

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CENTRE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION - LAW

MICHAEL J. MCQUEARY : NO. 2012-1804

VS

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

Transcript of Proceedings (Day 3 of jury trial - p.m. only)

Before: Thomas G. Gavin,

Senior Judge Specially Presiding

15th Judicial District

Date: October 19, 2016

Place: Centre County Courthouse

Courtroom Annex

108 South Allegheny Street

Bellefonte, Pa 16823

Appearances:

For the Plaintiff: Elliott Strokoff, Esquire William T. Fleming, Esquire

For the Defendant: Nancy Conrad, Esquire George Morrison, Esquire

Notes by: Elise A. Fitzgerald

Official Court Reporter

Room 208, Centre County Courthouse

Bellefonte, Pa 16823

814 355-6734 or fax 814 548-1158

ORIGINAL

1		Index to	the Wit	nesses	
2		Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross
3	Plaintiff:				
4	Erikka Runkle	7	54	80	86
5	Jonathan Dran	ov 98	113	119	
6					
7	Defendant:				
8	[None]				
9					
10		Index to	the Exh	nibits	
11					Admitted:
12	Plaintiff:				
13	No. 14				40
14	No. 22				42
15	No. 49				36
16	No. 55				50
17					
18	Defendant:				
19	No. 31				74
20	No. 56				58
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MS. CONRAD: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE
3	APPROACH?
4	THE COURT: YES.
5	MS. CONRAD: YOUR HONOR, IT WAS BROUGHT
6	TO OUR ATTENTION FROM MS. RUNKLE, OUR NEXT
7	WITNESS, THAT SHE MAY BE FAMILIAR WITH ONE OF THE
8	JURORS.
9	THE COURT: OKAY. WHICH JUROR?
10	MS. CONRAD: I DON'T KNOW THE NUMBER.
11	THE COURT: OKAY. SO WHY DO NOT YOU ASK
12	HER SO WE CAN SEE WHO WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.
13	MS. CONRAD: I CAN GIVE YOU THE
14	INFORMATION SHE PROVIDED?
15	THE COURT: HOW WELL DOES SHE KNOW THIS
16	PERSON.
17	MS. CONRAD: MS. RUNKLE IS THE HR
18	MANAGER FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AT THE
19	UNIVERSITY. SHE BELIEVES THAT THIS JUROR IS AN
20	EMPLOYEE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. SHE
21	BELIEVES THEY MAY HAVE HAD AT LEAST ONE INSTANCE
22	IN WHICH THERE WAS AN MEETING RELATED TO THIS
23	JUROR.
24	THE COURT: SHE MET WITH THE JUROR OR
25	SOMEONE ELSE?

1	MS. CONRAD: I BELIEVE IT WAS WITH THE
2	JUROR.
3	MR. STROKOFF: AND I HAVE A RECOLLECTION
4	BUT I CAN GO CHECK MY NOTES THAT THERE IS AN HR
5	PERSON FROM THERE AND I THINK SHE IDENTIFIED SHE
6	DID KNOW ERIKKA RUNKLE.
7	MS. CONRAD: I DON'T RECALL THAT.
8	MR. STROKOFF: BUT WE DO NOT HAVE A
9	QUESTIONNAIRE.
10	MS. CONRAD: I DON'T RECALL THAT. I
11	REMEMBER HER SAYING SHE IS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF
12	AGRICULTURE BUT I DON'T BELIEVE SHE CIRCLED
13	THE COURT: WHICH JUROR?
14	MR. MORRISON: JUROR NUMBER 5.
15	THE COURT: FIRST ROW.
16	MR. MORRISON: BACK ROW NEXT TO THE IN
17	BLACK SHIRT WITH A BLACK BARRETT ON.
18	THE COURT: OKAY.
19	MS. CONRAD: WE JUST LEARNED THIS.
20	THE COURT: YOU DID THE RIGHT THING. SO
21	I THINK WE HAVE TO VOIR DIRE THE JUROR. WHERE IS
22	MR. FLEMING?
23	MR. FLEMING: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. I
24	WAS MAKING SOME COPIES.
25	MS. CONRAD: THERE IS AN ISSUE WITH A

1	JUROR.
2	THE COURT: IS THERE A ROOM FOR JURORS
3	WHERE WE CAN PULL THE JUROR OUT TO ASK QUESTIONS
4	OF THEM?
5	MR. FLEMING: IN THERE. YES.
6	THE COURT: WE PUT JURORS IN THE JURY
7	ROOM. IS THERE ANOTHER ROOM?
8	MR. FLEMING: IT'S ALL RECONFIGURED
9	REALLY RECENTLY. I CAN CHECK. DO YOU WANT ME TO
10	LOOK?
11	MS. CONRAD: CAN WE GO BACK TO WHERE WE
12	MET YESTERDAY IN THAT OFFICE AREA?
13	THE COURT: UPSTAIRS?
14	MR. FLEMING: WE CAN GO RIGHT OUT THERE
15	IN THE HALLWAY IF YOU DO NOT MIND.
16	THE COURT: I DON'T WANT TO DO IT OUT IN
17	THE OPEN. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THAT ROOM THAT
18	YOU THINK WORKS.
19	THE COURT: WERE YOU FOLKS GIVEN A LIST
20	OF THE JURORS?
21	MR. MORRISON: COURT ADMINISTRATOR GOT
22	THAT.
23	MR. FLEMING: THERE IS NOT JUDGE.
24	MR. STROKOFF: YOUR HONOR, I CAN CHECK
25	TO SEE IF I HAVE ONE.

1	THE COURT: YEAH. BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW
2	WHO NUMBER FIVE IS.
3	(WHEREUPON, A DISCUSSION WAS HELD OFF
4	THE RECORD.)
5	(WHEREUPON, JUROR NUMBER 5 WAS
6	QUESTIONED IN A SEPARATE ROOM AS
7	FOLLOWS:)
8	THE COURT: WE RECALLED THAT WHEN YOU
9	WERE ANSWERING YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE AS A JUROR THAT
10	YOU INDICATED THAT YOU KNEW MS. RUNKLE ALONG WITH
11	SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO WERE ON THE LIST. WE GAVE
12	YOU A LIST OF EVERYBODY.
13	JUROR NUMBER 5: YES. I REMEMBER.
14	THE COURT: AND YOU INDICATED YES, YOU
15	KNEW MS. RUNKLE, AND NOW I REALIZE SHE IS HERE I
16	AM AGAIN OUT OF ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION WHICH I
17	AM SURE EVERYBODY APPRECIATES ASKING IN YOUR
18	DEALINGS WITH MS. RUNKLE, WHATEVER DEALINGS YOU
19	HAVE HAD WITH HER IN YOUR TENURE AS SOMEONE AT
20	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY IS THERE
21	ANYTHING IN THAT RELATIONSHIP THAT WOULD INFER
22	WITH YOUR ABILITY TO FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY
23	LISTEN TO WHAT SHE HAS TO SAY?
24	JUROR NUMBER 5: NOT AT ALL.
25	THE COURT: OKAY. FINE. THANK YOU.

1	LET'S GO.
2	(WHEREUPON, COURT ADJOURNED IN THE
3	COURTROOM.)
4	THE COURT: YOU FOLKS CAN GO AHEAD AND
5	BE SEATED. I JUST WANTED TO GIVE MS. PYLE A
6	HEAD'S UP SO SHE DIDN'T FAINT ON FRIDAY THAT SHE
7	WAS RANDOMLY SELECTED TO BUY LUNCH. SO I WANTED
8	HER TO KNOW THAT IN ADVANCE.
9	CALL THE WITNESS.
10	MR. STROKOFF: ERIKKA RUNKLE PLEASE,
11	YOUR HONOR.
12	WHEREUPON,
13	ERIKKA RUNKLE
14	WAS CALLED AS A WITNESS AND HAVING BEEN DULY
15	SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
16	THE COURT: PLEASE SIT UP TO THE
17	MICROPHONE.
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. STROKOFF:
20	Q. PLEASE STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR FULL
21	NAME.
22	A. ERIKKA DEVELLE (PH.) RUNKLE.
23	Q. AND COULD YOU SPELL YOUR FIRST NAME AND
24	LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD COURT REPORTER?
25	A. SURE. E-R-I-K-K-A, LAST NAME

1	R-U-N-K-L-E.	
2	Q. AND YOUR OCCUPATION, MS. RUNKLE?	
3	A. I AM HUMAN RESOURCES STRATEGIC PARTNER	
4	FOR THE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES.	
5	Q. AND THAT'S FOR PENNSYLVANIA STATE	
6	UNIVERSITY?	
7	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
8	Q. AND FOR HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN EMPLOYED	
9	BY THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY?	
10	A. I STARTED WITH PENN STATE APRIL OF 2008.	
11	Q. AND WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?	
12	A. I HAVE A MASTER'S FROM ROBERT MORRIS	
13	UNIVERSITY AND AN UNDERGRADUATE FROM PENNSYLVANIA	
14	STATE UNIVERSITY AND HIGH SCHOOL.	
15	Q. WHAT IS YOUR MASTER'S DEGREE IN?	
16	A. MY MASTER'S DEGREE IS INSTRUCTIONAL	
17	LEADERSHIP.	
18	Q. AND YOUR BA?	
19	A. LABOR RELATIONS.	
20	Q. WHEN YOU FIRST CAME IN 2008 PRECISELY	
21	WHERE WERE YOU EMPLOYED?	
22	A. I WAS EMPLOYED IN THE ATHLETIC	
23	DEPARTMENT.	
24	Q. AND DID YOU HAVE A TITLE AT THAT TIME?	
25	A. YES. HR MANAGER.	

1	Q. SO YOU CAME IN AS HR MANAGER?
2	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
3	Q. AND HOW LONG DID YOU REMAIN HR MANAGER
4	IN THE ATHLETIC DEPARTMENT?
5	A. I STAYED WITH ATHLETICS UNTIL ABOUT
6	FEBRUARY OF 2013.
7	Q. COULD YOU TELL US WHAT YOUR
8	RESPONSIBILITIES WERE AS THE HR MANAGER FOR THE
9	ATHLETIC DEPARTMENT IN NOVEMBER OF 2011?
10	A. MY DUTIES WOULD NOT HAVE CHANGED IN 2011
11	OR 2008. I HELPED WITH PERSONNEL PROBLEMS,
12	EMPLOYEE RELATIONS, LABOR RELATIONS, PERFORMANCE
13	MANAGEMENT, STRATEGIC PLANNING, THINGS OF THAT
14	NATURE.
15	Q. AND DID YOU HAVE ANY EMPLOYEES WHO YOU
16	WERE SUPERVISING AT THAT TIME?
17	A. I DID.
18	Q. GOING TO NOVEMBER OF 2011 ABOUT HOW MANY
19	SUPERVISORS DID YOU EMPLOY?
20	A. I HAD TWO EMPLOYEE THAT DIRECTLY
21	REPORTED TO ME.
22	Q. WHAT WERE THEIR TITLES? I DON'T NEED
23	NAMES.
24	A. HUMAN RESOURCES ASSISTANT.
25	Q. HUMAN RESOURCES ASSISTANT?

1	A. ASSISTANT. UH-HUH.
2	Q. AND IN NOVEMBER OF 2011 TO WHOM DID YOU
3	DIRECTLY REPORT?
4	A. IN 2011 I WOULD HAVE DIRECTLY REPORTED
5	TO TIM CURLEY AS AD AND I WOULD HAVE HAD A DOTTED
6	LINE TO I BELIEVE SUSAN BASSOW (PH.) AT THAT
7	TIME.
8	Q. SUSAN BASSOW?
9	A. YES.
10	Q. WHEN YOU SAY DOTTED LINE WHAT DO YOU
11	MEAN BY THAT?
12	A. I HAD A DUAL REPORTING RELATIONSHIP.
13	Q. AND MR. CURLEY WAS ATHLETIC DIRECTOR.
1.4	WHO WAS SUSAN BASSOW?
15	A. SHE WAS ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT FOR
16	HUMAN RESOURCES.
17	Q. THERE WILL BE OTHER HUMAN RESOURCE
18	MANAGERS WHO WILL BE REPORTING TO HER AS WELL?
19	A. YES. THAT'S CORRECT.
20	Q. ABOUT HOW MANY EMPLOYEES WERE THERE IN
21	THE ATHLETIC DEPARTMENT IN NOVEMBER 2011?
22	A. I WOULD SAY ABOUT TWO HUNDRED PEOPLE WHO
23	WERE ACTUALLY ATHLETIC EMPLOYEES. THERE WERE A
24	HANDFUL THAT WERE DONOR RELATIONS BUT WE KIND OF
25	KEPT THEM ALL IN THE REALM OF ATHLETICS BUT THEY

1	WEREN'T ATHLETIC EMPLOYEES.
2	Q. PRIOR TO NOVEMBER 5, 2011, DID YOU HAVE
3	ANY INDICATIONS THAT THERE WAS AN INVESTIGATION
4	BY THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL THAT
5	INVOLVED INTERVIEWING PENN STATE EMPLOYEES?
6	A. I CAN REMEMBER A NEWS ARTICLE COMING OUT
7	THAT ELUDED TO SOME ALLEGATIONS BUT I DON'T KNOW
8	THAT I KNEW THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
9	WAS INVOLVED.
10	Q. YOU HAVE NO RECOLLECTION THAT SOME
11	ATTORNEY GENERAL INVESTIGATORS SHOWED UP AT THE
12	LASCH BUILDING OR BRYCE JORDAN BUILDING TO
13	INTERVIEW PEOPLE?
14	A. I WAS NEVER INTERVIEWED OR DO I RECALL
15	HAVING ANYBODY TELL ME THEY WERE INTERVIEWED.
16	Q. OKAY. I AM HAVING A LITTLE DIFFICULTY
17	HEARING YOU SO I DON'T KNOW IF YOUR MIKE IS ON OR
18	NOT.
19	THE COURT: APPEARS TO BE ON.
20	YOU HAVE TO STAY IN FRONT OF IT.
21	MR. STROKOFF: THAT'S MY FAULT. I
22	APOLOGIZE.
23	BY MR. STROKOFF:
24	Q. NOVEMBER 5, 2011?
25	A. YES.

1	Q. YOU HEARD SOMETHING ON THE NEWS?
2	A. I DID.
3	Q. AND WHAT WAS THAT?
4	A. I HEARD THAT TIM CURLEY WAS BEING
5	INDITED ON CHARGES.
6	Q. THAT IS YOUR BOSS?
7	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
8	Q. WAS THAT ON THE TV? WAS THAT ON THE
9	RADIO?
10	A. IT WAS EVERYWHERE.
1.1	Q. YOU MEAN IT WAS ON THE TV AND RADIO?
12	A. YES.
13	Q. WHERE WERE YOU WHEN YOU HEARD THIS?
14	A. I BELIEVE THAT WAS THE FIRST AWAY
15	FOOTBALL GAME SO EVERYBODY KIND OF LEFT PENN
16	STATE WHO WAS INVOLVED WITH FOOTBALL. SO I WAS
17	IN OUTSIDE OF PHILADELPHIA AT MY PARENTS'
18	HOUSE.
19	Q. OKAY. SO YOU HEARD THIS ON THE TV OR
20	THE RADIO IN PHILADELPHIA?
21	A. ACTUALLY, I WAS COMING IN FROM DOING
22	SOME ERRANDS AND A COWORKER HAD CALLED ME,
23	CONTACTED ME, AND SAID ARE YOU WATCHING TV, AND
24	AND I SAID NO, WHAT'S UP, AND SHE SAID GO TURN ON
25	THE TV, AND I TURNED IT ON AND SAW WHAT SHE WAS

1	REFERRING TO.
2	Q. AFTER YOU SAW THIS ON TV AND HEARD IT ON
3	THE RADIO DID YOU HAVE ANY OTHER DISCUSSIONS WITH
4	YOUR COLLEAGUES THAT DAY?
5	A. YES. I DID.
6	Q. AND SPECIFICALLY TO WHOM DID YOU CONTACT
7	ABOUT THIS BREAKING NEWS?
8	A. SO MY FIRST PHONE CALL WAS TO TIM CURLEY
9	TO FIGURE OUT WHAT WAS GOING ON AND I COULD NOT
10	REACH HIM SO MY SECOND CALL WAS TO MARK SHERBURNE
11	WHO WAS WORKING IN THE ATHLETIC DEPARTMENT AND HE
12	HAD NOT HEARD THE NEWS EITHER. SO WE DECIDED WE
13	NEEDED TO CONTACT THE REST OF THE ADMINISTRATION
14	GROUP TO FIGURE OUT WHAT WAS GOING ON.
15	Q. ADMINISTRATION GROUP BEING WHAT?
16	A. THERE IS AN EXECUTIVE GROUP OF
17	INDIVIDUALS WHO HOLD AN ATHLETIC DIRECTOR TITLE
18	WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR DIFFERENT AREAS OF
19	ATHLETICS.
20	Q. OKAY. SO THEN WHAT HAPPENED?
21	A. SO AS WE WERE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT
22	WAS GOING ON TIM REACHED OUT TO ME AND I HAD A
23	DISCUSSION WITH HIM.
24	Q. AND WHAT DID THE TWO OF YOU DISCUSS?
25	A HE APOLOGIZED FOR ME FINDING OUT THE

1	INFORMATION THE WAY THAT I DID AND HE TOLD ME AT
2	THAT TIME HE WAS PLANNING ON STAYING ACTIVE WITH
3	WITH PENN STATE AND AT THAT POINT IT WAS OKAY.
4	YOU KNOW, AND WE HUNG UP. IT WASN'T A VERY LONG
5	CONVERSATION.
6	Q. DID YOU REPORT THAT PIECE OF INFORMATION
7	TO YOUR OTHER COLLEAGUES?
8	A. I DID AT THE TIME.
9	Q. ALL RIGHT. DID YOUR DEPARTMENT DO
LO	ANYTHING THAT DAY?
L1	A. LATER THAT DAY AN ANNOUNCEMENT CAME OUT
L2	THAT TIM WAS GOING TO BE PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE
L3	LEAVE.
L 4	Q. AN ANNOUNCEMENT FROM WHO?
15	A. IT WAS ON THE TV. I SAW PARTS OF IT ON
16	THE TV AND AT THAT POINT THE ADMINISTRATION GROUP
17	DECIDED WE NEEDED TO GET TOGETHER TO FIGURE OUT
18	WHAT WAS GOING ON.
19	Q. OKAY. DID YOU GET TOGETHER?
20	A. YES. WE DID.
21	Q. AND WHEN DID YOU GET TOGETHER?
22	A. LIKE I SAID, EVERYBODY WAS OUT OF TOWN.
23	SO THERE WAS A MEETING HELD ON SUNDAY, THAT
24	SUNDAY I GUESS THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE 6TH
25	TO DISCUSS IF ANYBODY KNEW ANYTHING, WHAT WAS

1	GOING ON, HOW WE WERE GOING TO PROCEED WITH
2	ATHLETICS.
3	Q. DID YOU MEET IN YOUR REGULAR OFFICES
4	THAT SUNDAY?
5	A. SOME PEOPLE DID. SOME PEOPLE CALLED IN.
6	I ELECTED TO CALL IN BECAUSE I WAS IN
7	PHILADELPHIA.
8	Q. OKAY. SO YOU WEREN'T AWARE EXACTLY WHAT
9	WAS HAPPENING ON THE CAMPUS THAT DAY?
10	A. NO.
11	Q. SO YOU HAD YOUR MEETING, IS THAT
12	CORRECT?
13	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
14	Q. AND WHAT WAS DISCUSSED AT THE MEETING?
15	A. DID ANYBODY KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON. DID
16	ANYBODY HAVE CONTACT WITH TIM. YOU KNOW, WE WERE
17	JUST WE WERE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE WERE
18	GOING TO MAINTAIN ATHLETICS. THERE WERE STUDENTS
19	ON THE ROAD TRAVELING. THERE WERE TEAMS OUT AND
20	ABOUT. WE WERE TRYING GET LOGISTICS OF WHERE
21	EVERYBODY WAS TO MAKE SURE, NUMBER ONE, THEY ALL
22	GOT THE INFORMATION, AND, NUMBER TWO, FIGURE OUT
23	THE GAME PLAN OF HOW WE WERE GOING TO MOVE
24	FORWARD.
25	Q. ONCE YOU FOUND OUT THAT MR. CURLEY WAS

1	NOT GOING TO BE THE BOSS WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION
2	ABOUT WHO WAS TEMPORARILY IN CHARGE?
3	A. YES. THE NEXT MORNING IT WAS A MONDAY
4	WE WERE ALL BACK IN AND AT THAT TIME WE WERE
5	NOTIFIED THAT MARK SHERBURNE WAS GOING TO BE THE
6	INTERIM AD.
7	Q. NOW WERE YOU STILL IN PHILADELPHIA ON
8	SUNDAY?
9	A. ON SUNDAY I CAME BACK AT SOME POINT
10	ON SUNDAY BECAUSE I WAS AT WORK ON MONDAY.
11	Q. WERE YOU WATCHING TV ON SUNDAY?
12	A. YES.
13	Q. AND YOU WERE WATCHING TV ON SATURDAY
14	FOLLOWING THIS BREAKING STORY?
15	A. YES.
16	Q. AND WHO ADVISED YOU THAT MARK SHERBURNE
17	WAS GOING TO BE ACTING ATHLETIC DIRECTOR?
18	A. MARK DID.
19	Q. OKAY. WERE YOU IN THE OFFICE AT ALL ON
20	SUNDAY?
21	A. NO.
22	Q. DO YOU KNOW IF THE ATHLETIC DEPARTMENT
23	WAS GETTING REQUESTS FROM THE MEDIA ON SUNDAY?
24	A. ON SUNDAY I WOULD NOT HAVE I DON'T
25	RECALL THAT BEING ON SUNDAY BECAUSE I WASN'T ON

1	CAMPUS.
2	Q. WHEN YOU ARRIVED AT THE OFFICE MONDAY
3	MORNING
4	A. YES.
5	Q WERE THERE ANY MESSAGES ON YOUR
6	VOICEMAIL FROM THE WEEKEND?
7	A. YES. OUR MAILBOXES WERE OVERFULL SO
8	NOBODY WAS ABLE TO LEAVE ANYMORE MESSAGES.
9	Q. WHEN YOU SAY OUR MAILBOXES?
LO	A. ATHLETICS.
11	Q. YOURS BUT WHO ELSE'S?
12	A. THE AD OFFICE, LASCH BUILDING, SO WHERE
13	FOOTBALL RESIDES, OTHER AREAS THAT WERE
14	ATHLETICS.
15	Q. AND WERE ANY OF THESE MESSAGES FROM THE
16	MEDIA THAT HAD ACCUMULATED ON THE VOICEMAIL?
17	A. THERE WERE MESSAGES FROM EVERYBODY.
18	Q. WELL WITHOUT QUARRELLING WITH THAT WERE
19	THERE MESSAGES FROM THE MEDIA SEEKING
20	INFORMATION?
21	A. I KNEW AT ONE TIME TMZ HAD CONTACTED. I
22	KNOW AT ONE POINT OPRAH WINFREY GROUP HAD
23	CONTACTED BUT I DON'T RECALL SPECIFICALLY OTHER
24	MEDIA OUTLETS.
25	Q. MA'AM, THERE IS A LOOSE LEAF BOOK UP

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	THERE THAT SAYS WITNESS EXHIBIT BOOK.
2	THE COURT: IT'S THE ONE ON THE FAR
3	RIGHT.
4	THE WITNESS: YES. 79 OR JUST
5	MR. STROKOFF: NO. NOT 79. I DON'T
6	THINK THAT'S IT EITHER, YOUR HONOR. MINE DOES
7	NOT HAVE A BREAK IN IT.
8	THE COURT: I BELIEVE THAT'S THE CORRECT
9	ONE, COUNSEL.
10	TRY THIS ONE HERE.
11	THE WITNESS: THIS ONE?
12	THE COURT: NO. THIS ONE.
13	WHAT EXHIBIT DO YOU WANT HER TO LOOK AT?
14	THEN WE WILL KNOW.
15	MR. STROKOFF: LOOKING FOR PLAINTIFF'S
16	EXHIBIT 38.
17	THE COURT: TAB 38.
18	THE WITNESS: THE LIVE ARTICLE?
19	BY MR. STROKOFF:
20	Q. PARDON ME?
21	A. THE LIVE ARTICLE?
22	Q. YES. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THAT
23	STATEMENT?
24	A. I AM.
25	Q. CAN YOU TELL US WHEN IT WAS THAT YOU

1	FIRST BECAME AWARE OF THAT STATEMENT?
2	A. I BELIEVE THAT WHEN I WAS WATCHING TV
3	THIS IS ONE OF THE STATEMENTS THAT CAME UP THAT
4	THE PRESS WAS ELUDING TO.
5	Q. AND DO YOU RECALL IF THAT WAS ESPN?
6	A. I WAS WATCHING MANY OUTLETS BUT ESPN WAS
7	MY MAIN TV CHOICE AT THAT TIME.
8	Q. AND YOU SAW THAT STATEMENT ON ESPN
9	SATURDAY?
10	A. I SAW I AM NOT SURE IF THIS WAS LOCAL
11	NEWS OR IF IT WAS ESPN BUT I DID SEE THIS ON THE
12	NEWS STATION.
13	Q. ON SATURDAY THE 5TH?
14	A. I CAN'T REMEMBER IF IT WAS SATURDAY OR
15	SUNDAY.
16	Q. OKAY. AND DID THEY READ THE STATEMENT
17	ON THE NEWS?
18	A. THEY READ PORTIONS OF IT.
19	Q. OKAY. DID THEY READ THE PORTION THAT
20	SAID I AM CONFIDENT THE RECORD WILL SHOW THAT
21	THESE CHARGES ARE GROUNDLESS AND THAT THEY
22	CONDUCTED THEMSELVES PROFESSIONALLY AND
23	APPROPRIATELY?
24	MS. CONRAD: OBJECTION AS TO HEARSAY.
25	IT'S AN OUT OF COURT STATEMENT. IT'S HEARSAY

1	WITHIN HEARSAY.
2	MR. STROKOFF: WE ARE TALKING ABOUT
3	PUBLICITY OF A DEFAMATORY STATEMENT, YOUR HONOR.
4	MS. CONRAD: AND, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE
5	ADDRESSED PUBLICITY RELATING TO THE DAMAGES THAT
6	ARE CLAIMED TO SUCH A STATEMENT.
7	THE COURT: MA'AM, YOU HEARD THE
8	ARTICLE?
9	THE WITNESS: I SAW THE ARTICLE ON THE
10	NEWS.
11	THE COURT: OKAY. ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.
12	THERE IS AN EASIER WAY TO DO IT.
13	DID YOU HAVE A REACTION TO THE ARTICLE?
1.4	THE WITNESS: NO.
15	THE COURT: PUT THE WHITE NOISE ON AND
16	COME UP HERE FOR SECOND.
17	(WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION
18	OCCURRED AT SIDE BAR:)
19	THE COURT: WHAT IS THE ISSUE ABOUT THE
20	PUBLICATIONS BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHY YOU ARE
21	FIGHTING SO HARD AGAINST IT. ON THE DEFAMATION
22	COUNT ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER IS GOING TO PREVAIL.
23	YOUR SIDE IS STILL IN SUMMATION. YOU ARE GOING
24	TO ARGUE THAT HE WAS DEFAMED AND DEFAMED AS A
25	LIAR BY THOSE COMMENTS AND THAT'S WHY HE SUFFERED

1	THE HARM HE DID BASED ON THE ARTICLES THAT ARE
2	OUT THERE. SHE IS GOING TO ARGUE THAT THE
3	ARTICLES OUT THERE ARE BECAUSE HE DIDN'T DO WHAT
4	A MAN SHOULD DO IN CIRCUMSTANCES AND THEY DIDN'T
5	DEFAME HIM, BUT THE VERY SAME ARTICLES PROVE THE
6	MISREPRESENTATION CLAIM THAT HE HAD SAYING THAT
7	MY REPUTATION IS RUINED BECAUSE EVERYBODY THINKS
8	I DIDN'T DO THE RIGHT THING. SO I DON'T KNOW WHY
9	YOUR FIGHTING TO KEEP A SINGLE PIECE OUT.
10	MR. STROKOFF: I AM SORRY.
11	THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW WHY YOU ARE
12	FIGHTING TO KEEP THIS OUT WHEN YOU ARE PUTTING UP
13	ANY ONE SHE WANTS BECAUSE ITS GOING TO BE HIM IN
14	HIS REPUTATION IF CURL AND /SH*UL HAD ACT
15	APPROPRIATELY HE WOULD NOT BE IN THIS PART
16	MR. STROKOFF: I DISAGREE, YOUR HONOR,
17	BUT I AM TRYING TO GET THIS ONE IN THAT'S ALREADY
18	IN. THAT IS WHY IT IS READ OR PUBLIC ESTABLISHED
19	ON NATIONAL TV.
20	THE COURT: AND SO SHOULD YOU AVOID THE
21	ISSUE OF HAVING YOUR CLIENT SAY SAME THING
22	MR. STROKOFF: OKAY.
23	THE COURT: I THINK IF YOU ARE THINKING
24	ON THAT SAFETY SAKE PUT IT ALL IN WITHOUT
25	OBJECTIONS.

1	MR. STROKOFF: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
2	MS. CONRAD: THANK YOU, SIR.
3	(END OF SIDE BAR.)
4	BY MR. STROKOFF:
5	Q. MA'AM, DO YOU RECALL WHEN IT WAS THAT
6	YOU FOUND OUT THAT MIKE MCQUEARY WAS THE GRADUATE
7	ASSISTANT MENTIONED IN THE PRESENTMENT?
8	A. IT WAS AFTER COACH PATERNO HAD BEEN
9	REMOVED FROM HIS POSITION AND IT WAS BEFORE THE
10	FOOTBALL GAME ON SATURDAY.
11	Q. YOU SAID YOUR VOICEMAIL WAS OVERLY?
12	A. YES.
13	Q. WHAT ABOUT YOUR E-MAIL?
14	A. MY E-MAIL MY E-MAIL WAS KIND OF THE
15	GATHERING PLACE WHEN WE STARTED REALIZING THAT
16	OTHERS IN ATHLETIC WERE GETTING VILE E-MAILS FROM
17	THE PUBLIC. WE STARTED CATALOGING ALL OF THE
18	E-MAILS SO PEOPLE WERE SENDING E-MAILS TO ME THAT
19	THEY HAD HAD IN THEIR WORK UNITS. SO I DID HAVE
20	E-MAILS.
21	Q. AND WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THEM?
22	A. WE CATEGORIZED THEM AND WE PROVIDED THEM
23	TO THE POLICE.
24	Q. YOU PROVIDED THEM TO?
25	A. ON CAMPUS POLICE.

1	Q. PENN STATE POLICE?
2	A. CORRECT.
3	Q. WHEN DID YOU DO THAT?
4	A. THERE WAS A FLURRY OF E-MAIL ACTIVITY
5	AND THEN IT KIND OF TAPERED DOWN. SO EVERY NOW
6	AND THEN WE WOULD COMPILE IT BECAUSE WE HAD A
7	BINDER OF THEM AND WE WOULD SEND THEM DOWN TO
8	MAKE SURE THERE WAS NO CRIME OF THREATS OR
9	Q. DID YOU SAY ANYTHING TO THE POLICE ON
10	MONDAY THE 7TH?
11	A. I DON'T RECALL WE DID SO.
12	Q. WELL DID YOU SEND ANY THE DAY AFTER
13	COACH PATERNO WAS FIRED?
14	A. I DON'T RECALL WHEN WE ACTUALLY SENT
15	THEM. I CAN TELL YOU THAT THERE WAS AN INCIDENT
16	IN THE TICKET OFFICE WHERE WE THOUGHT IT WAS A
17	BOMB THREAT AND THAT IS WHAT SPURRED US TO MAKE
18	SURE WE WERE DILIGENTLY CAPTURING THE INFORMATION
19	AND PROVIDING IT TO THE PEOPLE NEEDED.
20	Q. AND WHAT WAS THIS INCIDENT THAT PEOPLE
21	SAID WAS THE BOMB THREAT?
22	A. THERE WAS A BOX THAT WAS SENT AND WHEN
23	THE DELIVERY SERVICE SENT IT THERE WAS LOOSE
24	POWDER THAT CAME OUT OF IT AND WE WEREN'T EXACTLY
25	SURE WHAT THE CONTENTS WERE IN IT SO WE HAD TO

1	CALL POLICE TO FIGURE OUT WHAT WAS IN IT.
2	Q. WHEN WAS THAT, MA'AM?
3	A. I DON'T RECALL.
4	Q. BEFORE OR AFTER COACH PATERNO WAS
5	TERMINATED?
6	A. I DON'T RECALL.
7	Q. WAS THERE AN INCREASE IN COMMUNICATIONS
8	TO YOUR DEPARTMENT AFTER COACH PATERNO WAS
9	TERMINATED?
10	A. YES. PEOPLE WERE VERY IT WAS 50/50
11	SPLIT. PEOPLE WERE VERY ANGRY THAT COACH PATERNO
12	WAS REMOVED AND THEN THERE WERE PEOPLE THAT WERE
13	GRATEFUL TO THE UNIVERSITY FOR REMOVING COACH
14	PATERNO.
15	Q. CAN WE GO TO WEDNESDAY NIGHT, NOVEMBER
16	THE 9TH? YOU WERE IN TOWN THAT NIGHT?
17	A. I WAS IN TOWN. YES.
18	Q. DO YOU RECALL ANY PUBLIC DEMONSTRATIONS
19	WHEN THE NEWS GOT OUT THAT COACH PATERNO HAD BEEN
20	TERMINATED?
21	A. YES.
22	Q. AND WHAT DO YOU RECALL?
23	A. I RECALL THERE BEING A VIGILANT.
2.4	STUDENTS WENT TO HIS PROPERTY AND BASICALLY HAD A
25	VIGILANCE AND HE CAME OUT AND ADDRESSED THE

1	GROUP.
2	Q. NOW MIKE MCQUEARY'S PERSONNEL FILE WOULD
3	HAVE BEEN SITUATED IN YOUR DEPARTMENT?
4	A. YES.
5	Q. AND EXACTLY WHERE WOULD IT HAVE BEEN?
6	A. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IN MY OFFICE IN MY
7	OFFICE'S WE HAD A HR SUITE. SO HIS PERSONNEL
8	FILE WOULD BE ALPHABETICALLY WITH THE REST OF THE
9	EMPLOYEES.
10	Q. AND WOULD HIS FILE HAVE BEEN IN A SECURE
11	FILING SPOT?
12	A. YES.
13	Q. WHAT WAS THE SECURITY IN PLACE TO
14	PROHIBIT OTHER PEOPLE FROM COMING IN AND LOOKING
15	AT THE FILE?
16	A. SURE. IT WAS LOCKED AND THEN THE KEYS
17	WERE LOCKED IN ANOTHER FILING CABINET.
18	Q. AND THESE ARE ALL OF THE PERSONNEL
19	FILES WOULD BE UNDER LOCK AND KEY?
20	A. THAT IS CORRECT. AND THEN THE DOOR
21	WOULD BE LOCKED ON TOP OF THAT AND THE SUITE
22	WOULD BE LOCKED.
23	Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAD ANYBODY ACCESSED
24	MIKE MCQUEARY'S PERSONNEL FILE FROM NOVEMBER 3,
25	2011, THROUGH NOVEMBER 15, 2011?

1	A. NOT THAT I CAN RECALL.
2	Q. DID YOU LOOK AT MIKE MCQUEARY'S
3	PERSONNEL FILE AT ANY TIME FROM NOVEMBER 3, 2011,
4	TO NOVEMBER 15, 2011?
5	A. NO. I DON'T KNOW WHY I WOULD SEE THE
6	NEED TO LOOK AT HIS FILE. I DON'T RECALL BUT I
7	DON'T BELIEVE I DID.
8	Q. DO YOU RECALL THERE BEING ANY CHANGE IN
9	THE TELEPHONE CALLS AND E-MAILS THAT WERE COMING
10	INTO YOUR OFFICE AFTER TOM BRADLEY ANNOUNCED THAT
11	MIKE MCQUEARY WOULD COACH IN THE NEBRASKA GAME?
12	HE SAID THIS ON THURSDAY?
13	A. SURE. SO NOT IN MY OFFICE BUT I KNOW
14	THERE WAS UPTAKE IN PHONE MESSAGES IN LASCH AND
15	OTHER AREAS SUCH AS THE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR'S AREA.
16	Q. WHAT KIND OF UPTAKE?
17	A. THEY WERE DIRECTED AT MIKE AND DIRECTED
18	AT THE THEY WERE DIRECTED AT THE FACT THAT HE
19	WAS GOING TO BE ALLOWED TO COACH ON SATURDAYS
20	GAME BASED OFF THE PRESS CONFERENCE WHEN COACH
21	PATERNO WAS FIRED.
22	Q. WHAT, IF ANYTHING, WAS DONE WITH THESE
23	COMMUNICATIONS THAT WERE DONE AFTER IT WAS
24	ANNOUNCED THAT MIKE MCQUEARY WOULD COACH IN THE
25	NEBRASKA GAME?

1	A. THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN FUNNELED TO MARK
2	BODENSCHATZ, WHO WAS THE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR FOR
3	BEAVER STADIUM.
4	Q. WHEN YOU SAY THEY COULD HAVE BEEN, WERE
5	THEY?
6	A. YES.
7	Q. WHO FUNNELED THEM TO HIM?
8	A. ANYBODY WHO HAD CONTENT WOULD HAVE GIVEN
9	IT TO MARK BECAUSE AT THAT POINT WE WERE
10	CONCERNED FOR SAFETY.
11	Q. WE MAY HAVE TO MANY MARKS.
12	A. MARK BODENSCHATZ. SORRY.
13	Q. SO MR. SHERBURNE WOULD HAVE BEEN
14	BYPASSED IN THESE COMMUNICATIONS WENT RIGHT TO
15	MR. BODENSCHATZ?
16	A. IT DEPENDED. I THINK PEOPLE KNEW
17	PRETTY WELL KNEW MARK BODENSCHATZ WAS IN CHARGE
18	OF THE FACILITY FOR THE GAME.
19	Q. AND THE FACILITY BEING BEAVER STADIUM?
20	A. BEAVER STADIUM. CORRECT. SO IF IT HAD
21	SOMETHING TO DO WITH THE SAFETY OF BEAVER STADIUM
22	IT MOST LIKELY WOULD HAVE WENT TO MARK
23	BODENSCHATZ. IT WOULD HAVE WENT TO MARK
24	SHERBURNE BECAUSE HE WAS ACTING AD.
25	Q. OKAY. SO THESE COMMUNICATIONS WERE

1	FUNNELED TO MR. BODENSCHATZ AND YOU DON'T KNOW
2	WHAT HAPPENED TO THEM AFTER THAT?
3	A. NO. I ASSUME THAT MARK WOULD HAVE DONE
4	WHAT HE NEEDED WITH THEM.
5	THE COURT REPORTER: CAN YOU PLEASE
6	SPELL THAT LAST NAME
7	THE WITNESS: I WILL TRY.
8	B-O-D-E-N-S-H-A-T-Z.
9	BY MR. STROKOFF:
LO	Q. THEN AT SOME POINT DID YOU BECOME AWARE
L1	ON THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 10TH, THAT MIKE MCQUEARY
L2	WAS NOT GOING TO BE COACHING IN THE NEBRASKA
L3	GAME?
L 4	A. I KNEW THAT HE WOULDN'T BE COACHING BUT
L5	I DON'T KNOW WHEN I REALIZED THAT. I WASN'T A
L 6	PART OF THAT DECISION MAKING.
L7	Q. YOU WERE NOT A PART OF
18	A. I WAS NOT.
L9	Q. OKAY. ORDINARILY WHEN THERE IS A
20	PERSONNEL DECISION INVOLVING A MEMBER OF THE
21	ATHLETIC DEPARTMENT WOULDN'T YOU BE CALLED IN FOR
22	A CONSULT?
23	A. NOT NECESSARILY.
24	Q. I DIDN'T MEAN NECESSARILY BUT I SAID
25	ORDINARILY?

1	A. IT DEPENDS ON WHAT THE PERSONNEL PROBLEM
2	WAS. IF IT IS A COACHING DECISION THAT WILL NOT
3	BE IN MY JURISDICTION.
4	Q. SO WHOSE JURISDICTION WOULD IT HAVE BEEN
5	IN?
6	A. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IN THE SUPERVISOR'S
7	JURISDICTION OR THE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR'S
8	JURISDICTION.
9	Q. AND PREVIOUSLY WHEN THERE MIGHT BE A
10	MATTER INVOLVING A COACH YOU WEREN'T CALLED IN
11	FOR ADVICE?
12	A. IT DEPENDS ON THE SITUATION.
13	Q. NOW AFTER YOU BECAME AWARE THAT HE WOULD
14	NOT BE COACHING ON SATURDAY DID YOU SUBSEQUENTLY
15	BECOME AWARE HE WAS GOING TO BE PLACED ON
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?
17	A. YES.
18	Q. AND HOW DID YOU FIND OUT ABOUT THAT?
19	A. I BELIEVE CYNTHIA BALDWIN TOLD ME.
20	Q. AND DO YOU RECALL WHEN SHE TOLD YOU?
21	A. CYNTHIA WOULD HAVE MADE A PHONE CALL TO
22	ME. I DON'T REMEMBER WHEN THE PHONE CALL WAS.
23	Q. OKAY. DID YOU HAVE ANY INPUT AT ALL
24	INTO THE DECISION TO PLACE MIKE MCQUEARY ON
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?

1	A. NO.
2	Q. DID MS. BALDWIN WHEN SHE CALLED TO TELL
3	YOU THAT MIKE WAS GOING TO BE PLACED ON
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE ASK FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE IN
5	ANYTHING?
6	A. SHE ASKED IF I WOULD BE ABLE TO BE
7	PRESENT WITH MARK SHERBURNE TO HAVE THAT
8	CONVERSATION.
9	Q. TO HAVE WHAT CONVERSATION?
10	A. TO HAVE A MEETING WITH MIKE.
11	Q. AND EXPLAIN TO HIM THE ADMINISTRATIVE
12	LEAVE?
13	A. CORRECT.
14	Q. OKAY. ASK YOUR RESPONSE TO THAT WAS?
15	A. OKAY.
16	Q. COULDN'T REALLY SAY NO, RIGHT?
17	A. DIDN'T THINK I NEEDED TO.
18	Q. OKAY. SO WHAT WAS THE NEXT STEP IN
19	ARRANGING FOR THIS MEETING?
20	A. SO THE NEXT STEP WOULD HAVE BEEN
21	CONTACTING MIKE. SO MARK SHERBURNE HAD A PHONE
22	CONVERSATION WITH MIKE ASKING IF HE COULD HAVE A
23	MEETING WITH MIKE.
24	Q. AND WHERE WERE YOU WHEN THIS PHONE
25	CONVERSATION OCCURRED?

1	A. I WAS IN MARK'S OFFICE ALONG WITH
2	CYNTHIA BALDWIN BUT WE WERE NOT ON SPEAKER PHONE.
3	WE WERE JUST IN HIS OFFICE.
4	Q. YOU WERE JUST HEARING BASICALLY ONE HALF
5	OF THE CONVERSATION?
6	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
7	Q. DO YOU RECALL HEARING MARK SAY TO MIKE
8	OVER THE PHONE THAT YOU WOULD NOT NEED A LAWYER
9	FOR THIS MEETING?
10	A. I VAGUELY REMEMBER SOMETHING TO THAT
11	NATURE.
12	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN THE MEETING WAS
13	HELD?
14	A. THE MEETING WAS HELD ON SUNDAY IN THE
15	EVENING.
16	Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHERE IT WAS HELD?
17	A. IT WAS HELD IN MARK'S OFFICE, MARK
18	SHERBURNE'S OFFICE.
19	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT DR. ERICKSON HELD A
20	PRESS CONFERENCE AT 4:00 P.M. FRIDAY ANNOUNCING
21	THAT MIKE WAS BEING PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE
22	LEAVE?
23	A. HONESTLY AT THIS POINT I DON'T REMEMBER
24	THAT.
25	Q. WERE YOU AT THAT PRESS CONFERENCE?

1	Α.	I WAS NOT.
2	Q.	DID YOU ATTEND THE MEETING ON SUNDAY,
3	NOVEMBER	13, 2011, IN MARK'S OFFICE?
4	Α.	I DID.
5	Q.	DID YOU DO ANYTHING IN PREPARATION FOR
6	THE MEETI	NG?
7	Α.	I BELIEVE THAT MARK, CYNTHIA, AND I MET
8	TO DISCUS	S BASICALLY WHAT WAS GOING TO BE SAID.
9	Q.	OKAY. WHEN WAS THAT MEETING?
10	Α.	I THINK IT WAS FRIDAY AFTER MARK HAD
11	SPOKEN WI	TH MIKE.
12	Q.	AND WHERE WERE THAT MEETING?
13	Α.	IT WAS IN MARK SHERBURNE'S OFFICE.
14	Q.	AND WHAT WAS DISCUSSED WAS GOING TO BE
15	SAID AT T	HE MEETING?
16	Α.	JUST, YOU KNOW, THAT DUE TO SAFETY
17	CONCERNS	WE WERE PLANNING ON PLACING MIKE ON
18	LEAVE, ON	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE.
19	Q.	OKAY. WAS THAT A VERY SHORT MEETING?
20	Α.	I DON'T RECALL IT BEING TOO LONG.
21	Q.	OKAY. CAN YOU TURN PLEASE TO
22	PLAINTIFF	'S EXHIBIT 47.
23	А.	SURE.
24	Q.	CAN YOU IDENTIFY THAT DOCUMENT?
25	Α.	YES.

1	Q. WHAT IS IT?
2	A. THESE ARE THE TALKING POINTS THAT MARK
3	USED IN THAT MEETING.
4	Q. OKAY. YOU USE THE TERM TALKING POINTS.
5	WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?
6	A. I BELIEVE HE USED THEM BASICALLY TO
7	PLACE MIKE ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE.
8	Q. DID HE READ THIS STATEMENT WORD FOR
9	WORD?
10	A. PRETTY MUCH.
11	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ANY DEVIATIONS FROM THIS
12	STATEMENT THAT HE MADE?
13	A. NO. I MEAN, I THINK HE PRETTY MUCH READ
14	THIS WORD FOR WORD. I THINK THERE WAS SOME
15	CONVERSATION AT THE END OF THE MEETING.
16	Q. WE WILL GET THERE. THE MEETING STARTED
17	OFF WHERE HE READ PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 47 PRETTY
18	MUCH WORD FOR WORD?
19	A. YEAH.
20	Q. AND, INDEED, DID YOU HAVE A COPY OF THIS
21	WHILE MARK SHERBURNE WAS READING?
22	A. YES.
23	Q. DID MS. BALDWIN HAVE A COPY OF THIS
24	WHILE MARK SHERBURNE WAS READING?
25	A. YES.

1	Q. DID MIKE MCQUEARY HAVE A COPY WHEN MARK
2	SHERBURNE WAS READING?
3	A. I DON'T KNOW IF HE HAD A COPY WHEN HE
4	WAS READING IT. I DO REMEMBER THEM PROVIDING HIM
5	A COPY.
6	Q. SO YOU REMEMBER HE AT LEAST GOT A COPY
7	AFTER IT WAS READ?
8	A. YES. THAT IS CORRECT.
9	Q. WELL AFTER MARK READ THIS DOCUMENT YOU
10	SAID THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION?
11	A. YES.
12	Q. WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER ABOUT THAT
13	DISCUSSION?
14	A. I REMEMBER MIKE SAYING THAT HE HAD A
15	STRONG DESIRE TO COACH AND THAT HE FELT THAT HE
16	OWED IT TO THE STUDENTS AND HE FELT THAT HE DID
17	NOT DO ANYTHING WRONG.
18	Q. AND YOU REMEMBER MIKE SAID THAT. DO YOU
19	REMEMBER ANYTHING YOU SAID?
20	A. I DON'T REMEMBER ME SAYING ANYTHING AT
21	THAT POINT.
22	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ANYONE ELSE SAYING
23	ANYTHING?
24	A. I THINK CYNTHIA MIGHT HAVE AGREED WITH
25	HIM SAYING, YOU KNOW, THIS WASN'T DONE FOR

1	SOMETHING YOU DID WRONG. IT WAS DONE FOR YOUR
2	SAFETY. SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT.
3	Q. OKAY.
4	A. AND THEN BASICALLY THE REST OF THE
5	MEETING WAS LOGISTICS OF PROVIDING THAT
6	INFORMATION, TRYING TO FIND A DATE WHERE HE CAN
7	COLLECT HIS PERSONAL EFFECTS IN HIS OFFICE, AND
8	HE CAN PROVIDE BACK THE KEYS. I KNOW THAT MARK
9	PROVIDED HIM WITH THE EAP INFORMATION, WHICH IS
10	THE EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM THAT WE PROVIDED
11	TO PEOPLE WHO MIGHT NEED SOME COUNSELING OR SOME
12	EXTRA INFORMATION. THAT'S PRETTY MUCH PROTOCOL.
13	Q. COULD YOU PLEASE TURN TO PLAINTIFF'S
14	EXHIBIT 49.
15	A. SURE.
16	Q. ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY PLAINTIFF'S
17	EXHIBIT 49?
18	A. YES.
19	Q. WHAT IS IT?
20	A. THOSE ARE MY NOTES FROM THE MEETING.
21	Q. SO THESE ARE THE NOTES YOU MADE OF THE
22	MEETING OF NOVEMBER 13TH IN MARK SHERBURNE'S
23	OFFICE?
24	A. YES.
25	MR. STROKOFF: MOVE FOR ADMISSION OF

1	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 49, YOUR HONOR.
2	MS. CONRAD: NO OBJECTION.
3	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED.
4	BY MR. STROKOFF:
5	Q. SO YOU HAVE YOUR CHOICE. YOU CAN LOOK
6	AT THE BOOK OR LOOK AT THE MONITOR.
7	A. I WILL LOOK AT THE BOOK.
8	Q. WE WILL ASK YOU TO READ WORD FOR WORD
9	WE WILL ASK YOU TO INTERPRET IT LATER BUT READ
10	WORD FOR WORD.
11	A. IT SAYS THOSE ARE ACTIVE EMPLOYEE
12	BENEFITS. LEAVE WOULD BE A DIFFERENT WHEN. AND
1.3	THEN THERE IS A BRACKETED AREA THAT SAYS NOTED,
14	HEAVILY DESIRE TO COACH AND HE HERE AT PENN
15	STATE, DO NOT FEEL DONE ANYTHING WRONG, DILIGENT
16	IN JOB DUTIES, AND THEN THERE IS A DASH AND IT
17	SAYS IT GATHER ALL PERSONAL THINGS OFF COMPUTER.
18	Q. IT SAYS IT?
19	A. I AM SORRY. I.T. IT SAYS IT BUT I.T.
20	I.T. THEN IT SAYS CLARIFYING CONTACT AS FAR AS
21	LEAVE, NO PERSONNEL ISSUE STUDENT, AND THEN
22	OBLIGATION TO OUR STUDENTS DIDN'T DO ANYTHING.
23	Q. OKAY. NOW HAVING LOOKED AT YOUR NOTES
24	DO THEY REFRESH YOUR MEMORY AS TO ANYTHING YOU
25	MIGHT HAVE LEFT OUT IN TELLING US WHAT HAPPENED

1	AT THE MEETING?
2	A. WELL WHEN WE GOT DOWN TO THE HOUSE
3	DUTIES OR HOUSEKEEPING DUTIES WE NEEDED TO
4	COLLECT DIFFERENT THINGS SUCH AS KEYS AND THINGS
5	OF THAT NATURE AND WE ASKED WAS THERE ANYTHING
6	YOU NEEDED RIGHT NOW CURRENTLY AND MIKE HAD
7	INDICATED THAT HE WANTED SOME THINGS OFF HIS
8	COMPUTER AND I THINK IT WAS LIKE A RESUME AND
9	PICTURES OF HIS DAUGHTER OFF HIS COMPUTER AND
10	THAT'S PRETTY MUCH IT. I MEAN, I ALREADY SAID
11	THAT HE NOTED A STRONG DESIRE TO COACH. I MEAN,
12	IS THERE SOMETHING IN PARTICULAR?
13	Q. NO.
14	A. YEAH. I THINK THAT'S
15	Q. CAN WE GO BACK TO 47 AND PUT 47 UP
16	PLEASE. MA'AM, WITH RESPECT TO PLAINTIFF'S
17	EXHIBIT 47, THIS STATEMENT THAT MARK READ, DID
18	YOU HAVE ANY INPUT IN DRAFTING THIS DOCUMENT?
19	A. I DID NOT.
20	Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO DRAFTED THIS DOCUMENT?
21	A. I BELIEVE CYNTHIA DRAFTED IT.
22	Q. THAT'S CYNTHIA BALDWIN?
23	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
24	Q. AND WERE YOU ASKED TO REVIEW IT FOR
25	ACCURACY?

1	A. I MAY HAVE BEEN. I DON'T RECALL.
2	DURING THAT MEETING WHEN SHE PROVIDED US THE
3	DOCUMENTATION I AM SURE THAT I READ IT.
4	Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE WERE THERE ANY
5	PERSONNEL POLICIES, OR CHAPTER, OR SOMETHING IN
6	THE POLICY MANUAL SETTING FORTH HOW SOMEBODY IS
7	TO BE PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WITH PAY?
8	A. NO. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT I HAVE NOT
9	SEEN. THIS WAS AN UNPRECEDENTED EVENT IN MY
10	CAREER ANY WAY. I DO NOT RECALL ANYBODY BEING
11	PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WITH PAY. OF
12	COURSE WE HAVE ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WITHOUT PAY
13	BUT WITH PAY, NO.
14	Q. MR. MCQUEARY WAS REQUIRED TO TURN IN HIS
15	VEHICLE RATHER IMMEDIATELY?
16	A. YES.
17	Q. AND HE WAS REQUIRED TO TURN IN HIS
18	CELLPHONE RATHER IMMEDIATELY?
19	A. YES.
20	Q. AND THE COMPUTER THAT YOU'RE TALKING
21	ABOUT, HE WANTED SOMETHING DOWNLOADED OFF
22	COMPUTER?
23	A. YEAH.
24	Q. WAS THAT A LAPTOP IN HIS OFFICE?
25	A. IT WAS A COMPUTER IN HIS OFFICE. I

1	DON'T REMEMBER IF IT WAS A LAPTOP OR NOT.
2	Q. NOW THE SECOND PARAGRAPH OF PLAINTIFF'S
3	EXHIBIT 47 SAYS YOUR FIXED TERM CONTRACT IS
4	SCHEDULED TO END ON JUNE 30, 2012, AND MY
5	QUESTION TO YOU IS HAVE YOU EVER SEEN A PHYSICAL
6	PIECE OF PAPER THAT SAYS THIS IS A FIXED TERM
7	CONTRACT AND IT RUNS FROM JULY 1, 2011, THROUGH
8	JUNE 30, 2012?
9	A. YES. WE HAVE TYPICALLY IN PEOPLE'S
10	OFFER LETTER WE STATE THOSE AGREEMENTS AND SHOULD
11	HAVE DONE SO IN ATHLETICS FOR MR. MCQUEARY TOO.
12	Q. THE ORIGINAL OFFER LETTER?
13	A. YES. THAT IS CORRECT.
14	Q. OKAY. IF WE GO HERE TO PLAINTIFF'S
15	EXHIBIT 14 CAN YOU DO THAT PLEASE?
16	A. SURE.
17	Q. WHAT IS 14?
18	A. THAT WILL BE MR. MCQUEARY'S OFFER
19	LETTER.
20	Q. PARDON ME?
21	A. THIS WOULD BE MIKE'S OFFER LETTER.
22	Q. AND ISN'T IT TRUE YOU CAN PUT THIS
23	UP. THIS IS IN EVIDENCE. I THINK IT'S IN
24	EVIDENCE.
25	MS. CONRAD: NO.

MR. STROKOFF: MOVE FOR ADMISSION OF 1 PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 14, YOUR HONOR. 2 3 THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. 4 BY MR. STROKOFF: O. THIS IS AN OFFER FOR A POSITION OF 5 ASSISTANT FOOTBALL COACH EFFECTIVE MARCH 1, 2004, 6 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2004? 7 A. THAT IS CORRECT. 8 O. AND THEN IT SAYS THIS IS A FIXED TERM 9 ONE APPOINTMENT, RIGHT? 10 11 Α. THAT IS CORRECT. O. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE IS THERE ANY OTHER 12 DOCUMENT IN EXISTENCE THAT SAYS YOU HAVE A FIXED 13 14 TERM APPOINTMENT WITH A STARTING DATE AND AN 15 ENDING DATE? 16 A. I AM NOT SURE IF THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN 17 DOCUMENTS. ATHLETICS TYPICALLY WOULD LOOK AT CONTRACTS YEAR TO YEAR FOR FIXED-TERM 18 19 INDIVIDUALS. WE WOULD HAVE CONVERSATIONS WITH 20 THE SUPERVISOR OR THE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR TO VERIFY 21 WHETHER THAT CONTRACT WOULD BE CONTINUED AND IF 22 IT WAS THEN IT WOULD HAPPEN IN A ELECTRONIC 23 PROCESS THAT WOULD UPLOAD THE CURRENT POSITION 24 AND CONTINUE IT SO THERE WAS NO LOSS IN BENEFITS. 25 SO YOU'RE NOT SURE THERE IS A PHYSICAL Q.

1	PIECE OF PAPER THAT SAYS MR. MCQUEARY HAD A FIXED
2	TERM APPOINTMENT OTHER THAN THIS INITIAL
3	APPOINTMENT LETTER?
4	A. I HAVE AN E-MAIL THAT WAS GENERATED I
5	BELIEVE FROM THE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR ASKING IF THE
6	APPOINTMENTS WERE GOING TO CONTINUE IN FOOTBALL
7	AND HE CONSULTED WITH COACH PATERNO, HE SAID YES,
8	WE ARE GOING TO DO THAT. SO WE WENT AHEAD AND
9	PROVIDED A REAPPOINTMENT.
10	Q. IS THERE ANYTHING, AN E-MAIL OR
11	ANYTHING, TO MR. MCQUEARY THAT SAYS AT ANY TIME
12	YOU ARE BEING RENEWED FOR ANOTHER YEAR?
13	A. NOT FROM MY OFFICE. IN ATHLETICS IT WAS
14	DONE THROUGH THE FOOTBALL ADMINISTRATION WOULD
15	NOTIFY THOSE WHO WERE GOING TO CONTINUE ON.
16	Q. COULD YOU GO PLEASE TO PLAINTIFF'S
17	EXHIBIT 22?
18	A. SURE.
19	Q. DO YOU HAVE THAT?
20	A. YES.
21	Q. ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY THAT?
22	A. YES.
23	Q. WHAT IS IT?
24	A. IT'S A POLICY REGARDING THE DIFFERENT
25	TYPES OF APPOINTMENTS.

1	MR. STROKOFF: MOVE FOR ADMISSION OF
2	PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT 22, YOUR HONOR.
3	MS. CONRAD: NO OBJECTION.
4	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED.
5	BY MR. STROKOFF:
6	Q. NOW THE FIRST PAGE OF THIS POLICY REFERS
7	TO STANDING APPOINTMENTS, CORRECT?
8	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
9	Q. YOU MAY REFRESH YOUR MEMORY BUT YOU
10	DON'T HAVE TO READ IT, THE WHOLE PARAGRAPH, BUT
11	WHAT IS A STANDING APPOINTMENT?
12	A. STANDING APPOINTMENT IS AN APPOINTMENT
13	THAT HAS FUNDING BACKING IT, THEREFORE, THAT THE
14	POSITION WOULD CONTINUE ON AN INDEFINITE TYPE OF
15	A CYCLE WHERE THERE IS NO RENEWAL PROCESS.
16	Q. OKAY. THE VERY FIRST SENTENCE IS SORT
17	OF A SYNOPSIS OF IT. STANDING APPOINTMENT
18	DESIGNATES THOSE APPOINTMENTS WHICH ARE FULL TIME
19	AND FOR WHICH NO ENDING DATE IS SPECIFIED?
20	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
21	Q. NOW LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE. THERE IS
22	A FIXED TERM ONE APPOINTMENT, IS THAT CORRECT?
23	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
24	Q. AND VERY FIRST SENTENCE SAYS FIXED TERM
25	ONE APPOINTMENT DESIGNATES THOSE APPOINTMENTS

1	WHICH ARE FULL TIME FOR AT LEAST SIX CALENDAR
2	MONTHS, COMMA, BUT WHICH HAVE AN ENDING DATE
3	SPECIFIED, PERIOD. OTHER THAN MR. MCQUEARY'S
4	FIRST LETTER WHICH SPECIFIES A DECEMBER 31, 2004,
5	ENDING DATE ARE THERE ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS WHICH
6	SPECIFY AN ENDING DATE FOR MR. MCQUEARY'S
7	EMPLOYMENT?
8	A. I AM SURE IF YOU WENT INTO THE FINANCIAL
9	SYSTEM IT WILL SHOW EVERY REAPPOINTMENT THAT
10	MR. MCQUEARY HAD. ALL OF THE CONTRACTS TYPICALLY
11	RAN THE FISCAL YEAR SO IT'S VERY COMMON THAT
12	ANYBODY IN THE UNIVERSITY, WHETHER IT BE MIKE OR
13	ANY TYPE OF POSITION, WOULD UNDERSTAND THAT IF
14	THEY ARE ON FIXED TERM APPOINTMENT THE CONTRACT
15	DATE WOULD RUN UNTIL THE END OF THE FISCAL YEAR.
16	Q. IF THEY KNEW THEY WERE ON FIXED TERM ONE
17	APPOINTMENT?
18	A. WELL MOST PEOPLE DO KNOW THEY ARE FIXED
19	TERM ONE BECAUSE YOU HAVE TO ATTEST TO THAT EVERY
20	TIME YOU GO ON THE CALENDAR, YOUR ATTENDANCE
21	CALENDAR, WHEN YOU SELECT YOUR BENEFITS, THINGS
22	OF THAT NATURE, THERE IS A SYSTEM, A SELF SYSTEM,
23	THAT YOU CAN GET ONTO THAT SHOWS ALL OF YOUR

BENEFITS, ALL OF YOUR STANDINGS, EVERYTHING

ASSOCIATED WITH YOU AS AN EMPLOYEE. SO IT WOULD

24

1	SURPRISE ME THAT ANYBODY WOULD NOT KNOW WHAT TYPE
2	OF APPOINTMENT. IT'S KIND OF A BIG DEAL AT THE
3	UNIVERSITY.
4	Q. LET'S GO TO THE LAST SENTENCE IN THE
5	FIXED TERM ONE EMPLOYMENT.
6	A. SURE.
7	Q. UNIT, QUOTE, IF SUCH APPOINTMENT IS NOT
8	ACADEMIC, COMMA, A CONFIRMATION OF FIXED TERM ONE
9	APPOINTMENT IS COMPLETED AND GIVEN TO THE
10	INCUMBENT. WILL YOU AGREE MR. MCQUEARY'S
11	APPOINTMENT WAS NOT AN ACADEMIC APPOINTMENT?
12	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
13	Q. SO ACCORDING TO THIS POLICY A
14	CONFIRMATION OF FIXED TERM STAFF APPOINTMENT IS
15	TO BE COMPLETED AND GIVEN TO THE INCUMBENT?
16	A. THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS.
17	Q. SO EVERY TIME THERE IS RENEWAL ISN'T THE
18	INCUMBENT SUPPOSED TO RECEIVE A NOTIFICATION THAT
19	YOU HAVE A FIXED TERM ONE APPOINTMENT FOR THE
20	COMING YEAR?
21	A. THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS IN THE POLICY. YES.
22	Q. BUT YOU ARE IMPLYING THAT'S NOT WHAT WAS
23	DONE?
24	A. I CAN TELL YOU IF IT WASN'T IN THE
25	PERSONNEL FILE I CAN'T SAY THAT IT WAS DONE OR

1	NOT DONE.
2	Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE THAT
3	MR. MCQUEARY WAS EVER PROVIDED WITH A
4	CONFIRMATION OF A FIXED TERM ONE STAFF
5	APPOINTMENT?
6	A. NO. I DON'T HAVE ANY FIRSTHAND
7	KNOWLEDGE IF HE WAS OR WAS NOT.
8	Q. AND THIS HR6 POLICY, THAT WAS THE ONE
9	THAT WAS IN EFFECT ON FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR
10	2011?
11	A. YES.
12	Q. MA'AM AFTER THE MEETING IN MR.
13	SHERBURNE'S OFFICE ON NOVEMBER 15, 2011, DID YOU
14	HAVE AN OCCASION TO GO INTO MR. MCQUEARY'S
15	PERSONNEL FILE?
16	A. THE ONLY TIME I WOULD HAVE GONE INTO
17	MR. MCQUEARY'S PERSONNEL FILE IS IF I WAS ASKED
18	TO AT THAT POINT.
19	Q. IF YOU WERE ASKED TO WHAT
20	A. IF I WAS ASKED TO.
21	Q. AND WERE YOU EVER ASKED TO GO INTO HIS
22	PERSONNEL FILE?
23	A. I WAS ASKED TO PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION FOR
24	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS. YES.
25	Q. AND WHEN WAS THAT?

1	A. I DON'T RECALL.
2	Q. WAS IT IN 2012? 2013?
3	A. WHENEVER THE LAWSUIT WAS NAMED. WHEN IT
4	WAS SUBPOENAED.
5	Q. OKAY. LET ME ASK YOU ANOTHER QUESTION.
6	AT SOME POINT IN TIME YOU BECOME AWARE THAT
7	MR. MCQUEARY WAS NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY THE
8	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, RIGHT?
9	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
10	Q. WHEN SOMEBODY LEAVES THE EMPLOYER,
11	REGARDLESS OF THE FIXED TERM, OR STANDING, OR
12	TENURE, OR WHATEVER, AREN'T THEY SUPPOSED TO GET
13	A POLICY STATEMENT EXPLAINING RIGHTS AND
14	ENTITLEMENTS OF FORMER PENN STATE EMPLOYEES?
15	A. SURE.
16	Q. DID MR. MCQUEARY GET ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
17	A. I WOULD NOT KNOW.
18	Q. PARDON ME?
19	A. I WOULD NOT KNOW.
20	Q. WHY WOULD NOT YOU KNOW?
21	A. BECAUSE AT THE TIME MR. MCQUEARY LEFT
22	EMPLOYMENT WITH PENN STATE HE ALREADY HAD LEGAL
23	COUNSEL SO THIS WAS UNPRECEDENTED. ANY TIME THAT
24	LEGAL COUNSEL IS A PART OF A TERMINATION HR BACKS
25	OUT AND ALLOWS THE LAWYERS TO TALK WITH EACH

1	OTHER. SO IT WOULD HAVE BEEN OUT OF MY HAND AT
2	THAT POINT.
3	Q. DO YOU RECALL THAT IN EARLY JANUARY OF
4	2012 THE UNIVERSITY HIRED BILL O'BRIEN TO BE HEAD
5	FOOTBALL COACH?
6	A. YES. I DID.
7	Q. NOW YOU ARE SMILING. WHY ARE YOU
8	SMILING?
9	A. JUST SMILING.
10	Q. OKAY. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE DID YOU
11	PROVIDED MR. O'BRIEN WITH A COPY OF
12	MR. MCQUEARY'S PERSONNEL FILE?
13	A. ABSOLUTELY NOT.
14	Q. WAS A COPY OF HIS PERSONNEL FILE
15	REQUESTED BY MR. O'BRIEN?
16	A. NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE. NO.
17	Q. SO HIS FILE IN JANUARY 2012 WAS STILL
18	KEPT UNDER LOCK AND KEY IN YOUR OFFICE?
19	A. THAT WOULD BE CORRECT.
20	Q. NOW THERE WERE A NUMBER OF ASSISTANT
21	FOOTBALL COACHES UNDER JOE PATERNO WHO WERE
22	TERMINATED WHEN COACH O'BRIEN CAME IN?
23	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
24	Q. DO YOU KNOW WHEN THEY STARTED RECEIVING
25	THEIR SEVERANCE PAYMENTS?

1	A. THEY WOULD HAVE RECEIVED THEM WHEN THEY
2	WERE TERMINATED SO THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN IN
3	JANUARY.
4	Q. WHAT ABOUT THEIR HEALTH INSURANCE
5	BENEFITS PURSUANT TO THE SEVERANCE PAY?
6	A. SO THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN SWITCHED TO
7	COBRA BUT IT WAS A PART OF A SEVERANCE AGREEMENT
8	PACKAGE. SO, YES, THEY WOULD HAVE HAD HEALTH
9	INSURANCE FOR SOMETIME.
10	Q. SO TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE THE OTHER ASSISTANT
11	FOOTBALL COACHES SATISFIED THEIR SEVERANCE
12	IMMEDIATELY?
13	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
14	Q. AND HEALTH INSURANCE IMMEDIATELY?
15	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
16	Q. SO IS THERE A UNIVERSITY POLICY
17	REQUIRING ADVANCE NOTICE TO BE GIVEN TO EMPLOYEES
18	WHOSE APPOINTMENTS ARE BEING TERMINATED?
19	A. IT'S GENERAL PRACTICE WE TRY TO GIVE
20	PEOPLE AS MUCH TIME AS POSSIBLE.
21	Q. ARE THERE ANY EXCEPTION THAT YOU KNOW OF
22	TO THAT GENERAL PRACTICE?
23	A. SURE. I MEAN, IF FUNDING IF FUNDING
24	IS NO LONGER AN ISSUE. THAT WAS NOT THE CASE IN
25	THIS MATTER BUT THERE ARE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE

1	PEOPLE ARE NOTIFIED MORE THAN THE APPROPRIATE
2	TIME OF, YOU KNOW, 30 DAYS.
3	Q. THE APPROPRIATE TIME IS USUALLY 30 DAYS?
4	A. IF AT ALL POSSIBLE, YES. WE LIKE TO
5	GIVE PEOPLE AS MUCH NOTICE AS POSSIBLE.
6	Q. IS THIS A WRITTEN NOTICE USUALLY OR
7	ANOTHER FORM?
8	A. IT DOES NOT HAVE TO BE WRITTEN NOTICE.
9	THE EMPLOYEE NEEDS TO KNOW THEIR EMPLOYMENT IS
10	ENDING AND THAT BENEFITS WILL BE CHANGING AND
11	ALLOW THEM TO GET THEIR FINANCES. IT'S THE RIGHT
12	THING TO DO.
13	Q. AGAIN IN THE PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT BOOK
14	CAN YOU GO TO PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 55. MY FIRST
15	QUESTION WILL BE AFTER YOU READ IT ARE YOU ABLE
16	TO IDENTIFY IT.
17	A. YES.
18	Q. WHAT IS IT?
19	A. IT'S I GUESS THE COURT DOCKET.
20	Q. PARDON ME?
21	A. IT'S THE I MIGHT BE IN THE WRONG
22	PLACE. I AM SORRY. OH, THIS ONE IS AN E-MAIL TO
23	FROM DAVE JOYNER TO MYSELF.
24	Q. AND THE DATE OF THE E-MAIL?
25	A. JUNE 18, 2012.

1	MR. STROKOFF: MOVE FOR ADMISSION OF, 55
2	YOUR HONOR.
3	THE COURT: 55 YOU SAID?
4	MR. STROKOFF: I DID.
5	THE COURT: OKAY.
6	MS. CONRAD: NO OBJECTION.
7	THE COURT: OKAY. IT'S ADMITTED.
8	BY MR. STROKOFF:
9	Q. SO JUNE 18, 2012, 12:49 P.M.?
10	A. YES.
11	Q. DAVID JOYNER SENDS YOU AN E-MAIL AND
12	WHAT DOES HE SAY TO YOU?
13	A. AT 12:49? I AM SORRY.
14	Q. YEAH. BOTTOM ONE.
15	A. IT SAYS ERIKKA, THIS IS NOT BEING
16	RENEWED, CORRECT, THANKS.
17	Q. SO HE IS ASKING YOU A QUESTION AND AT
18	4:01 YOU REPLY WHAT?
19	A. NO. HE IS NOT BEING RENEWED.
20	Q. SO YOU WERE TELLING HIM
21	A. I WAS CONFIRMING.
22	Q. YOU WERE CONFIRMING?
23	A. YES.
24	Q. OKAY. WHAT WERE YOU CONFIRMING?
25	A. THAT HE WAS NOT BEING RENEWED.

1	Q. OKAY. YOU WERE CONFIRMING IT TO
2	ATHLETIC DIRECTOR JOYNER?
3	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
4	Q. OKAY. NOW DID YOU DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT
5	MR. MCQUEARY WAS GOING TO BE RENEWED?
6	A. ABSOLUTELY NOT.
7	Q. WHO DECIDED WHETHER OR NOT HE WAS GOING
8	TO BE RENEWED?
9	A. I DON'T KNOW.
10	Q. OKAY. WELL WHO TOLD YOU THAT HE WASN'T
11	GOING TO BE RENEWED SO YOU COULD SEND THIS
12	CONFIRMATION TO ATHLETIC DIRECTOR JOYNER? LET ME
13	REPHRASE THIS. ON WHAT BASIS DID YOU TELL
14	ATHLETIC DIRECTOR JOYNER ON JUNE 18, 2012, NO, HE
15	IS NOT BEING RENEWED IF YOU REMEMBER?
16	A. THERE WERE OTHER CONVERSATIONS BEING
17	HAD. THIS IS A CONFIRMATION OF THE CONVERSATIONS
18	THAT WERE BEING HAD. I DID NOT MAKE THE
19	DECISION. I WAS JUST CONFIRMING THAT NO, TO MY
20	RECOLLECTION HE WAS NOT BEING RENEWED.
21	Q. BUT SOMEBODY TOLD YOU HE WASN'T GOING TO
22	BE RENEWED OR SOMEBODY SENT YOU A DOCUMENT THAT
23	SAID HE WASN'T GOING TO BE RENEWED SO THAT YOU
24	WOULD BE IN A POSITION TO CONFIRM TO DR. JOYNER
25	AS YOU PUT IT THAT MCQUEARY WASN'T BEING RENEWED.

1	DO YOU REMEMBER WHO OR WHAT IT WAS?
2	A. I DON'T AT THIS POINT.
3	Q. PARDON ME?
4	A. I DON'T AT THIS POINT. NO.
5	Q. GOING BACK TO JANUARY OF 2012 WHEN THE
6	OTHER ASSISTANT COACHES WERE LET GO.
7	A. YES.
8	Q. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THEY RECEIVED
9	SPECIFIC NOTIFICATION THAT THEIR SERVICES WERE NO
10	LONGER REQUIRED?
11	A. I BELIEVE COACH O'BRIEN NOTIFIED THEM
12	THAT THEIR SERVICES WERE NO LONGER REQUIRED.
13	Q. BUT IN ANY EVENT YOU KNOW THEY RECEIVED
14	NOTICE?
15	A. YES.
16	Q. AT SOME POINT IN TIME DID YOU RECEIVE AN
17	INSTRUCTION FROM CYNTHIA BALDWIN THAT YOU WERE
18	NOT TO BE INVOLVED IN WITH ANYTHING HAVING TO
19	DO WITH MIKE MCQUEARY?
20	A. I RECALL HER MAKING A COMMENT THAT
21	ANYTHING IN THE LEGAL PROCEEDINGS REGARDLESS OF
22	WHAT ANYBODY'S STANCE IS
23	MS. CONRAD: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY
24	INTERJECT HERE. IT SOUNDS AS IF THE WITNESS IS
25	TESTIFYING AS TO OPERATIONAL MATTERS AND NOT WITH

1	RESPECT TO ANY PRIVILEGED CONVERSATIONS FROM
2	ATTORNEY BALDWIN AND I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR
3	THAT'S THE CASE.
4	THE COURT: OKAY. SO ON BEHALF OF THE
5	UNIVERSITY YOU ARE INVOKING THE PRIVILEGE, IS
6	THAT CORRECT?
7	MS. CONRAD: I AM SORRY. I COULDN'T
8	HEAR YOU, SIR.
9	THE COURT: I SAID ON BEHALF OF THE
10	UNIVERSITY YOU ARE INVOKING THE ATTORNEY/CLIENT
11	PRIVILEGE?
12	MS. CONRAD: AS IT RELATES TO PRIVILEGED
13	INFORMATION, YES, SIR. I WOULD BE
14	THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE TO BE VERY
15	SPECIFIC AS TO THE QUESTION.
16	MR. STROKOFF: I WILL TRY TO GET AROUND
17	IT.
18	BY MR. STROKOFF:
19	Q. DO YOU RECALL AT WHAT POINT IN TIME YOU
20	STOPPED BEING INVOLVED IN ANY PERSONNEL MATTERS
21	HAVING ANYTHING TO DO WITH MR. MCQUEARY?
22	A. MIKE WAS ALWAYS ABLE TO CALL ME IF HE
23	NEEDED ADVICE ON HR RELATED TOPICS BUT ANYTHING
24	REGARDING LITIGATION MATTERS WAS NOT IN MY
25	JURISDICTION, THEREFORE, I DID NOT HAVE ANY TYPE

1	OF COUNSELING.
2	Q. AND WHAT DO YOU MEAN ANYTHING IN
3	LITIGATION MATTERS?
4	A. SUCH AS THE END OF END OF HIS
5	APPOINTMENT DECISIONS BEING MADE AROUND THINGS OF
6	THAT NATURE.
7	Q. WELL DID HE EVER CALL YOU TO DISCUSS
8	THAT?
9	A. NO.
10	MR. STROKOFF: PASS THE WITNESS, YOUR
11	HONOR.
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. CONRAD:
14	Q. MS. RUNKLE, I AM GOING TO MOVE TO THE
15	PODIUM SO WE CAN SEE EACH OTHER BETTER.
16	A. OKAY. SURE.
17	Q. DO YOU NEED A BREAK BEFORE WE BEGIN?
18	A. NO, MA'AM.
19	Q. I WANT TO FOCUS YOUR ATTENTION TO THE
20	TIME YOU SERVED AS HR MANAGER IN ATHLETICS.
21	A. OKAY.
22	Q. YOU WERE ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT
23	FIXED TERM ONE EMPLOYMENT. ARE THEY
24	MISCHARACTERIZED AS FIXED TERM CONTRACTS OR FIXED
25	TERM APPOINTMENTS?

1	A. I THINK THAT THE WORDS ARE
2	INTERCHANGEABLE. IF YOU ARE AT THE UNIVERSITY
3	MAYBE THE INTERNAL PEOPLE WILL HAVE A HARD TIME
4	DIFFERENTIATING BUT THEY'RE INTERCHANGEABLE AT
5	THE UNIVERSITY.
6	Q. WELL CONTRACT IS USUALLY THOUGHT OF AS A
7	PIECE OF PAPER CONTAINED ON FOUR CORNERS.
8	A. SURE.
9	Q. DOES A FIXED TERM CONTRACT NECESSARILY
10	BASED ON YOUR PRACTICE AT THE UNIVERSITY RELATE
11	TO AN ACTUAL PIECE OF PAPER?
12	A. NO. NOT IN ATHLETICS. A LOT OF THE
13	RENEWALS AND I THINK OF THAT NATURE WERE DONE
14	VERBALLY AND THE EMPLOYEE WOULD KNOW BASED OFF OF
15	THE CONVERSATIONS WITH THEIR SUPERVISOR.
16	Q. AND I BELIEVE YOU TESTIFIED THAT WITH
17	RESPECT TO MR. MCQUEARY INITIALLY HE WAS
18	ISSUED A PIECE OF PAPER THAT OFFERED HIM A FIXED
19	TERM APPOINTMENT?
20	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
21	Q. D-2 PLEASE. WE NEED TO HAVE THE
22	THERE IS A BINDER CALLED DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS?
23	A. THERE IS A BLANK BINDER, AND THEN
24	EXHIBIT 79 WITNESS COPY, AND THEN THE ONE I AM
25	READING FROM.

1	Q. I BELIEVE PERHAPS THE LARGER BINDER TO
2	YOUR LEFT.
3	A. THIS ONE? OH, YEP. IT DOES ON THE
4	SIDE.
5	Q. AND DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO TAB TWO.
6	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH D-2?
7	A. YES.
8	Q. I BELIEVE THIS HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY
9	MARKED AS PLAINTIFF'S 14. GOT IT.
10	MS. CONRAD: PERMISSION TO PUBLISH IT?
11	THE COURT: YES.
12	BY MS. CONRAD:
13	Q. THIS IS MR. MCQUEARY'S APPOINTMENT
14	LETTER, ISN'T IT?
15	A. YES.
16	Q. AND, IN FACT, MR. STROKOFF HAD ASKED YOU
17	ABOUT H POLICY HR6, TYPES OF EMPLOYMENT AND
18	PROVIDING CONFIRMATION OF A FIXED TERM ONE STAFF
19	APPOINTMENT TO THE EMPLOYEE, CORRECT?
20	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
21	Q. IS D-2 THE LETTER THAT WAS ISSUED TO
22	MR. MCQUEARY ON FEBRUARY 18, 2004, AN APPOINTMENT
23	LETTER CONFIRMING HIS APPOINTMENT?
24	A. IT'S OFFERING.
25	Q. IT'S OFFERING HIS APPOINTMENT?

1	A. CORRECT.
2	Q. DID HE ACCEPT THAT APPOINTMENT BY HIS
3	SIGNATURE ON THE BOTTOM?
4	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
5	Q. SO IS THIS THEN A DOCUMENT THAT CONFIRMS
6	HIS APPOINTMENT?
7	A. YES. AND APPOINTMENT TIME. YES.
8	Q. AND DOES THAT COMPLY THEN WITH THE
9	PROVISION CONTAINED IN HR6?
10	A. YES.
11	Q. AND THEN I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR
12	ATTENTION TO TAB 56. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE
13	DOCUMENTS CONTAINED IN TAB 56? AND THERE ARE
14	SEVERAL PAGES.
15	A. YES.
16	Q. WHAT ARE THEY?
17	A. THESE ARE WELL FIRST ONE WILL BE THE
18	APPOINTMENT AND THEN THREE APPOINTMENTS FOR
19	SUBSEQUENT YEARS.
20	Q. ARE THESE DOCUMENTS MAINTAINED WITHIN
21	YOUR OFFICE?
22	A. NO. THESE ARE ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS THAT
23	WILL BE HOUSED IN THE FINANCIAL SYSTEM.
24	Q. AND WOULD THESE BE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU
25	MAY ACCESS IN THE COURSE OF COURSE AND SCOPE

1	OF YOUR EMPLOYMENT?
2	A. YES.
3	MS. CONRAD: MOVE FOR ADMISSION OF D-56.
4	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
5	MR. STROKOFF: NO OBJECTION.
6	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED.
7	BY MS. CONRAD:
8	Q. MS. RUNKLE, CAN YOU THEN WALK US THROUGH
9	WHAT INFORMATION THESE DOCUMENTS CONTAIN?
10	A. SURE. SO THE FIRST DOCUMENT WOULD HAVE
11	BEEN AS YOU CAN SEE HIS EMPLOYMENT ID, HIS NAME,
12	THE EMPLOYMENT TYPE WILL BE FIXED TERM ONE, AND
13	FOR THIS PARTICULAR FIXED TERM APPOINTMENT THE
14	APPOINTMENT BEGIN DATE WAS 7/1/2011 AND
15	EMPLOYMENT END DATE WAS 6/30/2012.
16	Q. AND JUST TO CLARIFY UNDER THE
17	APPOINTMENT TYPE DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO THE
18	VERY TOP OF THE DOCUMENT. WHAT DOES IT PROVIDE
19	FOR EMPLOYMENT TYPE?
20	A. IT WILL BE FIXED TERM ONE.
21	Q. AND AGAIN BASED ON YOUR TESTIMONY WITH
22	RESPECT TO HR POLICY WHAT IS FIXED TERM ONE
23	APPOINTMENT?
24	A. FIXED TERM ONE APPOINTMENT IS THAT THE
25	EMPLOYMENT IS GUARANTEED FOR A FINITE AMOUNT OF

1	TIME AND IN THIS CASE IT WAS A YEAR APPOINTMENT.
2	Q. AND IN THIS CASE THE YEAR APPOINTMENT
3	ENDED AT WHAT POINT IN TIME?
4	A. 6/30/2012.
5	Q. THIS WAS AS I UNDERSTAND YOUR TESTIMONY
6	THE ELECTRONIC COPY THAT WAS MAINTAINED BY
7	FINANCE DID YOU SAY?
8	A. WELL IT'S ONCE THE INDIVIDUAL HAS
9	ACCEPTED AND WE HAVE OFFERED THE NEW APPOINTMENT
10	IT WOULD COME IN THROUGH THE OFFICE TO BE
11	PROCESSED AND THEN IT WOULD BE UPLOADED INTO THE
12	FINANCIAL SYSTEM.
13	Q. AND WOULD AN EMPLOYEE BE ABLE TO ACCESS
14	OR OTHERWISE GAIN INFORMATION IF HE OR SHE HAD
15	ANY QUESTION ABOUT THE TYPE OF APPOINTMENT?
16	A. YES.
17	Q. HOW WOULD HE OR SHE DO THAT?
18	A. MANY WAYS. YOU COULD PICK UP THE PHONE
19	AND CALL. TYPICALLY AN APPOINTMENT DOES NOT
20	CHANGE IN THE COURSE OF EMPLOYMENT. YOU WOULD
21	KNOW IF YOUR FIXED TERM APPOINTMENT MOVED TO A
22	STANDING APPOINTMENT BECAUSE THERE WILL BE AN
23	OFFER LETTER FOR DIFFERENT TYPE OF EMPLOYMENT.
24	SO WE WOULD PROVIDE AN OFFER LETTER OF SUCH TO
25	SHOW THE CHANGE IN STATUS. LIKE I SAID BEFORE IN

1	MY PREVIOUS TESTIMONY, WHEN PEOPLE LOG INTO THE
2	ATTENDANCE SYSTEM YOU ARE ABLE TO SEE HOW MUCH
3	MONEY YOU MAKE, WHAT TYPE OF APPOINTMENT YOU
4	HAVE. ALL OF THE INFORMATION PERTAINING TO YOUR
5	APPOINTMENT IS AT THE TIP OF YOUR HANDS.
6	Q. WOULD THIS INFORMATION THEN BE
7	ACCESSIBLE TO MR. MCQUEARY AS IT WOULD BE TO
8	EVERY OTHER EMPLOYEE AT PENN STATE?
9	A. YES.
10	Q. AND DID MR. MCQUEARY AT ANY TYPE EVER
11	CALL YOU TO SAY TO YOU WHAT IS MY APPOINTMENT
12	TYPE?
13	A. NO. HE NEVER CALLED. HOWEVER, THERE
14	WAS AN INCIDENT THAT HAPPENED TWO YEARS IN A ROW
15	WHERE HIS APPOINTMENT WAS DISRUPTED BECAUSE OF
16	THE SYSTEM. SO IT WOULD HAVE LAPSED IN BENEFIT
17	COVERAGE. SO AT THAT POINT, YOU KNOW, WE HAD TO
18	TALK TO HIM ABOUT NOW WE NEEDED TO RENEW HIS
19	APPOINTMENT OH, SORRY. WE NEEDED TO RENEW HIS
20	APPOINTMENT IN ORDER FOR BENEFITS TO BE
21	CONTINUED.
22	Q. DO YOU RECALL WHAT HAPPENED? WHAT
23	CAUSED THE DISRUPTION?
24	A. YES. IT'S GOING TO SOUND FUNNY BUT THE

SYSTEM -- IT'S A PROCESS OF BATCH WHERE IT

1	UPLOADS THE PROCESS AND FOR SOME ODD REASON THERE
2	WERE FEW PEOPLE, MIKE WAS ONE OF THEM, WHERE THE
3	SYSTEM FELT THAT HE WAS AN ALIEN FROM A DIFFERENT
4	COUNTRY AND IT WAS ASKING FOR US TO RENEW THE
5	I-9. SO WHEN THIS HAPPENED WE WEREN'T SURE IF HE
6	WAS GOING TO BE PAID ON TIME, NUMBER ONE, AND,
7	NUMBER TWO, WE WEREN'T SURE IF THERE WAS GOING TO
8	BE A BREAK IN HIS BENEFITS. SO I CONTACTED HIM
9	AND SAID, HEY, LISTEN, THERE HAS BEEN THIS ISSUE,
10	IF YOU GO FOR BENEFITS AND THEY TELL YOU YOU
11	DON'T HAVE ANY PLEASE KNOW WE ARE WORKING ON, YOU
12	KNOW, GETTING THAT RESOLVED AND I THINK THAT
13	HAPPENED TWO YEARS IN ROW.
14	O. DID THAT ONLY HAPPEN TO MR. MCOUEARY?

- Q. DID THAT ONLY HAPPEN TO MR. MCQUEARY?
- NO. I THINK THERE WERE THREE OR FOUR Α. PEOPLE THAT IT HAPPENED TO.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 0. AND AT THAT TIME DID YOU HAVE ANY EXCHANGES WITH MR. MCQUEARY ABOUT HIS APPOINTMENT STATUS OR THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE?
- WELL I DON'T KNOW IF I POINT BLANK SAID, Α. YOU KNOW, YOU'RE FIXED TERM ONE APPOINTMENT BUT STANDING APPOINTMENTS DON'T DO THAT. THEY ARE AUTOMATICALLY FOR THE DURATION OF THEIR TIME. THERE IS NO END APPOINTMENT SO THERE WOULD BE NO REASON FOR THIS TO HAPPEN WITH ANYBODY ON THE

STANDING APPOINTMENT.

- Q. BUT TALKING NOW ABOUT FIXED TERM
 APPOINTMENT?
- A. FIXED TERM APPOINTMENT BECAUSE THERE WAS AN UPSET IN THE SYSTEM I HAD TO CALL HIM AND NOTIFY HIM OF THAT DISRUPTION, POTENTIAL DISRUPTION.
- Q. AND THEN DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION -- AND FOR EFFICIENCY SAKE I AM NOT GOING TO TAKE YOU THROUGH EVERY SINGLE PAGE OF D-56 BUT WILL YOU REVIEW THOSE ELECTRONIC FORMS OF THE DOCUMENT AND DETERMINE THE APPOINTMENT TYPE THAT IS LISTED FOR MR. MCQUEARY FOR EACH OF THE YEARS?
- A. SURE. FOR 2011 TO '12 IT WAS FIXED TERM ONE APPOINTMENT. THIS WAS REAPPOINTMENT. THE NEXT PAGE IS THE REAPPOINTMENT, AND WITH MY NOTES THERE IS GSI, GENERAL SALARY INCOME, GSI ERROR AND NEEDED TO BE MANUALLY INPUTTED. THAT WAS STILL FIXED TERM ONE APPOINTMENT AND THEN THERE IS THAT NOTE THAT HE GOT KICKED OUT OF THE SYSTEM, FIXED TERM ONE APPOINTMENT, AND THEN ANOTHER REAPPOINTMENT PAGE, FIXED TERM ONE APPOINTMENT. THEN THE FOLLOWING PAGE IS ANOTHER REAPPOINTMENT AND THAT WOULD BE FOR 2007. THE NEXT TWO PAGES ARE JUST -- IT'S JUST SHOWING HOW

1	IT'S PAID IN THE BUDGETS. IT'S RELATED TO THE
2	FIXED TERM APPOINTMENT BUT IT'S REALLY JUST HOW
3	WE'RE BALANCING THE FUNDS, AND THEN THE LAST PAGE
4	is change effectively 3/1/2004. so it looks like
5	MAYBE A PROMOTION. IT WAS A PROMOTION.
6	Q. AGAIN IS THERE AN INDICATION OF HIS
7	EMPLOYMENT TYPE?
8	A. YES. STILL FIXED TERM ONE.
9	Q. WAS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING FOR
10	MR. MCQUEARY'S ENTIRE EMPLOYMENT AS AN ASSISTANT
11	COACH HE WAS IN THE FIXED TERM ONE APPOINTMENT
12	CATEGORY?
13	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
14	Q. AND BASED AGAIN ON THE LANGUAGE
15	CONTAINED IN HR-6, TYPES OF EMPLOYMENT, THAT
16	DOESN'T PROVIDE THAT AN EMPLOYEE HAS TO BE
17	NOTIFIED EVERY YEAR OF THEIR STATUS, DOES IT?
18	A. NO. BUT TYPICALLY THERE IS SOME TYPE OF
19	VERBAL CONVERSATION OR I GUESS YOU DON'T SHOW UP
20	THE NEXT DAY BUT PEOPLE KNOW THEY ARE BEING
21	EMPLOYED.
22	Q. AND IN FOOTBALL?
23	A. YES.
24	Q. HOW DID THAT VERBAL CONVERSATION TAKE
25	PLACE?

1	A. I AM SURE I WAS NOT PRESENT FOR ANY
2	OF THOSE MEETINGS BUT I AM SURE THAT COACH
3	PATERNO WOULD TELL INDIVIDUALS WHETHER THEY WERE
4	BEING RETAINED OR NOT.
5	Q. DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO D-5.
6	A. IS IT THE 5TH? 5? OKAY.
7	Q. IS THIS A DOCUMENT THAT YOU ARE FAMILIAR
8	WITH?
9	A. YES.
10	Q. WHAT IS IT?
11	A. THIS IS A MEMO TO MIKE MCQUEARY FROM TIM
12	CURLEY TO DISCUSS
13	Q. BEFORE WE GET TO THE CONTENT WHAT IS THE
14	DATE OF IT?
15	A. I AM SORRY?
16	Q. THE DATE?
17	A. DECEMBER 17, 2008.
18	Q. THANK YOU. PUBLISH AS IT'S ALREADY BEEN
19	ADMITTED. PLEASE NOW CAN YOU BRIEFLY TELL US
20	WHAT IS THIS DOCUMENT?
21	A. SURE. SO AS COACH PATERNO WAS ENDING
22	GOING TOWARDS THE END OF HIS CAREER A LOT OF THE
23	COACHES WANTED SOME SECURITY AS TO WHAT WOULD
24	HAPPEN IF HE WERE TO LEAVE. SO ALL OF THE
25	ASSISTANT COACHES AT THE TIME WERE PROVIDED WITH

1	THESE CONTINGENT AGREEMENTS IF THEY WERE ACTIVE
2	EMPLOYEES WHEN COACH PATERNO WERE NO LONGER IN
3	PLACE AS HEAD FOOTBALL COACH.
4	Q. AND THIS IS A DOCUMENT CONTAINED IN
5	MR. MCQUEARY'S FILES?
6	A. YES.
7	Q. NOW YOU MADE REFERENCE TO FILES BEING
8	UNDER LOCK AND KEY, IS THAT CORRECT?
9	A. YES.
10	Q. WERE ALL OF THE PERSONNEL FILES UNDER
11	LOCK AND KEY?
12	A. YES.
13	Q. I AM GOING TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION NOW
14	TO SATURDAY NOVEMBER 5TH WHEN YOU LEARNED ABOUT
15	THE PRESENTMENT. I BELIEVE YOU TESTIFIED YOU
16	LEARNED THAT FROM A COLLEAGUE WHO HAD CALLED TO
17	ALERT YOU ABOUT IT?
18	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
19	Q. AND YOU HEARD SOME INFORMATION ABOUT THE
20	STATEMENT THAT DR. SPANIER HAD ISSUED?
21	A. YES.
22	Q. DID YOU HEAR ANY REFERENCE IN
23	CONJUNCTION WITH THAT STATEMENT TO MR. MCQUEARY?
24	A. NO.
25	Q. DID YOU AS YOU HEARD INFORMATION ABOUT

1	THAT STATEMENT RELATED IN ANY WAY TO
2	MR. MCQUEARY?
3	A. NO.
4	Q. DID YOU AT ANY TIME AFTER YOU SAW HEARD
5	THAT INFORMATION ABOUT THAT STATEMENT RELATE IT
6	TO MR. MCQUEARY?
7	A. NO.
8	Q. SUNDAY I BELIEVE YOU SAID YOU HAD YOUR
9	FIRST EXECUTIVE COUNSEL MEETING TO ADDRESS THE
10	SITUATION THAT WAS UNFOLDING ON CAMPUS?
11	A. YEAH.
12	Q. WAS THERE ANY INFORMATION RELATED ABOUT
13	MR. MCQUEARY IN THAT MEETING?
14	A. NO. NOT THAT I CAN RECALL.
15	Q. AND THEN MONDAY, NOVEMBER 7TH, I BELIEVE
L 6	YOU TESTIFIED DR. SPANIER HELD TWO MEETINGS, IS
17	THAT CORRECT?
18	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
L 9	Q. FIRST ONE BEING A MEETING FOR HEAD
20	COACHES?
21	A. YES.
22	Q. WAS THERE ANY EXTRA SECURITY AT THOSE
23	MEETINGS?
24	A. YES.
25	O. WHAT WAS THE NATURE OF THOSE EXTRA

1	SECURITY?
2	A. WE WERE BEING BOMBARDED BY PRESS AT THAT
3	POINT. THEY WERE LINED OUTSIDE BEAVER STADIUM,
4	ACROSS THE STREET FROM BRYCE JORDAN CENTER. THEY
5	WERE ALL OVER CAMPUS BUT IT WAS REALLY SPECIFIED
6	TO ATHLETIC AREAS. SO WE HIRED GUARDS FOR THE
7	FRONT OF THE MEETINGS TO TAKE PLACE BECAUSE WE
8	WERE HAVING IT IN BEAVER STADIUM AND I ACTED AS A
9	GATEKEEPER WHEN WE WERE THERE SO THAT I COULD
10	IDENTIFY THE EMPLOYEES COMING IN AND OUT OF THESE
11	MEETINGS AND I KNEW WHO WAS AN ATHLETIC
12	DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEE AND WHO WAS NOT. SO I WAS
13	THERE AS A GATEKEEPER AS WELL.
14	Q. AS I UNDERSTAND YOU DID NOT ATTEND THE
15	MEETING WITH HEAD COACHES?
16	A. I DID NOT. I REMAINED THE GATEKEEPER
17	OUTSIDE THE DOORS.
18	Q. AS THEY WERE IN THE MEETING DID YOU HEAR
19	ANYONE MAKE ANY REFERENCE TO MR. MCQUEARY?
20	A. NO.
21	Q. AS THE HEAD COACHES LEFT THAT MEETING
22	DID YOU HEAR ANYONE MAKE ANY REFERENCE TO MIKE
23	MCQUEARY?
24	A. NO.
25	Q. AND THEN WITH RESPECT TO THE SECOND

1	MEETING THAT WAS THE STAFF OF INTERCOLLEGIATE
2	ATHLETICS?
3	A. YES.
4	Q. AND WERE YOU PRESENT AS THEY WERE
5	WALKING IN THE MEETING?
6	A. YES.
7	Q. AS GATEKEEPER?
8	A. AS GATEKEEPER.
9	Q. DID YOU HEAR ANYONE MAKE ANY REFERENCE
10	TO MIKE MCQUEARY AS YOU WALKED INTO THE MEETING?
11	A. NO.
12	Q. YOU JOINED THAT MEETING FOR PART OF IT,
13	DIDN'T YOU?
14	A. YES. ONCE THE LAST EMPLOYEE CAME
15	THROUGH THE GATES I TURNED IT OVER TO THE GUARDS
16	AND I WENT UPSTAIRS AND JOINED THE MEETING.
17	Q. DURING THE TIME YOU WERE IN THE MEETING
18	DID YOU HEAR ANY REFERENCE TO MIKE MCQUEARY?
19	A. NO.
20	Q. DID YOU HEAR ANY STATEMENT THAT RELATED
21	TO MICHAEL MCQUEARY IN ANY WAY?
22	A. NO.
23	Q. AND AS YOU LEFT THAT MEETING AND, BY
24	THE WAY, HOW MANY PEOPLE WERE THERE?
25	A. IT WAS A FULL HOUSE. THERE WERE A LOT

1	OF PEOPLE THERE.
2	Q. WHEN YOU SAY A LOT WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
3	A. I AM SORRY. I THINK ALL OF THE COACHES.
4	ALL OF THE HEAD COACHES CAME THROUGH WHO WERE ON
5	CAMPUS. THERE WERE MANY TRAVELING FOR
6	DIFFERENT
7	Q. I AM FOCUSSING ON THE MEETING OF
8	INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS?
9	A. OKAY. I WOULD PROBABLY SAY OVER A
10	HUNDRED.
11	Q. AND AS THOSE STAFF MEMBERS OF
12	INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS LEFT THE MEETING DID
13	YOU HEAR ANYONE TALKING ABOUT ANYONE TALKING
14	ABOUT MIKE MCQUEARY?
15	A. NO.
16	Q. DID YOU HEAR ANY STATEMENT THAT REFERRED
17	TO MIKE MCQUEARY?
18	A. NO.
19	Q. DID YOU HEAR ANY STATEMENT THAT MADE YOU
20	THINK OF MIKE MCQUEARY?
21	A. NO.
22	Q. AT THIS TIME WHAT WAS THE ATMOSPHERE
23	LIKE IN ATHLETICS?
24	A. IT'S NOT A TIME I LIKE TO REMEMBER,
25	FIRST OF ALL. IT WAS VERY VOLATILE. PEOPLE WERE

1	SCARED. WE HAD PEOPLE NOT COMING INTO WORK.
2	PEOPLE WERE SAD. IT WAS ALMOST IT WAS A
3	GRIEVING TIME. TIM WAS VERY WELL LIKED, VERY,
4	VERY WELL LIKED, AND IT JUST FELT LIKE IT WAS
5	SOMETHING WE COULD NEVER IMAGINE HAPPENING.
6	Q. YOU MENTION THAT ATHLETICS WAS RECEIVING
7	E-MAILS, VOICEMAILS, ALL KINDS OF MESSAGES AT THE
8	START OF THE WEEK. WHAT WAS THE NATURE OF THOSE
9	MESSAGES?
10	A. WELL THEY WERE GATHERED TOWARDS
11	MR. SANDUSKY AND TIM CURLEY AND ADMINISTRATION
12	JUST IN GENERAL. THAT'S
13	Q. WITH REGARD TO SANDUSKY WHAT WERE THEY
14	SAYING?
15	A. THEY WERE SAYING VILE THINGS.
16	Q. AND THEN ON NOVEMBER 9TH AFTER COACH
17	PATERNO WAS REMOVED FROM HIS POSITION
18	A. YES.
19	Q WHAT WAS THE TYPES OF COMMUNICATIONS
20	YOU WERE RECEIVING IN ATHLETICS?
21	A. IT WAS A 50/50 SPLIT. THINGS WERE
22	SCALED TOWARDS COACH, PEOPLE WERE IN SHOCK,
23	ANGERED HE WAS RELIEVED OF HIS RESPONSIBILITIES,
24	AND THEN THERE WERE SOME PEOPLE THAT WERE
25	APPLAUDING THE UNIVERSITY FOR TAKING ACTION

1	AGAINST COACH PATERNO. IT WAS A 50/50 SPLIT.
2	Q. AND THEN FOLLOWING THE PRESS CONFERENCE
3	IN WHICH INTERIM HEAD COACH BRADLEY ANNOUNCED
4	MIKE MCQUEARY WOULD BE COACHING WERE YOU
5	PRESENT DURING THAT PRESS CONFERENCE?
6	A. I WAS NOT.
7	Q. YOU HEARD ABOUT THAT PRESS CONFERENCE?
8	A. YES.
9	Q. AND WHAT WAS THE TYPE OF COMMUNICATIONS
10	ATHLETICS WAS RECEIVING FOLLOWING THAT
11	CONFERENCE?
12	A. AFTER THAT CONFERENCE THE VILE MESSAGES
13	WERE GATHERED TOWARD MIKE.
14	Q. WHEN YOU SAY VILE MESSAGES, WHAT KIND OF
15	MESSAGES?
16	A. CLEARLY WE WERE CONCERNED ABOUT HIS
17	SAFETY. WE WERE CONCERNED ABOUT HIS FAMILY'S
18	SAFETY. THE THINGS PEOPLE WERE SAYING THERE
19	WAS NO COMPASSION FOR ANYBODY IN ATHLETICS AT
20	THAT POINT.
21	Q. AND WHAT ABOUT MR. MCQUEARY? WERE THERE
22	SPECIFIC REFERENCES TO HIM IN THOSE MESSAGES?
23	A. YES.
24	Q. WERE YOU ABLE TO KEEP UP TRACKING THESE
25	MESSAGES?

1	A. BETWEEN THE EMPLOYEES BEING DISTRESSED
2	AND EVERYTHING CHANGING AND THE PRESS AND
3	EVERYTHING ELSE WE WERE TRYING TO COMPILE
4	ACCURATE ACCOUNTS OF WHAT WAS HAPPENING AS WE
5	COULD BUT I CAN'T SAY THAT IT WAS A GREAT EFFORT
6	AT THAT POINT. WE WERE JUST TRYING TO COMPILE
7	THE INFORMATION TO MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE WERE
8	SAFE.
9	Q. FAIR TO SAY IT WAS TIME OF CHAOS?
10	A. THAT WOULD BE AN UNDERSTATEMENT.
11	Q. I AM SORRY?
12	A. THAT WOULD BE AN UNDERSTATEMENT.
13	Q. AND DURING THIS TIME, THAT IS AFTER
14	COACH BRADLEY'S ANNOUNCEMENT, WHEN YOU ARE
15	RECEIVING THESE MESSAGES IN DO YOU HAVE A
16	CONVERSATION WITH ANYONE IN ATHLETICS ABOUT THE
17	STATUS OF MR. MCQUEARY?
18	A. YES.
19	Q. WHO DO YOU HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH?
20	A. MIKE'S ASSISTANT CAME TO ME.
21	Q. WHO IS THE ASSISTANT?
22	A. BILL KAVANAUGH (PH.) CAME TO ME IN A
23	STATE OF PANIC AND FRUSTRATION AND SAT DOWN WITH
24	ME AND TOLD ME THAT LASCH WAS BEING BOMBARDED
25	WITH JUST HORRIBLE THREATS AND THAT HE FEAR FOR

1	MIKE'S SAFETY AND FOR THE SAFETY OF HIS FAMILY.
2	Q. AND IF I MAY INTERRUPT YOU, WHEN YOU SAY
3	LASCH DID YOU
4	A. LASCH BUILDING. THAT'S WHERE FOOTBALL
5	IS HOUSED.
6	Q. SO AFTER MR. KAVANAUGH RELATES THESE
7	CONCERNS ABOUT MR. MCQUEARY'S SAFETY, NOT ONLY
8	HIS SAFETY BUT THE SAFETY OF HIS FAMILY, WHAT DO
9	YOU DO STRIKE THAT. WAS MR DO YOU KNOW
10	WAS MR. KAVANAUGH A CLOSE FRIEND OF MR. MCQUEARY?
11	A. YES. I BELIEVE THEY WERE.
12	Q. WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THIS INFORMATION
13	FROM MR. MCQUEARY'S CLOSE FRIEND?
14	A. I WENT STRAIGHT TO MARK BODENSCHATZ, WHO
15	IS THE DIRECTOR OF THE FACILITY OF BEAVER
16	STADIUM, AND I TOLD HIM WE NEEDED TO MAKE SURE
17	THAT SAFETY WAS PROVIDED FOR MIKE.
18	Q. DID YOU GIVE ANY RECOMMENDATION OF WHAT
19	SAFETY SHOULD BE PROVIDED TO MR. MCQUEARY?
20	A. NO. THAT'S NOT MY EXPERTISE.
21	Q. NOW FOLLOWING THAT NOTICE THAT YOU
22	PROVIDED TO MR. KAVANAUGH DID THERE COME A POINT
23	IN TIME THAT YOU LEARNED MR. MCQUEARY WOULD NOT
24	BE COACHING THE NEBRASKA GAME?
25	A. YES.

1	Q. DO YOU RECALL WHEN THAT WAS?
2	A. I TRULY DO NOT. I DON'T REMEMBER THE
3	DATE. FRIDAY. I DEFINITELY KNEW BY SATURDAY.
4	SO FRIDAY MAYBE.
5	Q. I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO
6	D-31. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TWO-PAGE
7	DOCUMENT THAT IS CONTAINED IN D-31?
8	A. YES.
9	Q. WHAT IS IT?
10	A. THIS IS AN E-MAIL BY THE FORMER HR
11	MANAGER OF ATHLETICS WHO WAS RETIRE FOR QUITE
12	SOME TIME AND SHE WAS STARTING TO RECEIVE E-MAILS
13	FROM PEOPLE ABOUT MIKE.
14	Q. AND DIRECTING
15	MS. CONRAD: MOVE FOR ADMISSION OF
16	BY MS. CONRAD:
17	Q. AND DID SHE FORWARD THESE E-MAILS TO
18	YOU?
19	A. YES. SHE DID.
20	MS. CONRAD: MOVE FOR ADMISSION OF D-31.
21	MR. STROKOFF: NO OBJECTION.
22	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED.
23	BY MS. CONRAD:
24	Q. DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE FIRST
25	PAGE, THE MESSAGE TOWARDS THAT IS CONTAINED

1	TOWARDS THE END OF THE E-MAIL. COULD YOU READ
2	THAT INTO THE RECORD?
3	A. DO NOT LET MCQUEENY OR WHATEVER HIS NAME
4	IS COACH THE WEEKEND FROM A CARDBOARD BOX AND
5	FIRE HIM FOR LACK OF CURIOSITY.
6	Q. AND DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE NEXT
7	PAGE? WAS THERE ANOTHER MESSAGE FROM THE SAME
8	SENDER?
9	A. YES.
10	Q. AND DO THESE MESSAGES ARE THESE
11	MESSAGES AN EXAMPLE OF THE TYPES OF MESSAGES THAT
12	ATHLETICS WAS RECEIVING?
13	A. YES.
14	Q. DO THESE MESSAGES AT ALL CONTAIN THE
15	VILE VICIOUS NATURE THAT YOU PREVIOUSLY
16	REFERENCED?
17	A. YES. BUT THESE ARE QUITE TAME COMPARED
18	TO SOME OF THE OTHER ONES WE WERE RECEIVING.
19	Q. I AM SORRY. I DIDN'T HEAR YOU.
20	A. YES. BUT THESE ARE QUITE TAME COMPARED
21	TO THE OTHER ONES WE WERE RECEIVING.
22	Q. WHEN YOU SAY OTHER ONES THAT YOU WERE
23	RECEIVING, ANY RECOLLECTION OF THOSE?
24	A. WELL ELECTRONICALLY, NO, BUT THE PHONES
25	CALLS THAT WERE COMING IN WERE ATROCIOUS. OUR

1	ATHLETIC WEB SERVER IS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AND
2	PEOPLE WOULD JUST CALL THE LIST RIGHT DOWN THE
3	NAMES AND CALL AND SAY HORRIBLE THINGS TO EACH
4	AND EVERYONE OF US AND PARTICULARLY ABOUT
5	PATERNO, MIKE, TIM, AND SANDUSKY.
6	Q. DID YOU HAVE A CONCERN FOR MIKE
7	MCQUEARY'S SAFETY?
8	A. I HAD A CONCERN FOR EVERYBODY IN
9	ATHLETICS AT THAT POINT BECAUSE EVERYBODY WAS A
10	TARGET BUT WHEN BILL KAVANAUGH CAME AND TOLD ME
11	HE WAS CONCERNED THAT'S WHEN I FELT THAT I NEEDED
12	TO SAY SOMETHING.
13	Q. SO YOU HAD AFTER SPEAKING WITH
14	MR. KAVANAUGH YOU HAD INCREASED CONCERN ABOUT
15	MIKE MCQUEARY'S SAFETY?
16	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
17	Q. YOU THEN LEARNED HE WOULD NOT BE
18	COACHING IN THE NEBRASKA GAME?
19	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
20	Q. YOU PRESENT THEN WHEN MR. SHERBURNE
21	CALLED HIM TO SCHEDULE A MEETING TO MEET WITH
22	HIM?
23	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
24	Q. AND THEN YOU ON THAT SUNDAY EVENING MET
25	WITH HIM AND MR. SHERBURNE READ FROM THE DOCUMENT

1	THAT'S BEEN MARKED AS D-53?
2	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
3	Q. CAN YOU GET TO THAT PLEASE. D-53. NOW
4	WE HAVE ALREADY GONE OVER THIS DOCUMENT AND I DO
5	NOT WANT TO TAKE YOU THROUGH IT LINE BY LINE
6	AGAIN BUT I WANT TO ASK YOU A FEW SPECIFIC
7	QUESTIONS ABOUT IT. I BELIEVE YOU MADE REFERENCE
8	ON THE SECOND PAGE TO THE RETURN OF THE DEALER
9	VEHICLE?
10	A. YES.
11	Q. AND AGAIN WHAT WAS THE REASON FOR
12	REQUESTING THAT MR. MCQUEARY RETURN HIS DEALER
13	VEHICLE WHILE HE WAS ON HIS PAID ADMINISTRATIVE
14	LEAVE?
15	A. THOSE ARE ACTIVE EMPLOYEE BENEFITS.
16	Q. AND WERE THEY BENEFITS THAT HE WOULD
17	USE? MEANING HE WOULD USE THE CAR IN CONJUNCTION
18	WITH HIS POSITION?
19	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
20	Q. AND WAS THE REASON BECAUSE HE WAS NO
21	LONGER ACTIVELY CARRYING OUT HIS JOB DUTIES THERE
22	WAS NO REASON FOR HIM TO MAINTAIN THE DEALER
23	VEHICLE?
24	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
25	Q. AND THEN DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE

1	LAST PARAGRAPH THERE YOU MAY ALSO DISCUSS THE
2	EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM WITH YOUR HUMAN
3	RESOURCES MANAGER. DO YOU SEE THAT?
4	A. YES.
5	Q. AND THAT INFORMATION WAS PROVIDED TO
6	MR. MCQUEARY?
7	A. YES.
8	Q. I BELIEVE YOU MADE REFERENCE TO WHAT
9	BENEFITS ARE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE UNIVERSITY'S
10	EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM. WHAT ARE THOSE
11	BENEFITS?
12	A. SO THE UNIVERSITY IS PRETTY GENEROUS.
13	IT'S A CONFIDENTIAL PROGRAM. FIVE FREE CALENDAR
14	OR FREE COUNSELING SESSIONS PER ISSUE PER
15	FAMILY MEMBER OF THE HOUSEHOLD. THERE IS
16	FINANCIAL COUNSELING. THERE IS A SUBPART CALLED
17	HEALTH ADVOCATE WHERE YOU CAN GO THROUGH YOUR
18	MEDICAL BENEFITS AND HAVE SOMEBODY HELP ON YOUR
19	BEHALF IF YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND BILLING
20	INQUIRIES. AM I MISSING ANYTHING? COUNSELING,
21	FINANCIAL COUNSELING, AND LEGAL COUNSELING.
22	Q. AND THIS WAS A CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE OF
23	RESOURCE, WASN'T IT?
24	A. ABSOLUTELY.
25	Q. YET YOU INDICATED TO MR. MCQUEARY THAT

1	IF HE WANTED INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROGRAM HE
2	COULD CONTACT YOU, CORRECT?
3	A. YES.
4	Q. AND DID HE EVER CONTACT YOU ABOUT THESE
5	BENEFITS SO COUNSELING, FINANCIAL COUNSELING,
6	LEGAL COUNSELING WHILE YOU WERE WITH
7	ATHLETICS?
8	A. NO.
9	Q. YOU DIDN'T PARTICIPATE IN THE DECISION
10	RELATED TO THE PAYMENT OF BOWL BONUSES FOLLOWING
11	THE TICKETCITY BOWL, DID YOU?
12	A. NO. I DID NOT.
13	Q. IN JANUARY OF 2012 WHEN COACH O'BRIEN
14	WAS NAMED AND APPOINTED AS HEAD FOOTBALL COACH
15	DID HE AT ANY TIME ASK TO SEE ANY OF THE
16	PERSONNEL FILES OF ANY OF THE ASSISTANT COACHES
17	UNDER COACH PATERNO?
18	A. NOT THAT I AM AWARE OF.
19	Q. WITH RESPECT TO THE SEVERANCE PAYMENTS
20	THAT MR. MCQUEARY THAT FOLLOWED MR. MCQUEARY'S
21	FIXED TERM APPOINTMENT DID YOU PLAY ANY ROLE IN
22	THE DECISION TO ISSUE THOSE SEVERANCE PAYMENTS TO
23	MR. MCQUEARY?
24	A. NO.
25	O. WERE YOU AWARE HE WAS PAID 18 MONTHS OF

1	SALARY AND BENEFITS FOLLOWING THE EXPIRATION OF
2	HIS EMPLOYMENT?
3	A. YES.
4	Q. AND IN YOUR ROLE AS HR MANAGER WOULD YOU
5	AGREE WITH THE POSITION THAT THE UNIVERSITY
6	HONORED ALL OF ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE
7	APPOINTMENT THAT MR. MCQUEARY HELD WITH THE
8	UNIVERSITY?
9	A. YES.
10	Q. AND IN YOUR POSITION OF HR MANAGER DID
11	YOU DO EVERYTHING YOU COULD TO SUPPORT
12	MR. MCQUEARY?
13	A. YES.
14	MS. CONRAD: THANK YOU. I HAVE NO
15	FURTHER QUESTIONS.
16	MR. STROKOFF: A FEW, YOUR HONOR.
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. STROKOFF:
19	Q. DID YOU IDENTIFY ANY SUPPORT THAT YOU
20	FELT MR. MCQUEARY NEEDED AT ALL ONCE HE WAS
21	PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?
22	A. IDENTIFY ANY
23	Q. YOU SAID TO MS. CONRAD'S QUESTION YOU
24	DID EVERYTHING YOU COULD TO HELP MICHAEL
25	MCQUEARY.

1	A. SURE. SO THE EAP PROGRAM IS SOMETHING
2	THAT WE REFERENCE TO ALL EMPLOYEES WHO MIGHT HAVE
3	A DISTRESSING TIME. SO, YES, I MEAN, THAT'S
4	WHERE WE PROVIDED IT, AND, LIKE I SAID WITH MY
5	TESTIMONY WITH YOU, IF HE HAD ANY QUESTIONS OR
6	NEEDED ANYTHING HE WOULD HAVE CONTACTED ME AS HIS
7	HR REP.
8	Q. AT THE MEETING ON NOVEMBER 13, 2011
9	A. OKAY.
10	Q DIDN'T HE MAKE IT CLEAR HE WANTED TO
11	CONTINUE TO COACH?
12	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
13	Q. AND THAT HE DIDN'T FEEL HE DID ANYTHING
14	WRONG?
15	A. YES.
16	Q. OKAY. WHAT DID YOU DO TO ADVOCATE THAT
17	POSITION FOR MIKE MCQUEARY FOLLOWING NOVEMBER 13,
18	2011?
19	A. COACHING DECISIONS ARE NOT IN MY
20	JURISDICTION. MR. SHERBURNE WAS THERE. HE WAS
21	THE INTERIM AD. THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN SOMETHING
22	HE WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO HANDLE AT THAT POINT
23	IF THAT'S WHAT HE CHOSE TO DO.
24	Q. SO YOU DID NOT FEEL THAT THERE WAS
25	ANYTHING YOU WERE ABLE TO DO TO HELP MR. MCQUEARY

1	AFTER
2	MS. CONRAD: OBJECTION.
3	MISCHARACTERIZING THE WITNESS'S TESTIMONY.
4	THE COURT: OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.
5	YOU CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION. IF YOU
6	CAN'T
7	THE WITNESS: CAN YOU REPEAT QUESTION
8	PLEASE?
9	BY MR. STROKOFF:
10	Q. WELL YOU SAID YOU DID EVERYTHING YOU
11	COULD TO HELP MR. MCQUEARY. I AM TRYING TO FIND
12	OUT WHAT IT WAS THAT YOU DID TO HELP
13	MR. MCQUEARY.
14	A. SURE. I PROVIDED HIM WITH EVERYTHING HE
15	ASKED FOR IN TERMS OF HIS ITEMS, WE SELECTED DATE
16	THAT WAS CONVENIENT TO HIM, WE PROVIDED HIM WITH
17	EAP SERVICES. THAT'S MY ROLE.
18	Q. THE DEALER CAR WHICH HE HAD TO TURN IN
19	YOU SAID BECAUSE HE WASN'T ACTIVE EMPLOYEE?
20	A. CORRECT.
21	Q. BUT HE WAS STILL WAS AN EMPLOYEE?
22	A. YES.
23	Q. UNDER THE SEVERANCE AGREEMENT, IF YOU GO
24	BACK TO PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 20, WOULDN'T YOU
25	AGREE THAT AFTER SEVERANCE OF MR. MCQUEARY AND

1	THE OTHER ASSISTANT FOOTBALL COACHES WERE
2	ENTITLED TO KEEP THE DEALER CAR FOR THREE MONTHS?
3	A. CAN I SEE WHAT
4	Q. IT'S PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 30.
5	A. SO IT'S THIS BOOK? YES. THAT'S WHAT IT
6	SAYS.
7	Q. SO A COMMITMENT WAS MADE IN 2008 THAT
8	AFTER SEPARATION MR. MCQUEARY COULD KEEP THE
9	DEALER CAR FOR THREE MONTHS EVEN THOUGH HE WASN'T
10	AN EMPLOYEE?
11	A. THAT WOULD BE WHAT THIS PARTICULAR
12	DOCUMENT SAYS. YES.
13	Q. OKAY. AFTER MR. MCQUEARY CEASED BEING
14	AN EMPLOYEE?
15	A. UH-HUH.
16	Q. JULY 1, 2012, WAS HE GIVEN A DEALER CAR
17	FOR THREE MONTHS?
18	A. NOT THAT I AM AWARE.
19	Q. OR CELLPHONE FOR THREE MONTHS?
20	A. NOT THAT I AM AWARE OF.
21	Q. THE EXTRA SECURITY THAT WAS IN PLACE AT
22	THESE MEETINGS OF NOVEMBER 7, 2011
23	A. UH-HUH.
24	Q WAS TO KEEP THE MEDIA OUT, RIGHT?
25	A. THAT IS CORRECT OR NON-EMPLOYEES.

1	Q. AND YOU HAVE EXPRESSED THE VIEW THAT
2	FIXED TERM ONE RENEWALS WERE TO BE DONE VERBALLY?
3	A. THEY COULD BE DONE VERBALLY.
4	Q. OKAY. IF THEY WOULD BE DONE VERBALLY
5	WASN'T THE PROPER PRACTICE TO HAVE SOME KIND OF
6	CONFIRMATION SOMEWHERE THAT FOR EXAMPLE, COACH
7	PATERNO TOLD MIKE MCQUEARY ON JUNE 28, 2009, THAT
8	HE IS BEING RENEWED FOR ANOTHER YEAR?
9	A. I DON'T KNOW WHAT PRACTICE WAS ON THAT
10	IN THE FOOTBALL PROGRAM. I SIMPLY ASKED THE
11	AD WHO WOULD BE STAYING ON. HE PROVIDED ME WITH
12	THE INFORMATION AND WE PROCESSED THE FORMS.
13	Q. OKAY. THE VERY FIRST SENTENCE OF
14	PLAINTIFF'S 20
15	A. OKAY.
16	Q SAYS I AM PLEASED TO CONFIRM THE
17	UNIVERSITY'S COMMITMENT REGARDING THE FOLLOWING
18	TERMS FOR YOUR CONTINUED EMPLOYMENT AS AN
19	ASSISTANT FOOTBALL COACH. IT DOES NOT SAY
20	ANYTHING ABOUT FIXED TERM EMPLOYMENT, DOES IT?
21	A. NO. BUT CONTINUED EMPLOYMENT COULD BE
22	TAKEN THAT'S THOSE ARE PLAY ON WORDS. I
23	DON'T SEE THAT RELATING TO FIXED TERM OR
24	STANDING. IT'S JUST CONTINUED EMPLOYMENT.
25	Q. AND LASTLY I WANT TO GO TO 56. THESE

1	ARE COPIES OF COMPUTER SCREENS. ISN'T THAT
2	REALLY WHAT THEY ARE?
3	A. 56?
4	Q. NOT PLAINTIFF'S. DEFENDANT'S 56. MY
5	APOLOGIES.
6	A. OKAY. WHAT WAS YOUR QUESTION? I AM
7	SORRY.
8	Q. THESE VARIOUS PAGES HERE THEY ARE
9	COPIES OF COMPUTER SCREENS?
10	A. AS I SAID BEFORE THESE WERE PROCESSED
11	FROM OUR FINANCIAL SYSTEM, YES.
12	Q. OKAY. WHEN YOU SAY YOUR FINANCIAL
13	SYSTEM IS THAT WITHIN
14	A. WITHIN PENN STATE.
15	Q. YES. BUT NOT WITHIN YOUR OFFICE?
16	A. NO. THIS IS PENN STATE SYSTEM.
17	Q. OKAY. AND AT THE TOP OF THE FIRST PAGE
18	DOESN'T IT SAY APPOINTMENT INQUIRY 11/4/11?
19	A. YES.
20	Q. SOMEBODY WENT INTO THIS SYSTEM THE DAY
21	AFTER YOU HAD YOUR MEETING WITH MR. MCQUEARY?
22	A. WHERE ARE YOU SEEING THIS? THAT'S A
23	SCREEN ON THE FINANCE SYSTEM. YES.
24	Q. PARDON ME?
25	A. YES. IT APPEARS THIS WAS PULLED

1	11/14/2011.
2	Q. AND YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THAT WAS?
3	A. I CAN TELL YOU WHO IT WAS.
4	Q. WHO WAS IT?
5	A. IT WAS BECKY BARTON (PH.).
6	Q. AND WHY WAS BECKY BARTON ACCESSING
7	A. YOU WOULD HAVE TO ASK BECKY.
8	Q. OKAY. AND ON HERE AT THE TOP OF THE
9	MIDDLE UNDER APPT TYPE COLON IT SAYS FT1P?
10	A. YES.
11	Q. WHAT IS THE P?
12	A. I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT THE P IS.
13	MR. STROKOFF: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR
14	HONOR.
15	MS. CONRAD: ONE AREA OF FOLLOW UP.
16	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. CONRAD:
18	Q. I WANT TO TAKE YOU BACK TO D-5.
19	A. OKAY.
20	Q. DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO PARAGRAPH
21	2B?
22	A. YES.
23	Q. AND COUNSEL ASKED YOU ABOUT THE DEALER
24	CAR AND CELLPHONES, CORRECT?
25	A. YES.

1	Q. AND DOESN'T THAT PARAGRAPH READ YOU WILL
2	BE ENTITLED TO CONTINUE USING THE DEALER CAR AND
3	CELL PHONES ASSIGNED TO YOU FOR A PERIOD OF THREE
4	MONTHS FOLLOWING THE DATE OF TERMINATION OF YOUR
5	UNIVERSITY EMPLOYMENT?
6	A. YES.
7	Q. AT THE TIME MR. MCQUEARY'S APPOINTMENT
8	ENDED DID HE HAVE A DEALER CAR?
9	A. NO.
10	Q. SO WAS HE ABLE TO CONTINUE USING THE
11	DEALER CAR?
12	A. NO.
13	Q. AND WAS MR. MCQUEARY'S EMPLOYMENT
14	TERMINATED AS IN HE WAS TERMINATED AS A RESULT OF
15	THE KNEW HEAD COACH IN JANUARY 2012?
16	A. YES.
17	Q. WAS HE TERMINATED IN JANUARY
18	A. OH, NO. NO. HE WAS PLACED ON
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE SO ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE
20	CARRIED OUT THROUGH THE END OF HIS CONTRACT. HE
21	WAS PAID THROUGH THE END OF HIS CONTRACT AND THEN
22	TERMINATION WOULD HAVE HAPPENED THE DAY THAT IT
23	WAS NOT RENEWED.
24	Q. SO TERMINATION WAS THE RESULT OF HIS
25	APPOINTMENT ENDING?

1	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
2	MS. CONRAD: THANK YOU. I HAVE NO
3	FURTHER QUESTIONS.
4	MR. STROKOFF: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR
5	HONOR
6	THE COURT: MEMBERS OF THE JURY, I THINK
7	WILL TAKE OUR TAKE AFTERNOON BREAK. TAKE A BREAK
8	UNTIL 3:30. GO AHEAD AND STEP OUT PLEASE.
9	PLEASE REMAIN THERE.
10	(WHEREUPON, THE JURY WAS ESCORTED TO THE
11	JURY ROOM AND THE FOLLOWING CONVERSATION
12	WAS HELD OUTSIDE OF THEIR PRESENCE:)
13	THE COURT: YOU CAN BE SEATED.
14	MA'AM, WHERE IS THE WRITTEN POLICY FOR
15	PENN STATE FOR ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WITH PAY?
16	WHERE DO I FIND THAT?
17	THE WITNESS: I DON'T BELIEVE THERE IS
18	AN ACTUAL POLICY FOR ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WITH
19	PAY. WE HAVE ONE WITHOUT PAY.
20	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO MR. MCQUEARY
21	IS PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WITH PAY?
22	THE WITNESS: THAT IS CORRECT.
23	THE COURT: AND YOU ARE THE HR PERSON?
24	THE WITNESS: CORRECT.
25	THE COURT: WHAT INPUT DID YOU HAVE IN

1	THAT DECISION FOR A POLICY THAT YOU AREN'T AWARE
2	OF?
3	THE WITNESS: I HAD NO INPUT ON THAT
4	DECISION.
5	THE COURT: AND WHO INDICATED THAT A NEW
6	CATEGORY ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WITH PAY WAS GOING
7	TO BE CREATED?
8	THE WITNESS: I DON'T NECESSARILY KNOW
9	IF A NEW WELL CYNTHIA BALDWIN HAD TOLD ME THAT
10	WE WERE PLACING HIM ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WITH
11	PAY.
12	THE COURT: BUT IF SOMEONE CAME TO YOU
13	AND SAID AN EMPLOYEE, CAN I GET ADMINISTRATIVE
14	LEAVE WITH PAY
15	THE WITNESS: NO.
16	THE COURT: WOULDN'T HAPPEN?
17	THE WITNESS: NO. I MEAN, THEY COULD
18	THEY COULD UTILIZE VACATION OR SICK LEAVE TO MAKE
19	IT A PAID LEAVE BUT THE POLICY IS UNPAID. SO IF
20	YOU HAD OTHER FORMS OF WAYS TO MAKE IT PAID YOU
21	CAN DO IT THAT WAY BUT WE DO NOT TYPICALLY PLACE
22	PEOPLE ON LEAVE WITH PAY.
23	THE COURT: AND SO AT MY REQUEST
24	MS. CONRAD PROVIDED ME WITH WHAT I THOUGHT WAS
25	THE ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WITH PAY AND IT TURNS

1	OUT TO BE ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WITHOUT PAY.
2	THE WITNESS: THAT IS CORRECT.
3	THE COURT: AND YOU RECOGNIZE THAT
4	DOCUMENT?
5	THE WITNESS: I DO.
6	THE COURT: AND THE PURPOSE OF THE
7	DOCUMENT IS SET FORTH ON PAGE ONE, CORRECT?
8	THE WITNESS: THAT IS CORRECT.
9	THE COURT: AND BASICALLY IT'S FOR
10	SOMEONE WHO HAS HEALTH ISSUE OR SOMEONE WHO WANTS
11	TO CONTINUE ACADEMIC STUDY, CORRECT?
12	THE WITNESS: THAT IS CORRECT.
13	THE COURT: BUT AN ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE
14	FOR SOMEONE WHO IS AT THE CENTER OF THE
15	CONTROVERSY THAT'S NOT COVERED?
16	THE WITNESS: NO. I DON'T BELIEVE IT'S
17	COVERED.
18	THE COURT: OKAY. NOW HOW MANY YEARS
19	WERE YOU YOUR OFFICE WAS IN THE LASCH
20	BUILDING?
21	THE WITNESS: NO. MY OFFICE WAS IN THE
22	BRYCE JORDAN CENTER.
23	THE COURT: OKAY. SO I AM NOT FAMILIAR
24	WITH THE NAMES OF THE BUILDINGS, ET CETERA. WHAT
25	INTERACTIONS, IF ANY, DURING THE PERIOD OF TIME

1	THAT YOU WERE IN THIS POSITION WOULD YOU HAVE HAD
2	WITH MR. MCQUEARY?
3	THE WITNESS: WE HAD A COUPLE OF
4	OCCURRENCES. JUST IF HE NEEDED HR HELP OR
5	ASSISTANCE, IF HE WERE HIRING FOR A POSITION HE
6	MIGHT COME OVER, JUST IN THE COURSE OF REGULAR
7	BUSINESS ONGOING. IF THERE WAS DISCIPLINARY
8	PROBLEM I MIGHT HAVE GOTTEN INVOLVED BUT THERE
9	WASN'T.
10	THE COURT: OKAY. AND I TAKE IT THAT
11	THE FOOTBALL PEOPLE ARE INSULAR GROUP OF PEOPLE?
12	THEY ALL HANG TOGETHER?
13	THE WITNESS: YOU CAN SAY THAT.
14	THE COURT: AND OUTSIDE OF THAT
15	COMMUNITY WOULD YOU EXPECT THAT MR. MCQUEARY
16	WOULD BE INVOLVED IN THE OTHER ACTIVITIES OF THE
17	UNIVERSITY OR WAS HE COMMITTED TO THAT
18	ENVIRONMENT?
19	THE WITNESS: MY UNDERSTANDING IS HE WAS
20	COMMITTED TO THAT ENVIRONMENT.
21	THE COURT: COMMITTED TO THAT
22	ENVIRONMENT.
23	MR. STROKOFF: I AM SORRY, YOUR HONOR.
24	I DIDN'T HEAR HER ANSWER.
25	THE WITNESS: COMMITTED TO THAT

1	ENVIRONMENT.
2	THE COURT: DID ANY MEMBER OF THE
3	ADMINISTRATION SPEAK FAVORABLY ABOUT MR. MCQUEARY
4	HAVING STEP FORWARD AND SETTING SAYING WHAT HE
5	SAID TO THE GRAND JURY?
6	THE WITNESS: I DON'T RECALL US EVER
7	DISCUSSING THAT AS A MANAGEMENT GROUP OR I HAVE
8	BEEN WITNESS TO ANY CONVERSATIONS REGARDING MIKE.
9	THE COURT: WELL WHAT DID YOU THINK OF
10	THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY COMING FORWARD
11	AND SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF MR. CURLEY AND SCHULTZ?
12	THE WITNESS: WHEN I SAW IT I WAS
13	ACTUALLY SHOCKED WE MADE ANY STATEMENT.
14	THE COURT: AND IF MR. MCQUEARY WAS NOT
15	PERMITTED IN THE ATHLETIC COMPOUND WHERE HE HAD
16	APPARENTLY SPENT MANY YEARS AND BEEN DEDICATED TO
17	WHAT SUPPORT NETWORK WOULD HE BE EXPECT TO HAVE?
18	THE WITNESS: WELL WHEN ANYBODY IS
19	PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WE ASK
20	MR. STROKOFF: I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T
21	WANT TO BE RUDE BUT WHEN SHE IS LOOKING AT YOU I
22	CAN'T HEAR.
23	THE WITNESS: SORRY. ANY TIME SOMEBODY
24	IS PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE THEY WOULD BE
25	ASKED NOT TO GO INTO THE AREAS OF WHICH THEY WERE

1	ASSOCIATED WITH, BUT, I MEAN, SUPPORT COMES IN
2	MANY DIFFERENT WAYS. I KNOW MIKE WAS VERY CLOSE
3	WITH SOME OF THESE PEOPLE AND COULD HAVE HAD
4	OUTSIDE OUTSIDE RELATIONSHIPS WITH THEM.
5	THE COURT: WHEN YOU SAY PEOPLE ARE
6	PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE YOUR ENTIRE POLICY
7	IS GEARED FOR SOMEONE WHO IS ASKING TO BE PLACED
8	ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE. ARE YOU TELLING ME
9	UNDER THAT POLICY THEY ARE NOT PERMITTED BACK IN
1.0	THEIR AREA?
11	THE WITNESS: WHAT I AM SAYING IF
12	SOMEONE WAS TO GO ON MATERNITY LEAVE, FOR
13	EXAMPLE, WE WOULD TAKE THE PARKING, WE WOULD TAKE
14	THE KEYS BECAUSE THEY ARE NO LONGER AN ACTIVE
15	EMPLOYEE IN THAT TIMEFRAME.
16	THE COURT: AND WILL THEY BE ABLE TO GO
17	BACK TO THE OFFICE AREA FROM WHICH THEY LEFT?
18	THE WITNESS: I ASSUME SO. IF THERE WAS
19	AN UNPAID LEAVE FOR A PERFORMANCE ISSUE OR
20	SOMETHING OF THAT NATURE IT WOULD BE A DIFFERENT
21	CIRCUMSTANCES BUT IN THIS PARTICULAR INCIDENT,
22	YEAH.
23	THE COURT: IN THIS PARTICULAR INCIDENT
24	WHAT?
25	THE WITNESS: IF YOU ARE TALKING

1	MATERNITY LEAVE, YES.
2	THE COURT: OKAY. LET ME SEE THIS
3	POLICY BACK.
4	THE WITNESS: MILITARY LEAVE, MATERNITY
5	LEAVE.
6	THE COURT: IT'S YOUR POLICY SO I AM
7	SURE YOU ARE MORE FAMILIAR THAN I BECAUSE. I
8	ONLY READ IT BRIEFLY AND QUICKLY BUT I DON'T SEE
9	ANYTHING IN THERE WHERE THE PERSON WHO IS ON
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WITHOUT PAY IS PRECLUDED
11	FROM COMING BACK INTO THE AREA FROM WHICH THEY
12	TOOK ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE. IS THERE SUCH A
13	PROVISION IN THERE?
14	THE WITNESS: I AM NOT SURE IN THE
15	POLICY. WHAT PEOPLE PRACTICE WOULD BE AN AREA TO
16	AREA PREFERENCE.
17	THE COURT: SO HOW DOES ANYONE KNOW WHAT
18	THE PREFERENCE IS?
19	THE WITNESS: WELL THAT'S A GOOD
20	QUESTION. I THINK SOME OF THESE DECISIONS WERE
21	DEFINITELY MADE AT A HIGHER LEVEL AND WERE
22	ENFORCED.
23	THE COURT: OKAY. AND SINCE THEY WERE
24	MADE AT THE HIGHER LEVEL YOU HAD NO QUESTIONS
25	WHATSOEVER?

1	THE WITNESS: IT'S NOT THAT I DIDN'T
2	HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. I DID WHAT I WAS ASKED TO
3	DO.
4	THE COURT: WELL OKAY. WE WILL LET IT
5	GO. THANK YOU.
6	YOU CAN FOLLOW UP, EITHER ONE OF YOU, AS
7	YOU SEEM FIT.
8	MR. STROKOFF: I HAVE NOTHING YOUR
9	HONOR.
10	MS. CONRAD: JUST FEW A FOLLOW-UP
11	QUESTIONS.
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. CONRAD:
14	Q. MS. RUNKLE, IS THERE A SEPARATE POLICY
15	FOR MATERNITY LEAVE?
16	A. THERE IS.
17	Q. IS THERE A SEPARATE APOLOGIZE FOR LEAVE
18	UNDER THE FAMILY MEDICAL LEAVE ACT?
19	A. YES.
20	Q. AND WITH RESPECT TO HR-16 IS THERE
21	DISCRETION ON THE PART OF THE ADMINISTRATION TO
22	EACH PLACE AN EMPLOYEE ON UNPAID LEAVE?
23	A. ABSOLUTELY.
24	Q. AND IS THERE DISCRETION ON THE PART OF
25	ADMINISTRATION TO PLACE ON EMPLOYEE ON PAID

1	LEAVE?
2	A. YES.
3	Q. AND WITH RESPECT TO THE DIRECTION THAT
4	MR. MCQUEARY NOT ACCESS THE FOOTBALL FACILITIES I
5	BELIEVE YOU TESTIFIED THAT AT THE TIME HE WAS
6	PLACED ON PAID ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE IT WAS WHEN
7	THERE HAD BEEN MANY THREATS AGAINST HIM, IS THAT
8	CORRECT?
9	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
10	Q. I BELIEVE YOU TESTIFIED THAT HE HAD BEEN
11	IDENTIFIED AS A TARGET?
12	A. YES.
13	Q. IS THAT CORRECT?
14	A. YES.
15	Q. AND DID THAT CONTINUE AFTER HE HAD BEEN
16	PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?
17	A. YES.
18	MS. CONRAD: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, SIR.
19	MR. STROKOFF: NOTHING, YOUR HONOR.
20	THE COURT: AND PENN STATE HAS A RATHER
21	LARGE SECURITY FORCE, DOES IT NOT?
22	THE WITNESS: SECURITY FORCE?
23	THE COURT: POLICE DEPARTMENT.
24	THE WITNESS: YEAH. WE DEFINITELY HAVE
25	A POLICE DEPARTMENT BUT IF YOU ARE LOOKING AT

1	BEAVER STADIUM THAT'S
2	THE COURT: I AM NOT LOOKING AT BEAVER
3	STADIUM. YOU HAVE A LARGE POLICE FORCE. WAS ANY
4	POLICE SECURITY MADE AVAILABLE TO MR. MCQUEARY?
5	THE WITNESS: WE WOULD HAVE HAD YOU
6	WOULD HAVE TO TALK TO MARK. HE WAS THE
7	FACILITIES BUT I AM SURE WE UPPED WE INCREASED
8	SECURITY FOR THE DIFFERENT EVENTS THAT WERE
9	TAKING PLACE, YES, AND FOR LASCH.
10	THE COURT: FOR MR. MCQUEARY WAS THERE
11	ANY SECURITY?
12	THE WITNESS: YOU WOULD HAVE TO TALK TO
13	MARK. I WASN'T AWARE OF ANY.
14	THE COURT: AND YOU SAID THAT
15	ADMINISTRATORS HAD DISCRETION TO PLACE PEOPLE ON
16	LEAVE. WHAT WERE THE CRITERIA FOR THE EXERCISE
17	OF THEIR DISCRETION?
18	THE WITNESS: WELL IT WOULD DEPEND ON
L9	THE CIRCUMSTANCES. I MEAN, THIS WAS
20	UNPRECEDENTED AGAIN. I DON'T THINK THERE ARE ANY
21	RULES THAT REALLY PERTAINED POLICY WISE. I THINK
22	DECISIONS WERE MADE AS THE COURSE OF THE EVENTS
23	TRANSPIRED, BUT, YEAH, PEOPLE ADMINISTRATORS
24	AT HIGH LEVEL CAN MAKE DECISIONS TO PLACE PEOPLE
25	ON LEAVE.

1	THE COURT: DO YOU RECALL IN YOUR TIME
2	AS HR TO THE ATHLETIC DEPARTMENT ANYBODY BEING
3	PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE BY AN
4	ADMINISTRATOR?
5	THE WITNESS: WITH THE CONCURRENCE OF
6	THE ADMINISTRATORS, YES.
7	THE COURT: BUT IN ANY MANNER EVEN CLOSE
8	TO MR. MCQUEARY'S SITUATION?
9	THE WITNESS: NO.
10	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
11	SEE YOU AT QUARTER OF.
12	(WHEREUPON, A BREAK WAS TAKEN.)
13	THE COURT: GO AHEAD AND BE SEATED
14	FOLKS.
15	GO AHEAD AND CALL YOUR WITNESS.
16	WHEREUPON,
17	JONATHAN DRANOV
18	WAS CALLED AS A WITNESS AND HAVING BEEN DULY
19	SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
20	THE COURT: HAVE A SEATED PLEASE. IT
21	WILL HELP IF YOU STAY ON THE MICROPHONE PLEASE.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. STROKOFF:
24	Q. SIR, WILL YOU STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR
25	NAME PLEASE?

1	A. JONATHAN DRANOV.
2	Q. AND YOUR OCCUPATION, SIR?
3	A. I AM A PHYSICIAN.
4	Q. AND WHERE ARE YOU A PHYSICIAN?
5	A. IN STATE COLLEGE.
6	Q. AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN PRACTICING
7	MEDICINE IN STATE COLLEGE?
8	A. 42 YEARS.
9	Q. AND BACK IN THE YEAR 2001 WHERE WAS YOUR
10	MEDICAL PRACTICE?
11	A. IN STATE COLLEGE AT THE CURRENT ADDRESS
12	1850 EAST PARK AVENUE.
13	Q. AND IN THE YEAR 2001 DID YOUR PRACTICE
14	HAVE A NAME?
15	A. AT THAT TIME IT WAS THE MOUNT NITTANY
16	PHYSICIAN GROUP.
17	Q. TODAY IT'S
18	A. EXCUSE ME. THAT'S NOT TRUE. IT WAS
19	CENTRE MEDICAL AND SURGICAL ASSOCIATES AT THAT
20	TIME. IT SUBSEQUENTLY BECOME THE MOUNT NITTANY
21	PHYSICIAN GROUP.
22	Q. ARE YOU STILL PRACTICING WITH EITHER
23	THAT GROUP OR A SUCCESSOR OF THAT GROUP?
24	A. YES. I AM WITH THE MOUNT NITTANY
25	PHYSICIAN GROUP.

1	Q. OKAY. AND DO YOU HAVE A SPECIALTY, SIR?
2	A. INTERNAL MEDICINE AND NEPHROLOGY, WHICH
3	IS KIDNEY DISEASE.
4	Q. AND DO YOU KNOW MIKE MCQUEARY?
5	A. YES. I DO.
6	Q. HOW LONG HAVE YOU KNOWN MIKE MCQUEARY?
7	A. SINCE I BELIEVE 1974.
8	Q. SINCE HE WAS BORN?
9	A. I FIRST MET HIM I THINK IT WAS ABOUT TWO
10	AND HALF MONTHS AFTER HE WAS BORN.
11	Q. AND HOW DID YOU COME TO MEET HIM WHEN HE
12	WAS TWO AND HALF MONTHS OLD?
13	A. HIS PARENTS WERE DRIVING TO STATE
14	COLLEGE I BELIEVE ON THE WAY TO BUFFALO. THEY
15	LIVED IN NORTH CAROLINA AT THE TIME AND THEY
16	STOPPED BY OUR HOUSE TO VISIT FOR A WHILE.
17	Q. OKAY. AND YOU HAD KNOWN MIKE'S FATHER,
18	JOHN, FOR A WHILE, IS THAT CORRECT?
19	A. YES.
20	Q. WHEN DID YOU FIRST MEET MIKE'S FATHER,
21	JOHN?
22	A. WE WERE BOTH AT DUKE UNIVERSITY. WE
23	WERE BOTH INVOLVED WITH THE PHYSICIANS ASSISTANT
24	PROGRAM. I WAS FOR ONE YEAR THE ASSISTANT
25	MEDICAL DIRECTOR THEN FOR THE SECOND YEAR A

1	MEDICAL DIRECTOR BEFORE I MOVED HERE AND JOHN WAS
2	AN ADMINISTRATORS IN THE PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT
3	PROGRAM.
4	Q. DOWN AT DUKE?
5	A. AT DUKE.
6	Q. DID THERE COME A POINT IN TIME WHEN YOU
7	AND JOHN BECAME ASSOCIATED PROFESSIONALLY UP
8	HERE?
9	A. RIGHT. JOHN MOVED ON FROM THE PHYSICIAN
10	ASSISTANT PROGRAM AT DUKE AND BECAME AN
11	ADMINISTRATOR IN THERE NEPHROLOGY PROGRAM. ANY
12	WAY, I KEPT IN CONTACT WITH HIM AND WE WERE
13	LOOKING FOR AN ADMINISTRATOR FOR OUR PRACTICE,
14	WHICH AT THAT TIME WAS CENTRE MEDICAL AND
15	SURGICAL ASSOCIATES ACTUALLY WE CHANGED THE
16	NAME A COUPLE TIMES. AT THAT TIME IT WAS
17	INTERNAL MEDICAL ASSOCIATES AND WE WERE LOOKING
18	FOR AN ADMINISTRATOR AND I TALKED WITH JOHN AND
19	CONVINCED HIM TO COME UP AND INTERVIEW AND HE
20	ACCEPTED OUR JOB.
21	Q. AND ABOUT WHEN DID HE START WORKING FOR
22	THE
23	A. I BELIEVE IT WAS IN 1981.
24	Q. AND DID YOU REMAIN ASSOCIATED
25	PROFESSIONALLY WITH JOHN MCQUEARY UNTIL WHAT

1	TIME?
2	A. YES. HE WAS THE ADMINISTRATOR FOR
3	INTERNAL MEDICINE ASSOCIATES WHICH WAS WHEN THE
4	NAME WHEN HE JOINED THE NAME WE EXPANDED THE
5	GROUP TO BE MULTISPECIALTY GROUP IN THE LATE
6	1990'S AND HE BECAME THE ADMINISTRATOR AND CHIEF
7	OPERATING OFFICER OF THAT GROUP UNTIL WE WERE
8	SOLD TO THE MOUNT NITTANY OR IT WAS SOLD TO
9	THE MOUNT NITTANY MEDICAL CENTER AND NOW THE
10	CONTROLLING INTEREST THERE IS THE MOUNT NITTANY
11	HEALTH SYSTEM. IN 2011 THAT BECAME FINAL AND
12	JOHN WORKED IN THAT SYSTEM FOR ABOUT A YEAR
13	BEFORE RETIREMENT.
14	Q. OKAY. SO YOU HAVE KNOWN JOHN MCQUEARY
15	AND MIKE MCQUEARY AN AWFULLY LONG TIME?
16	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
17	Q. SIR, I WOULD LIKE TO GO, IF I CAN, TO
18	THE NIGHT OF FEBRUARY 9, 2001. DO YOU REMEMBER
19	THAT NIGHT?
20	A. YES. I DO.
21	Q. OKAY. AT 9:00 OR SO DID YOU RECEIVE A
22	TELEPHONE CALL FROM JOHN MCQUEARY?
23	A. YES.
24	Q. OKAY. NOW TELL US WHAT HE TOLD YOU AT
25	THAT TIME?

1	A. IN THE TELEPHONE CALL?
2	Q. YES.
3	A. HE ASKED IF I CAN COME BY HIS HOUSE,
4	WHICH WAS AN UNUSUAL REQUEST FOR HIM. USUALLY IT
5	WAS BECAUSE SOMEBODY MIGHT BE SICK OR HE HAD SOME
6	PERSONAL MATTER TO DISCUSS BUT THIS TIME WHEN I
7	ASKED HIM WHAT THE PROBLEM WAS HE JUST SAID WE
8	WILL TALK WHEN I GET THERE. HE DID NOT WANT TO
9	TALK ABOUT IT ON THE PHONE. SO I TOLD HIM AS
10	SOON AS I WRAPPED THINGS UP WHICH I WAS ABOUT
11	FINISHED BECAUSE MY WIFE AND I WERE GOING OUT OF
12	TOWN NEXT DAY I SAID AS SOON AS I FINISHED I
13	WOULD STOP ON MY WAY HOME.
14	Q. YOU KNEW WHERE HE LIVED?
15	A. YES.
16	Q. DID YOU ARRIVE AT JOHN MCQUEARY'S HOUSE?
17	A. YES. I DID.
18	Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT TIME IT WAS?
19	A. I WOULD GUESS ABOUT 9:15, 9:30.
20	Q. OKAY. AND TELL US WHAT HAPPENED AS YOU
21	CAME INTO THE HOUSE?
22	A. JOHN HAD ME COME IN. MIKE WAS THERE.
23	HIS MOTHER, ANNE MIKE'S MOTHER, ANNE, WAS
24	THERE AND IT WAS APPARENT THAT MIKE SEEMED TO BE
25	VISIBLY SHAKEN AND WE SAT DOWN MIKE WAS SITTING

ON THE COUCH AND I WAS SITTING JUST RIGHT CROSS
FROM HIM AND HIS DAD ASKED HIM TO TELL ME WHAT
HAPPENED, WHAT HE HAD OBSERVED.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. AND NOW AS BEST YOU CAN WALK US THROUGH WHO SAID WHAT WHEN AND HOW IT WAS SAID?
- Α. APPARENTLY MIKE HAD BROUGHT SOME SNEAKERS OR SOMETHING ELSE THAT HE WANTED TO PUT IN HIS LOCKER IN THE FOOTBALL PROGRAM. HE WAS A GRADUATE ASSISTANT AND HE WENT INTO THE LOCKER ROOM. I IMAGINE THIS WAS ABOUT AN HOUR BEFORE AND WHEN HE WENT IN AND WAS HEADING TOWARD HIS LOCKER HE SAID HE HEARD SOUNDS AND I ASKED HIM WHAT KIND OF SOUNDS AND HE SAID THERE WERE SEXUAL SOUNDS. I ASKED HIM WHAT HE SAW AND HE SAID NOTHING. THEY WERE SOUNDS. SO I TRIED TO FOCUS ON THAT WITH HIM TO SEE EXACTLY WHAT HIS INTERPRETATION OF THE SOUNDS WERE AND HE JUST SAID THEY WERE SEXUAL SOUNDS. WHEN I ASKED HIM AGAIN WHAT HE SAW HE COULD NOT OR DID NOT TELL ME THAT HE HAD SEEN ANYTHING SPECIFIC. THEN HE WENT ON TO SAY THAT A BOY HAD LOOKED AROUND. I ASSUME IT WAS LOOKED AROUND FROM CORNER OF THE SHOWER OR WALL IN THE SHOWER BUT HE LOOKED AROUND. T ASKED MIKE IF THE BOY CRIED FOR HELP, IF HE LOOKED UPSET, AND HE SAID NO AND THEN HE SAID AN ARM

1	CAME AROUND THE BOY AND PULLED HIM BACK IN. HE
2	WENT TO HIS LOCKER. HE SLAMMED THE LOCKER DOOR,
3	CLOSED THE LOCKER DOOR, AND WHEN HE TURNED AROUNI
4	THE MAN CAME WALKING OUT OF THE SHOWER AND IT WAS
5	HE SAID TO ME IT WAS JERRY SANDUSKY.
6	Q. NOW AS HE IS RELATING THIS TO YOU HOW
7	WAS HE SPEAKING?
8	A. HIS VOICE WAS QUIVERING, WHICH IS
9	UNUSUAL FOR MIKE. MIKE IS A PRETTY GOOD SPEAKER
10	HE HAS A PRETTY GOOD VOICE BUT HE WAS QUIVERING,
11	CHOOSING HIS WORDS. HE HAD HIS HANDS IN FRONT OF
12	HIM AND THEY WERE SHAKING SO HE WAS OBVIOUSLY
13	VISIBLY UPSET.
14	Q. HAD YOU EVER SEEN HIM THIS WAY BEFORE?
15	A. NO. I HAD NOT.
16	Q. SO WHEN YOU ASKED HIM FOR SOME SPECIFICS
17	HE WAS UNABLE TO ARTICULATE THEM?
18	A. HE JUST KEPT COMING BACK TO SEXUAL
19	SOUNDS.
20	Q. OKAY. SO WHAT HAPPENED NEXT?
21	A. THE TOPIC TURNED TO WHAT DO YOU DO AND
22	APPARENTLY ONE OF THE REASONS JOHN WANTED ME TO
23	COME OVER IS WHO DO YOU REPORT THIS TO AT PENN
24	STATE AND IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING AT PENN STATE

THAT IF YOU ARE WITNESSED TO SOME KIND OF EVENT

1	WHATEVER IT MAYBE THAT IS UPSETTING YOU REPORT IT
2	TO YOUR SUPERVISOR AND I TOLD HIM HE HAD TO
3	REPORT IT TO HIS SUPERVISOR. I THINK HE HAD
4	ALREADY COME TO THAT CONCLUSION BUT I TOLD HIM
5	THAT I THINK HIS DAD TOLD HIM THAT HIS SUPERVISOR
6	WAS JOSEPH PATERNO.
7	Q. WELL DID YOU SAY REPORT IT TO YOUR
8	SUPERVISOR OR REPORT IT TO JOSEPH PATERNO?
9	A. SUPERVISOR.
10	Q. HOW LONG WOULD YOU SAY YOU WERE THERE
11	THAT NIGHT?
12	A. I WOULD GUESS ABOUT 45 MINUTES.
13	Q. OKAY. DID MIKE'S QUIVERING CALM DOWN AT
14	ALL DURING THAT 45 MINUTES?
15	A. SLIGHTLY IF AT ALL.
16	Q. AND YOU THEN LEFT WITH THE IDEA THAT
17	MIKE WAS GOING TO REPORT IT TO JOSEPH PATERNO?
18	A. YES.
19	Q. NOW YOU SAID ON BASIS OF WHAT YOU KNOW
20	ABOUT PENN STATE. AT THAT TIME 2001 WHAT
21	CONNECTIONS, IF ANY, HAD YOU HAD IN YOUR LIFETIME
22	TO PENN STATE?
23	A. WELL I WAS A GRADUATE FROM PENN STATE,
24	NUMBER ONE, BUT WHEN WE WHEN I CAME BACK TO
25	TOWN TO PRACTICED MEDICINE I RECRUITED OTHER

PHYSICIANS TO COME WITH ME AND WE DEVELOPED A FAIRLY CLOSE RELATIONSHIP WITH PENN STATE FOR A SIGNIFICANT LENGTH OF TIME. WE TAUGHT IN THE NURSING PROGRAM, TAUGHT PHYSICAL DIAGNOSIS IN THE NURSING PROGRAM. WE HAD GIVEN SOME LECTURES TO PREMEDICAL STUDENTS. WE WERE KIND OF GO TO --BECAME A GO-TO GROUP IN TERMS OF MEDICAL SPECIALTIES SO IF THEY HAD STUDENTS, ATHLETES, GUESTS THEY WOULD OFTEN CALL US TO TAKE CARE OF THEM ON AN EMERGENT BASIS. SO OUR RELATIONSHIP WAS VERY GOOD AND VERY TIGHT AND WE EVEN WERE IN THE PROCESS AGAIN IN 2001 OF TRYING TO SET UP A MORE FORMAL AGREEMENT WITH PENN STATE, WHICH WE WERE IN PROCESS OF DOING THAT.

Q. IN ADDITION TO YOUR MEDICAL RELATIONSHIP WITH THE UNIVERSITY DID YOU HAVE ANY OTHER LESS FORMAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE UNIVERSITY OVER THE YEARS?

A. I SERVED ON THEIR ALUMNI BOARD IN THE
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE. I GUESS IN TERMS OF LESS
FORMAL RELATIONSHIP THAT WOULD BE THE EXTENT OF
IT. I MEAN, TRYING TO THINK OF OTHER THINGS I
DID. IT SEEMS LIKE IN STATE COLLEGE YOU ARE VERY
INVOLVED IN SOME WAY, SHAPE, OR FORM WITH PENN
STATE.

1	Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY OTHER INVOLVEMENT WITH
2	THE FOOTBALL TEAM?
3	A. NOT DIRECTLY. I SAW AN OCCASIONAL
4	PLAYER THAT HAD, YOU KNOW, SOME TYPE OF ILLNESS
5	THAT WAS OR PROBLEM THAT WAS RELATED TO MY
6	SPECIALTY. I GUESS IN A ROUND ABOUT SENSE IN THE
7	EARLY 1980'S ACTUALLY 1981 AND 1982 I WAS
8	PRESIDENT OF THE STATE COLLEGE QUARTER BACK CLUB
9	SO THAT'S NOT A DIRECT ASSOCIATION WITH PENN
10	STATE PER SE. IT WAS THE QUARTER BACK CLUB HAD A
11	RELATIONSHIP THAT IT HAD JOE PATERNO COME AND
12	SPEAK AT LUNCHEONS BUT I WAS THE PRESIDENT OF
13	THAT ORGANIZATION.
14	Q. IS THAT A BOOSTERS CLUB?
15	A. IT'S MORE OR LESS A BOOSTERS CLUB. THEY
16	GET TOGETHER WITH LOCAL FANS AND JOE COMES AND
17	TALKS ABOUT PREVIOUS GAMES AND HE BRINGS PLAYERS.
18	Q. HOW LONG WERE YOU INVOLVED IN THE
19	BOOSTER CLUB?
20	A. ACTUALLY I BEGAN TO GET INVOLVED WITH IT
21	SHORTLY AFTER COMING TO TOWN BUT I WAS SO BUSY
22	THAT PROBABLY BY 1985 I JUST DIDN'T HAVE TIME TO
23	BE INVOLVED WITH IT ANYMORE.
24	Q. AND IN THE COURSE OF YOUR MEDICAL
25	PRACTICE DID YOU COME INTO PROFESSIONAL CONTACT

1	WITH PATIENTS WITH SEVERAL OF PENN STATE'S
2	ADMINISTRATORS AND EMPLOYEES?
3	A. I WOULD SAY OVER A PERIOD OF TIME I HAD
4	AT LEAST ONE CONTACT OR A MORE SUSTAINED
5	RELATIONSHIP, PHYSICIAN/PATIENT RELATIONSHIP,
6	WITH A NUMBER OF PEOPLE. I SAW GRAHAM SPANIER.
7	Q. OKAY. WE ARE NOT GOING TO EVEN RUN A
8	RISK OF REMOTELY VIOLATING HIPAA.
9	A. GOOD.
10	Q. SO WE WILL NOT GET INTO PATIENTS. I
11	WANT TO NOW AFTER FEBRUARY 9, 2001, I WANT TO
12	GO AHEAD A MONTH OR TWO AND ASK YOU IF YOU CAN
13	RECALL A MEETING IN WHICH YOU AND GARY SCHULTZ
14	AND JOHN MCQUEARY WERE PRESENT?
15	A. YES.
16	Q. AND WHERE WAS THAT MEETING?
17	A. IT WAS IN JOHN MCQUEARY'S OFFICE IN OUR
18	OFFICE OF THE CENTRE MEDICAL SERVICES IN OUR
19	OFFICE.
20	Q. SO JOHN MCQUEARY'S OFFICE IN YOUR
21	MEDICAL BUILDING?
22	A. CORRECT.
23	Q. OKAY. WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THE THREE
24	OF YOU COMING TOGETHER ON THAT PARTICULAR DAY?
25	A. THE ORIGINAL PURPOSE OF THE MEETING PER

1	SE HAD TO DO WITH WORKING OUT A MASTERS
2	AFFILIATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN WHAT WAS THEN
3	CENTRE MEDICAL AND SURGICAL ASSOCIATES AND PENN
4	STATE. SO IT WOULD HAVE BEEN AN AFFILIATION
5	AGREEMENT THAT HAD TO DO WITH TEACHING, HAD TO DO
6	WITH BRINGING MEDICAL STUDENTS AND RESIDENTS TO
7	THE COMMUNITY. THAT TYPE OF RELATIONSHIP.

- Q. YOU HAD KNOWN GARY SCHULTZ
 PROFESSIONALLY BECAUSE OF YOUR NUMBER OF
 PRACTICES WITH PENN STATE OVER THE NUMBER OF
 YEARS?
 - A. YES.

- Q. WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THE BUSINESS PART OF THE MEETING WAS CONCLUDED?
- A. BEFORE GARY CAME JOHN AND I HAD
 DISCUSSED THAT WE FELT THAT WE NEEDED SOME KIND
 OF FOLLOW UP ON MIKE'S REPORT TO PENN STATE ABOUT
 WHAT HAD OCCURRED ON THE NIGHT OF FEBRUARY 9TH.
 WE NEVER REALLY HEARD BACK FROM ANYONE. I AM NOT
 SURE THAT WE NECESSARILY DESERVED TO HEAR, JOHN
 AND I. MIKE MAY HAVE BUT WE HAD NEVER HEARD
 WHETHER OR NOT THE INCIDENT HAD BEEN INVESTIGATED
 AND WHAT HAD HAPPENED. SO THAT WAS THE PURPOSE
 OF, YOU KNOW, WHAT WE DID AFTER THE MEETING.

25 | ERIC WAS THERE AND IT WAS --

1	Q. WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THE MEETING?
2	A. AFTER THAT MEETING?
3	Q. WELL YOU SAID AFTER THE BUSINESS PART
4	A. AFTER THE BUSINESS PART JOHN THEN WENT
5	ONTO OR HE BROUGHT IT UP IN TERMS OF EXACTLY
6	WHAT HAPPENED OR WHERE THE UNIVERSITY WAS IN THE
7	PROCESS OF INVESTIGATING OR FOLLOW UP ON MIKE'S
8	REPORT.
9	Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER GARY SCHULTZ'S
10	RESPONSE?
11	A. YES. THE FIRST THING HE SAID THAT
12	THE WORD HE USED I FOUND A LITTLE BIT CONFUSING.
13	HE SAID THERE WERE RUMORS OF SIMILAR INCIDENTS OR
14	A SIMILAR INCIDENT THAT OCCURRED IN THE PAST AND
15	THEN WENT ON TO DESCRIBE AN INCIDENT THAT
16	OCCURRED IN THE LATE 1990'S WHEN THERE WAS AN
17	APPARENT RUMOR ALTHOUGH I THINK IT MAY HAVE
18	BEEN AN ALLEGATION BECAUSE IT HAD APPARENTLY BEEN
19	FOLLOWED UP BY AT LEAST CHILDREN AND YOUTH
20	SERVICES, APPARENTLY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
21	WELFARE WAS INVOLVED, TWO POLICE FORCE WERE
22	INVOLVED, THE UNIVERSITY AND STATE COLLEGE, THE
23	DA'S HAD BEEN INVOLVED. SO CLEARLY IT MUST HAVE
24	BEEN AN ALLEGATION BUT IT WAS INVESTIGATED AND

THEY SAID THERE WAS NOTHING THAT HAD COME OF IT.

1	THE IMPLICATION ALSO AND HE WENT ON TO SAY
2	THAT THEY WERE LOOKING INTO THE INCIDENT THAT
3	MIKE REPORTED IN A SIMILAR FASHION, THAT THE
4	ADMINISTRATION WAS TAKING IT SERIOUSLY, THAT I
5	BELIEVE AT THAT TIME THE 1990'S INCIDENT HAD BEEN
6	DISCUSSED WITH THE SECOND MILE BOARD. I THINK HE
7	IMPLIED AT THAT TIME THAT SAME THING HAD HAPPENED
8	THIS YEAR THAT THEY TALKED TO THE BOARD. SOMEONE
9	FROM PENN STATE TALKED TO JERRY SANDUSKY AND
10	CALLED HIM IN BUT BEYOND THAT I CAN'T RECALL THAT
11	THERE WERE ANY OTHER AUTHORITIES OR ANYTHING THAT
12	HAD BEEN NOTIFIED.
13	Q. DID JOHN MCQUEARY SAY ANYTHING WITH
L 4	REGARD TO GARY'S EXPLANATION?
15	A. I THINK THE MAIN THINGS JOHN WANTED TO
16	GET ACROSS IS THIS IS A SERIOUS ALLEGATION.
17	MS. CONRAD: OBJECTION. THIS WOULD BE
18	HEARSAY, SIR.
19	THE COURT: MR. MCQUEARY IS GOING TO BE
20	HERE, RIGHT?
21	MR. STROKOFF: HE IS.
22	BY MR. STROKOFF:
23	Q. INSTEAD OF TELLING US THE MAIN POINT YOU
24	ARE TRYING TO GET ACROSS TELL US WHAT BEST YOU
25	CAN REMEMBER HE SAID.

1	A. I JUST RECALL HIM SAYING THAT THIS WAS A
2	POTENTIALLY SERIOUS INCIDENT THAT COULD HAVE
3	SIGNIFICANT REPERCUSSIONS AND HE WAS CONCERNED IT
4	WAS BEING LOOKED INTO IN A PROPER FASHION.
5	Q. IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU REMEMBER
6	ABOUT THAT SAID MEETING?
7	A. THE SECOND PART OF
8	Q. YEAH. I AM SORRY.
9	A. NOT SPECIFICALLY. NO.
10	MR. STROKOFF: PASS THE WITNESS, YOUR
11	HONOR.
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. CONRAD:
14	Q. DR. DRANOV, I AM GOING TO MOVE TO THE
15	PODIUM SO I CAN SEE YOU BETTER.
16	A. I AM SORRY?
17	Q. I AM GOING TO MOVE TO THE PODIUM SO I
18	CAN SEE YOU BETTER.
19	THE COURT REPORTER: CAN YOU PLEASE
20	SPELL YOUR LAST NAME.
21	THE WITNESS: D-R-A-N-O-V.
22	BY MS. CONRAD:
23	Q. DR. DRANOV, GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS
24	NANCY CONRAD AND I REPRESENT THE PENNSYLVANIA
25	STATE UNIVERSITY. I BELIEVE YOU TESTIFIED THAT

1	YOU ARE A PHYSICIAN?
2	A. YES.
3	Q. AS A PHYSICIAN YOU ARE A MANDATED
4	REPORTER, AREN'T YOU?
5	A. YES.
6	Q. AND THAT MEANS THAT YOU ARE REQUIRED TO
7	REPORT SUSPECTED CHILD ABUSE, CORRECT?
8	A. UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD
9	BE CORRECT. THE INCIDENT THAT MIKE REPORTED TO
10	ME WOULD NOT REALLY MEET THAT CRITERIA IN 2001
11	AND FRANKLY EVEN WITH THE INCREASED CRITERIA THAT
12	OCCURRED IN 2014 AND STILL DOES NOT MEET THEIR
13	CRITERIA.
14	Q. SO IF I UNDERSTAND YOUR TESTIMONY BASED
15	ON THE INFORMATION THAT YOU RECEIVED FROM
16	MR. MCQUEARY IT DOES NOT RISE TO THE LEVEL THAT
17	REQUIRED YOU TO REPORT IT AS SUSPECTED CHILD
18	ABUSE?
19	A. SINCE I DID NOT SEE THE PATIENT AND I
20	HAD NO INFORMATION ABOUT THE IDENTITY OF THE
21	PATIENT, NOR DID THE PRESUMED PERPETRATOR OF THE
22	INCIDENT REPORT SOMETHING TO ME, THEN IT DOES NOT
23	MEET THE CRITERIA THAT WOULD BE REQUIRED FOR ME
24	TO REPORT IT AS A MANDATED REPORTER.
25	Q. DID YOU CONSIDER IT WAS THE SMART OR

1	PRUDENT THING TO DO TO REPORT IT?
2	A. I THINK I CONSIDERED THE FACT THAT IT
3	WAS A SMART AND PRUDENT THING FOR IT TO BE
4	REPORTED AND AS A RESULT THAT LED TO OUR STRONG
5	RECOMMENDATION TO MIKE THAT HE REPORT IT IN THE
6	FASHION THAT IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE REPORTED AT PENN
7	STATE OR AT LEAST IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING AND
8	THAT WAS DONE.
9	Q. BUT YOU DID NOT TELL MIKE MCQUEARY THAT
10	IT WOULD BE A SMART OR PRUDENT THING FOR HIM TO
11	REPORT IT?
12	A. I DIDN'T USE THAT TERM. I SIMPLY SAID
13	YOU NEED TO REPORT IT.
14	Q. YOU DIDN'T TELL HIM THAT HE SHOULD
15	REPORT IT TO CHILDREN AND YOUTH SERVICES, DID
16	YOU?
17	A. NO. I DID NOT.
18	Q. YOU DID NOT TELL HIM HE SHOULD REPORT IT
19	TO THE POLICE, DID YOU?
20	A. NO. I DID NOT.
21	Q. YOU DID NOT TELL HIM TO PICK UP THAT
22	PHONE RIGHT THAT VERY INCIDENT AT THAT VERY
23	TIME AND CALL CAMPUS SECURITY, DID YOU?
24	A. NO. I DID NOT.
25	Q. I BELIEVE WHAT YOU TESTIFIED ALL YOU

1	HEARD FROM MIKE MCQUEARY WAS THAT HE HEARD SEXUAL
2	SOUNDS, IS THAT CORRECT?
3	A. THE REASON THAT HE SUSPECTED IT MIGHT
4	HAVE BEEN A SEXUAL INCIDENT WAS BECAUSE OF THE
5	SOUNDS HE HEARD BUT HE DID NOT TELL ME OR
6	DESCRIBE TO ME ANYTHING THAT HE SAW THAT WAS
7	SEXUAL.
8	Q. DID I HEAR YOU CORRECTLY THAT HE TOLD
9	YOU HE BELIEVED IT WAS A SEXUAL INCIDENT?
10	A. HE NEVER USED THE TERM SEXUAL INCIDENT.
11	HE SAID SEXUAL SOUNDS.
12	Q. AND BECAUSE OF THAT DESCRIPTION IS THAT
13	WHAT LED YOU TO NOT TELL HIM OR INFORM HIM TO
14	CALL CHILDREN AND YOUTH?
15	A. BECAUSE HE DID NOT VISUALIZE ANY TYPE OF
16	INCIDENT OR SEE IT VISUALLY OR NOT TO IMMEDIATELY
17	CALL THE POLICE. I THOUGHT THE INCIDENT WAS
18	INAPPROPRIATE ENOUGH IT HAD TO BE REPORTED.
19	Q. BUT YOU DIDN'T THINK IT WAS
20	INAPPROPRIATE ENOUGH TO TELL MR. MCQUEARY THAT
21	NIGHT TO CALL THE POLICE?
22	A. NO.
23	Q. AND YOU DIDN'T THINK IT WAS THAT
24	SIGNIFICANT TO TELL MR. MCQUEARY TO CALL CHILDREN
25	AND YOUTH THAT NIGHT?

1	A. NO.
2	Q. AND YOU DIDN'T THINK THE INCIDENT WAS
3	THAT SIGNIFICANT TO TELL MR. MCQUEARY TO CALL THE
4	STATE COLLEGE POLICE THAT NIGHT?
5	A. NO.
6	Q. AND YOU DIDN'T THINK THE INCIDENT WAS
7	THAT SIGNIFICANT TO TELL MR. MCQUEARY TO CALL THE
8	STATE POLICE THAT NIGHT?
9	A. NO. I DON'T WANT TO GIVE YOU THE
10	IMPLICATION THAT I DID NOT THINK IT WAS A SERIOUS
11	INCIDENT. I DID. I FOLLOWED UP. WE LEFT TOWN
12	THE NEXT DAY. I CAN'T RECALL IF I CALLED JOHN
13	OR MIKE'S FATHER BUT I FOLLOWED UP AS SOON AS I
14	GOT BACK INTO TOWN TO MAKE SURE THAT HE HAD
15	REPORTED IT TO PENN STATE AND OBVIOUSLY WE
16	FOLLOWED UP WITH PENN STATE AND GARY SCHULTZ TO
17	MAKE SURE THAT SOMETHING APPROPRIATE WAS BEING
18	DONE.
19	Q. I UNDERSTAND YOUR TESTIMONY, SIR, BUT I
20	AM ASKING YOU ABOUT THAT NIGHT. DID EITHER YOU
21	OR MR. JOHN MCQUEARY SUGGEST TO MR. MCQUEARY,
22	MIKE MCQUEARY, THAT I CALL SHOULD BE MADE THAT
23	NIGHT TO DPW, TO THE STATE POLICE, TO CAMPUS
24	POLICE?

A. I DID NOT MAKE THAT SUGGESTION TO HIM

1	AND I DON'T KNOW FI HIS DAD DID AT THE TIME WHEN
2	I WAS NOT THERE.
3	Q. DURING THAT TIME THAT YOU WERE THERE DID
4	YOU MAKE ANY SUGGESTION TO MR. MCQUEARY THAT YOU,
5	HE, THE THREE OF YOU SHOULD GO BACK TO THE LASCH
6	BUILDING TO DETERMINE IF THE YOUNG BOY WAS STILL
7	THERE WITH JERRY SANDUSKY?
8	A. NO. AT THAT TIME. NO.
9	Q. DID YOU MAKE ANY SUGGESTION TO
10	MR. MCQUEARY THAT HE, YOU, JOHN MCQUEARY, OR THE
11	THREE OF YOU SHOULD RETURN TO LASCH TO DETERMINE
12	IF THAT YOUNG BOY NEEDED ASSISTANCE?
13	A. NO. IT WAS OUR IMPRESSION THAT THE
14	INCIDENT WAS OVER.
15	Q. BUT WHEN MR. MCQUEARY LEFT THE BUILDING
16	HE DID NOT KNOW THE STATUS OF THE YOUNG BOY, DID
17	HE?
18	A. I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT.
19	Q. AND DID YOU CONSIDER SUGGESTING TO
20	MR. MCQUEARY, TO JOHN MCQUEARY, OR THE THREE OF
21	YOU THAT YOU GO BACK TO LASCH TO DETERMINE IF THE
22	YOUNG BOY WANTED ASSISTANCE?
23	A. NO.
24	Q. AND BASED ON THE INFORMATION THAT YOU
5	RECEIVED FROM MR MCOLLEARY YOU TOOK NO FURTHER

1	ACTION THAT NIGHT?
2	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
3	Q. AND BASED ON THE INFORMATION YOU
4	RECEIVED FROM MR. MCQUEARY YOU DID NOT SUGGEST TO
5	MR. MCQUEARY THAT HE SHOULD MR. MIKE MCQUEARY
6	SHOULD TAKE ANY FURTHER ACTION THAT NIGHT?
7	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
8	MS. CONRAD: THANK YOU. I HAVE NO
9	FURTHER QUESTIONS.
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. STROKOFF:
12	Q. SIR, YOU SAID THAT MIKE WAS QUIVERING,
13	AND SHAKING, AND WHATEVER. WAS HE ABLE TO SPEAK
14	COMPLETE SENTENCES WHEN YOU WERE ASKING SPECIFICS
15	ABOUT WHAT HE SAW?
16	A. I WOULD SAY MOST OF IT WAS IN BROKEN
17	SENTENCES.
18	Q. AND DID YOU EVER GET A CLEAR IDEA OF
19	EVERYTHING THAT HE SAW BECAUSE OF THE CONDITION
20	HE WAS IN?
21	A. CERTAINLY NOT THAT NIGHT.
22	MR. STROKOFF: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR
23	HONOR.
24	MS. CONRAD: I HAVE NO FURTHER
25	QUESTIONS.

1	THE COURT: THANK YOU, DOCTOR. YOU ARE
2	FREE TO GO ABOUT YOUR BUSINESS.
3	MR. STROKOFF: YOUR HONOR AT THIS TIME
4	WE WERE GOING TO READ A DEPOSITION. WHEN I SAY
5	AT THIS TIME I MEAN AFTER DR. DRANOV'S TESTIMONY.
6	IT MIGHT RUN A LITTLE OVER 50 MINUTES.
7	THE COURT: A LITTLE OVER 50 MINUTES?
8	MR. STROKOFF: 50 MINUTES.
9	THE COURT: FIVE-ZERO?
10	MR. STROKOFF: IT'S HARD TO SAY. IT
11	MIGHT NOT.
12	MS. CONRAD: WHAT IS THE TIME LISTING ON
13	THE TRANSCRIPT? MIGHT BE A GOOD INDICATION.
14	MR. STROKOFF: THE DEPOSITION I BELIEVE
15	ITSELF IS OVER AN HOUR AND A HALF BUT WE HAVE CUT
16	OUT SOME STUFF.
17	MS. CONRAD: I DON'T KNOW THAT WE HAVE
18	CUT OUT 30 MINUTES, SIR.
19	THE COURT: I WILL LEAVE IT YOUR CALL,
20	MEMBERS OF THE JURY. WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN NOW
21	WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A DEPOSITION READ TO YOU. A
22	DEPOSITION IS A STATEMENT TAKEN UNDER OATH AND IT
23	COULD BE USED AT TRIAL. IT MEANS THE PERSON DOES
24	NOT HAVE TO BE HERE. SO WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN
25	IS WE ARE GOING TO HAVE SOMEONE COME IN AND ONE

1	PERSON IS GOING TO ASK QUESTION AND THEN THE
2	OTHER PERSON IS GOING TO READ THE ANSWER OF THE
3	WITNESS. NOW THE DISADVANTAGE OF THE DEPOSITION
4	IS YOU DON'T GET TO SEE THE PERSON, YOU DON'T GET
5	TO LOOK THEM IN THE EYE, AND HOPEFULLY WE ARE NOT
6	HAVING JOHN BARRYMORE AND SOMEBODY ELSE DOING THE
7	READING ON BOTH SIDES OF THE QUESTION. SO WHAT
8	YOU ARE DOING IS JUST HEARING QUESTION, ANSWER,
9	QUESTION, ANSWER, AND WE HAVE TO GO THROUGH THAT
10	PORTION OF THE DEPOSITION THAT THE PARTIES WANT
11	READ. IF YOU WANT TO DO THAT IN THE MORNING AS
12	OPPOSED TO A NEW DAY WITH IT I WILL BE GUIDED BY
13	WHAT YOU FOLKS WANT TO DO OR IF YOU WANT TO GO
14	AHEAD AND SIT HERE AND BE READ TO FOR THE NEXT 50
15	MINUTES YOU CAN PUT YOUR COLLECTIVE HEADS
16	TOGETHER FOR A SECOND AND DECIDE GET IT DONE.
17	GET IT DONE? OKAY. LET'S GO.
18	(WHEREUPON, A STRETCH BREAK IS TAKEN.)
19	MR. STROKOFF: MY OPENING LINE, YOUR
20	HONOR
21	THE COURT: YOUR OPENING LINE IS WHAT?
22	YOU WANT HIM SWORN IN?
23	MR. STROKOFF: NO. MY OPENING LIKE IS
24	WILL YOU SWEAR IN THE WITNESS AND THEN WE MOVE ON
25	TO HIS ANSWER.

1	THE COURT: SO WE ARE NOT GOING TO SWEAR
2	THE READER IN.
3	THE READER: I WILL DO MY BEST.
4	THE COURT: KEEP IN MIND IT'S QUARTER
5	AFTER FOUR AND YOU ARE TRYING TO KEEP THEM AWAKE.
6	MR. STROKOFF: WILL YOU SWEAR IN
7	WITNESS.
8	THE WITNESS: WITNESS HAS BEEN SWORN.
9	KEEP GOING.
10	BY MR. STROKOFF:
11	Q. SIR, WOULD YOU STATE FOR THE RECORD
12	YOUR NAME PLEASE?
13	A. DAVID JOYNER.
14	Q. AND YOUR CURRENT OCCUPATION?
15	A. I'M THE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR AT THE
16	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY.
17	Q. AND COULD YOU GIVE US A BRIEF
18	SYNOPSIS OF YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?
19	A. SURE. I WELL, I GRADUATED FROM
20	STATE COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL. I WENT TO
21	PENN STATE UNDERGRADUATE; WENT TO PENN
22	STATE MEDICAL SCHOOL AND GRADUATED FROM
23	THERE IN 1976, AND THEN AFTER MEDICAL
24	SCHOOL THEN I DID MY RESIDENCY AT
25	HERSHEY FOR FIVE YEARS AND I FINISHED MY

1	RESIDENCY IN 1981.
2	Q. AND THAT WAS AS AN ORTHOPEDIC?
3	A. YES, SIR.
4	Q. AND SINCE '81 WHAT WAS YOUR
5	PROFESSION?
6	A. I WAS AN ORTHOPEDIC SURGEON,
7	SPECIALIZING IN SPORTS MEDICINE AND SO,
8	THEREFORE, AND I DID GENERAL
9	ORTHOPEDICS AS WELL FOR QUITE A WHILE
10	AND THEN CONTINUED DOING THAT UNTIL
11	1999, BUT IN 1992 I FORMED A COMPANY
12	THAT WAS A NATIONAL PHYSICAL THERAPY
13	COMPANY AND ALSO WAS THE CEO OF THAT
14	COMPANY UNTIL IT WAS SOLD IN 1998 TO
15	NOVACARE.
16	Q. AND AFTER 1999?
17	A. IN 1988 IN '98 AND '99 I
18	STOPPED DOING SURGERY IN 1999 AND THEN I
19	HAD WORKED FOR NOVACARE FOR JUST ABOUT
20	TWO YEARS AS NATIONAL DIRECTOR OF SPORTS
21	MEDICINE, AND THEN I ALSO WAS DOING,
22	BASICALLY, CONSULTING WORK AND SEEING A
23	FEW PATIENTS THROUGHOUT THE WEEK.
24	Q. AND YOU WERE PRACTICING IN THE
25	HARRISBURG AREA, WERE YOU NOT?

1	A. YES, SIR.
2	Q. SIR, THE COURT REPORTER HAS HANDED
3	YOU A DOCUMENT THAT IS MARKED JOYNER
4	DEPOSITION NUMBER 1. I WANT TO ASK YOU
5	IF YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH THAT DOCUMENT.
6	A. YES, SIR.
7	Q. AND IF YOU NOTICE ON THE SECOND PAGE
8	THERE IS A REQUEST MADE THAT YOU BRING
9	WITH YOU CERTAIN DOCUMENTS?
10	A. YES, SIR.
11	Q. DID YOU BRING THOSE DOCUMENTS WITH
12	YOU TODAY?
13	A. ACTUALLY, I DON'T HAVE ANY DOCUMENTS
14	OTHER THAN THOSE THAT ARE THAT WOULD
15	BE HELD BY OUR HR DEPARTMENT.
16	Q. SO THAT OTHER THAN WHAT'S HELD BY
17	THE HR DEPARTMENT YOU, YOURSELF, DON'T
18	HAVE ANY DOCUMENTS, OR NOTES, OR RECORDS
19	PERTAINING TO MICHAEL MCQUEARY'S
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE, SEVERANCE, AND
21	STUFF LIKE THAT?
22	A. NO, SIR. AND I WOULD QUALIFY THAT
23	YOU HAVE HAD ACCESS TO E-MAILS, I
24	IMAGINE, FROM OTHERS IN THE UNIVERSITY
25	BACK-AND-FORTH BUT, OTHER THAN THAT, I

1	DON'T HAVE ANY NOTES.
2	Q. SIR, I WANT TO ASK YOU WHEN IT WAS
3	THAT YOU FIRST BECAME AWARE THAT JERRY
4	SANDUSKY MIGHT BE CHARGED WITH CRIMINAL
5	ACTIVITY?
6	A. I BECAME AWARE WHEN THERE WAS A
7	PATRIOT ARTICLE, I BELIEVE, IN THE
8	SPRING OF 2011, WHEN I WAS LIVING IN
9	HARRISBURG. THAT'S WHEN I SAW THAT.
10	Q. DID THAT ARTICLE PROMPT YOU TO
11	ENGAGE IN ANY KIND OF FOLLOW-UP
12	CONCERNING THE CONTENTS OF THE ARTICLE?
13	A. NO, SIR.
14	Q. WHEN DID YOU NEXT HEAR ABOUT THE
15	POSSIBILITY OF CRIMINAL CHARGES BEING
16	BROUGHT AGAINST MR. SANDUSKY?
17	A. WELL, A LONG TIME AGO. AS FAR AS MY
18	MEMORY SERVES, OTHER THAN MAYBE SOME
19	OTHER MAYBE I DON'T HAVE ANY
20	SPECIFIC RECOLLECTION. MAYBE SOME
21	THINGS THAT WERE, YOU KNOW, I WOULD
22	FOLLOW UP IN THE NEWSPAPER FROM
23	TIME TO TIME, BUT IT WOULD BE IN THE
24	EARLY PART OF NOVEMBER OF 2011.
25	Q. AND IN WHAT CONTEXT DID YOU RECEIVE

1		THAT NOTIFICATION?
2		A. I DON'T RECALL THE SPECIFICS OF THE
3		CONTEXT BUT AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF
4		TRUSTEES I BELIEVE IT WAS ON OR ABOUT
5		NOVEMBER 5TH OR SO THAT, YOU KNOW, WE
6		RECEIVED NOTICE OF I BELIEVE HIS ARREST.
7		Q. THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES AT PENN STATE?
8		A. YES, SIR.
9		Q. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN ON THE BOARD
10		OF TRUSTEES AT PENN STATE?
11		A. SINCE 2000.
12		Q. AND DO YOU STAND FOR ELECTION EVERY
13		SO OFTEN?
14		A. EVERY THREE YEARS.
15		Q. SO THE NEXT NOTIFICATION YOU
16		RECEIVED WAS A NOTIFICATION YOU RECEIVED
17		ALONG WITH THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE
18	BOARD?	
19		A. YES, SIR.
20		Q. HOW MANY OF THE EXCUSE ME. HOW
21		MANY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD WERE THERE?
22		A. THERE ARE 32.
23		Q. DID YOU RECEIVE THIS NOTIFICATION MY
24		PHONE, BY E-MAIL, BY TEXT MESSAGE?
25		A. I ACTUALLY DON'T RECALL. I DON'T

1	BELIEVE BY PHONE BUT
2	Q. ALL RIGHT. WHEN DID YOU BECOME
3	ATHLETIC DIRECTOR?
4	A. OFFICIALLY I BELIEVE THE DATE WAS
5	NOVEMBER 17, 2011.
6	Q. AND HOW DID THAT COME ABOUT?
7	A. THE DR. ERICKSON TALKED TO ME AN
8	BECAUSE OF A NEED IN THE DEPARTMENT FOR
9	LEADERSHIP HE ASKED IF I WOULD ASSUME
LO	THAT ROLE TO HELP THE ATHLETIC
L1	DEPARTMENT AT PENN STATE UNIVERSITY.
L2	Q. SO HE ASKED YOU ON WAS IT
13	NOVEMBER 17TH?
L 4	A. NO. NOVEMBER 17TH, I BELIEVE, IS
L5	THE ON OR ABOUT THAT I BELIEVE THAT'S
L 6	THE CORRECT DATE OF MY SIGNING OF
L7	MEMORANDUM UNDERSTANDING. WE HAD A
L 8	CONVERSATION PROBABLY OR WE BEGAN HAVING
L9	A CONVERSATION JUST FOLLOWING THE BOARD
20	MEETING. AS I RECALL, THAT WAS THE WEEK
21	OF THE NEBRASKA GAME I BELIEVE BUT IT
22	WAS A WEEK OR SO YOU KNOW, FIVE, SIX
23	DAYS PRIOR TO THAT.
24	Q. I'M GOING TO REPRESENT TO YOU AND
25	COUNSEL IS FREE TO CHALLENGE IT. I'M

1	JUST TRYING TO GET YOU EARLY AS TO DATES
2	AND TIMES THAT FRIDAY WAS NOVEMBER THE
3	4TH; SATURDAY WAS NOVEMBER THE 5TH; AND
4	THE NEBRASKA GAME WAS NOVEMBER THE 12TH.
5	A. YES, OKAY.
6	Q. NOW THE 5TH YOU SAID
7	A. WELL, IT MAY HAVE BEEN FRIDAY. I
8	THINK IT WAS A FRIDAY THAT WE HAD
9	NOTIFIED SO IF IT WAS FRIDAY THE 4TH I
10	BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT.
11	Q. AND YOU DON'T RECALL HOW YOU
12	RECEIVED NOTICE BUT WHAT WAS THE NEXT
13	THING THAT YOU DID AS A BOARD MEMBER?
14	A. WELL, WE HAD ON THAT WEEKEND
15	THERE WAS A LOT OF THINGS GOING ON WITH
16	THE BOARD. WE HAD SOME PHONE CALLS. I
17	BELIEVE I WAS UP ON SUNDAY FOR AN IN
18	PERSON MEETING. I BELIEVE THAT I WAS UP
19	FOR AN IN PERSON MEETING OF THE BOARD
20	AND SOME WERE ON THE PHONE. AND THEN WE
21	HAD AT LEAST A COUPLE OF MORE MEETINGS
22	EITHER BY PHONE OR IN PERSON COMING INTO
23	THE WEDNESDAY OF THE FOLLOWING WEEK
24	WHATEVER THAT DATE THE WEDNESDAY
25	PRIOR TO THE NEBRASKA GAME.

1	Q. SO WEDNESDAY WOULD BE THE 9TH?
2	A. WELL, IT DEPENDS ON YOUR MATH.
3	Q. I NEVER DID WELL IN MATH. OKAY.
4	WHEN WAS IT USING THOSE DATES AND
5	MEETINGS THAT YOU HAD THE FIRST
6	DISCUSSION WITH DR. ERICKSON CONCERNING
7	THE POSSIBILITY OF BECOMING ATHLETIC
8	DIRECTOR?
9	A. I BELIEVE IT WAS FRIDAY OF THAT
10	WEEK.
11	Q. SO THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE 11TH?
12	A. ALL DEPENDING ON YOUR MATH, YES,
13	SIR.
14	Q. SO THAT WAS BASICALLY ONE WEEK AFTER
15	YOU RECEIVED NOTIFICATION OF THE
16	SANDUSKY CRIMINAL CHARGES?
17	A. THAT WOULD BE ACCURATE.
18	Q. DO YOU RECALL IF THIS DISCUSSION
19	WITH DR. ERICKSON WAS WELL, WHAT TIME
20	OF DAY WAS THIS?
21	A. I BELIEVE IT WAS IN THE AFTERNOON.
22	I CAN'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHEN THE BOARD
23	MEETING WAS OVER ON THAT FRIDAY BUT I
24	BELIEVE WE HAD A SORT OF A DEBRIEF
25	AFTER OUR AFTER OUR TRUSTEE MEETING

1	AND I THINK THERE WAS A LUNCH THAT I WAS
2	TALKING TO HIM, YOU KNOW, CASUAL.
3	THERE WASN'T A LOT OF CASUAL BACK THEN
4	BUT, YOU KNOW, IN A NONOFFICIAL
5	CAPACITY RIGHT AFTER THAT.
6	Q. WHEN YOU HAD THIS INITIAL
7	DISCUSSION DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT
8	YOU HAD BEEN ADVISED THAT MR. MCQUEARY
9	HAD BEEN PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?
10	A. YOU KNOW, EVERYTHING WAS MOVING SO
11	FAST THAT WEEK. I BECAME AWARE OF
12	MR. MCQUEARY BEING ON ADMINISTRATIVE
13	LEAVE SOME TIME WITHIN THAT TIMEFRAME
14	BUT I HONESTLY CAN'T I CAN'T RECALL
15	THE EXACT TIME. I MEAN, IT MAY NOT HAVE
16	BEEN RIGHT THEN. IT MAY HAVE BEEN AFTER
17	BUT I HONESTLY DON'T RECALL.
1.8	Q. DO YOU RECALL A RELEASE FROM
19	DR. ERICKSON TO THE PUBLIC ABOUT
20	MR. MCQUEARY WAS BEING PLACED ON
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?
22	A. I RECALL.
23	MR. STROKOFF: I BELIEVE THE WITNESS IS
24	SUPPOSED TO CONTINUE.
25	THE WITNESS. OKAY. I RECALL BECOMING

1	AWARE THAT HE WAS ON ADMINISTRATIVE
2	LEAVE. I'M NOT SURE WHETHER I READ IT
3	IN THE NEWSPAPER OR READ THE RELEASE AT
4	THE TIME. THERE WERE A LOT OF THINGS
5	GOING ON IN A VERY QUICK FASHION THAT
6	WEEK.
7	Q. WELL, ON NOVEMBER 11, 2011, YOU KNEW
8	WHO MIKE MCQUEARY WAS, RIGHT?
9	A. YES, SIR.
10	Q. OKAY. HOW LONG HAD YOU KNOWN MIKE?
11	A. I'VE PROBABLY KNOWN MIKE SINCE OR
12	KNOWN OF HIM AND I'VE KNOWN HIM,
13	OBVIOUSLY, BUT PROBABLY SINCE THE EARLY
14	'90S, I'M GUESSING. I MEAN, I KNEW
15	ABOUT MIKE WHEN HE WAS PLAYING HERE.
16	Q. DID YOU KNOW ABOUT HIM WHEN HE WAS
17	PLAYING AT STATE COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL?
18	A. I KNEW HE WAS FROM STATE COLLEGE,
19	YES, SIR.
20	Q. AND I BELIEVE YOU SAID YOU WERE
21	ORIGINALLY FROM STATE COLLEGE?
22	A. I WAS BUT IN HERSHEY MORE-OR-LESS
23	SINCE I WENT TO MEDICAL SCHOOL IN
24	1972.
25	Q. SO YOU DIDN'T COME BACK TO HIGH

1	SCHOOL FOOTBALL GAMES?
2	A. NOT VERY OFTEN.
3	Q. WHEN WAS THE FIRST TIME YOU HEARD
4	MIKE MCQUEARY'S NAME IN CONNECTION WITH
5	THE SANDUSKY MATTER?
6	A. I HONESTLY CAN'T REMEMBER. I MEAN,
7	IT WAS IN THAT TIME FRAME SOMETIME
8	BETWEEN THE HARRISBURG PATRIOT ARTICLE
9	AND THE NOVEMBER 11TH DATE BUT I
10	HONESTLY DON'T REMEMBER WHAT THE
11	SPECIFICS WERE.
12	I MEAN, IF IT WAS MENTIONED IN THE
13	ARTICLE THEN I WOULD HAVE YOU KNOW, I
14	WOULD HAVE UNDERSTOOD IT BACK IN THAT
15	ARTICLE IN THE SPRING BUT I DON'T KNOW
16	IF IT WAS AND I DON'T REMEMBER.
17	Q. WELL I'M GOING TO REPRESENT TO YOU
18	THAT HIS NAME WAS NOT MENTIONED IN THAT
19	SPRING 2011 ARTICLE.
20	A. OKAY.
21	Q. OKAY. YOU DON'T HAVE ANY BETTER
22	RECOLLECTION AS TO WHEN YOU FIRST FOUND
23	OUT THAT MR. MCQUEARY WAS THE GRAD
24	STUDENT WHO WITNESSED THE ASSAULT?
25	A. ACTUALLY, NO, SIR, I DON'T. IT WAS

1	OBVIOUSLY IN THAT IN THE IF IT
2	WASN'T IN THAT PAPER IT WAS LIKELY IN A
3	IN A REASONABLE TIGHT TIME FRAME
4	SURROUNDING ALL THE EVENTS THAT WERE
5	OCCURRING IN NOVEMBER, BUT THERE WERE SO
6	MANY THINGS GOING ON SO FAST I DON'T
7	HAVE A SPECIFIC RECOLLECTION OF THE
8	EXACT NATURE OF HOW I BECAME AWARE.
9	Q. WITH RESPECT TO THESE THE BOARD
10	MEETING THAT YOU'VE REFERRED TO WERE
11	MINUTES KEPT OF THOSE MEETINGS?
12	A. YES, SIR.
13	Q. AND WHO KEEPS THE MINUTES OF THESE
14	MEETINGS?
15	A. THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OFFICE.
16	Q. ARE THERE VERBATIM TAPES KEPT OF
17	THOSE MEETINGS?
18	A. THAT I CAN'T THAT I DON'T KNOW.
19	Q. WELL, WHEN DO YOU RECALL MR.
20	MCQUEARY'S NAME FIRST SURFACING AT A
21	BOARD MEETING?
22	MS. CONRAD: OBJECTION. IF IT SURFACED?
23	THE WITNESS: I DON'T RECALL ONE WAY OR
24	THE OTHER WHETHER IT DIDN'T WHETHER
25	IT DID SURFACE AT A BOARD MEETING OR

1	NOT.
2	BY MR. STROKOFF:
3	Q. WERE YOU AT ALL THE BOARD MEETINGS
4	THAT WERE HELD BEGINNING OR I SHOULD
5	SAY FROM THE TIME FRAME OF
6	NOVEMBER 5TH, 2011, THROUGH NOVEMBER 11,
7	2011?
8	A. I WOULD HAVE BEEN EITHER BY PHONE OR
9	IN PERSON.
10	Q. AND YOU'RE SAYING YOU DON'T RECALL
11	HIS NAME BEING MENTIONED AT A BOARD
12	MEETING?
13	A. NO. WHAT I'M SAYING IS THAT IN THAT
14	TIMEFRAME I BECAME AWARE OF MIKE
15	MCQUEARY OBVIOUSLY I WAS AWARE OF
16	MIKE MCQUEARY AS AN INDIVIDUAL PRIOR TO
17	THAT BUT I BECAME AWARE OF MIKE MCQUEARY
18	AS IT RELATES TO WHAT HAPPENED IN
19	THE AND WHAT WENT ON IN THAT
20	TIMEFRAME BUT I DON'T RECALL WHAT DAY,
21	WHAT TIME, AND BY WHAT MEANS THAT I
22	BECAME AWARE.
23	Q. WHAT DO YOU KNOW ABOUT THE DECISION
24	TO PLACE MR. MCQUEARY ON ADMINISTRATIVE
25	LEAVE?

1	A. I WAS NOT SPECIFICALLY INVOLVED IN
2	THAT DECISION BUT, BASED ON MY
3	UNDERSTANDING, IT WAS FOR HIS SAFETY.
4	Q. AND WHERE DID YOU GET THAT
5	UNDERSTANDING FROM?
6	A. I, UH, I DON'T RECALL
7	SPECIFICALLY BUT I DON'T KNOW IF IT
8	WAS IN THE NEWSPAPER OR IF IT WAS
9	MENTIONED AT SOME POINT IN THE
10	DISCUSSIONS THAT WE MAY HAVE HAD.
11	Q. SO, SIR, ARE YOU SAYING THAT YOU DII
12	NOT PARTICIPATE IN ANY DELIBERATIONS AS
13	TO WHETHER OR NOT
14	MR. MCQUEARY SHOULD BE PLACED ON
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?
16	A. WELL, THAT'S NOT A BOARD FUNCTION
17	ANYWAY AND SO THAT WOULD BE A FUNCTION
18	OF THE ADMINISTRATION. SO THE BOARD
19	WOULD NOT HAVE PURVIEW OVER THAT BUT I
20	OBVIOUSLY HEARD IN THIS TIME FRAME AT
21	SOME POINT THAT HE WAS PLACED ON
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE.
23	Q. AND YOU DON'T KNOW WHO MADE THAT
24	DECISION?
25	A. NO, SIR.

Q. BUT YOU ARE SAYING THAT IT WAS NOT
THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES?
A. IT WOULD NOT BE THE BOARD OF
TRUSTEES DUTY TO DO THAT. THAT'S AN
ADMINISTRATIVE
Q. AND THAT PART EVERYBODY AGREES ON.
I JUST WANT TO I'M TRYING TO GET A
SPECIFIC ANSWER. TO THE BEST OF YOUR
KNOWLEDGE, THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES DID NOT
HAVE INPUT INTO THE DECISION TO PLACE
MR. MCQUEARY ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?
A. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, THAT IS
THAT THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES DID NOT
HAVE INPUT INTO PLACING MR. MCQUEARY ON
ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE.
Q. NOW YOU MENTIONED THAT THERE ARE 32
MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES. NOW
AT SOMETIME ON OR SHORTLY AFTER NOVEMBER
5, 2011, WAS A SUBCOMMITTEE APPOINTED TO
DEAL WITH THIS MATTER AS OPPOSED TO THE
WHOLE BOARD?
MS. CONRAD: OBJECTION. WHAT DO YOU
MEAN BY THIS MATTER?
MR. STROKOFF: YOU KNOW, THE FALLOUT
FROM THE SANDUSKY, SCHULTZ, AND CURLEY

1	ARRESTS.
2	THE WITNESS: THERE WAS A AT SOME
3	POINT, I DON'T RECALL IT WAS DURING THIS
4	WEEK BUT PRIOR TO MY LEAVING THE BOARD
5	OF TRUSTEES, WHICH I SUSPENDED MY
6	MEMBERSHIP WHEN I BECAME AN EMPLOYEE, SO
7	SOMETIME PRIOR TO THE 17TH I BELIEVE
8	THAT A SUBCOMMITTEE WAS FORMED TO LOOK
9	INTO YOU KNOW, HOW DO WE INVESTIGATE
10	THIS INTERNALLY? HOW DO WE PROCEED? I
11	WAS NOT ON THAT I WAS NOT ON THAT
12	COMMITTEE.
13	BY MR. STROKOFF:
14	Q. THAT WAS MY NEXT QUESTION. YOU WERE
15	NOT ON THAT COMMITTEE?
16	A. NO, SIR.
17	Q. WERE YOU ON ANY BOARD COMMITTEES UP
18	UNTIL THE TIME YOU SUSPENDED YOUR
19	MEMBERSHIP?
20	A. I WAS ON I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT WE
21	CALLED THEM BACK THEN; THEY CHANGED IT
22	QUITE A BIT. ALL OF US, FROM
23	TIME-TO-TIME, WILL SERVE ON A COMMITTEE.
24	I WAS ON THE I CAN'T EVEN REMEMBER
25	WHAT THEY CALL IT BUT IT HAD TO DO WITH

1	THE EDUCATIONAL MATTERS. I WAS ON THAT
2	COMMITTEE.
3	I WAS ON CAMPUS LIFE COMMITTEE AT ONE
4	POINT. I'D HAVE TO LOOK BACK TO SEE
5	WHICH COMMITTEES I WAS ON AT THAT TIME.
6	Q. OKAY. SO YOU OFFICIALLY BEGAN AS
7	ATHLETIC DIRECTOR OR WAS IT ACTING
8	ATHLETIC DIRECTOR?
9	A. IT WAS ACTING ATHLETIC DIRECTOR AT
10	THAT TIME.
11	Q. AND THAT WAS NOVEMBER 17TH, 2011?
12	A. I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT.
13	Q. AND DID THERE COME A POINT IN TIME
14	WHEN THE ACTING WAS REMOVED FROM YOUR
15	TITLE?
16	A. IT WAS REMOVED IN JANUARY OF THIS
17	YEAR I BELIEVE.
18	Q. NOW YOU MADE REFERENCE TO A
19	MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU
20	ENTERED INTO I GUESS IN NOVEMBER OF
21	2011?
22	A. YES, SIR.
23	Q. IS THAT A CONTRACT WITH A SPECIFIC
24	TERM?
25	A. NO. IT DOESN'T HAVE A TERM ON IT.

1	IT HAS AS A MATTER OF FACT, IT HAS A
2	30-DAY NOTICE.
3	Q. OKAY. AND WHAT ABOUT ONCE YOU
4	BECAME THE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR; IS THERE A
5	CONTRACT THERE?
6	A. THERE'S ANOTHER, YOU KNOW, LETTER
7	THAT SAYS THAT MEMORIALIZES THAT I
8	WAS APPOINTED AND THAT I WOULD SERVE
9	CONCURRENT WITH DR. ERICKSON.
10	Q. SO WHEN YOU CAME ON NOVEMBER 17,
11	2011, DID YOU CONDUCT ANY INVESTIGATION
12	ON YOUR PART WITH RESPECT TO
13	MR. MCQUEARY WHO, AT THAT TIME, WAS ON
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?
15	MS. CONRAD: JUST SO I UNDERSTAND, DID
16	DR. JOYNER
17	MR. STROKOFF: YES.
18	MS. CONRAD: CONDUCT AN INVESTIGATION
19	WITH RESPECT TO MR. MCQUEARY?
20	MR. STROKOFF: THAT'S CORRECT.
21	THE WITNESS: NO, SIR.
22	BY MR. STROKOFF:
23	Q. DID YOU AUTHORIZE THAT ANY
24	INVESTIGATION BE CONDUCTED WITH RESPECT
25	TO MR. MCQUEARY?

1	A. NO, SIR.
2	Q. WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF HIS
3	STATUS WHEN HE WAS ON ADMINISTRATIVE
4	LEAVE?
5	A. MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT HE WAS ON
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE AND WAS TO DO NO
7	WORK WHICH, BASICALLY, IS WHAT
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE MEANS.
9	Q. I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT YOU ALSO
10	UNDERSTAND HE WAS ON PAID ADMINISTRATIVE
11	LEAVE; IS THAT CORRECT?
12	A. YES. YES, SIR.
13	Q. OKAY. WHAT, IF ANY, DUE DILIGENCE
14	DID YOU DO WITH RESPECT TO THE FOOTBALL
15	PROGRAM WHICH, AT THAT TIME, WAS KIND OF
16	WINDING TO THE END OF THE SEASON?
17	MS. CONRAD: WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY DUE
18	DILIGENCE?
19	BY MR. STROKOFF:
20	Q. WELL YOU USED TO PLAY FOR PENN
21	STATE, RIGHT?
22	A. YES, SIR.
23	Q. BUT YOU NEVER COACHED FOR PENN
24	STATE?
25	A. NO, SIR.

1	Q. ALL RIGHT. YOU KNEW SOME OF THE
2	INDIVIDUALS WHO WERE INVOLVED WITH
3	COACHING THE PENN STATE FOOTBALL TEAM IN
4	2011, DID YOU NOT?
5	A. YES.
6	Q. AND YOU ATTENDED GAMES, RIGHT?
7	A. YES.
8	Q. WHEN YOU BECAME ATHLETIC DIRECTOR
9	DID YOU MEET WITH THE COACHES, FOR
10	INSTANCE?
11	A. I WOULD MEET WITH THE COACHES FROM
12	TIME-TO-TIME BUT I DON'T RECALL THE
13	SPECIFIC TIMES. I WORKED VERY CLOSELY
14	WITH TOM BRADLEY WHO WAS NAMED THE
15	INTERIM HEAD COACH, AND WE WERE IN A
16	YOU KNOW, IT WAS A WARZONE. WE WERE
17	TRYING TO SURVIVE EVERY DAY AND SO WE
18	WERE REALLY IN CRISIS MANAGEMENT.
19	SO WE WERE IN A CRISIS MANAGEMENT
20	SITUATION AND WE WERE TRYING TO CONDUCT
21	THE FOOTBALL PROGRAM AND MAINTAIN ANY
22	KIND OF STABILITY THAT WE COULD
23	THROUGHOUT THE REMAINDER OF THE SEASON
24	AND, AT THE SAME TIME, STARTED A SEARCH
25	FOR A NEW HEAD COACH AND THAT WAS OUR

1	FOCUS.
2	Q. WELL YOU CAME ON-BOARD JUST BEFORE
3	THE OHIO STATE GAME; ISN'T THAT CORRECT?
4	A. I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT, YES.
5	Q. AND THEN AFTER THE OHIO STATE GAME
6	WAS ONE MORE GAME?
7	A. THE WISCONSIN GAME, I BELIEVE, YES.
8	Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY DISCUSSION WITH
9	COACH BRADLEY CONCERNING MIKE MCQUEARY?
10	A. NOT THAT I RECALL.
11	Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT
12	MIKE MCQUEARY WITH ANY OF THE OTHER
13	FOOTBALL COACHES?
14	A. NOT THAT I RECALL.
15	Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS ABOUT
16	MIKE MCQUEARY WITH ANY OF THE OTHER
17	FOOTBALL OPERATIONS STAFF?
18	A. NOT THAT I RECALL.
19	Q. NOW PENN STATE DID GO TO I THINK
20	IT'S CALLED THE TICKETCITY BOWL?
21	A. YES, SIR.
22	Q. AND IT'S IN HOUSTON AND IT'S IN
23	HOUSTON THAT YEAR?
24	A. YES, SIR.
25	Q. OKAY. WERE YOU INVOLVED IN THAT

1	DECISION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT TO GO TO A
2	BOWL?
3	A. YES.
4	Q. AND WHAT WAS YOUR INVOLVEMENT
5	IN THAT?
6	A. WELL, I'M THE HEAD FOOTBALL COACH
7	AND EXCUSE ME, I'M THE ATHLETIC
8	DIRECTOR AT THAT TIME ACTING ATHLETIC
9	DIRECTOR AND, ULTIMATELY, A LARGE PART
10	OF THE DECISION WOULD FALL ON MY
11	SHOULDERS.
12	Q. AND DO YOU RECALL SCRATCH THAT.
13	DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN THAT BOWL GAME WAS
14	HELD APPROXIMATELY?
15	A. THE END OF DECEMBER. I WOULD HAVE
16	TO CHECK THE RECORDS.
17	Q. THAT WAS NOT A NEW YEAR'S DAY BOWL?
18	A. I DON'T BELIEVE IT WAS.
19	Q. NOW DID YOU BECOME AWARE, SIR,
20	DID YOU BECOME AWARE THAT IN THE PAST
21	YEARS AT THE END OF THE CALENDAR YEAR
22	ASSISTANT FOOTBALL COACHES WOULD BE
23	PROVIDED WITH A BONUS DEPENDING UPON,
24	AMONG OTHER THINGS, WHETHER OR NOT THE
25	TEAM WENT TO A BOWL?

1	A. I BECAME AWARE THAT WE PAID BOWL
2	BONUSES.
3	Q. AND HOW DID YOU BECOME AWARE OF
4	THAT?
5	A. IN A DISCUSSION ABOUT HOW WE WERE
6	WHAT AMOUNT OF MONIES WERE WE GOING TO
7	ALLOCATE FOR A BOWL BONUS.
8	Q. SO THIS IS THE FIRST YOU BECAME
9	AWARE ABOUT BOWL BONUSES WAS AFTER YOU
10	BECAME ATHLETIC DIRECTOR?
11	A. YES, SIR.
12	Q. AND WHO DID YOU HAVE THAT DISCUSSION
13	WITH?
14	A. RICK KALUZA, I BELIEVE. WE HAD TO
15	USE OUR ASSISTANT ASSOCIATE AD FOR
16	FINANCE AND BUSINESS.
17	Q. AND DID YOU DISCUSS BOWL BONUSES
18	WITH ANYBODY OTHER THAN MR. KALUZA?
19	A. I LIKELY I BELIEVE I DISCUSSED
20	THEM WITH ERIKKA RUNKLE IN OUR HR
21	DEPARTMENT.
22	Q. AND DID YOU DISCUSS WITH EITHER OF
23	THOSE TWO INDIVIDUALS WHETHER OR NOT MR.
24	MCQUEARY SHOULD RECEIVE A BOWL BONUS?
25	A. LIKELY BOTH OF THEM.

1	Q. AND DO YOU RECALL WHETHER OR NOT HE
2	RECEIVED A BOWL BONUS?
3	A. HE DID NOT.
4	Q. AND DO YOU RECALL WHAT THE RATIONALE
5	WAS FOR THAT DECISION?
6	A. HE WASN'T PARTICIPATING IN THE BOWL.
7	Q. TO THE BEST OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID
8	THE ASSISTANT COACHES RECEIVE THE SAME
9	AMOUNT FOR A BOWL BONUS?
10	A. THERE WERE VARYING I MEAN,
11	THERE'S A RECORD OF THAT. THERE WERE
12	VARIED AMOUNTS GIVEN TO DIFFERENT STAFF
13	MEMBERS, INCLUDING ASSISTANT COACHES SO
14	WITHOUT HAVING THAT REFERENCE HERE, BUT,
15	YES.
16	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER GENERALLY WHAT THE
17	BASIS WAS FOR DIFFERENTIATING THEM? DO
18	YOU KNOW?
19	A. NO, I DON'T.
20	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THE RANGE OF
21	THE AMOUNT WAS?
22	A. I DON'T WITHOUT HAVING IT IN FRONT
23	OF ME.
24	Q. WAS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT WHAT
25	YOU REFER TO AS BOWL BONUSES WERE FOR

1	ACTUALLY GOING TO THE BOWL, OR THE
2	RECORD FOR THE ENTIRE SEASON, OR WHAT?
3	A. MY FEELING WAS IT WAS FOR
4	PARTICIPATION IN THE BOWL.
5	Q. NOW YOU MADE REFERENCE TO THE FACT
6	THAT ONE OF THE THINGS ON YOUR PLATE WAS
7	TO TRY TO FIND A NEW HEAD FOOTBALL
8	COACH; IS THAT CORRECT?
9	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
10	Q. YOU FOUND ONE?
11	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
12	Q. AND I DON'T WANT TO PROBE INTO THE
13	DETAILS OF YOUR DISCUSSIONS. THERE MAY
14	HAVE BEEN JUST ONE DISCUSSION. I DON'T
15	WANT TO KNOW THE NUMBER OF DISCUSSIONS
16	YOU HAD WITH COACH O'BRIEN BUT I DO WANT
17	TO KNOW WHETHER OR NOT YOU DISCUSSED
18	MIKE MCQUEARY AT ALL WITH COACH O'BRIEN.
19	A. I DON'T RECALL EVER DISCUSSING MIKE
20	SPECIFICALLY WITH COACH O'BRIEN.
21	Q. DID YOU DISCUSS ASSISTANT COACHES IN
22	GENERAL WITH COACH O'BRIEN? BY
23	ASSISTANT COACHES I MEAN THE INCUMBENT
24	PENN STATE ASSISTANT COACHES.
25	MS. CONRAD: YOU MEAN ANYONE IN

PARTICULAR OR IN GENERAL, OR BOTH?
MR. STROKOFF: ANY OF THE INCUMBENT OR
ALL OF THE INCUMBENT HEAD AND ASSISTANT
COACHES.
THE WITNESS: WE HAD A GENERAL
DISCUSSION ABOUT THE FACT THAT BILL
O'BRIEN HAD FOR A LONG TIME BEEN
PLANNING ON BEING A HEAD COACH, AND
BEING THE ORGANIZED PERSON THAT HE IS HE
HAD HIS ASSISTANT COACH ROSTER PRETTY
MUCH SET BEFORE HE EVEN SHOWED UP HERE.
AND SO WE DISCUSSED THAT AND WE
DISCUSSED THAT, THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO
HAVE COURTESY INTERVIEWS WITH THE
SITTING ASSISTANT COACHES.
BY MR. STROKOFF:
Q. HE SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THOSE
INTERVIEWS. WERE YOU AGREEABLE WITH
THAT?
A. I WAS AGREEABLE AND I PROBABLY WAS
EVEN SUGGESTIBLE TO DOING THAT.
Q. BUT YOU DID NOT SUGGEST THAT HE HAVE
AN INTERVIEW WITH MR. MCQUEARY?
A. I DON'T RECALL EVEN DISCUSSING THAT
WITH HIM.

1	Q. DO YOU RECALL WHETHER OR NOT MR.
2	MCQUEARY WAS OFFERED THE COURTESY OF AN
3	INTERVIEW WITH MR. O'BRIEN?
4	A. I DON'T, BUT I DON'T BELIEVE THAT
5	THAT OCCURRED.
6	Q. AND WHY DON'T YOU BELIEVE THAT THAT
7	OCCURRED?
8	A. HE WAS ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE
9	SO TO ME THAT WAS A LOGICAL REASON WHY,
10	PERHAPS, HE WASN'T GOING TO BE, IN
11	RETROSPECT.
12	Q. THIS STATUS OF MR. MCQUEARY BEING ON
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE, AT ANY POINT IN
14	TIME DID YOU LOOK INTO THE POSSIBILITY
15	OF BRINGING HIM BACK FROM ADMINISTRATIVE
16	LEAVE?
17	A. NO, SIR.
18	Q. WHY NOT?
19	A. BECAUSE I WASN'T THE ONE THAT PLACED
20	HIM ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE AND SO I DID
21	NOT THINK OF THE POSSIBILITY OF TAKING
22	HIM BACK OR BRINGING HIM BACK.
23	Q. WHO DID YOU UNDERSTAND PLACED HIM ON
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?
25	A. I DON'T KNOW WHO SPECIFICALLY PLACED

1	HIM ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE. IT
2	PROBABLY IT WOULD HAVE BEEN LIKELY
3	IT WOULD HAVE BEEN LIKELY MARK SHERBURNE
4	BECAUSE THAT WOULD HAVE FALLEN TO THE
5	INTERIM ATHLETIC DIRECTOR.
6	Q. ARE YOU SAYING, SIR, THAT YOU
7	BELIEVE IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN MARK
8	SHERBURNE?
9	A. NO, I BELIEVE IT WAS.
10	Q. ALL RIGHT. DO YOU BELIEVE HE WAS
11	THE INDIVIDUAL WHO MADE THE DECISION TO
12	PLACE MR. MCQUEARY ON ADMINISTRATIVE
13	LEAVE?
14	A. ULTIMATELY HE WOULD HAVE BEEN.
15	Q. BUT THAT'S YOUR BELIEF?
16	A. YES, SIR.
17	Q. BECAUSE THAT'S THE WAY IT SHOULD
18	HAVE BEEN DECIDED?
19	MR. STROKOFF: I THINK WITNESS MAY
20	CONTINUE.
21	THE WITNESS: I SEE ONE MORE QUESTION
22	THERE.
23	BY MR. STROKOFF:
24	Q. IT'S THE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR I'M
25	SORRY IS THE ONE WHO WOULD NORMALLY

1	MAKE THE DECISION TO PLACE A COACH OR AN
2	ASSISTANT COACH ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE,
3	CORRECT?
4	A. SINCE I'VE BEEN HERE, IN THE NORMAL
5	COURSE OF DOING BUSINESS, I WOULD BE THE
6	ONE TO MAKE A DECISION ABOUT PUTTING
7	SOMEONE ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE.
8	THEREFORE, MY BELIEF WOULD BE THAT
9	LOGICALLY IT WOULD BE MR. SHERBURNE WHO
10	WOULD HAVE DONE THAT.
11	MR. STROKOFF:
12	Q. BECAUSE THAT'S THE WAY YOU WOULD
13	HAVE DONE IT, THAT'S WHAT YOU MEAN?
14	A. THE WAY I WOULD DO IT NOW IS I WOULD
15	BE THE ONE TO MAKE THE DECISION AND HAVE
16	THE DISCUSSION.
17	Q. SINCE NOVEMBER 17TH, 2011, HAVE YOU
18	PLACED ANYONE ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?
19	A. I'VE DONE A NUMBER OF PERSONNEL
20	MATTERS. I DON'T RECALL THAT WE PLACED
21	ANYONE ON PAID ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE.
22	Q. HAVE YOU DONE ANY PERSONNEL MATTERS
23	WITH RESPECT TO COACHES OR ASSISTANT
24	COACHES?
25	A. YES, SIR.

1	Q. COACHES OR ASSISTANT COACHES WITH
2	RESPECT TO FOOTBALL?
3	A. WELL, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN ALL OF THE
4	COACHES THAT WERE NOT RETAINED WE DEALT
5	WITH THOSE SITUATIONS.
6	Q. WHO'S THE WE?
7	A. THE DEPARTMENT. HR AND MYSELF AS
8	FAR AS WE PROCESSED WE PROCESSED THEM
9	THEIR EXIT FROM THE UNIVERSITY.
10	Q. ARE YOU SAYING YOU MADE THE DECISION
11	NOT TO RETAIN TOM BRADLEY AS AN
12	ASSISTANT FOOTBALL COACH?
13	MS. CONRAD: OBJECTION. THAT'S NOT WHAT
14	HE SAID BUT DR. JOYNER CAN EXPLAIN.
15	THE WITNESS: WHAT I SAID WAS THAT WHEN
16	BILL O'BRIEN FINALIZED HIS DECISION ON
17	HIS COACHING STAFF I THEN INSTITUTED
18	WITH HR TO PROCESS PEOPLE OUT.
19	BY MR. STROKOFF:
20	Q. I DON'T WANT TO GET INTO WELL,
21	I'LL LET YOU FINISH.
22	A. NO, THAT'S IT.
23	Q. DID MR. O'BRIEN TELL YOU THOSE
24	ASSISTANT COACHES HE DID NOT WANT TO
25	RETAIN AND THEN YOU TOLD THEM THEY

1	WEREN'T BEING GOING TO BE RETAINED?
2	IS THAT THE WAY IT WORKED?
3	A. I TOLD TOM BRADLEY THAT HE AND
4	THE COACHES THAT HAD APPLIED. THEY WERE
5	MAINTAINING CONFIDENTIALITY. TOM
6	BRADLEY HAS STATED IN THE PAPERS
7	THAT HE WAS A CANDIDATE OR HE APPLIED
8	FOR THE PENN STATE JOB SO I'M NOT
9	REVEALING ANYTHING THAT WE HAVEN'T
10	ALREADY
11	Q. YOU MEAN THE HEAD COACHING JOB?
12	A. YES, SIR.
13	Q. NO. I'M TALKING ABOUT HE APPLIED
14	FOR THE HEAD COACHING JOB, RIGHT?
15	A. CORRECT.
16	Q. I'M NOT SAYING THAT YOU TOLD HIM
17	THAT HE DIDN'T GET IT. I'M TALKING
18	ABOUT WHO TOLD THE ASSISTANT COACHES WHO
19	WEREN'T RETAINED THAT THEY WEREN'T GOING
20	TO BE RETAINED?
21	A. THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN BILL O'BRIEN, I
22	BELIEVE.
23	Q. SO DID YOU DO ANYTHING WITH RESPECT
24	TO THOSE ASSISTANT COACHES AFTER MR.
25	O'BRIEN TOLD THEM THEY WEREN'T BEING

1	RETAINED?
2	A. I HELPED WITH THAT I WAS I
3	PARTICIPATED IN THE PROCESSING OF THEIR
4	SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS.
5	Q. AND YOU SAY YOU ASSISTED. YOU WERE
6	WORKING WITH ONE OR MORE OTHER PERSONS?
7	A. OUR HR DEPARTMENT.
8	Q. OKAY. AND WAS THAT ERIKKA RUNKLE
9	AND HER STAFF?
10	A. THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN ERIKKA, YES.
11	Q. SO FAR AS YOU KNOW, COACH O'BRIEN
12	TOLD EACH OF THE ASSISTANT COACHES WHO
13	WEREN'T RETAINED THAT THEY WEREN'T GOING
14	TO BE RETAINED?
15	A. THAT WOULD BE ACCURATE.
16	Q. AND SO FAR AS YOU KNOW, ALL OF THE
17	ASSISTANT COACHES WHO WEREN'T RETAINED
18	RECEIVED THEIR SEVERANCE PAYMENTS RATHER
19	IMMEDIATELY?
20	A. IT IS MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF THAT
21	THE ASSISTANT COACHES, IN WHATEVER WAY
22	THE SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS WERE WRITTEN,
23	RECEIVED THEIR SEVERANCE PAYMENTS BASED
24	ON THOSE AGREEMENTS.
25	Q. THOSE SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS I BELIEVE

1	PROVIDE, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THAT THE
2	ASSISTANT COACHES THAT WERE RETAINED
3	WOULD STILL HAVE THE ABILITY TO HAVE A
4	DEALER CAR FOR, I THINK, THREE MONTHS,
5	CORRECT?
6	A. I'D HAVE TO LOOK I'D HAVE TO HAVE
7	THE AGREEMENT IN FRONT OF ME TO LOOK AT
8	IT BUT THAT IS SOMETIMES DONE, SO AND
9	EACH AGREEMENT CAN BE DIFFERENT.
10	Q. BUT IS IT YOUR BELIEF AND
11	UNDERSTANDING THAT THE AGREEMENT
12	PROVIDED THAT THE DEALER CAR COULD BE
13	RETAINED FOR A PERIOD OF TIME AS PART OF
14	THEIR SEVERANCE?
15	A. I'D HAVE TO SEE THE AGREEMENT TO BE
16	ABLE TO MAKE AN ACCURATE STATEMENT.
17	Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY INSTANCES IN
18	WHICH AN AGREEMENT PROVIDED FOR THE
19	RETENTION OF A DEALER CAR FOR A PERIOD
20	OF MONTHS WHERE THAT WASN'T DONE?
21	A. WE'VE HAD CONTRACTS WE'VE HAD
22	SEVERANCE CONTRACT WHERE NOT RELATED
23	TO FOOTBALL WHERE DEALER CARS ARE TURNED
24	IN VIRTUALLY IMMEDIATELY.
25	Q. BECAUSE THAT'S PROVIDED FOR IN THE

1	SEVERANCE CONTRACT?
2	A. EACH SEVERANCE CONTRACT CAN BE
3	DIFFERENT.
4	Q. SORRY, THIS SHOULD BE ONE PAGE. AND
5	I THINK THIS IS JOYNER DEPOSITION NUMBER
6	2. SIR, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THIS
7	DOCUMENT?
8	A. NOT NECESSARILY THE DOCUMENT BUT
9	I'VE SEEN THIS STATEMENT BEFORE.
10	MR. STROKOFF: YOUR HONOR, JOYNER
11	DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 2 IS THE
12	SPANIER STATEMENT WHICH IS PLAINTIFF'S
13	EXHIBIT 38.
14	BY MR. STROKOFF:
15	Q. AND WHEN DID YOU FIRST SEE THIS
16	STATEMENT?
17	A. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN ON OR ABOUT THE
18	DATE THAT'S ON THIS DOCUMENT; SATURDAY,
19	NOVEMBER 5TH. BASED ON MY RECOLLECTION,
20	I BELIEVE THAT'S ACCURATE.
21	Q. AND DID YOU READ IT AT THE TIME?
22	A. I READ IT IN I BELIEVE I READ IT
23	IN THE NEWSPAPER OR HEARD IT BUT I DON'T
24	RECALL READING IT ON THIS PIECE OF
25	PAPER.

1	Q. SO YOU DON'T RECALL READING IT ON
2	THE UNIVERSITY'S WEBSITE?
3	A. NO, SIR, I DON'T.
4	Q. OKAY. YOU SAY YOU MAY HAVE READ IT
5	IN THE NEWSPAPER?
6	A. WELL, I MAY HAVE READ IT IN THE
7	NEWSPAPER. I MAY HAVE READ IT ON A
8	RELEASE ON THE UNIVERSITY'S WEBSITE BUT
9	I DON'T RECALL WHICH MEDIA THAT I SAW IT
10	ON.
11	Q. WAS THERE ANYTHING IN THIS STATEMENT
12	THAT CONCERNED YOU AT THE TIME YOU READ
13	IT?
14	A. I WOULD HAVE BEEN I WOULD HAVE
15	BEEN CONCERNED ABOUT PRESIDENT SPANIER,
16	PERHAPS, GETTING OUT IN FRONT OF SOME
17	THINGS BUT, YES.
18	Q. WHAT DO YOU MEAN GETTING OUT IN
19	FRONT OF SOME THINGS?
20	A. PERHAPS MAYBE SPEAKING TOO SOON
21	ABOUT GUILT OR INNOCENCE IN THIS
22	SITUATION.
23	Q. DO YOU RECALL WHETHER OR NOT YOU
24	EXPRESSED ANY CONCERNS TO THAT EFFECT TO
25	PRESIDENT SPANIER?

1	A. I DON'T I DIDN'T I DON'T
2	BELIEVE I EXPRESSED ANYTHING TO HIM
3	PERSONALLY.
4	Q. WELL, DO YOU RECALL WHETHER OR NOT
5	THERE WAS ANY DISCUSSION AT THE BOARD
6	LEVEL ABOUT THIS STATEMENT?
7	A. I BELIEVE THAT THERE LIKELY WAS
8	BECAUSE OF THIS THIS STATEMENT BEING
9	RATHER FORWARD LOOKING WITHOUT, PERHAPS,
10	ANY KNOWLEDGE SPECIFIC TO THOSE
11	STATEMENTS MADE.
12	Q. BUT YOU HAVE NO SPECIFIC
13	RECOLLECTION OF BOARD MEMBERS EXPRESSING
14	CONCERN ABOUT THIS SPECIFIC STATEMENT BY
15	PRESIDENT SPANIER?
16	A. I DO RECALL THAT THERE IS THERE
17	WAS CONCERN BUT I DON'T HAVE THE
18	SPECIFICS OF WHO EXPRESSED WHAT WHEN AND
19	HOW THOSE WERE EXPRESSED.
20	Q. AND DO YOU BELIEVE THAT SUCH
21	EXPRESSIONS WOULD BE REFLECTED IN THE
22	BOARD'S MINUTES?
23	A. THEY VERY WELL MAY BE.
24	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHETHER OR NOT THE
25	BOARD DID ANYTHING TO MODIFY THIS

1	STATEMENT?
2	A. I'D HAVE TO GO BACK AND LOOK AT WHAT
3	WAS RELEASED. I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW HOW
4	YOU MODIFY A STATEMENT ONCE IT'S STATED.
5	IN OTHER WORDS, IT'S ALREADY RELEASED.
6	Q. PRESIDENT NIXON USED TO DO THAT ALL
7	THE TIME.
8	A. YES. I KNOW THAT. OTHER ONES ALSO
9	I THINK BUT THE STATEMENTS MADE I DON'T
10	KNOW HOW YOU MODIFY THE STATEMENT.
11	PERHAPS IF WE WENT BACK AND LOOKED AT,
12	YOU KNOW, THINGS THAT WERE POSTED AND
13	WHATNOT, YOU KNOW, WE COULD LOOK AND SEE
14	HOW THEIR REACTION WAS OR HOW OTHER
15	STATEMENTS WERE MADE.
16	Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID THE ISSUANCE
17	OF THIS STATEMENT FROM PRESIDENT SPANIER
18	HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE BOARD'S
19	DECISION TO RELIEVE HIM OF THE
20	PRESIDENCY?
21	A. I THINK THAT THE GENERAL FEELING WAS
22	THAT THE LEADERSHIP WAS QUESTIONED.
23	THERE WERE MANY FACTORS THAT ENTERED
24	INTO THAT THOUGHT PROCESS AND THOSE THAT
25	DID NOT SEE THIS AS A POSITIVE STATEMENT

1	I'M SURE THAT ENTERED INTO THEIR
2	DECISIONS.
3	Q. WELL, DID IT ENTER INTO YOUR
4	DECISION?
5	A. I WOULD NEVER HAVE MADE THAT
6	STATEMENT.
7	Q. THAT I UNDERSTAND. DID HIS ISSUANCE
8	OF THIS STATEMENT HAVE ANY FACTOR IN
9	YOUR I DON'T EVEN KNOW HOW YOU VOTED
10	ON WHETHER OR NOT HE SHOULD HAVE BEEN
11	RELIEVED WEDNESDAY NIGHT BUT, FOR YOU,
12	IS THIS STATEMENT
13	A. FOR ME THAT STATEMENT DID NOT SIT
14	WELL, CORRECT.
15	Q. BUT WAS IT A FACTOR IN YOUR
16	DECISION?
17	A. IT WOULD BE A FACTOR IN MY OUTLOOK
18	ON PRESIDENT SPANIER'S LEADERSHIP, YES.
19	Q. BEGINNING NOVEMBER 5, 2011, THROUGH
20	THE NIGHT OF THE 9TH OF 2011, DID YOU
21	HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH
22	COACH PATERNO; THAT IS, HEAD COACH
23	PATERNO?
24	A. NO, SIR.
25	Q. YOU DIDN'T SPEAK TO HIM AT ALL

FIONS FROM WEREN'T NICATIONS YOU HAVE
WEREN'T
WEREN'T
NICATIONS
YOU HAVE
ON THAT
IN THE
- AND I'M
VE TO
DN'T HAVE
WAS GOING
AT THE
IS WITH
NOVEMBER?
OU
R OR ON
СН

1	Q. YEAH.
2	A. IT WOULD BE HARD TO RECALL. IT WAS
3	PROBABLY AT A BANQUET SOMEWHERE BUT, YOU
4	KNOW, WELL IN ADVANCE OF THE ISSUES THAT
5	OCCURRED IN NOVEMBER; MEANING MONTHS OR
6	YEARS PERHAPS.
7	Q. SO BEFORE THE FOOTBALL SEASON ENDED
8	AND IT WAS A BANQUET?
9	A. WELL, I MEAN, IT COULD HAVE BEEN. I
10	WOULD SEE HIM SOMETIMES AT BANQUETS, YOU
11	KNOW, THAT THE UNIVERSITY WOULD HOLD;
12	ENDOWMENT DINNERS AND THINGS LIKE THAT,
13	SO, BUT I DON'T RECALL THE EXACT
14	TIMEFRAME. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN A NUMBER
15	OF MONTHS AT LEAST PRIOR TO THIS.
16	Q. BEFORE YOU LOOK AT THAT, SIR, I'M
17	GOING TO GIVE YOUR COUNSEL AN
18	OPPORTUNITY TO DETERMINE IF SHE THINKS
19	THAT THIS E-MAIL CHAIN OUGHT TO BE
20	REORGANIZED. I GAVE IT TO YOU IN PAGE
21	NUMBER SEQUENCE BUT IT'S NOT NECESSARILY
22	IN CHRONOLOGICAL SEQUENCE. SIR, YOU'VE
23	BEEN HANDED A DOCUMENT WHICH WAS
24	PREVIOUSLY - WHICH WAS MARKED RUNKLE
25	DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 12 AND WE'RE

1	ALSO GOING TO LABEL IT JOYNER DEPOSITION
2	EXHIBIT NUMBER 3 AND SEVERAL PAGES IT
3	HAS PARTS OF E-MAILS ON IT, OKAY?
	,
4	A. YES, SIR.
5	Q. AND THE OTHER THING I WANT TO
6	REPRESENT TO YOU IS THAT THE BLACKED OUT
7	PARTS HAVE BEEN BLACKED OUT BY
8	PENN STATE'S LAWYER IN THIS CASE OF
9	FOR REASONS OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT
10	CONFIDENTIALITY, OKAY?
11	A. YES, SIR.
12	Q. THE FIRST NAME ON THE FIRST PAGE
13	THERE IS AN E-MAIL FROM ERIKKA RUNKLE.
14	WE CAN'T TELL EXACTLY TO WHOM.
15	MS. CONRAD: REFERRING TO THE MAY 4TH
16	SECTION.
17	MR. STROKOFF: YES. THE MAY 4TH SECTION
18	IN WHICH SHE WROTE AN E-MAIL AND THEN AT
19	THE TOP NO. WE'RE ON THE FIRST PAGE
20	STILL.
21	THE WITNESS: I AM SORRY.
22	Q. A RESPONSE TO THAT E-MAIL IS
23	PURPORTED TO HAVE BEEN SENT BY YOU TO
24	ERIKKA WITH A COPY TO CYNTHIA BALDWIN
25	AND TOM POOLE, CORRECT?

1	A. YES, SIR.
2	Q. THE SUBJECT MATTER IS RE: MICHAEL
3	MCQUEARY APPOINTMENT?
4	A. YES.
5	Q. OKAY. SO FIRST QUESTION IS DO YOU
6	REMEMBER RESPONDING TO AN E-MAIL FROM
7	ERIKKA RUNKLE, WHICH SHE TESTIFIED SHE
8	SENT ABOUT 11 DAYS BEFORE SHE WENT ON
9	MATERNITY LEAVE, TO HER WITH A COPY TO
10	YOUR GENERAL COUNSEL AND TOM POOLE?
11	A. IF YOU'RE REFERRING TO DO I HAVE A
12	RESPONSE A REMEMBRANCE OF EXACT DATES
13	SENDING AN E-MAIL WITHOUT LOOKING AT THE
14	E-MAIL THE ANSWER IS NO, BUT BASED ON
15	THIS OBVIOUS E-MAIL THAT I SENT THEN I
16	WOULD TESTIFY THAT I SENT AN E-MAIL TO
17	ERIKKA RUNKLE IN RESPONSE TO HER MAY
18	4TH.
19	Q. LETS GO THEN TO THE NEXT PAGE WHERE
20	WE SEE THAT CYNTHIA BALDWIN HAS
21	RESPONDED TO YOU AND ERIKKA WITH A CC TO
22	TOM POOLE RE: MICHAEL MCQUEARY
23	APPOINTMENT. DO YOU SEE THAT AT THE
24	BOTTOM OF THE NEXT PAGE?
25	A. YES, SIR.

1	Q. OKAY, THANK YOU. AND THEN YOU GO A
2	LITTLE BIT FURTHER UP ON MAY 6TH AND WE
3	SEE A RESPONSE FROM YOU TO TOM POOLE
4	WITH A COPY TO CYNTHIA BALDWIN AND
5	ERIKKA RUNKLE RE: MICHAEL MCQUEARY
6	APPOINTMENT?
7	A. YES, SIR.
8	Q. AND THEN AT THE TOP ALMOST SIX WEEKS
9	LATER WE SEE AN E-MAIL FROM YOU TO
10	ERIKKA QUOTE THIS IS NOT BEING RENEWED,
11	CORRECT? THANKS.
12	A. YES, SIR.
13	Q. NOW HAVING LOOKED AT WHAT'S GOING TO
14	BE THE NEXT PAGE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE
15	PAGE ERIKKA RUNKLE, WHO SHE TELLS US WAS
16	STILL ON MATERNITY LEAVE AT THE TIME,
17	RESPONDED TO YOU, NO, HE IS NOT BEING
18	RENEWED AND YOU SAY AT THE TOP OKAY,
19	THANKS?
20	A. YES, SIR.
21	Q. DOES THIS E-MAIL CHAIN, AND PARTS OF
22	IT ARE SUBSTANTIALLY REDACTED, REFRESH
23	YOUR MEMORY AT BEGINNING ON MAY 6TH,
24	2012, YOU WERE INVOLVED IN SOME E-MAILS
25	CONCERNING MICHAEL MCQUEARY'S

APPOINTMENT?
THE CHAPTERY :
A. YES.
Q. OKAY. AND IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT AS
OF MAY 6TH, 2012, YOU DIDN'T KNOW
WHETHER OR NOT MR. MCQUEARY WAS GOING TO
BE CONTINUED ON THE PAYROLL AND FOR HOW
LONG?
A. THAT DOES NOT CHARACTERIZED IT IN
THE WAY I WOULD CHARACTERIZED IT.
Q. WELL THAT'S THE WHOLE IDEA IS TO
GIVE YOU THE OPPORTUNITY TO CORRECT IT.
A. CORRECT. THIS WOULD INDICATE TO ME
THAT AND BY MEMORY THAT MR.
MCQUEARY'S APPOINTMENT, I BELIEVE, WAS
ENDING ON JULY 1 OR JUNE 30TH; I THINK
IT WAS OF THAT YEAR, AND BASED ON THE
PIECES OF INFORMATION THAT ARE NOT
REDACTED THAT I'M MERELY DOUBLE-CHECKING
THAT WE'RE NOT RENEWING HIS CONTRACT AS
THAT WAS MY DECISION.
Q. WELL WHY DID YOU HAVE TO
DOUBLE-CHECK WITH ANYBODY?
A. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THE
PAPERWORK WAS BEING PROCESSED CORRECTLY.
Q. SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT ON MAY

1	6TH, 2012, YOU HAD DECIDED NOT TO RENEW
2	HIS CONTRACT?
3	A. I DON'T RECALL ABOUT WHEN THE
4	EXACT TIMEFRAME OF WHEN THE DECISION WAS
5	MADE BUT THERE WERE CONVERSATIONS
6	BETWEEN ERIKKA AND I ABOUT PROCESSING
7	THIS AND MAKING SURE IT WAS DONE AT THE
8	APPROPRIATE TIMING.
9	Q. BUT YOU MADE A DECISION NOT TO RENEW
10	HIM, NOT OLD MAIN OR ANYBODY IN
11	ADMINISTRATION; ISN'T THAT CORRECT?
12	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
13	Q. THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES DIDN'T MAKE
14	THAT DECISION?
15	A. NO, SIR.
16	Q. IT WAS SOLELY YOUR DECISION?
17	A. THE WAY IT WORKS AT PENN STATE IS
18	THAT I WOULD HAVE CONCURRED WITH THE
19	PRESIDENT ABOUT MY DECISION SO THAT HE
20	WOULD HAVE BEEN AWARE AND HAD ANY CHANCE
21	TO DISCUSS THAT WITH ME.
22	Q. SIR, DO YOU RECALL SPECIFICALLY
23	DISCUSSING THIS WITH PRESIDENT ERICKSON?
24	A. I WOULD HAVE DISCUSSED IT WITH HIM,
25	YES, SIR. I DON'T RECALL THE EXACT TIME

1	FRAME OF WHEN I WOULD HAVE.
2	Q. DID YOU HAVE RECORDS THAT WOULD
3	PINPOINT THE TIME FRAME WHEN YOU HAD
4	THIS DISCUSSION WITH PRESIDENT ERICKSON?
5	A. I WOULDN'T HAVE NECESSARILY WRITTEN
6	RECORDS, YOU KNOW, LIKE IN THE E-MAIL.
7	THE E-MAILS POOLE MAY HAVE, YOU KNOW,
8	MEMORIALIZED THAT BUT I DON'T HAVE
9	THOSE.
10	Q. BUT IF YOU MADE THE DECISION THAT HE
11	WASN'T TO BE RENEWED, WHY ARE YOU
12	E-MAILING ERIKKA RUNKLE ON JUNE 18TH
13	QUOTE THIS IS NOT BEING RENEWED,
14	CORRECT?
15	A. MEANING IT'S NOT BEING RENEWED;
16	MEANING I'M MAKING SURE THAT THE PROCESS
17	HAS BEEN DONE TO ENSURE THAT IT'S NOT
18	BEING RENEWED AT THE END OF JUNE KNOWING
19	THAT THE TIMING WAS GETTING NEAR.
20	Q. SIR, DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THERE'S
21	ANYTHING IN WRITING THAT SAYS WORDS TO
22	THE EFFECT THAT I, MEANING DAVID JOYNER,
23	HAVE DECIDED NOT TO RENEW MIKE
24	MCQUEARY'S CONTRACT?
25	A. I DON'T KNOW WHAT'S IN THESE

1	THESE REDACTIONS HERE SO AND,
2	OBVIOUSLY, THOSE ARE OFF-LIMITS, BUT
3	Q. WELL, NO, THEY MAY OR MAY NOT BE BUT
4	MY QUESTION IS WHERE DO YOU SET FORTH
5	YOUR DECISION NOT TO RENEW HIS CONTRACT?
6	MS. CONRAD: SAY THAT AGAIN, PLEASE.
7	BY MR. STROKOFF:
8	Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THERE'S ANYTHING IN
9	WRITING TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT IT WAS YOU
10	WHO MADE THE DECISION NOT TO RENEW HIS
11	CONTRACT?
12	MS. CONRAD: OBJECTION AS TO FORM.
13	DR. JOYNER TESTIFIED HE MADE THE
1.4	DECISION IN CONSULTING OR CONCURRING
15	WITH THE PRESIDENT SO I JUST WANT THE
16	RECORD TO BE CLEAR.
17	BY MR. STROKOFF:
18	Q. WELL, I THINK HE SAID WORDS SIMILAR
19	TO THAT BUT MY QUESTION IS IS THERE
20	ANYTHING IN WRITING WHICH WOULD INDICATE
21	THAT YOU WERE THE DECISION-MAKER WITH
22	RESPECT TO WHETHER OR NOT TO CONTINUE
23	MICHAEL MCQUEARY'S EMPLOYMENT BEYOND
24	JUNE 30, 2012?
25	A. I CAN'T I CAN'T ANSWER THAT ONE

WAY OR THE OTHER BUT IT WAS MY DECISION
REGARDLESS OF WHAT'S IN WRITING OR NOT.
Q. DO YOU RECALL IF YOU MADE THAT
DECISION INDEPENDENTLY OF RECEIVING ANY
LEGAL ADVICE?
A. I'M NOT SURE ABOUT ANSWERING THAT
QUESTION.
MS. CONRAD: THEN DON'T ANSWER THE
QUESTION.
BY MR. STROKOFF:
Q. DID YOU OBTAIN ANY LEGAL ADVISE
BEFORE YOU DECIDED NOT TO CONTINUE MR.
MCQUEARY'S APPOINTMENT?
A. I'M ALSO NOT SURE ABOUT ANSWERING
THAT QUESTION.
Q. WELL, MAYBE I I DON'T KNOW WHY
THAT'S OBJECTIONABLE.
MS. CONRAD: HE CAN ANSWER YES OR NO BUT
NOT REVEAL THE SUBSTANCE OF ANY LEGAL
ADVICE YOU MAY HAVE OBTAINED.
THE WITNESS: OKAY. I WOULD, OF COURSE,
HAVE HAD LEGAL ADVICE IN EVERY HR
SITUATION VIRTUALLY ABOUT HOW DO WE
WRITE THINGS? HOW DO WE MAKE SURE THAT
THE I'S ARE DOTTED AND THE T'S ARE

1	CROSSED SO THIS WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ANY
2	DIFFERENT SITUATION.
3	BY MR. STROKOFF:
4	Q. AND JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, I JUST
5	THIS WAS YOUR DECISION NOT TO CONTINUE
6	HIS EMPLOYMENT, RIGHT?
7	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
8	Q. AND TELL ME YOUR REASONS FOR YOUR
9	DECISION.
10	A. THERE WAS NO WORK FOR HIM TO DO,
11	NUMBER ONE, AND HIS TERM WAS EXPIRING.
12	Q. IN THE WHOLE UNIVERSITY THERE WAS NO
13	WORK FOR HIM TO DO?
14	A. WELL, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE'RE
15	VERY CAREFUL ABOUT IS THAT INDIVIDUALS
16	WE DON'T JUST PASS ON WORK TO PEOPLE
17	TO KEEP THEM WORKING, SO AND WE'RE
18	VERY CAREFUL ABOUT THAT AND THERE WAS NO
19	WORK IN OUR DEPARTMENT OR ANYWHERE FOR
20	MR. MCQUEARY TO DO BASED ON HIS PREVIOUS
21	EMPLOYMENT.
2.2	Q. OKAY. NOW WHO MADE THAT
23	DETERMINATION THAT THERE WAS NO WORK FOR
24	HIM TO DO?
25	A. I DID.

1	Q. AND YOU MADE THAT DETERMINATION
2	AFTER REVIEWING HIS PERSONNEL FILE?
3	A. NO, SIR. THERE WERE NO JOBS
4	AVAILABLE OR POSTED AND HE WOULD HAVE
5	HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO WHATEVER HE
6	WANTED AS ANY INDIVIDUAL WOULD IN THE
7	COMMUNITY AND BUT THERE WAS NOT A
8	POSITION THAT I WAS LOOKING FOR SOMEONE.
9	Q. SIR, DO YOU AGREE THAT AT NO TIME
10	DID YOU EVER LOOK AT MR. MCQUEARY'S
11	PERSONNEL FILE?
12	A. I DON'T RECALL LOOKING AT MR.
13	MCQUEARY'S PERSONNEL FILE.
14	Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY OPINION AS TO
15	WHETHER OR NOT MR. MCQUEARY WAS A GOOD
16	COACH?
17	A. NO, SIR.
18	Q. YOU HAVE NO OPINION ONE WAY OR THE
19	OTHER?
20	A. NO, SIR.
21	Q. DID YOU CONSULT WITH ANYBODY AS TO
22	WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS ANY WORK IN THE
23	UNIVERSITY FOR MR. MCQUEARY TO DO?
24	A. AS I'VE ALREADY STATED, THAT'S NOT
25	HOW IT WORKS. INDIVIDUALS HAVE TO

1	APPLY. WE DON'T JUST GIVE THEM WORK.
2	Q. WELL, THAT'S HOW IT WAS FOR THE TIME
3	YOU WERE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR FROM NOVEMBER
4	17TH, 2011, UNTIL SOMETIME IN THE SPRING
5	OF 2012?
6	A. NO. THAT'S HOW IT IS WHEN FROM
7	THE MOMENT I TOOK THE JOB UNTIL
8	CONTINUING.
9	Q. BUT YOU HAD ONLY BEEN ON THE JOB A
10	FEW MONTHS, RIGHT?
11	A. WHEN?
12	Q. YOU TOOK THE JOB IN NOVEMBER OF 2011
13	AND YOU'RE DECIDING IN MAY OF 2012,
14	THAT, YOU KNOW, IF HE WANTS WORK HE HAS
15	TO APPLY FOR WORK?
16	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
17	Q. WE'RE NOT GOING TO GO LOOK FOR WORK
18	FOR HIM, RIGHT?
19	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
20	Q. OKAY. AND IT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING
21	THAT MR. MCQUEARY KNEW THAT IF HE WANTED
22	TO CONTINUE TO WORK AT PENN STATE THAT
23	HE SHOULD APPLY FOR JOBS AT PENN STATE?
24	A. IT WOULD BE MY UNDERSTANDING AND
25	IF I WAS AN INDIVIDUAL THAT HAD A
L	

1	CONTRACT THAT WAS THE TERM WAS
2	EXPIRING, I WOULD BE DECIDING ON HOW I
3	WAS GOING TO CONTINUE TO CONDUCT MY
4	EMPLOYMENT; WHETHER IT BE AT PENN STATE
5	OR ANYWHERE ELSE.
6	Q. DID YOU MAKE DECISION NOT TO
7	CONTINUE HIM ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE
8	BEYOND JUNE 30, 2012?
9	A. I MADE THE DECISION THAT AS HIS TERM
10	WAS EXPIRING THAT WHETHER HE BE ON
11	WHATEVER FORM OF DUTIES THAT HE HAD THAT
12	HIS TERM WAS EXPIRING AND WE WERE NOT
13	GOING TO RENEW HIS TERM.
14	Q. AND YOU WEREN'T GOING TO CONTINUE
15	HIM ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?
16	A. NO. NOT RENEWING HIS TERM MEANS
17	HE'S NOT EMPLOYED AT THE UNIVERSITY
18	ANYMORE.
19	Q. NOW YOU SAY YOU HAD NO OPINION AS TO
20	WHETHER OR NOT MR. MCQUEARY WAS A GOOD
21	COACH. HAD YOU EVER HEARD FROM ANY OF
22	THE OTHER COACHES AT THE UNIVERSITY THAT
23	MR. MCQUEARY WAS A GOOD COACH?
24	A. I DIDN'T DISCUSS ANY OF THOSE ISSUES
25	ABOUT MR. MCQUEARY WITH ANY OF THE OTHER

1	COACHES.
2	Q. WELL, I'M TALKING ABOUT BEFORE YOU
3	BECAME AD DID YOU EVER HEAR HE WAS A
4	GOOD COACH?
5	A. I NEVER HEARD ANYTHING NEGATIVE OR
6	YOU KNOW, NEGATIVE ABOUT HIM, BUT IT
7	WASN'T MY JOB TO JUDGE HIS COACHING
8	ABILITY.
9	Q. WELL I KNOW THAT BUT AS A
10	SOMEBODY WHO COMES BACK TO THE
11	UNIVERSITY TIME-TO-TIME, ON THE BOARD OF
12	TRUSTEES, AND ATTENDS GAMES YOU NEVER
13	HEARD OF OTHER PEOPLE COMMENTING ON WHAT
14	A GOOD COACH HE WAS?
15	A. PEOPLE MAY HAVE IN THE '90S AND IN
16	2000 SAID THINGS THAT WOULD INDICATE
17	THAT HE WAS DOING A GOOD JOB BUT I DON'T
18	RECALL ANY SPECIFIC YOU KNOW,
19	SPECIFIC CONVERSATIONS.
20	Q. WELL ONCE YOU DECIDED THAT HE WASN'T
21	GOING TO BE RENEWED,
22	A. MM-HMM. THAT WAS A YES.
23	Q. WELL ONCE YOU DECIDED HE WASN'T
24	GOING TO BE RENEWED, DID YOU TELL HIM HE
25	WASN'T GOING TO BE RENEWED?

1	A. WE I DIDN'T TELL HIM PERSONALLY
2	BUT THERE WERE MY KNOWLEDGE IS THAT
3	THERE WAS COMMUNICATIONS TO HIM FROM OUR
4	HR DEPARTMENT INDICATING THAT HE WAS NOT
5	GOING TO BE RENEWED.
6	Q. AND WHO GAVE YOU THAT INDICATION?
7	A. I BELIEVE THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN
8	ERIKKA RUNKLE.
9	Q. AND IF SHE SAYS SHE THOUGHT SHE
10	WASN'T SUPPOSED TO NOTIFY HIM WOULD THAT
11	MEAN THERE WAS A BREAKDOWN IN
12	COMMUNICATION BETWEEN HER AND YOU?
13	A. THERE MAY HAVE BEEN SOME CONFUSION
14	IN THE DEPARTMENT WITH NOTIFICATION, BUT
15	I ALSO ASSUMED THAT AS I WOULD AS
16	I STATED PREVIOUSLY, THAT IF I HAD A
17	CONTRACT THAT WASN'T BEING RENEWED I'D
18	BE ON THE PHONE CALLING SOMEONE ELSE
19	RATHER THAN WAITING TO FIND OUT. SO I
20	WASN'T IT WASN'T ON MY RADAR.
21	Q. SO YOU THOUGHT HR WOULD BE NOTIFYING
22	MR. MCQUEARY THAT HE WASN'T GOING TO BE
23	RENEWED?
24	A. WELL, I'M NOT SURE I THOUGHT ANYBODY
25	WAS GOING TO NOTIFY HIM BECAUSE WHEN

1	YOUR CONTRACT IS UP IT'S OVER, SO IT'S
2	NOT LIKE THERE'S A IF I HAVE A
3	CONTRACT OF JANUARY 1, 2015 AND THEN
4	JANUARY 1, 2015 COMES IT'S OVER, SO I'M
5	NOT THE ONE WHO NEEDS TO HAVE
6	NOTIFICATION THAT MY CONTRACT IS
7	OVER.
8	Q. WAS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT IN
9	PRIOR YEARS MR. MCQUEARY RECEIVED A
10	COMMUNICATION THAT HIS FIXED-TERM I
11	CONTRACT WAS DEEMED RENEWED YEAR AFTER
12	YEAR AFTER YEAR?
13	MS. CONRAD: IF YOU KNOW.
14	THE WITNESS: I DON'T KNOW BUT FROM WHAT
15	YOU'RE SAYING HE RECEIVED A
16	COMMUNICATION THAT IT WAS BEING RENEWED.
17	THEREFORE, IT WOULD IMPLY THAT IF HE
18	DIDN'T RECEIVE A NOTIFICATION THAT IT
19	WOULD BE RENEWED THAT IT WASN'T BEING
20	RENEWED.
21	BY MR. STROKOFF:
22	Q. YOU'RE MISUNDERSTANDING WHAT I'M
23	ASKING, OKAY, SO LET ME BE FRANK. DID
24	YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IT WAS THE PRACTICE
25	YEAR-IN AND YEAR-OUT AFTER HIS FIRST

YEAR OF EMPLOYMENT THAT HE NEVER
RECEIVED ANY NOTICE ABOUT THE RENEWAL OF
HIS CONTRACT?
A. WELL, NO, I HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE OF
THAT. I WASN'T INVOLVED IN THOSE YEARS
BUT FROM WHAT YOU'VE SAID THAT'S A
DIFFERENT STATEMENT THAN YOU JUST ASKED
ME. YOU ASKED ME IF IT WAS IF I
ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THEY WERE CONTINUALLY
NOTIFIED THAT THEY WERE BEING RENEWED,
AND I SAID THEN THAT WOULD IMPLY THAT
NOT BEING NOTIFIED YOU'RE BEING RENEWED
MEANS YOU'RE NOT.
Q. I KNOW, BUT I ASKED YOU WHAT YOUR
KNOWLEDGE WAS.
A. MY KNOWLEDGE IS AS I SAID; I HAD NO
KNOWLEDGE SPECIFIC OF THE PRACTICE
PAST PRACTICE OF WHAT WENT ON.
MR. MORRISON: 54 LINE 5.
Q. TO THE BEST OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE, SIR,
DO YOU KNOW IF ANYBODY EVER ADVISED MR.
MCQUEARY THAT HE WASN'T BEING CONTINUED
ON THE PAYROLL AFTER JUNE 30, 2012?
A. OBVIOUSLY, SOMEONE MUST HAVE ADVISED
HIM OR WE WOULDN'T BE SITTING HERE

1	CURRENTLY.
2	Q. I'M ASKING, TO THE BEST OF YOUR
3	KNOWLEDGE, DID ANYBODY ADVISE HIM?
4	A. I DO KNOW THERE WERE QUESTIONS ASKED
5	THAT WOULD INDICATE TO ME, AS FAR AS HIS
6	INSURANCE AND OTHER THINGS, THAT HE WAS
7	AWARE THAT HE WASN'T BEING AT SOME
8	POINT, HE BECAME AWARE THAT HE WASN'T
9	BEING RENEWED.
10	Q. MY QUESTION IS DID ANYBODY NOTIFY
11	HIM FROM PENN STATE THAT HE WAS NOT
12	GOING TO BE CONTINUED ON ADMINISTRATIVE
13	LEAVE OR IN ANY STATUS AFTER JUNE 30TH,
14	2012?
15	A. I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT.
16	Q. NOW YOU WERE AWARE, WERE YOU NOT,
17	THAT MR. MCQUEARY HAD A SEVERANCE LETTER
18	THAT PROVIDED FOR A CERTAIN CONTINUATION
19	OF WAGES AND BENEFITS FOR A PERIOD OF
20	TIME, WERE YOU NOT?
21	A. YES.
22	Q. OKAY. AND WERE YOU AWARE, SIR, THAT
23	HE DID NOT RECEIVE ANY SEVERANCE
24	PAYMENTS IN THE MONTH OF JULY OF 2012?
25	A. I BELIEVE THERE WAS A DELAY IN THE

1	WELL, THERE WAS ORIGINALLY, HE
2	WASN'T GETTING SEVERANCE.
3	Q. DID YOU PARTICIPATE IN THAT DECISION
4	THAT HE SHOULDN'T BE GETTING SEVERANCE?
5	A. I ACTUALLY PARTICIPATED IN THE
6	DECISION. IT WAS MY DECISION TO GRANT
7	HIM SEVERANCE.
8	Q. THAT'S NOT WHAT I ASKED.
9	A. WELL, THAT'S MY ANSWER.
10	Q. I ASKED WHETHER OR NOT YOU
11	PARTICIPATED IN THE DECISION THAT HE
12	SHOULD NOT RECEIVE SEVERANCE?
13	A. I DON'T RECALL CONVERSATIONS ABOUT
14	HIM NOT RECEIVING SEVERANCE. I RECALL
15	CONVERSATIONS ABOUT INSTATING HIS
16	SEVERANCE.
17	Q. AND WAS THAT YOUR DECISION TO
18	REINSTATE HIS SEVERANCE?
19	A. YES. NOT REINSTATE TO INSTATE.
20	Q. TO INSTATE, IS THAT A WORD?
21	A. IT MUST BE. TO BEGIN.
22	Q. AND YOU MADE THAT DECISION?
23	A. YES, SIR.
24	Q. OKAY. AND WHY DID YOU MAKE THAT
25	DECISION?

1	A. WELL FIRST-OFF, IN MY OPINION,
2	BECAUSE HE WASN'T TERMINATED THE
3	SEVERANCE WAS WRITTEN FOR IF HE WAS
4	TERMINATED. HE WASN'T TERMINATED. HIS
5	CONTRACT WAS ENDING OR HIS HIS LEGAL
6	RELATIONSHIP WITH THE UNIVERSITY WAS
7	ENDING OR EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP WAS
8	ENDING, BUT BECAUSE MR. MCQUEARY
9	WOULD'VE BEEN TERMINATED EARLIER I
10	THOUGHT IT WAS THE FAIR THING TO DO TO
11	INSTITUTE THAT SEVERANCE EVEN THOUGH I
12	DIDN'T FEEL I HAD TO.
13	Q. AND YOU WERE AWARE, WERE YOU NOT,
14	THAT MR. MCQUEARY WENT WITHOUT HEALTH
15	INSURANCE FOR JULY AND AUGUST OF 2012
16	THROUGH SEPTEMBER OF 2012?
17	A. I BECAME AWARE THAT THERE WAS SOME
18	MIX-UP AND DELAY, I BELIEVE, AT SOME
19	POINT BUT, TO MY KNOWLEDGE, THAT'S ALL
20	BEEN RECONSTITUTED.
21	Q. I UNDERSTAND THAT BUT IN THE MONTH
22	OF JULY OF 2012, YOU WERE AWARE THAT HE
23	HAD NO HEALTH INSURANCE?
24	A. I BECAME AWARE AT SOME POINT THAT
25	THERE WAS SOME MISCOMMUNICATION OR

1	SCREW-UPS IS NOT A GOOD WORD BUT THAT
2	THERE WAS SOME SOMETHING THAT HAD
3	OCCURRED THAT IT DELAYED THE INSTITUTION
4	OF HIS HEALTHCARE.
5	Q. SIR IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOUR
6	DECISION NOT TO RETAIN MR. MCQUEARY HAD
7	NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS PROVISION OF
8	TESTIMONY TO THE GRAND JURY?
9	A. MY DECISION NOT TO RETAIN MR.
10	MCQUEARY ONLY RELATES TO THE FACT THAT
11	THERE WAS NO EMPLOYMENT FOR HIM AND HIS
12	TERM WAS RUNNING OUT AND WE DID NOT
13	RENEW IT.
14	Q. THERE ARE NO OTHER FACTORS?
15	A. NO, SIR.
16	Q. OKAY. SIR, HAVE ANY OTHER
17	INDIVIDUALS EVER TOLD YOU THAT COACH
18	PATERNO HAD TOLD THEM THAT MIKE MCQUEARY
19	HAD DONE THE RIGHT THING?
20	A. NOT THAT I RECALL.
21	Q. HAVE YOU HAD ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH
22	THE PRIOR ATHLETIC DIRECTOR CURLEY
23	CONCERNING THE SANDUSKY MATTER
24	AND WELL, CONCERNING THE SANDUSKY
25	MATTER?

1	A. NO, SIR.
2	Q. HAVE YOU HAD ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH
3	MR. CURLEY AT ALL SINCE YOU BECAME
4	ACTING AD?
5	A. NONE.
6	Q. AND THAT WOULD INCLUDE THE PERIOD OF
7	TIME THAT YOU'VE BEEN ATHLETIC DIRECTOR?
8	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
9	Q. HAVE YOU HAD ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH
10	GARY SCHULTZ AT ALL SINCE YOU BECAME
11	ACTING ATHLETIC DIRECTOR?
12	A. NO, SIR.
13	Q. HAVE YOU HAD ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH
14	GARY SCHULTZ SINCE NOVEMBER 5, 2011?
15	A. NO, SIR.
16	Q. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THE
17	ASSISTANT COACHES RECEIVED SEVERANCE
18	I GUESS IT WAS IN JANUARY OR FEBRUARY OF
19	2012, BEGAN RECEIVING SEVERANCE AND
20	RETAINED THE USE OF THEIR AUTOMOBILES
21	FOR A PERIOD OF TIME?
22	A. I DON'T RECALL. I'D HAVE TO LOOK AT
23	THEIR SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS TO KNOW.
24	Q. DO YOU RECALL WHAT THE RANGE OF BOWI
25	BONUSES WAS FOR THE TICKETCITY BOWL?

1	A. NO, SIR. I ALREADY ANSWERED THAT.
2	IT'S CERTAINLY AVAILABLE BUT I DON'T
3	HAVE IT AT THE TOP THE TIP OF MY
4	TONGUE.
5	Q. CAN I GATHER THAT YOU WERE NOT
6	PRESENT WHEN PRESIDENT SPANIER ADDRESSED
7	THE COACHES OF THE VARIOUS THE HEAD
8	COACHES OF THE VARIOUS TEAMS AT PENN
9	STATE ON NOVEMBER 7, 2011, AT THE MOUNT
10	NITTANY LOUNGE?
11	A. I WAS NOT PRESENT.
12	Q. AND YOU WERE NOT PRESENT WHEN HE
13	ADDRESSED ALL OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
14	STAFF EMPLOYEES OF THE ATHLETIC
15	DEPARTMENT ON THAT DAY AS WELL?
16	A. NO, SIR.
17	Q. HAVE YOU EVER SEEN A TRANSCRIPT OF
18	WHAT HE TOLD TO THOSE FOLKS AT THOSE
19	MEETINGS ON THOSE DATES?
20	A. NOT THAT I RECALL.
21	Q. SIR, WERE YOU AWARE THAT THE
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE DOCUMENT THAT MR.
23	MCQUEARY WAS PROVIDED ADVISED HIM THAT
2.4	IF HE WAS CONTACTED CONCERNING ANY
25	MATTERS UPON WHICH HE WAS BEING PLACED

1	ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE THAT HE SHOULD
2	REFER TO TOM BRADLEY?
3	A. REFER THEM TO WHOM?
4	Q. TOM BRADLEY.
5	A. TOM BRADLEY? I'M NOT SURE I'VE EVER
6	SEEN THAT DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHICH
7	DOCUMENT YOU'RE REFERRING TO.
8	Q. IT'S EXHIBIT C OF THE COMPLAINT.
9	MR. STROKOFF: THAT'S REFERRING TO
LO	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 47, YOUR HONOR.
11	BY MR. STROKOFF:
12	Q. ITS ABOUT TWO-THIRDS OF THE WAY DOWN
13	ON THE FIRST PARAGRAPH. YOUR COUNSEL
L 4	WILL SHOW IT TO YOU.
l 5	MS. CONRAD: DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO
L 6	THE THIRD SENTENCE ON EXHIBIT C: YOU
L7	ARE TO DO NO WORK ON BEHALF OF THE
L8	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND ANY
L9	MATTERS FOR WHICH YOU ARE CONTACTED
20	CONCERNING THE POSITION FROM WHICH YOU
21	ARE ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE MUST BE
22	REFERRED TO TOM BRADLEY.
23	Q. THE FIRST QUESTION IS WERE YOU AWARE
24	THAT THAT WAS A PROVISION OF HIS
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE?

1	A. WHAT DOCUMENT AM I LOOKING AT, FIRST
2	OF ALL?
3	MS. CONRAD: IT'S EXHIBIT C TO THE
4	COMPLAINT. AND THAT'S A GOOD FIRST
5	QUESTION, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THIS
6	DOCUMENT?
7	THE WITNESS: WELL, I'M NOT SURE WHICH
8	DOCUMENT IT IS UNTIL I SEE
9	MS. CONRAD: THE DOCUMENT STARTING RIGHT
10	HERE. IT'S LABELED EXHIBIT C.
11	THE WITNESS: MAY I LOOK AT THE OTHER
12	PARTS OF IT SO I CAN
13	MS. CONRAD: IT'S A TWO-PAGE DOCUMENT.
14	YOU CERTAINLY HAVE THE RIGHT TO LOOK AT
15	THE TWO PAGES OF THE DOCUMENT.
16	THE WITNESS: NOW I'M BECOMING FAMILIAR.
17	I'VE SEEN THIS DOCUMENT AND THIS WAS THE
18	SCRIPT, IF YOU WILL, I BELIEVE, I'M
19	ASKING A QUESTION, THAT MARK SHERBURNE
20	USED IN CONVERSATION WITH MR. MCQUEARY.
21	I'M ASKING THAT AS A QUESTION.
22	MR. STROKOFF: WELL,
23	MS. CONRAD: UNFORTUNATELY, ATTORNEY
24	STROKOFF
25	THE WITNESS: I DON'T GET TO ASK

1	QUESTIONS, I UNDERSTAND.
2	MR. STROKOFF: NO, NO, LET ME REPRESENT
3	
4	THE WITNESS: OR I ASK FOR
5	CLARIFICATION.
6	BY MR. STROKOFF:
7	Q. LET ME REPRESENT TO YOU THAT THAT IS
8	THE DOCUMENT THAT MARK SHERBURNE AND
9	ERIKKA RUNKLE HAVE TESTIFIED HE READ
10	FROM ON THE EVENING OF SEPTEMBER
11	NOVEMBER 13TH, SUNDAY EVENING, AND THEN
12	HANDED HIM A COPY OF IT.
13	ERIKKA RUNKLE REFERRED TO THAT AS THE
14	SCRIPT AND I GATHER SOMEBODY ELSE HAS
15	REFERRED TO THAT AS THE SCRIPT.
16	A. WELL, WE ALWAYS USE SCRIPTS AND WE
17	OFTEN USE SCRIPTS IN THESE IN THESE
18	TYPES OF SITUATIONS SO THAT WAS MERELY
19	MY QUESTION.
20	Q. WELL, WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME YOU
21	USED THE SCRIPT?
22	A. OH, PROBABLY A MONTH AGO. I'VE USEI
23	SEVERAL.
24	Q. WELL, ASIDE FROM WHEN YOU WERE
25	PRESCRIBING MEDICINE AS A PHYSICIAN

1	A. DIFFERENT KIND OF SCRIPT THERE.
2	Q. WHEN DID YOU FIRST USE THE WORD
3	SCRIPT IN A PERSONNEL SETTING?
4	A. OH, UM, MANY TIMES BACK DATING INTO
5	THE SPRING PROBABLY OF 2012.
6	Q. AND WHO USUALLY WROTE THE SCRIPT, TO
7	YOUR KNOWLEDGE?
8	A. WE WOULD WRITE IT I WOULD EDIT
9	AND WRITE IT IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE HR
LO	DEPARTMENT. DO YOU WANT TO ASK THE
11	OTHER QUESTION?
12	Q. MY QUESTION IS ACCORDING TO QUOTE
13	THE SCRIPT END QUOTE MR. MCQUEARY WAS TO
L 4	FORWARD CONTACTS, SHOULD THERE BE ANY,
L5	TO TOM BRADLEY CONCERNING COACHING
L6	MATTERS; ISN'T THAT CORRECT?
L7	A. WHAT THE SCRIPT SAYS, AS WE HAVE
L8	READ, YOU ARE TO DO NO WORK ON BEHALF OF
L9	THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND
20	ANY MATTERS FOR WHICH YOU ARE CONTACTED
21	CONCERNING THE POSITION, WHICH WOULD BE
22	HIS COACHING POSITION I'M ASSUMING, FROM
23	WHICH YOU ARE ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE
2.4	MUST BE REFERRED TO TOM BRADLEY WHO IS
25	THE HEAD WHO WAS THE INTERIM HEAD

1	COACH AT THAT POINT, SO, YES, THAT'S
2	WHAT THIS DOCUMENT SAYS.
3	Q. NOW AS OF JANUARY 10TH OR 15TH OF
4	2012, MR. BRADLEY WAS NO LONGER EMPLOYED
5	BY THE UNIVERSITY; ISN'T THAT CORRECT?
6	A. THAT WOULD BE THE APPROXIMATE TIME
7	FRAME, YES.
8	Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID ANYBODY
9	CONTACT MR. MCQUEARY AND ADVISE HIM AS
10	TO WHO HE SHOULD BE NOTIFYING ABOUT
11	CONTACTS CONCERNING COACHING MATTERS NOW
12	THAT TOM BRADLEY WAS NO LONGER AT THE
13	UNIVERSITY?
14	A. I DON'T HAVE ANY REMEMBRANCE OF
15	THAT.
16	Q. WHEN DO YOU THINK YOU FIRST READ
17	THIS SCRIPT?
18	A. UM, I HONESTLY DON'T RECALL BUT IT
19	PROBABLY WOULD HAVE BEEN IN THE
20	SPRINGTIME, PERHAPS, OR, YOU KNOW,
21	SHORTLY MAYBE A LITTLE AFTER THE JANUARY
22	DATE BUT I DON'T RECALL SPECIFICALLY
23	WHEN.
24	Q. DO YOU RECALL THAT MR. SHERBURNE
25	GAVE YOU A COPY OF IT?

1	A. I DON'T, BUT HE VERY WELL COULD
2	HAVE.
3	Q. DID YOU RETAIN
4	A. HE LIKELY COULD HAVE.
5	Q. DID YOU RETAIN A COPY OF IT IN YOUR
6	FILES?
7	A. NO, SIR.
8	Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY FILES ON MR.
9	MCQUEARY?
10	A. NO, SIR.
11	Q. DID YOU REFER TO THIS DOCUMENT AT
12	THE TIME YOU DETERMINED THAT HE WOULD BE
13	SEPARATED FROM THE PAYROLL AT THE END OF
14	JUNE OF 2012?
15	MS. CONRAD: AND WHEN YOU'RE SAYING THIS
16	DOCUMENT, YOU'RE REFERRING TO EXHIBIT C
17	TO THE COMPLAINT?
18	MR. STROKOFF: THE SCRIPT. I LIKE THE
19	WORDS THE SCRIPT.
20	MS. CONRAD: IT DOES BRING A SMILE TO
21	YOUR FACE.
22	MR. STROKOFF: YES, IT DOES.
23	THE WITNESS: NO, SIR, I DON'T RECALL
24	SPECIFICALLY REFERRING TO IT.
25	MR. STROKOFF: ALL RIGHT. WHY DON'T WE

1	TAKE A TWO-MINUTE BREAK AND I CAN
2	DETERMINE IF THERE'S ANYTHING ELSE THAT
3	I NEED TO GO OVER.
_	
4	PASS THE WITNESS.
5	MS. CONRAD: I BELIEVE WE ARE FINISHED.
6	MR. STROKOFF: WE ARE.
7	(END OF DEPOSITION.)
8	MR. STROKOFF: THE ONLY OTHER THING,
9	YOUR HONOR, I THINK BARES NOTING THAT THE
10	DEPOSITION WAS TAKEN ON NOVEMBER 6, 2013.
11	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WITH
12	THAT, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, PLEASE HAVE A SAFE
13	TRIP HOME. AGAIN REMEMBER NOT TO DISCUSS THE
14	MATTER WITH ANYONE, AVOID THE NEWS MEDIA REPORTS,
15	AND WE WILL PICK IT UP AT 9:00 TOMORROW MORNING.
16	HAVE A SAFE TRIP HOME.
17	END OF PROCEEDINGS
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PROCEEDINGS AND EVIDENCE ARE CONTAINED FULLY AND ACCURATELY IN THE NOTES TAKEN BY ME UPON THE HEARING OF THE WITHIN MATTER AND THAT THIS COPY IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE SAME. ELISE A. OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT A COPY OF THIS
3	TRANSCRIPT WAS MADE AVAILABLE TO COUNSEL OF
4	RECORD FOR THE PARTIES, ADVISING THEM THAT THEY
5	HAD UNTIL IN WHICH TO FILE
6	ANY OBJECTIONS OR EXCEPTIONS TO THE SAME. THAT
7	TIME PERIOD HAVING ELAPSED WITHOUT RECORDING OF
8	OBJECTIONS OR EXCEPTIONS, THE TRANSCRIPT IS
9	THEREFORE LODGED WITH THE COURT FOR FURTHER
10	ACTION.
11	
12	
13	11/2/16 EDISE A FWAGLEWILL
14	DATE ELISE A. FITZGERALD
15	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	ACCEPTANCE BY COURT
2	UPON COUNSEL'S OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW AND TO
3	OFFER CORRECTIONS TO THE RECORD, THE FOREGOING
4	RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IS HEREBY ACCEPTED AND
5	DIRECTED TO BE FILED.
6	
7	
8	11-2-16 promar & Com
9	DATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	