixed-term contract for the payments 20 to lapse at that time. It would be the default to 21 stop them unless instructed otherwise. 22 So, are you talking about a computer code 23 that automatically would cease payments? 24 Correct. Unless an action was taken to

25

reinstate.

Okay. And you've referred to a fixed-term 1 Q. contract for Mike McQueary? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Have you ever seen it? 4 Q. 5 Α. I can't say that I've read it myself, no. 6 Q. Have you ever seen it without reading it? 7 Α. It's very possible I had it at some point, 8 yes. Q. So you think it exists? I believe it does. 10 Α. 11 0. Okay. 12 Α. I rely on the office of human resources and their folks to abet that. 13 14 0. Okay. So based upon what they've told you, you think it exists? 15 16 Α. Correct. 17 Q. Now, with respect to the other assistant 18 football coaches who were let go after Bill O'Brien 19 was hired as head coach, do you have any knowledge 20 as to whether or not they -- I'm sorry, do you have 21 any knowledge as to whether or not they started 22 receiving their severance payments immediately? 23 They would have been placed on leave with pay 24 in the middle of January 2012. At that point, they 25 would have started receiving their payments for some 1 period of time after that.

- Q. Their severance payments?
- A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. Now, how did that happen for them?
- A. It was part of the whole coaching staff transition. We were simply informed as to, with those five or six coaches, and I'm forgetting the precise number, that their severance payments were to begin at that point.
 - Q. And who informed you?
- A. I was receiving information from a variety of people at that point. Cynthia Baldwin in her office of general counsel, Dave Joyner, the interim athletic director, or soon-to-be interim athletic director at that point, and Rick Kaluza, who is the associate athletic director for finance.
- Q. Sir, so as far as you know, the last payment that the University made to Mike McQueary in the spring of 2012 was on or about June 1st, 2012; isn't that correct?
- A. As I recall, it would have included the June -- his salary payments for June.
 - Q. June 1st pays it in advance?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. For the month of --

who told you to start making the payments in

September of 2012?

24

ے

- A. It would have been Amy McCall and Dave Joyner conferring to give me what they -- Amy McCall was also in our general counsel's office at that time.
- Q. Okay. And Dave Joyner was the athletic director at the time?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, sir, do you recall that beginning January 1st, or thereabouts, of 2012, there was a general pay increase for Penn State employees who were in good standing?
- A. It was a one percent across the board with modification, which I'll explain, one percent cost of living adjustment for all employees who had received a who had received a meets expectation on their evaluation in the prior period.
- Q. Or even higher than meets expectations, right?
 - A. But I was going to modify it to say --
- Q. I don't want to interrupt you. You go ahead and finish.
- A. I was going to modify to say there were instructions provided that talked about unusual situations like leaves, that would have come from our central budget office to instruct areas on how to treat unique situations about folks that were no

longer with the University or were in a different kind of arrangement at that time.

- Q. Okay. Well, just getting back to the general pay increase, that was for employees who had meets expectations or greater than meets expectations; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Were you aware that the last performance review conducted for Mike McQueary was significantly exceeds expectations?
- A. I didn't have any knowledge of that at the time.
- Q. Are you aware that Mike McQueary did not get that pay increase?
 - A. I know he did not get that pay increase.
- Q. Okay. And how do you know he didn't get that pay increase?
- A. I've actually checked with our payroll office to make sure.
 - Q. And why didn't he get that pay increase?
- A. The question in play was he was on leave at that time and he had been put on leave with pay. I think it was a gray area that we didn't have good direction on for folks in that situation.
 - Q. And who made the decision with respect to he

shouldn't get that modest pay increase?

- A. I do not recall specifically who gave me.

 Again, I would have been informed by a combination of the athletic director's office and office of general counsel.
- Q. Sir, are you aware that the assistant football coaches who were not on administrative leave received a bonus for the Ticket City Bowl?
 - A. Yes, I am.

- Q. Are you aware that Mike McQueary did not receive a bonus for the Ticket City Bowl?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And were you instructed not to pay him a Ticket City bonus?
- A. We received information from the athletic director's office as to who to pay and what amount. And his -- he -- there was no amount given for Mr. McQueary.
 - Q. And this is from Dave Joyner's office?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Sir, do you recall that in the paperwork that was developed for paying the assistant coaches the bonus for the Ticket City Bowl, that there were there was a history of past payments that were made for comparable bowls, like the Alamo Bowl?

I was aware they used prior bowls of 1 Α. Yes. comparable quality to assess what an appropriate 2 amount would be paid. 3 And that the Ticket City Bowl kind of 4 followed the Alamo Bowl bonuses? 5 6 Α. Correct. And do you recall that Mr. McQueary's Alamo 0. Bowl bonus had been \$15,000? 8 9 Α. I recall it was in that neighborhood, yes. But from Dave Joyner's office, the word came 10 0. down he was to get zero? 11 12 Α. Correct. 13 0. Sir, in your function as controller, do you do any work with the University's 990s that get 14 15 filed with the federal government? We do not file -- we're not required to file 16 Α. 17 a 990 to the federal government. But there is a 18 state legislation for us to file a -- the right to 19 know legislation requires us to file a form 990-like 20 document with the Commonwealth every May. 21 Sir, I'm going to ask you if you would,

please, there is a binder there that says witness

I'm going to ask you to turn, if you

22

23

24

25

exhibits.

Α.

would, please, to tab 82.

(The witness complied.)

1	Q. And please take a moment to leaf through
2	them. If you wish, I have the complete 990s here,
3	but these are the first pages for 990s I
4	believe
5	A. They are.
6	Q for a number of years beginning fiscal
7	year ending June 30, 2010, even though it says 2009
8	on the form, and it ends fiscal year ending June 30,
9	2015. Do you see those pages?
10	A. Yes, I do.
11	Q. Are you able to identify these pages?
12	THE COURT: You said P82?
13	MR. STROKOFF: Plaintiff's 82, that's
14	correct, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: I don't see it on the chart. Go
16	ahead.
17	MR. STROKOFF: It should be on the last page
18	of the chart. I can get you another last page if
19	you're missing it. That's the defendant's chart.
20	THE COURT: Okay. I'll get it taken care of.
21	It's okay.
22	MR. STROKOFF: Okay.
23	BY MR. STROKOFF:
24	Q. Sir, are you able to identify Plaintiff's
25	Exhibit 82 for us?

Yes. This is the front page of the form, the 1 Α. federal form 990 which is the document we use to 2 comply with the state's right to know legislation. 3 MR. STROKOFF: Move for admission of 82, Your 4 Honor. 5 MS. CONRAD: Your Honor, I would object on 6 the basis that 82 relates to 2009, which is not a 7 year that would be relevant to this action. 8 9 MR. STROKOFF: Your Honor, actually this is 10 the cover page. Although the form says 2009, it's for fiscal year ending June 30, 2010, and it's for 11 12 several years through the most recent one. 13 MS. CONRAD: And again, I'm going to assert that 2010 is not relevant to this action. 14 15 THE COURT: I'm taking that all of this is 16 being offered for damages purposes and the assets of 17 the University? 18 MR. STROKOFF: It is. And I don't intend to 19 publish it on the screen. But I do think it's 20 necessary for the witness to have it so that he can testify accurately. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. The objection is overruled 23 with the understanding that only certain documents contained within 82 are in the relevant time period 24

will be available as an exhibit.

1 MR. STROKOFF: Right.

THE COURT: So, it's admitted over objection.

BY MR. STROKOFF:

- Q. Sir, with respect to the cover page of the 990, beginning with the year ending June 30, 2010, through the last year which is June 30, 2014, what is the annual amount of revenue reported by -- gross revenue -- total revenue reported by the University? On average.
- A. It ranged from about 4.4 billion in fiscal 2010 to about 1.5.8 billion -- I'm sorry, 5.4 billion.
- Q. I'm sorry. Let's just slow it down. It ranges from what to what?
- A. It ranges from in fiscal 2010 from 4.4 billion to fiscal 2015 at 5.4 billion.
 - Q. And that's annual total revenue?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And with respect to the total assets of the University, what's the range over this time period?
- A. The range would be just under 5 billion, 4.98 billion in --
 - Q. I'm sorry, I asked for total assets, not net.
- A. Oh, I'm sorry, total. I'm sorry, I misheard you. Total assets were 8.7 billion in fiscal 2010

and 12.3 billion in 2015.

- Q. And now, I'll let you state what the net assets of the University were during -- the range during that time period?
- A. The net assets range from 8.7 billion in -- I'm sorry, 4.98 billion in 2010 to 7.97 billion in 2015.
 - Q. And these are all billions, not millions?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Sir, with respect to the assistant football coaches who were let go after Bill O'Brien was hired, do you know whether or not they were allowed to retain their dealer cars for three months?
- A. I'm not aware of whether they did or didn't. That generally would have been handled by the human resources function within athletics.
- Q. Okay. Would your answer be the same thing with respect to the return of cell phones?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Sir, now I'm going to ask you to turn to tab 84.
 - A. (The witness complied.)
 - Q. Do you have that, sir?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you see your signature on the fourth

page there under the verification? 1 I do. Α. 2 And that is your signature? 3 0. Α. Yes. 4 Okay. Can you identify this document that 5 Q. 6 you have verified? It's part of this action. It's part of the 7 Α. 8 action we're here for today. It's our response to 9 your request for production of documents. MR. STROKOFF: Move for admission of 84, Your 10 11 Honor. 12 MS. CONRAD: No objection. 13 THE COURT: It's admitted. BY MR. STROKOFF: 14 15 Ο. Sir, do you see on page three --16 Α. Yes. 17 -- the request is for quote, "the files Q. maintained by SVP Schultz concerning Jerry Sandusky, 18 19 referred to on pages 69-70 of The Freeh Report dated 20 July 12, 2012? 21 Yes, I see that. Α. 22 Q. And you verify that documents at bates numbers PSU860 to PSU872 were in response to that 23 24 request? 25 Α. Yes.

1	Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you, if you would
2	please, keep your finger there but flip back to 58
3	just for a moment.
4	A. 58?
5	Q. Yes. Do you have 58 in front of you?
6	A. Yes, I do.
7	Q. Now, you're familiar with The Freeh Report is
8	the short name for it, right?
9	A. Yes.
10	THE COURT: You need to answer audibly.
11	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes.
12	BY MR. STROKOFF:
13	Q. And attached or as part of this document
14	are pages 69 and 70 of The Freeh Report?
15	A. Yes, I see it.
16	Q. So that this document response was for the
17	documents identified on pages 69 and 70 of The Freeh
18	Report?
19	A. Correct.
20	MR. STROKOFF: Move for admission of 58, Your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: Any objection?
23	MS. CONRAD: Yes. Objection. The question
24	related to the files that were referenced, not the
25	text of what is contained in Exhibit 58.

MR. STROKOFF: Your Honor, I'm not interested in statements of fact alleged on these pages, just that the file is identified on those pages.

MS. CONRAD: But then I would --

THE COURT: You provided these in response to the question and now you're objecting to them?

MS. CONRAD: No. 58 is not, I believe, an attachment to the document responses.

MR. STROKOFF: Your Honor, the documents that were requested the files maintained is referred to on pages 69 and 70. So that's why we have 69 and 70 to make it clear what this document response is to. And if there's any -- and to the extent there is objectionable content in there, I'm willing to redact it. But I do think it's necessary so that there's no confusion what these --

MS. CONRAD: Your Honor, there's no one here to authenticate the document at tab 58.

MR. STROKOFF: Well, this witness verified -THE COURT: The document at 58 is The Freeh
Report provided to Penn State University that you
asked for and you paid for. So now you're objecting
to pages from that report that's in a public
document?

MS. CONRAD: I'm objecting to the way counsel

is trying to refer to it.

THE COURT: Easiest thing to do, counsel, is produce Penn State University bate stamp documents 860 to 872.

MR. STROKOFF: And that's part of the response here, Your Honor.

MS. CONRAD: That's correct. The documents, sir, have been produced. The objection goes to tab 58, which has not been produced in this matter.

THE COURT: So, what is your specific objection to tab 58, which is the public report paid for by Penn State University and provided to Penn State University at its request? What's the objection?

MS. CONRAD: May we approach, sir?

THE COURT: No. On the record.

MS. CONRAD: The objection is that document 58 was not requested nor produced in this action. There is no one here to authenticate this document. Moreover, the contents of this document have no relevance to this proceeding. If counsel wants to redact it to refer to simply in relation to the files that he's referring to, that would be a different matter.

MR. STROKOFF: Which I'm willing to do.

1.8

2.0

THE COURT: Okay. Fine. 1 MS. CONRAD: Thank you. 2 THE COURT: So it's admitted with that 3 4 understanding that the two of you will get together 5 and redact the document and make it acceptable to both sides. 6 7 MS. CONRAD: Thank you, sir. MR. STROKOFF: Thank you. 8 So 58 is admitted over objection. 9 THE COURT: 10 So now I'm sure the witness is as confused as I am 11 and would like the question again. 12 BY MR. STROKOFF: 13 0. So we're going to go back to 84 again. 14 we go over then the documents, Mr. Doncsecz that you 1.5 produced or you verified were those documents from that file? 16 17 Α. It appears to be what was requested in 18 document request number nine. 19 And you believe it was, right? 0. 20 Α. As I sit here, yes. Okay. So on PSU860, some handwritten notes? 21 Q. 22 THE COURT: He wants you to be looking at the document, sir. 23 24 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry,. 25 BY MR. STROKOFF:

You see the Penn State bates number at the 1 0. bottom right?? THE COURT: Keep flipping. 3 THE WITNESS: I have it. 5598? 4 BY MR. STROKOFF: 5 Do you know whose notes they are? 6 0. 7 MS. CONRAD: Objection. At this point, there's no foundation to establish that Mr. Doncsecz 8 is qualified to testify as to this handwriting. 9 10 THE COURT: He was asked do you know whose 11 notes these are. Do you know? 12 THE WITNESS: I can honestly barely read them. I don't know who wrote this. 13 14 BY MR. STROKOFF: 15 Okay. Let's go to the next page. That's 0. 16 861. Do you see that at the bottom? 17 Α. Yes. 18 MS. CONRAD: I would note my continuing 19 objection. 20 BY MR. STROKOFF: 21 0. Do you know who wrote these notes? 22 THE COURT: Wait. Your continuing objection 23 is can he identify them. And the question is 24 essentially that, can you identify who wrote it. 25 he's reading it now to see if he can do that.

he's going to tell us when he's finished reading. 1 THE WITNESS: I cannot, without reading this 2 much more closely, I cannot tell. 3 BY MR. STROKOFF: 4 5 0. And it's a very light copy that we were provided, right? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Ο. Okay. Let's go to the next page. Do you 9 have any better luck being able to identify who wrote those? 10 682? 11 Α. That's correct. 862. 12 0. 13 Α. Or 862. I can tell it's all the same 14 handwriting. But again, I can't even make out 15 enough of the writing to make a guess at whose writing. 16 17 We don't want you to make a guess. want to know is if you know. 18 19 Α. No. I don't. 20 How about 863? Do you know who wrote those Q. 21 notes? 22 Α. No. How about 864? 23 0. 24 Α. No.

Okay. How about 865? It's a little bit

25

Q.

1 clearer. 865 is on is on Gary Schultz's letterhead or 2 memo paper and the writing looks similar to the last 3 4 few pages we just looked at. 5 0. Well, let's forget about the last two pages. 6 You worked for Gary Schultz for a while, didn't you? 7 Α. Correct. 8 0. Do you recognize this as being his handwriting? 10 MS. CONRAD: Again, I would note my 11 objection. This witness is not here nor is he to 12 authenticate a document written by another 13 individual nor has he been qualified as a 14 handwriting expert. 15 THE COURT: Objection overruled. Can you 16 identify the handwriting, sir? 17 THE WITNESS: Now that I see it within the context of Gary's memo or letterhead, yes, I can. 18 Now it's familiar to me. 19 20 THE COURT: Whose handwriting is it? 21 THE WITNESS: Gary Schultz. I'm presuming from the header that it is his and it's on his memo 22 head. 23

BY MR. STROKOFF:

24

25

Q. How many years have you worked with him?

I mean he was there as long as I've been 1 Α. 2 there, so 1994. But I reported to him for about eight, nine years. We were very email driven 3 organization for the most part. 4 Ο. How about -- can you go to 868? 5 Α. (The witness complied.) Yes. 6 7 Do you know whose handwriting that is? Ο. Α. This appears to be Gary's handwriting as it 8 9 was there, as it was on that last document. can say I have seen this document before, so --10 Ο. Pardon? 11 12 I can say I have seen this -- I've looked at 13 this document before so yes, I know this one is 14 Gary's. 15 You looked at this document before in connection with this litigation? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Okay. And you satisfied yourself that this Q. 19 was Gary's handwriting? 20 A. Yes. 21 Sir, in your position as associate vice Q. 22 president of finance and controller, do you know 23 what the membership is of the Penn State Alumni 24 Association? How many folks are there?

It's in excess of 600,000 from memory, but I

25

Α.

don't know a precise number. 1 I want to make a distinction. The Alumni 2 Association consists of dues paying people and 3 alumni are just folks who survived. Now, you're 4 saying there's 600,000 dues paying members of the 5 Alumni Association? 6 That's my recollection. It's not something I 7 Α. keep track of, but that's my recollection. 8 9 That's not something that goes through your office? 10 11 Α. No. 12 0. Okay. But we're not going to hold you to that. 13 Okay. 14 Α. 15 MR. STROKOFF: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 16 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. CONRAD: 17 Good afternoon, Mr. Doncsecz. As you know, 18 Ο. 19 my name is Nancy Conrad and I represent The 20 Pennsylvania State University. You were asked some 21 questions about annual appropriations that the 22 University receives. What does the University use those funds for? 23 24 The state appropriation is used for our

education and general mission, to support education

and general mission, faculty salaries, staff 1 2 salaries. It's part of a larger educational in 3 general fund, which we use to support the primary missions, education, research, and public service. 4 And what were those, the three missions of 5 the University? 6 Education, research, and public service. 7 Α. Ο. And how many campuses does the University 8 have? 9 24. Α. 10 What's the approximate number of full-time 11 Q. 12 faculty? Α. Full-time, about 17,000. 13 14 And then part-time faculty? 0. 15 Α. That number -- we issue over 50,000 W-2s, but 16 that includes people coming and going throughout the So at any one time, about 30,000. 17 year. So there's approximately over 30,000 18 0. 19 employees of The Pennsylvania State University? 20 Α. Correct. 21 0. And you page wages and benefits to those 22 individuals? 23 Α. Correct. 2.4 How about the number of students that the 0. University serves? 25

- A. Nearly 100,000.
- Q. And in terms of the numbers that counsel asked you about with respect to net worth, can you break down what that means?
- A. So I believe the last number I gave was 7.97 billion as of June of '15. In very, very round numbers, if you call that \$8 billion, it's \$3 billion in the endowment, which is invested for long term to throw off income that will support the mission of the University over the long haul, that's about 3 billion of the 8 billion. Another, just shy of 3 billion, is the net value of our physical plant, the buildings, land, equipment that the University owes and the balance, the two billion is cash and investments that are used either to purchase additional either for operating purposes or for or to buy additional assets and to fund our capital plan.
- Q. You were asked questions about the one percent salary adjustment that was provided to employees. Do you recall that testimony?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Was Mr. McQueary actively employed at that time?
 - A. He was on leave with pay.

- A. He did not.
- Q. And at the time of the expiration of his contract on June 30th, 2012, was it your understanding that the University met all of its obligations with respect to salary and benefits to be paid to the University?

MR. STROKOFF: Objection, Your Honor. His understanding I don't believe is relevant.

MS. CONRAD: I'll rephrase.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MS. CONRAD:

- Q. Did your office or did you authorize the payment of all salary and benefits that were due to Mr. McQueary through the course of his contract that expired on June 30th of 2012?
 - A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And then with respect to the severance payments, did your office authorize -- I believe you said in mid-August, September?
 - A. Correct, of 2012.
- Q. Okay. Your office authorized the payment of severance benefits to Mr. McQueary after his contract had expired?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And do you know the amount or the number of

months of salary payments that were paid -- that was 1 paid -- that you authorized to be paid to Mr. 2 McQueary after the expiration of his contract? 3 As I recall, it was another 18 months from 4 Even though it was retroed from September to 5 Julv. July, but beginning in July it was 18 months. 6 Q. 18 months of what? 7 Salary. Of his last full salary. Α. 8 MS. CONRAD: Thank you. I have no further 9 10 questions. MR. STROKOFF: I just have one point with 11 respect to this witness. 12 AS ON RECROSS EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. STROKOFF: 14 Sir, can you turn to tab 68? 15 Q. 16 Α. (The witness complied.) 17 Q. Do you recognize that, sir? I recognize it as a University check and it's 18 Α. 19 payable to --20 MS. CONRAD: Wait a minute. Before you 21 answer the question, I don't believe this document 22 has been admitted yet. 23 MR. STROKOFF: No. That's why I'm asking if 24 he can identify it. 25 BY MR. STROKOFF:

- Maybe I should say can you identify the 0. document? It appears to be a University check made out 3 to Mike McQueary. 4 And the date of the check? 5 Ο. The date of the check is September 18, 2012. Α. 6 7 If you were authorized to issue a check to 0. Mr. McQueary, the severance check to Mr. McQueary 8 and get that started in mid-August, why was he not 9 paid until September 18th? 10 I don't recall the exact dates. But our 11 electronic payroll system cuts off at a certain 12 point in the month. And if something happens 13 subsequent to that date, it has to be moved to the 14 15 following month. And I don't recall what that calendar was for that particular month. 16 17 But you also don't recall when you were told 18 to cut the severance check? 19 Α. Not precisely, no. 2.0 Q. Could have been in September of 2012? 21 It could have been, yes. Α. 22 Do you believe that 68 is that initial check 0. 23 with some back payments that weren't made for July
 - A. The timing would be right. And I think the

24

25

and August?

amount would be about right. So again, without 1 having payroll records in front of me, this looks to 2 3 be correct. MR. STROKOFF: Move for admission of 68, Your 4 Honor. 5 Any objection? THE COURT: 6 7 MS. CONRAD: No, sir. THE COURT: It's admitted. 8 MR. STROKOFF: Nothing further of this 9 witness, Your Honor. 10 MS. CONRAD: Your Honor, I just have follow 11 up with respect to Exhibit 68. 12 RECROSS EXAMINATION 13 BY MS. CONRAD: 14 Mr. Doncsecz, was the payment issued -- or 15 the payment that you authorized to Michael McQueary 16 contained in 68 the first of the severance payments 17 that were issued to him? 18 19 Α. To my recollection, yes. 20 And what is the amount of the payment that Q. 21 was issued in the first severance payment? 22 \$15,349.81. Α. 23 Q. And how many months of severance payments did 24 Mr. McQueary receive after the expiration of his 25 contract?

1	A. As I recall, it was 18 months from in
2	total from July of 2012 to December of 2013.
3	MS. CONRAD: Thank you. I have no further
4	questions.
5	AS ON RECROSS EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. STROKOFF:
7	Q. And Mr. Doncsecz, you don't want this jury to
8	believe that Penn State paid him \$15,349 a month for
9	18 months, do you?
10	A. Well, this would have included the
11	retroactive catch up. This now would have included
12	the July and August amounts.
13	Q. So, this is three months of checks?
14	A. Correct.
15	MR. STROKOFF: Okay. Thank you.
16	MS. CONRAD: One final question, sir.
17	THE COURT: Directly related to that point,
18	yes.
19	RECROSS EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. CONRAD:
21	Q. And that severance that was paid to Mr.
22	McQueary was for the entire 18 months, wasn't it?
23	A. Over the from that period I described,
24	July of 2012 through December of 2013, yes.
25	MS. CONRAD: Thank you.

THE COURT: Sir, in your capacity, how many 1 times have you dealt with people on administrative 2 leave? You personally getting involved. 3 THE WITNESS: My office in some capacity 4 wouldn't be involved in every single one. 5 THE COURT: You personally. 6 THE WITNESS: Me personally? I could 7 probably -- less than 10. 8 THE COURT: How many times have you been involved where the general counsel was involved? 1.0 THE WITNESS: On any matters or with respect 11 to severance? 12 THE COURT: On administrative leave issues. 13 THE WITNESS: On administrative leave issues, 14 again, it's very few. 15 Ten. THE COURT: And on severance? 16 THE WITNESS: On severance, I tend to -- I 17 sign most of the severance checks that the 18 University issues, or most of the severance 19 agreements that the University issues, so that would 20 21 be a much larger number. Thank you. You can step down. 22 THE COURT: MS. CONRAD: Your Honor, may we approach? 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 (Whereupon, the following discussion was held 25

at sidebar:)

MS. CONRAD: Your Honor, I raise this with all due respect, it was my understanding that to the extent that Your Honor had questions, that they would be presented outside of the jury's presence. And while I fully recognize you are the trier of the fact in the whistleblower claim, I just wanted to clarify that position.

THE COURT: And when I think it pertains directly to the whistleblower, I'm asking those questions. And I thought that this was a general follow up on the questions you folks were asking related directly to the whistleblower.

MS. CONRAD: And to the extent Your Honor has questions that relate to either the defamation or the misrepresentation claim, are they going to be raised in the presence of the jury?

THE COURT: If I think something needs to be clarified, yes.

MS. CONRAD: Understood. Thank you, sir. I just wanted that clarification.

MR. STROKOFF: I have a short witness.

THE COURT: Okay.

(End of sidebar.)

MR. STROKOFF: Anthony Sassano, Your Honor.

ANTHONY SASSANO 1 Was called as a witness and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. STROKOFF: BY 5 Would you please state for the record your 0. 6 full name, sir? 7 My name is Anthony Sassano. 8 Could you spell the last name for the court 9 0. reporter, please? 10 S-A-S-S-A-N-O. Α. 11 And your occupation, sir? 12 Ο. Currently, I'm the acting special agent 13 Α. charge of Bureau of Narcotics for the Pennsylvania 14 Office of the Attorney General. 15 And how long have you been employed by the 16 Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General? 17 Approximately 17 years. 18 Α. And before you were employed by the Office of 19 Q. Attorney General, what was your employment? 20 I was employed by the City of Altoona Police 21 Department for 20 years. 22 In -- did you receive an assignment from the 23 Ο. 24 Office of Attorney General with respect to investigating matters related to Jerry Sandusky? 25

Τ	A. Yes.
2	Q. And about when did you receive that
3	assignment?
4	A. About May of 2009.
5	Q. And did you work with anybody else in
6	investigating that case?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And who else did you work with?
9	A. Numerous people. Do you want people's name
10	or do you want agencies?
11	Q. No. How about, was there one or two
12	individuals that you worked with or was there more
13	than that?
14	A. One or two individuals or what?
15	Q. Did you work with just a few individuals or
16	was it much more than that?
17	A. Probably over the course of the
18	investigation, 10, 12, 15 individuals.
19	Q. What was your role in that investigation?
20	A. I was the lead investigator for the Attorney
21	General's Office on this matter.
22	Q. And did you have any reporting lines to
23	attorneys within the Office of Attorney General?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And what were those lines during the

investigation phase of the case? 1 Initially I reported to Jonelle Eshbach. 2 Α. was, at that time, a senior deputy attorney general. 3 Okay. Do you know Mike McQueary? 4 0. I do. 5 Α. And how did you come to know Mike McQueary? Ο. 6 Of course I knew him from following Penn 7 Α. State football, I'm a Penn State graduate myself. 8 So I knew him --9 Well, what year did you graduate from Penn 10 0. State, seeing as how you brought it up? 11 Α. 1975. 12 Okay. I'm sorry. 13 Ο. That's all right. 14 Α. Go ahead. When did you first meet him? 15 0. I met him in November of 2010. That's when I 16 Α. first met him in a park near the high school. 17 don't recall the name of the park. 18 And was that in connection with your 19 20 investigating the Sandusky matter? Yes, it was. 21 Α. 22 And during the course of that investigation, 0. did you see Mr. McQueary after November of 2010? 23 24 Α. Yes. On numerous occasions.

Okay. And did you participate in putting

25

Q.

together the criminal charges against Mr. Sandusky? 1 Α. I did. 2 And what about the criminal charges against 3 Mr. Curley and Mr. Schultz? 4 Yes, on both. They were charged on two 5 occasions. And Graham Spanier would be another 6 7 person. We're not going to go there in this trial. 8 0. 9 Α. Okay. Could you turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 35? 10 0. To what? Did you say 35? Α. 11 12 Plaintiff's Exhibit 35, that's correct. Q. 13 Α. Okay. On the first page of that criminal complaint, 14 Q. when we go down toward the, let's say the last 15 third, there's a reference an A-G-T, A dot, L dot, 16 Sassano. Who's that? 17 That's me. Α. Yes. 18 So you were one of the affiants in the 19 Okav. 20 criminal complaint process? That's correct. 21 Α. Okay. Could you tell the jury what role Mr. 22 Q. 23 McQueary played in the Sandusky prosecution? 24 There's a lot of adjectives I can use.

was a linchpin, or a key role, in the investigation.

I think that sort of sums it up, linchpin in the 1 investigation. 2 Okay. Now what about -- what role did he 3 play in the putting together the criminal complaint 4 against Mr. Curley? 5 Likewise. Same thing. Linchpin. Key role 6 Α. in providing information that we further 7 investigated. 8 And similarly, what role, if any, did he play 9 0. 10 in the criminal complaint process against Mr. Schultz? 11 I'd describe it in the same identical 12 fashion. 13 Okay. If there is going to be a trial in the 14 0. Curley matter, would Mr. McQueary have a role to 15 play in that trial? 16 17 Α. He would. And what would that role be? 18 0. Same as I described before, a key role in 19 Α. 2.0 that prosecution. 21 0. Well, would he be --He'd be a witness. 22 Α. He'd be a witness? 23 0. 24 Α. Yes, sir.

Okay. Would he be your main witness?

25

Q.

Yes. 1 Α. What about in the Schultz, if there is a 0. 2 Schultz trial, would it be similar? 3 Α. Same thing. Main witness, yes. 4 Sir, were you working on this case after the 5 Q. presentments were handed down in on or about 6 November 5, 2011? 7 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. And at that time, Mr. McQueary was still a key part of your case? 10 Α. Yes. 11 All right. Do you know whether or not your 12 13 office felt that Mr. McQueary was in any physical 14 danger? MS. CONRAD: Objection. Your office felt? 15 16 THE COURT: Rephrase your question, please. 17 MR. STROKOFF: Certainly. 18 BY MR. STROKOFF: 19 Sir, did you have any thoughts, let's say the 0. week beginning November 5, 2011, whether or not 20 21 there was any concern for Mr. McQueary's physical 22 safety? 23 Did I have any thoughts? Α. 24 0. Yes. 25 I did not fear for his safety if that's what Α.

1 you're asking.

1.0

- Q. Was there any concern that you were aware of that other members of your investigative staff had about Mr. McQueary's physical safety?
- A. There was discussion and no one expressed any concern that he was in imminent danger.
- Q. Did you have any reports from The Penn State University Police that they had concerns about Mr. McQueary's physical safety?
 - A. No, they never called me.
- Q. Did you have open lines of communication with the Penn State Police?
 - A. I did. And I still do up until today.
- Q. So nobody from the Pennsylvania State -- I'm sorry, from the University Police every expressed to you concerns for Mr. McQueary's safety?
 - A. That's accurate.
- Q. Sir, as part of your investigation in the Curley and Schultz matters, did you investigate whether or not any reports had ever been filed with Centre County Youth Services?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what was the result of your investigation?
 - A. They had no reports.

1	Q. Okay. And what about DPW?
2	A. Similarly, they had no reports on file
3	referenced to this matter.
4	Q. And did you check with any police department
5	to see if there were any police reports with respect
6	to the 2001 incident?
7	A. I did.
8	Q. Were there any?
9	A. There were not.
10	MR. STROKOFF: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	CROSS EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. CONRAD:
14	Q. Mr. Sassano, I'm going to step to the podium
15	so I have better eyesight.
16	A. Okay.
17	Q. Sir, you just testified that no one from Penn
18	State contacted you about a concern with respect to
19	Mr. McQueary's safety; is that correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Did you have the opportunity to speak with
22	Mr. Mark Sherburne about safety concerns related to
23	Mr. McQueary?
24	A. Is he the athletic director who was
25	terminated shortly thereafter?

the sports talking -- what some of the sports

1	talking heads on ESPN were saying about him, didn't
2	you?
3	A. Can you show me that document, please?
4	Q. I certainly can, sir. Can you pull up D53?
5	A. 53 in this book?
6	Q. You'll find a binder that says Defendant's
7	exhibits. And if you turn to tab 43, sir?
8	A. Okay. So we're done with this first book?
9	Q. I'm sorry?
10	A. We're done for right now with this first
11	book?
12	Q. That is Plaintiff's exhibits, sir. We're in
13	the defendant's binder.
14	A. Okay. I don't see anything labeled
15	Defendant. Okay. And it's D1?
16	THE COURT: D1, 43 she wants you to look at.
17	THE WITNESS: 43? Okay. And this is the one
18	dated at the top 11/11/2011?
19	BY MS. CONRAD:
20	Q. Yes, sir. Are you familiar with that
21	document?
22	A. Without it being authenticated
23	Q. I'm sorry.
24	A. That's okay. Without authentication from a
25	computer expert from our agency with that

reading that exactly correct. 1 BY MS. CONRAD: 2 "It was me and only me." Do you see that 3 4 statement? 5 Yes, I do. Α. Do you know why Mr. McQueary was informing 6 0. Ms. Eshbach and you that information? 7 No, I have no idea, because he never told us 8 his father went with him. 9 What was contained in the presentment with 10 0. respect to that issue? 11 I don't recall. 12 Α. Now, directing your attention to your 13 Q. response, you say, "I was disappointed that we did 14 15 not get together yesterday but still hope to meet 16 with you, perhaps next week at your pleasure." 17 you see that? I do. 18 Α. Did you meet with Mr. McQueary on a regular 19 0. 20 basis? I wouldn't call it regular, I'd call it 21 Α. 22 semi-regular, periodically. 23 And what was the purpose or your planning to 24 meet with him perhaps next week at your pleasure?

I have no idea.

25

Α.

- Q. In the next sentence, you say, "Not to add to your anxiety/frustration, but to reassure you." Can you read the end of that sentence, please?
- A. "So this AM," and I think meant by that this morning, "some of the sports talking heads on ESPN saying you would/could get arrested."
- Q. Could you describe for us, please, what you heard from this talking heads on ESPN in or around November 11, 2011?
- A. No, I can't, other than reading this. It appears that something I saw indicated individuals, sports reporters were saying that he could/would get arrested.
- Q. And why were you bringing that issue up to Mr. McQueary?
 - A. I don't recall at this particular time.
 - Q. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you.
- A. Perhaps it's because of his statement in the initial email, misrepresented in the media. I was letting him know there's another misrepresentation I think. I don't know that for sure, I have no independent memory of that right now.
- Q. I understand. But it was your understanding that at this time there were numerous media articles surfacing about Mr. McQueary; is that correct?

Yes, there were. 1 Α. Okay. Directing your attention to D38, which Q. I believe had been admitted. Yes. MR. STROKOFF: Excuse me, I'm not sure we're 4 on the same page. D38? 5 MS. CONRAD: Yes, sir. 6 BY MS. CONRAD: 7 Are you familiar with the document marked 8 D382 9 I'm reading it now. Please give me a couple 10 seconds. 11 Certainly. Please let me know when you're 12 Ο. ready to proceed. 13 14 What was the other number that we just got Α. done talking about? 15 That was D43, sir. 16 Ο. 17 Α. First glance, the initial email here from Mike appears to be the same one we previously 18 discussed; correct? 19 I'm sorry, I didn't hear your question. 20 I don't have a question. I guess I'm making 21 Α. 22 a statement. When we talked about D43, the initial 23 emails from Mike McQueary dated November 10th of 24 2011 at 10:22 a.m. As I look at this D38, it's 25 identical.

1 Yes, sir. And I'm asking you now about the Q. statement that Ms. Eshbach provided? 2 Well, you'll have to talk to Ms. Eshbach what 3 Α. 4 she provided. That's what I was going to ask you, sir. 5 Q. you speak with Ms. Eshbach about the response that 6 7 she provided to Mr. McOueary? We probably did have a conversation, but I don't recall what that would have been. 9 10 Well, do you recall that Ms. Eshbach told Mr. 0. 11 McQueary that he can't respond to the information that was being published about him? 12 13 I have no -- I believe that happened. Α. believe I told him that. 14 15 Ο. That he could not respond to --16 Not that he couldn't, it's a free country. Α. 17 But my recommendation, if he's calling me asking me 18 for my advice, as a mature adult, number one, and as 19 an investigator, number two, you don't respond to kooks. 2.0 21 0. You didn't want him to respond to kooks? Kooks. 22 Α. 23 What do you mean by that? 0. 24 Nuts. Α.

25

Excuse me?

0.

- A. Crazy people. Nuts.
- Q. Are you referring to the media report then as --
- A. No, I'm referring to these emails. Isn't that what we're talking about, emails?
- Q. Let me direct your attention now to Defendant's 36. Could you turn to tab 36?
 - A. We're done with this one then?
 - Q. Yes, sir.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Let me know when you've finished reviewing Defendant's 36.
- A. Okay. Without going too much further, there was a reference to him getting charged in the previous emails, I believe that was dated 11/11 of 2011. Now I think I was responding, perhaps, or to reassure him reference of this email which you're taking out of order here, it's dated 11/10/11. So we had this email. And then to fully answer about the previous emails we discussed, my response probably was keeping this in mind, he expressed concern that people were saying he was going to get charged. So that's a complete answer I guess to a previous question, I don't remember which one, though.

A. (The witness complied.)

22

23

24

25

document.

Q. And in the fifth paragraph, he provides information to you about that issue, doesn't he?

- A. You want me to read that?
- Q. Yes, sir.
- A. "I have also said clearly that I cannot say one thousand percent sure that it was sodomy. I did not see insertion. It was a sexual act and or way over the line in my opinion whatever it was."
- Q. And did you take any action with respect to the information you received from Mr. McQueary on November 10th and the words that were used in the presentment?
- A. I'm not clear what you mean by did I take any action?
- Q. Well, did you go back and look at the presentment to see how Mr. McQueary's words were characterized after he told you, "I feel my words were slightly twisted and not totally portrayed correctly"?
- A. No. I did not draft the presentment.

 Attorneys that we pay, lawyers drafted that presentment. And I answer to them, they don't answer to me.
- Q. Well, after you received this email, did you go to those attorneys and say to them I received information from Mr. McQueary that he's concerned that his words were twisted --

- V

- A. Ma'am --
- Q. -- and not totally portrayed correctly in the presentment?
- A. Ma'am, why would I do that when an email was sent to the attorney who drafted the presentment, Jonelle Eshbach and I was merely CC'd on it? Why would I have to point out the obvious to her?
 - Q. Did you go to her and say --
- A. We had discussions. And Mike and I have discussed this repeatedly, he did not say anal rape. He said sodomy. He was consistent from day one until probably whatever next time we talk. He's never said anything, he's said the same thing exactly since day one.
- Q. And I'm sorry I missed, what was that exact thing he said?
 - A. Which part didn't you understand?
 - Q. That you just said, I didn't hear that.
- A. From day one he said he witnessed what he believed to be sodomy. He did not see insertion.

 Of course he did not see the penetration. The only

 -- if he saw penetration or if the victim would have come forward and said he was penetrated, that's the only two ways you have that. He saw what he believed to be penetration from, my recollection, 20

1 feet away.

- Q. I understand what you're saying, sir.
- A. He's been consistent. He's been consistent since day one.
- Q. But you'll agree with me that in the document marked D36 he says, "I have also said clearly that I cannot say a thousand percent sure that it was sodomy." That's what he told you in this email, didn't he?
- A. Yeah, that's what he said from day one, he believed he saw an act of sodomy, but he could not say a hundred percent because he didn't see insertion. He said that from the very get go.
- Q. And directing your attention then to the first paragraph on this exhibit.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Mr. McQueary goes on to say in the second sentence, "My life has drastically, drastically changed. My family's life has drastically changed. National media and public opinion has totally, in every single way ruined me." Do you see that sentence?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. And did I read that correctly, sir?
 - A. Except for the last part. It says, "For

1 what?"
2 Q.
3 A.
4 Q.
5 his st.
6 had to
7 A.
8 would

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I apologize for not including for what.

- A. That's okay.
- Q. Did you respond to Mr. McQueary at all about his statement that national media and public opinion had totally, in every single way ruined him?
- A. I don't recall if we talked about that. I would presume or guess that we did, but that's -- pardon me?
- Q. I'm sorry. I didn't want to interrupt you, sir.
- A. I would presume or guess that we had discussions about that, but I don't recall specific discussions.
- Q. And did he relate to you what information in the national media and public opinion that he believed had totally ruined him?
- A. I don't recall that exactly. It could have occurred, but I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. Directing your attention then to the next paragraph.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. "They said he is worse than Sandusky. I will forward just a small fraction of the emails and articles that have been written to and about me.

People say I will eventually get charged. With what I have no idea." Do you see that statement?

- A. Yes. That's the one I was referring to several minutes ago that I thought we should have talked about before we talked about the email dated 11/11/2011.
- Q. And did Mr. McQueary forward any of those emails and articles that have written to and about me to you?
- A. I don't recall articles per se, but I believe emails. He did forward me some documents, yes -- or some emails. Yes.
- Q. But you don't remember the articles that he was referring to?
 - A. No, I don't remember those.
- Q. And you don't remember the national media and public opinion he was referring to; correct?
- A. I remember there was a lot of controversy about Mike, yes. But for me to tell you specifically. There's controversy and negative articles about me, too, but that's part of my job. I get paid for people to criticize me, he doesn't get paid to be criticized for doing the right thing.
- Q. He goes on at that page at the ninth paragraph are says, "National talk shows are saying

the most brutal things not about the perp, but about 1 everyone else." Do you see that reference? 2 I do. Α. 3 Were you watching any of those national talk 0. 4 shows? 5 I gather as much intel as I can on every 6 Α. investigation I'm doing. So I watched some, saw 7 Go home after work, I like sports so I turn 8 So yeah, I would have seen them. 10 0. And do you recall what the national talk 11 shows were saying about Mr. McQueary at that time? 12 Α. I do not. 13 Q. Mr. McQueary goes on. And in the last paragraph on the second page of this document in the 1.4 15 PS, he says, "I have also re-watched the press conference from Monday." Do you recall that press 16 conference from Monday? 17 18 Α. Yes, I was there. 19 And what was that press conference? Q. 20 It was to announce the arrests. Α. 21 He then says, "Nowhere is there strong Q. support for me." Do you see that statement? 22 Α. 23 Yes. 24 Is that accurate? Ο.

Is it accurate that there was no strong

25

Α.

support for him?

- Q. Well, let's flip it around. Was there any support expressed for Michael McQueary in that press conference?
- A. I don't recall. That was a number of years ago, I have haven't watched it since.
- Q. But according to Michael McQueary, there was no support for him expressed in that press conference; correct?
- A. That's what he said and that was important to him.
- Q. And he goes on in the paragraph, the third paragraph on that page, and he says, "What are my options as far as a statement from me goes? Will I be in legal trouble, any trouble with the law?" Did you have any conversations with Mr. McQueary about his options for releasing a statement?
- A. I believe we already discussed that. Free country, he can do whatever he wants. However, if you're asking me or if he was asking me for advice and opinions, I told him I didn't think he should respond or issue a public statement. But he also had counsel to advise him what the proper course of action would be. I'm not his counsel.
 - Q. I understand, sir.

that's been marked D37. Are you familiar with this

24

25

document?

2 Yes, sir. Thank you. And let me know when Q. you're completed and ready to proceed. 3 Okay. All right, I've reviewed it. 4 And directing your attention to the second Ο. 5 page, were these some of the emails that Mr. 6 7 McQueary had forwarded to Ms. Eshbach and you as a follow up to that email that we previously reviewed? 8 I'll need a little bit more time. 9 Α. apologize, I though it was just one page. 10 Please take all the time you need. 11 0. 12 It seems to be a lot of duplication Α. Okay. 13 here. There seems to be one email two times maybe three times. So I've reviewed it, yes. 14 15 Ο. And sometimes when there's a string of emails, you understand that duplication occurs, sir? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Now, directing your attention to the second Q. page -- strike that. So you're familiar with this 19 20 document; correct? 21 I believe I've seen this before, yes, because 22 I recall the my diploma's fucking worthless now. 23 MS. CONRAD: Move for the admission of D37. 24 MR. STROKOFF: No objection. 25 THE COURT: Admitted.

I'm going to read it. Okay?

1

Α.

1 I'll request that you publish MS. CONRAD: 2 the second page of it, please. BY MS. CONRAD: 3 Now I want to direct your attention -- and 4 strike that. Were these some of the emails that mr. 5 6 McQueary had forwarded to you? It's the same page in front of you, sir. 7 It appears to be, yes. 8 9 And in the email that's from XX, do you see 10 that halfway through the second page? 11 Α. Yes, I do. He must be a brave individual. 12 Q. I'm sorry, I couldn't hear --He must be a brave individual. 13 Α. 14 Q. What do you mean by that, sir? 15 Α. Well, to send an anonymous email like this. Yes, I see it. 16 17 In the second paragraph, you'll agree with me, won't you, that it says, and excuse my language, 18 I want to kill you you effing piece of S-H-I-T. 19 Did 20 I read that correctly? 21 Except for saying effing, you read it Α. 22 correctly. That's correct, sir. I'm showing resepct to 23 0. the Court. This is one of the emails that mr. 24 25 McQueary had received and forwarded to you; is that

correct? 1 2 I believe so, yes. Α. And is this one of the emails that you would 3 Ο. characterize as from one of those kooks? 4 Yeah. Yes, I would. 5 Α. 0. And is this one of those messages that you 6 would have dismissed as not credible? 7 However, at the same time, may I add, I 8 9 believe with all of these emails, I could have 1.0 ascertained the identity of these anonymous people 11 and went and paid them a visit. But I was told not 12 to do that. I could have tracked down their IP 13 addresses and went and talked to them. 14 Q. Who told you not to do that? 15 Α. I believe Jonelle. 16 And was that because they were deemed not Ο. credible? 17 Yes. 18 Α. 19 0. You didn't take them seriously? That's correct. We did not believe he was in 20 Α. 21 danger based on a couple of these emails. 22 And you didn't obtain additional emails or 23 information from the University with respect that

threats that were being received at the University?

That's correct. But if I might add, it was

24

25

Α.

not easy getting information from the University.

It was extremely cumbersome. Where we were required to go through a variety of attorneys, Dan Wellworth, I'll mention his name, is the primary individual I dealt with, Mike Mustokoff I believe is in charge or the head of the law firm, I don't recall the name. But there were numerous barriers placed in our way repeatedly throughout the entire investigation by Penn State.

- Q. Following receipt of this information from Mr. McQueary, you took no further action to assess the nature of his safety; is that correct?
- A. No. We assessed it. We did not believe it was legitimate and real. And we did not do anything else. That's what they have 200 cops for at the stadium.
 - Q. Your office took no further action; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- MS. CONRAD: Thank you. I have no further questions.
 - MR. STROKOFF: No questions, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Have a nice day.
 - THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
- MR. STROKOFF: Your Honor, Mr. Fleming believes that I failed to move in the admission of

```
1
       84.
            I thought I did, but if I didn't, I'd like to
 2
       move --
              THE COURT: P84?
 3
              MR. STROKOFF: Plaintiff's 84.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: It was moved previously.
                             It's admitted?
              MR. STROKOFF:
 6
 7
              THE COURT: It's admitted.
              MR. STROKOFF:
                              Thank you.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury,
10
       I think this is a good time to take our lunch break.
       As close to 1:15 as you can be back will work.
11
       Okay? And again, I'll remind you not to discuss the
12
                We'll see you back here at 1:15.
13
              (Whereupon, the jury was excused for a
14
       luncheon recess.)
15
16
              THE COURT:
                          Will somebody get me the
17
       personnel manual?
              (Whereupon, the following discussion took
18
19
       place at sidebar:)
20
              MS. CONRAD: As Ms. Baldwin testified, she
21
       was not connected with HR. The University does not
22
       have a quote personnel policy manual. It has a
23
       series of personnel policies designated as HR1, HR2,
2.4
       each that address a particular issue.
25
              THE COURT: So do we have that addresses
```

administrative leave? 1 MS. CONRAD: There is one that we will 2 introduce. While it has not been marked as an 3 exhibit, we can introduce it through the next 4 witness who it will be an HR representative. 5 THE COURT: I would ask to see it. 6 7 MS. CONRAD: Certainly would you like it now, sir? 8 9 THE COURT: I would. 10 MS. CONRAD: I would, again, note this was 11 not sought in discovery, nor is it listed as an exhibit as part of either Plaintiff's case or our 12 13 case. Do you have a copy for him so we 14 THE COURT: 15 can all be reading the same thing? Enjoy your lunch. 16 17 MS. CONRAD: Thank you. 18 OF PROCEEDINGS 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me upon the hearing of the within matter and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same.

Date

Jennifer E. Amentler

Official Reporter

That time

Official Reporter

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that a copy of this

counsel of record for the parties, advising they had

transcript was furnished and made available to

until October 26, 2016, in which to file any

objections or exceptions to the same.

period having elapsed without recording of

objections or exceptions, the transcript is

Date

Jennifer E. Amentler

therefore lodged with the Court for further action.

1	ACCEPTANCE BY COURT
2	-
3	Upon counsel's opportunity to review and to
4	1
5	of proceedings is hereby accepted and directed to be
6	filed.
7	
8	
9	11-2-16 Promas & Lavin
10	Date Thomas G. Gavin
11	Senior Judge Specially Presiding
12	15th Judicial District
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	