

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CENTRE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION - LAW

MICHAEL J. MCQUEARY : NO. 2012-1804

VS

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE

UNIVERSITY

Transcript of Proceedings (Day 8 of jury trial - a.m. only)

Before:

Thomas G. Gavin,

Senior Judge Specially Presiding

15th Judicial District

Date: October 26, 2016

Place:

Centre County Courthouse

Courtroom Annex

108 South Allegheny Street

Bellefonte, Pa 16823

Appearances:

For the Plaintiff: Elliott Strokoff, Esquire

William T. Fleming, Esquire

For the Defendant: Nancy Conrad, Esquire George Morrison, Esquire

Notes by:

Elise A. Fitzgerald

Official Court Reporter

Room 208, Centre County Courthouse

Bellefonte, Pa 16823

814 355-6734 or fax 814 548-1158

1	Ir	ndex to	the Witr	nesses	
2	Γ	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross
3	Plaintiff:				;
4	Peter Roussel	4			
5		19	47		
6	Samuel Kursh	60			
7		67	84	96	
8					
9	Defendant:				
10	[None]				
11					
12	I	ndex to	the Exh	ibits	
13					Admitted:
14	Plaintiff:				
15	[None]				
16					
17	Defendant:				
18	No. 110				59
19	No. 111				68
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

## O C H Т Р R F. D Ν G S

2 The Court: Good morning, everyone. 3 Have a seat please.

> At the conclusion of events yesterday, members of the jury, I indicated that while the video would be played counsel and I would multitask and step out. I have reconsidered I think it's important that counsel and I be present for all of the testimony, including the playing of the videotape, and it's not going to impact the orderly movement of the case because we will multitask on our lunch hour. instead of stepping out we will watch the video with you and then we will do what we need to do over the lunch hour and we will be right on track with where we need to be to I believe reasonably conclude the testimony today so that we will be ready to charge and close tomorrow.

> > So go ahead, Ms. Conrad.

Ms. Conrad: Judge, based on the availability of witnesses we are going to present our two experts first thing this morning. video is this afternoon.

Peter Roussel please.

Whereupon,

24

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1	Peter Roussel
2	was called as a witness and having been duly
3	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
4	The Court: You can adjust the
5	microphone to suit yourself, sir.
6	Voir Dire as to Qualifications
7	By Ms. Conrad:
8	Q. Good morning.
9	A. Good morning.
10	Q. Will you please state your name for the
11	record, sir?
12	A. Peter Roussel.
13	Q. And can you kindly spell your last name
14	for the court reporter?
15	A. R-o-u-s-s-e-1.
16	Q. Mr. Roussel, I am going to start today's
17	examination with some questions about your
18	your education and your professional background
19	and let me start by asking do you have experience
20	in the field of college football?
21	A. I do. Yes.
22	Q. And how did you start your experience in
23	the area of football?
24	A. Well I think it goes back to high
25	school. I played high school football at Newman

1	School in New, Orleans, Louisiana, and after
2	graduating from Newman
3	Q. Well before we get to that where is
4	Newman located?
5	A. In uptown New Orleans.
6	Q. And is that your hometown growing up?
7	A. It is.
8	Q. Now while you were at Newman did you
9	play football?
10	A. Yes. I was a wide receiver.
11	Q. And who was your quarterback?
12	A. Quarterback was he Eli Manning, who is
13	now the quarterback for the New York Giants.
14	Q. And while you are in high school playing
15	football Eli Manning did you develop a
16	relationship with him?
17	A. Yes. I did.
18	Q. And did you maintain that relationship
19	throughout your professional career?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Now while you were after you finished
22	high school where did you attend college?
23	A. Old Miss.
24	Q. And Old Miss is the University of
25	Mississippi?

1 Α. Correct. 2 Located where, sir? Ο. 3 In Oxford, Mississippi. Α. And did you play football at Old Miss? 4 Q. I did not but I worked for the coaching 5 Α. 6 staff as a student assistant on a daily basis 7 throughout the year. And what were your general duties and 8 9 responsibilities as a student assistant with the 10 football program at Old Miss? 11 Α. The duties grew every year as the Yeah. 12 coaching saw my work ethic and what not but it 13 could have been in anything from helping put 14 together scouting reports, assisting the coaches 15 in breaking down film. By my senior year I 16 actually coached the scout team as a student 17 assistant. 18 What's the scout team? 0. 19 The scout team is primarily the walk on Α. 20 players that run the opponent team plays against 21 your first and second team defense to get them 22 prepared for the game each week. 23 Now while you were a student involved in 24 the football program at Old Miss did you engage

in any other activities with respect to football?

A. I did.

2.4

- O. And what were those activities?
- A. While I worked the Manning Passing
  Academy each summer, which is a four day summer
  football camp in South Louisiana. I participated
  in a prominent golf tournament golf outing three
  day deal in Memphis, Tennessee, that
  approximately 20 to 30 college football head
  coaches would play in that tournament each year.
  It was good for me to network with those coaches.
  I also -- even as a student at Old Miss I
  attended the big college football coaches
  convention each January, which is called the AFCA
  Coaches Convention.
- Q. And as why as a student were you engaged in these activities with Manning Passing Academy, with the convention, and the golf tournaments?
- A. Yeah. Well I knew from an early age that I wanted to coach and I absolutely knew the value of networking and the Old Miss coaches had stressed to me, hey, if you want to coach you better start networking now and those were all opportunities for me to meet coaches around the country, head coaches, people with the ability to hire me down the line, and I want to let them

know -- I wanted to expose my personality to them 1 2 and I wanted to start developing meaningful 3 relationships. 4 Did you graduate from Old Miss? 0. 5 Α. I did. 6 0. What is your degree in, sir? 7 Α. Recreation management. 8 Followed graduation from Old Miss did Ο. 9 you stay in the field of college football? 10 Α. I did. 11 What was your first position out of Q. 12 school? 13 Α. I was the defensive assistant. 14 hired by a guy named Buddy Teevens in Stanford 15 University in Palo Alto, California. And what were your job duties and 16 17 responsibilities as a defensive assistant as 18 Stanford? 19 I'd break down the opponent offensive Α. 20 film to help our assistant coaches prepare for 21 our weekly opponent, coached our offensive scout 22 team and our scout special teams, again the walk 23 on players that would run the opponents team's 24 plays to help us prepare for the game each week. 25 And did there come a point in time that Q.

you left Stanford University? 1 I did. I returned to Old Miss after 2 Α. 3 leaving Stanford. Your alma mater? 4 0. 5 Α. Correct. And what did you do when you returned to 6 Ο. Old Miss? 7 I was hired as the offensive quality 8 9 control, which is basically the same thing. You 10 are breaking down the film, putting together scouting reports, you know, assisting with the 11 12 walk-on players, that kind of thing. 13 And did there come a point in time that 0. you moved on to a new position following your 14 1.5 position at Old Miss? 16 Α. Yes. And where did you move on to, sir? 17 Q. 18 University of Memphis. Α. And is that in Memphis, Tennessee? 19 Ο. 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 Okay. What was your position at the Q. 22 University of Memphis? I was the offensive graduate assistant. 23 Α. 24 Now graduate assistant is not the same 25 as a coach, is it?

- A. It's not but I actually coached the wide receivers as a graduate assistant at the University of Memphis.
  - Q. And why did you take the position at Memphis an offensive graduate assistant?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Well very, very unexpectedly the head Α. coach at Old Miss was fired after the season when I was working for him. He had just won 10 games the year before. He was the SCC coach of the year and the team I think we went -- I think Old Miss went four and eight that year and he was actually let go and so when new head coach comes in like it's kind of known -- or when your head coach gets let go it's like, hey, man, you -everybody on the staff knows you better start looking for another job because it's very rare in college football the you get retained by new head coach. And so myself and all of my colleagues, you know, as soon as Coach Cutcliffe was let go -- I mean, I started calling everybody I knew saying, hey, I am probably -- you know, I am looking for a job.
- Q. So you used your network then to help land that next position at University of Memphis?
  - A. Yes. I had developed a relationship

1	primarily from playing in that golf tournament
2	with the Memphis head coach and I reached out to
3	him I think maybe the day even Coach Cutcliffe
4	was let go saying, hey, I am going to be looking
5	for a job.
6	Q. How long were you at Memphis?
7	A. Two seasons.
8	Q. And did there come a point in time you
9	left Memphis for another position?
10	A. I did.
11	Q. What was that next position?
12	A. I became special teams coordinator at
13	Samford University in Birmingham, Alabama.
14	Q. And what were your job duties and
15	responsibilities as special teams coordinator at
16	Samford?
17	A. Well I called all of the special teams
18	plays. I was in charge of our personnel from a
19	special teams standpoint. I recruited the
20	State of Louisiana recruited Nashville,
21	Tennessee, recruited all of northwest Alabama,
22	and, I mean, I was a full-time coach.
23	Q. Following how long were you at
24	Samford then, sir?
25	A. One season.

And following that season did you decide 1 0. 2 to start a new position? I had moved -- as you can see in 3 Α. I did. the coaching profession that you may have to move 4 often, sometimes not by choice, and I just made a 5 decision I didn't want to for the next 15, 20, 30 6 years of my life always chase the next job and 7 wanted to have more control over where I lived so 8 I decided to get out of the profession. 9 10 When you say get out of the profession Ο. 11 what profession are you speaking of? Well the coaching profession. 12 All right. And what did you decide to 13 Ο. do once you decided to get out of the coaching 14 15 profession? I bought the website called 16 footballscoop.com. 17 18 What is footballscoop.com? Ο. Footballscoop.com was -- even back then 19 Α. 2.0 it was viewed as the -- it was kind of industry 21 hub. All of the coaches, athletic directors 22 around the country would use that website to find 23 out what jobs are open, who got hired today, who 24 got fired today, who left one college or NFL

organization to go to another, and it also served

as a resource for coaches and athletic directors
to find out who got salary increases, or
decreases, or information regarding the coaches'
contracts.

- Q. And based on your work with footballscoop.com did you develop a knowledge of employment opportunities in the field of college and professional football?
  - A. Yes. Absolutely.

2.0

- Q. Did you go on to develop or work with any other websites?
- A. Yes. I later founded a westbound called coachingsearch.com, which is now the industry standard, and it's based on providing coaches all around the country, athletic directors, with the most timely and accurate information regarding who is interviewing where, who got hired today, who got fired today, who left one college or NFL organization to go to another, and the same with the salary information as well.
- Q. And in line with your work with coachingsearch.com did you further develop your knowledge with respect to employment opportunities in the field of college and professional football?

A. Yes. Absolutely.

- Q. Now while you were working on coachingsearch.com did you have occasion to publish articles or information on that website?
  - A. Yes. We did that on a daily basis.
- Q. And what was the nature of the articles that you would publish?
- A. Really to keep coaches and directors informed of just the trends in the industry. We wanted to have the eyes of coaches and athletic directors on our sight every day multiple times a day just providing fresh information. Just even the general public is so enamored by coaches. A lot of these coaches now are celebrities that are making millions of dollars and for a lot of people it's very enjoyable to read about.
- Q. So what type of information would you post?
- A. Well in addition to the coaching transaction news we would summarize maybe like when a new coach got hired here are the nine guys that he just hired for his staff and here are their backgrounds. Just things like that.

  Things about -- a lot of times I would put in prospective because I had such good feel for it

-- in my office I actually had a chart of every 1 coach in the country by staff by school and as 2 soon as that staff was let go I knew all of --3 those nine guys on that staff were obviously 4 looking for work and so I would figured out well 5 who do those guys have connections to, who have 6 they worked with in the past, where would they 7 try to get their next job. And so I just -- I 8 literally woke up everyday and I love it. It's 9 10 my passion and I breathe it, and so, one, by 11 going to the convention every year and by 12 coaching and just by talking to coaches on a 13 daily basis I truly came to understand who knows 14 who, who has worked with each other. I mean, I 15 have spent an inordinate amount of time of just 16 reading the timelines of every coach in the 17 country so I knew their backgrounds.

- Q. And as you were doing -- collecting all of that data did you also collect data on openings for assisting coaches in the field of college and professional ball?
- A. Oh, yeah. We always had a page on the website that would list each of the job openings and the current time and then once that job was filled we would update the page and so that all

25

24

18

19

20

21

22

1	of the coaches who were looking for jobs or
2	which honestly is almost I mean, it's almost
3	everybody because people are always they want
4	to make more money. So even if they have a job
5	they want to know what else is out there. What
6	else is available.
7	Q. So you regularly update the openings for
8	assistant coaches?
9	A. I mean, we did that on a daily basis but
10	right after the season, you know, starting in
11	December, January, February, first two weeks in
12	March, I mean, that page is updated multiple
13	times a day.
14	Q. And with respect to those articles that
15	you published do you recall one in 2015 that
16	totally unprecedented year for the coaching
17	carousel?
18	A. I mean, that seems like an article that
19	we would have written. Yes.
20	Q. And do you recall reporting that 26
21	programs have new head coaches in the new season?
22	A. I mean, I know that there has been an
23	average of 27 new head coaches per year since
24	2010. So that seems correct.

Q. Now in 2014 did you join a consulting

1	group?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And what is that the name of that
4	consulting group?
5	A. It's called Coaches Consulting Group.
6	Q. And what is your position with Coaches
7	Consulting Group?
8	A. I am the vice president of coaching
9	operations. So I actually lead the coaches
10	division of a major full service agency.
11	Q. And what do you do in leading this full
12	service agency for coaches?
13	A. Well I am an agent now and so I handle
14	the marketing and the contract negotiations of a
15	number of college football coaches. I also
16	determine who we offer contracts to in terms of
17	which college football and NFL coaches we want to
18	sign as clients. So those are my primary
19	responsibilities.
20	Q. And do you assist those clients to the
21	extent they want to change positions or seek to
22	explore other opportunities in the field of
23	college and professional football?
24	A. Yes. Absolutely.
25	Q. And without referencing any names for

confidentiality purposes how many college 1 2 football coaches do you represent at this particular time? 3 More than 20. 4 Α. And any head coaches in that mix? 5 0. Yes. I have several head coaches. 6 Α. And in your current position as vice 7 0. president of Coaches Consulting Group have you 8 9 developed -- further developed knowledge and 10 expertise in the area of employment opportunities in the field of college and professional 11 12 football? 13 Yes. Absolutely. Α. 14 Ms. Conrad: I would move for admission 15 of Mr. Roussel as an expert in the area of 16 employment related to football coaching. Mr. Strokoff: No objection, Your Honor. 17 The Court: Okay. Again, members of the 18 19 jury, this witness, as with the other expert 20 witnesses, I am going to permit him to testify 21 but you are making your own independent 22 determination, education, training, and 23 experience. If he has it then you ask yourself 24 with relevant information out there what access

and what use of that information did he make and

1 to the extent that he offers an opinion on even 2 an ultimate issue in the case that is something 3 you can accept or reject as you can accept or reject the testimony of any other witness. 4 5 Go ahead. Ms. Conrad: Thank you, sir. 6 Direct Examination 7 8 By Ms. Conrad: 9 With respect to this particular matter 10 in litigation were you asked to render a report 11 with respect to the claims of Michael McQueary 12 and in particular his job search efforts to 13 obtain a position in college or professional 14 football? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And what did you rely upon as you 17 prepared that report? Well I had read some information of 18 Α. 19 coach and just my overall feel and knowing, you 20 know, the profession and the trends and -- I 21 mean, that's --22 Did you use your knowledge that you 23 gained as a coach as you reviewed these materials 2.4 and prepared this report? 25 Α. Yes. That's where I gained my feel

1 for --Did you use your knowledge as a reporter 3 in the area of football and in the area of college and professional football as you reviewed 4 these materials and prepared this report? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 Did you use your knowledge and Ο. experience that you gained as an employment 8 9 consultant for college and professional coaches 10 as you prepared -- reviewed these materials and 11 prepared this report? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And did you review -- and did you Ο. 14 utilize your knowledge and experience as an agent 15 as someone who represents coaches in the fields 16 of college and football as you review these 17 materials and prepared this report? 18 Α. I think that was very beneficial. Yes. 19 Ο. And did you use data that you had 20 accumulated over the past -- I don't know -- 10 21 to 15 years as you reviewed the materials and 22 prepare this report? 23 Very much so. Α. Yes.

And in particular to this lawsuit did

you review certain documents that have been filed

24

1	or exchanged in connection with this lawsuit?
2	A. Yes. A number of documents.
3	Q. Okay. Do you remember those documents
4	sitting here today?
5	A. Yes. I know one was obviously the
6	complaint. I read information from Tom Bradley,
7	from Matt Rhule, from Earnest Wilson, from John
8	Parry. There was a number of documents.
9	Q. And you have your report with you, don't
10	you?
11	A. I do.
12	Q. Could you check your report at page four
13	where you list all of the documents that you
14	reviewed just to refresh your recollection as to
15	whether there were any additional documents that
16	you reviewed?
17	A. There is two more. Brett Senior and
18	James Stavros.
19	Q. Who is Brett Senior?
20	A. It says he assisted Mike McQueary in
21	connection with his coaching activities. I don't
22	recall specifically what his job title was.
23	Q. Are you familiar with Brett Senior?
24	A. I do not know him personally, no.
25	Q. Have you heard of him in the industry of

college and professional football?

A. No.

- Q. Do you know of him as an agent in the area of college and professional football?
  - A. Never heard of him.
- Q. Now directing your attention to the knowledge that you obtained as a coach, a reporter, and employment consultant, and an agent, are you familiar with the hiring process that takes place in college football with respect to coaches?
  - A. Yes. Absolutely.
- Q. Can you describe for us based on your years of experience in college and professional ball how that system works?
- A. Sure. When a new coach is hired he comes in and typically it takes a new head coach anywhere from two weeks to maybe as much as six weeks to fill out his nine full -time assistant coaching slots. So each head coach gets to hire nine guys and they vastly rely upon their network, including guys that they have worked with in the past, guys that they know. I think you would start there. You know, if they have a position that they don't quite have a guy that

1 they certainly already know that they want to 2 hire maybe they will confer with some of the assistants that he has already hired that he has 3 brought on board -- hey, I have one slot left, it 4 has got to be a running back coach, do you have 5 anybody, and then they will cross check that way, 6 but honestly guys that become head coaches --7 they have been thinking about becoming head 8 coaches for several years and that's the dream of 9 most of these coaches. They work there tails off 10 for years, and work a lot of hours, and put their 11 families through a lot to hopefully have that 12 opportunity to one day and so you think about if 13 I ever become a head coach who would I hire and 14 15 most head -- most guys have a list of -- I don't I mean, it could be anywhere from 15 to 25 16 know. 17 Sometimes fewer. If i ever get a head quys. 18 coaching job these are the guys I am really going 19 to target.

Q. So even prior to becoming a head coach is it your experience that someone who is looking to become a head coach already has what I will call preselected list of those coaches he wants to bring with him?

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yeah. I mean, no question. I mean,

every coach in the country will tell you that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And in terms of making those selections

  I believe you referenced that if there is an open
  slot he may retain one or two coaches that are
  with -- currently with the program?
- I mean, that is correct. Yeah. Α. Obviously you have nine -- you can hire nine coaches and there are coaches around the country that decide to keep one guy, maybe two guys, for different reasons but by and large when you get a head coaching job you want to bring your own There is a reason there was a coaching Usually it's because the team had not change. been winning and so it's time to get new blood in the program, get new coaches who inspire players differently, who have a different level of expertise, who do things different from a philosophical standpoint.
- Q. And based on your experience with working with coaches, coaching yourself, what would be the reasons that a new head coach from the outside might retain one or two of the coaches you referenced who are with the current program?
  - A. Yeah. Typically when that occurs, when

a coach or two is retained, it gets -- I don't want to say it's obvious but those coaches have a tremendous track record. It's almost like why would you not retain that guy. They have got a great track record as recruiters, which is just absolutely critical to coaching in the college level. You have to be a great recruiter. Ιt could be because that particular coach has a great track record of developing all conference players or all Americans. He does a great job teaching, and molding, and leading the young men that he is coaching. It could be that it's a combination of those two things and some of the players right away -- they meet the new head coach and they are like, hey, coach whatever you do don't get rid of this guy. Everybody on our team responds to this guy. Sometimes it's the athletic director. The word gets back to the new head coach and sometimes it's just nationally Like that guy is a great recruiter it would not make sense to not keep him.

- Q. That guy has a great national reputation. Would that be a factor?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And then you mentioned that if the head

2.4

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- coach had received information that the players
  had expressed an interest in a particular coach
  being retained?
  - A. Yes. But honestly just because players walk into a new head coach's office and they say, man, we love this guy doesn't necessarily mean he is going to be retained.
  - Q. And is that because in your experience the new head coach as complete discretion to hire his own staff?
    - A. Yes. Absolutely.

1.3

2.5

- Q. Now in terms of that selection based on your experience as a coach, as an agent working and representing coaches, what is the criteria that a new head coach from the outside coming into a new program uses to select his assistant coaches?
- A. Well I think a proven track record as a recruiter is a very important component. A track record of developing players, especially if you have got a resume of all conference guys on a consistent basis or all Americans, who guys who were high pics in the NFL draft that you coached for three or four years and that's one of the reason why they became a first, or second, or

- 1 third round draft choice because he was very well 2 coached. Expertise -- just your overall 3 expertise at the position that you are coaching and, you know, on that side of the ball. So, for 4 5 example, if you are a running back coach you will 6 have a great expertise of offensive football, how 7 to coach offense, and then I would say -- I mean, 8 maybe the most important would be fit. You know, 9 how does -- that's a common phrase in --10 0. And before we get to fit may I interrupt 11
  - you for one moment please?
    - Α. Sure.
  - Thank you. I apologize. You said there Ο. is nine I believe assistant coaches to be hired?
    - Α. Yes.
  - Out of those nine how many offensive coordinators are there within that staff?
    - There is one offensive coordinator. Α.
  - Now going back to fit -- and again I apologize for interrupting -- what is the most important criteria? And I think that's where you were getting of these four criteria that you listed.
  - I think fit and I think most coaches would tell you that.

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. What do you mean by fit?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Well because coaches work so many hours Α. -- I mean, the typical schedule today -- today is a Wednesday. Most coaches around the country that are playing Saturday, today they are in the office before seven a.m. and they are going to leave tonight roughly around 10 p.m. I mean, you -- and you -- I mean, you're working over Christmas. You are working over New Year. mean, it's a grind and so the personalities of the nine assistant coaches -- they have got to mesh and just from a philosophical standpoint do we all -- are we all on board. Do we believe in the same thing. I have been on a staff where just one guy didn't fit and it really creates a major issue.
  - Q. So is it fair to say that the fit relates to the assistant coach matching the chemistry of the head coach along the other assistant coaches?
  - A. Yeah. I mean, you do your best as a head coach to figure out not only -- you don't just want to just pick the nine best assistant coaches but you want to figure out is this as a group the best nine. Is it going to come

- together. Are we all going to really enjoy
  working together. Do we see things the same way.
  That kind of thing.
  - Q. And how does a head coach then determine whether this prospective assistant coach he wants to bring on to his staff is going to fit? Is going to be part of that chemistry?
  - A. Well, I mean, that's why head coaches so often they hire guys that they have worked with in the past so that they know what's this guy like 365 days a year. You know, is he a high maintenance guy, is he a high energy guy, how does he inspire players, is he a negative guy when things don't go right, that kind of thing. So they rely I mean, coaches all over the country you know, they go back to their network and so it's like almost every coach starts with who have I worked with, are they available, can I get them, do we have enough money to pay them, and then its if you haven't worked with a particular coach
    - Q. Right.

A. -- it's almost like, okay, who do I know or who have O coached with that knows that guy so that I can get a real, you know, trusted opinion

on what am I getting. So, for example -- I don't know. Did I explain that well enough?

Q. Why don't you give the example.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. So, you know, I am the new head Α. coach -- I am the new head coach at Tennessee and there is a guy on the staff at Tulane University who is applying to get on my staff. I have never worked with this guy before. Okay. So when coaches around the country -- what they do is they are going to pull up the Tulane website, see who are the nine assistant coaches on that staff, oh, I coached with their tight ends coach in 2004, let me call that guy to get an opinion on this guy who is applying to be on my job. So you talk to people that you know, that you trust. You know that they are going to shoot you straight so that you have a great feel for what you are getting. The worst thing you can do --I mean, the most -- every coach will tell you the most important thing you do as a college football head coach -- you got to hire the right guys.
- Q. It seems to be from what you are describing in the field of hirings of assistant coaches it's all about who you know?
  - A. Very much so it's about who you know.

Your resume. Yeah. It's important. I mean, it can't just be -- it's not you have got a great resume, you get hired. That's not how it works. In college football and the NFL, I mean, the importance of a network can -- I mean, it is absolutely critical.

- O. Mr. Roussel, where does talent fit in?
- A. I mean, talent is important. You are not going to hire a guy because a good guy but he can't coach and he can't recruit but you want to hire guys -- you may take a guy who might not be as good of a coach and as good of a recruiter, but you have worked with him before, and you know what you are getting, and you know what he is going to be like with the players, and you know his intangibles, his character, and you just have a great feel for who he is that -- I mean, that's just common in the profession.
  - Q. It gets back to the network?
  - A. Yes. Absolutely.
- Q. I am going to direct your attention now to the review of -- that you completed on Mr. McQueary's job search efforts. Did you have the opportunity to review Mr. McQueary's resume?

A. I did.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 2.0
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q. And did you have the opportunity to review the profile of Mr. McQueary that was on the Penn State media guide?
  - A. I did.
- Q. And what, if anything, did you note from your review of his resume and that media guide?
- Α. Well, number one, coach had been at Penn State his entire career. Started as a graduate assistant and then transitioned into the full-time role and it's very rare in college football that you work for the same head coach for more than a decade. There are -- as we talked about earlier there is 27 on average head coaches changes a year since 2010. I mean, this year there will be another 20 something at least. Last year I think it was 31 if I am not mistaken. And so he had been at the same place the entire time and then just knowing the profession -- I didn't even have to look it up but Penn State -they had very, very, very little staff turnover. Coach Paterno wasn't a guy who fired a lot of coaches. Personally I can't -- and maybe he fired a coach or two. I can't remember one but they also didn't have quy that left Penn State for other jobs. So it just stands out that Mike

- McQueary was at Penn State his entire career.

  From a resume standpoint, you know, coached some good players, was a recruiting coordinator, but nothing just jumps out at you like, oh, my --
  - Q. Was it a two-page resume that you reviewed of Mr. McQueary?

That would be my evaluation.

2.2

- A. I don't remember any references.
- Q. There were no references listed on the resume that you reviewed or --
  - A. No. I don't believe so.
- Q. Now when you are working with an assistant coach who is looking to find a new position what is the type of advice or counsel you provide to that assistant coach with respect to a resume?
- A. Well as an agent what we do is -- for all of our clients we put together what we call a marketing profile. You got to get the attention of head coaches. We use graphic design. We use -- I mean, it's sharp. We have a full-time graphic designer and it's usually a 10 to 14 page -- it shows your track record of developing players, what you have done as a recruiter, your team success, your side of the ball success,

meaning if you are a wide receivers coach what has the offense that you have been coaching -- what has that offense done. What are your achievements from an offensive standpoint over the years. You want to highlight the best of the best. And then certainly your team success, sometimes an individual coach -- some coaches have more to do with the team success. I mean, certainly all nine guys have something to do with it but it's basically a -- you got to get people's attention. I think the day now of just a black-and-white resume -- we don't send that out to anybody. I know that.

1.0

- Q. You put together this high graphic marketing profile with respect to the coaches you represent?
- A. Here is one of the reasons. I mean, when a job comes open there are so many coaches that try to get that job. So say it's -- the wide receivers job Arizona State comes open, I mean, the head coach at Arizona State -- he is going to get more faxes, just more information sent to him than you can imagine. I mean, it would be over 100 guys and so it's like what we found is you have to somehow distinguish yourself

and people don't have time of just reading resumes. So like it's got to jump out. It's why -- you do graphic design stuff well, bam, wow, that has got my attention. Next page, wow, interesting. I didn't realize he developed those four guys that are now in the NFL and you have got a photo of those four players. And so it's -- guys are so pressed for time that that's what we try to do to give our clients an advantage.

- Q. So you are suggesting that in addition to this network that is so critical it's important that you also -- that you need to be noticed?
- A. It comes down to this. This is the term I use with all of our guys. We have got to make sure we illustrate how you are different and why you are valuable. How you are different and why you are valuable and it has got to pop. I mean, you got -- I always tell our guys have an elevator pitch. I mean, if you meet Les Miles in an elevator and you have got 20 seconds to introduce yourself, give him your background really quickly, and then follow up with a handwritten note and develop the relationship.
  - Q. I want to direct your attention now to

1	January 2012. January 2012 Coach Bill O'Brien
2	was named the new head coach of Penn State. Are
3	you familiar with that appointment?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. In conjunction with Mr. McQueary what
6	position did he coach while he was a coach under
7	Jose Paterno?
8	A. Wide receivers.
9	Q. And did you obtain any knowledge or
10	information as you were proceeding in your role
11	as a reporter, consultant, and agent about how
12	Coach O'Brien went about selecting his coaching
13	staff?
14	A. Well I assumed Bill O'Brien was going to
15	do what most head coaches do and he was going to
16	rely upon
17	Mr. Strokoff: Objection, Your Honor.
18	Now he is speculating. The question was did he
19	have knowledge.
20	The Court: She will rephrase the
21	question.
22	By Ms. Conrad:
23	Q. Based on your years of experience as a
24	coach, reporter, employment consultant, and agent
25	would it be vour understanding that Coach O'Brien

would have had a --1 Mr. Strokoff: Again she is leading this 2 expert witness, Your Honor. 3 By Ms. Conrad: 4 What do most head coaches have -- strike 5 that. Bill O'Brien wasn't a head coach prior to 6 coming to Penn State, was he? 7 Α. No. 8 And I believe you testified that someone 9 that had the dream of becoming a head coach would 10 plan for that opportunity if, in fact, it 11 occurred, is that correct? 12 I mean, Bill O'Brien was the 13 Α. Yeah. offensive coordinator for the New England 14 Patriots. I mean, the guy new at some point in 15 time he was going to have an opportunity to be a 16 head coach. Bill O'Brien -- I mean, I can assure 17 you he had thought long and hard about when that 18 day comes who am I going to hire. 19 And with respect to the wide receivers 20 coach do you have knowledge or information about 21 who Bill O'Brien hired? 22 Yes. He hired a guy named Stan Hixon. 23 Α. 24 What do you know about Stan Hixon? Ο.

Stan Hixon was a guy who had vast amount

25

Α.

1 of experience not only the college level -- I 2 mean, I believe he had coached over two decades 3 but he also coached in the National Football 4 League with the Washington Redskins as the wide 5 receivers coach. He coached with the Buffalo 6 I mean, he had been at the highest level. Bills. 7 I am almost certain that he coached on Nick 8 Saban's staff at LSU back in the early 2000's 9 which is -- I mean, Coach Saban is the best coach 10 in college football. That's pretty much 11 undisputed. I mean, the guy had a great track 12 record and from a recruiting standpoint he would 13 be a great asset because he just brings a level 14 of credibility that -- I mean, wow. 15 Did you know if Coach Hixon had coached 0. 16 at any colleges or universities? 17 Well he did. He had coached with Bill Α. O'Brien before. 18 19 Where did he coach with Bill O'Brien? Ο. 20 Α. Georgia Tech. 21 Okay. So is it your understanding then Q. 22 that Coach O'Brien would have had a connection

A. Yeah. I mean, not only do they coach

with Coach Hixon prior to Bill O'Brien being

appointed at Penn State?

23

24

together at Georgia Tech but coaches -- once you coach with a guy and then you all separate you always follow the people that you worked with. I mean, some guys reach out more, communicate more, but you also -- you are always aware of -- like I can tell you right now all of the guys I worked with at Old Miss in 2000 where they are. All of the guys I worked with at Memphis in 2005 where they are. That's what coaches do. They know where their guys are.

- Q. And in addition to knowing where they are do you keep in contact with them?
- A. I do. You know, with a lot of the guys. I mean, some coaches stay in more contact than others. I mean, it depends on each individual coach and how much --
- Q. Is there importance for an assistant coach to keep that contact with the other coaches that they have worked with?
- A. I mean, that is just critical. I mean, you are just missing -- it's a huge mistake that some coaches around the country they make and it comes back to hurt them. I mean, when you work with a guy and he branches off and goes to another university or NFL organization that's

your lifeline. If a job comes open where he is
working and you have maintained the relationship
and you are trying to get on that staff he is the
guy that is going to go talk to the head coach
about you when you try to get on the staff. So
you better keep in contact and it's got to be a
meaningful relationship.

- Q. So is it fair to say it's not just about making the contact, developing the network? It's also critical to maintain the relationship within the network?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. I want to direct your attention now to the material you reviewed with respect to Mr. McQueary's job search. All right? I believe you made reference to the number of openings for wide receivers in the 2011/2012 period, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. How many football Division 1 programs were there in 2011/2012?
  - A. About 120 just at the Division 1 level.
- Q. And just at the Division 1 level in that time period how many changed were there in the wide receiver coaching positions?
  - A. There were more than 50.

1 And does that mean there would have been 0. 2 50 potential opportunities for a position as a 3 wide receivers coach in that particular year? Α. Yes. There would have been more than 5 Maybe some of those places, you know, he 6 wouldn't have been a candidate at just based on 7 geography and whatever but yes, a lot. There 8 were a lot of opportunities. 9 And does that number take into account 10 other divisions in college football? Α. 11 It does not account for the 1AA No. 12 level, which is lower level schools than the 13 major colleges and it doesn't account for the NFL 14 organizations either. 15 Ο. So is it fair to say that those numbers 16 don't take into account hundreds of other 17 openings available during that year? 18 Α. Yeah. It does not account for that. 19 Absolutely. 2.0 I want to ask you some questions now 21 about the report of Mr. John Parry that was 22 submitted by plaintiff. Are you familiar with 23 that report? 24 Α. I am. 25 Now Mr. Parry makes certain conclusions Ο.

and offers certain opinions and I want to take you through them and obtain your response to Mr. Parry offers the opinion that the actions and words of those in position of leadership have irreparably harmed Mike McQueary's ability to continue in his chosen profession as a collegiate football coach and do you recall reading that opinion? 

A. I do.

- Q. And he basis that opinion on several conclusions and I want to take you through them. Is it your determination based on the materials that you reviewed and your experience in college and professional football that a statement that had been issued by President Spanier irreparably harmed Mike McQueary's ability to continue his chosen profession in the area as a college collegiate football coach?
  - A. No. I do not.
- Q. And did you have the opportunity to review the Spanier statement?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you are aware -- strike that.

  Mr. Parry also concludes that the actions and words of those in position of leadership

irreparably harmed Mike McQueary in his ability to continue his profession as a collegiate football coach based on statements that Dr. Spanier made to the intercollegiate athletic department in which he expressed support for Mr. Curley and Mr. Schultz. Do you believe that those statements irreparably harmed Mr. McQueary in his ability to continue his profession as a collegiate football coach?

A. No. I do not.

- Q. Mr. Parry also based his opinion on the conclusion that Mr. McQueary was not permitted to coach in the Nebraska game in November of 2011.

  Do you agree with the opinion that because

  Mr. McQueary was not permitted to coach in that

  Nebraska game that irreparably harmed his ability to continue in his chosen profession as collegiate football coach?
- A. No. I do not because it's my understanding that that was for safety precautions.
- Q. And Mr. Parry goes on to opine that the subsequent decision to place Mr. McQueary on administrative leave irreparably harmed his ability to continue in his chosen profession as a

collegiate football coach. Do you believe that placing Mr. McQueary on administrative leave irreparably harmed his ability to continue his chosen profession as a collegiate football coach?

A. No. I do not.

- Q. Mr. Parry also opines that the failure of Coach Bill O'Brien to interview McQueary for a position on his staff irreparably harmed Mike McQueary's ability to continue in his chosen profession as a collegiate football staff. Do you agree with that opinion?
- A. No. Absolutely not. I mean, it's -- can I expand?
  - Q. Certainly.
- A. I mean, I have been through it personally where guys -- it's almost like that's a formality a lot of times to be honest with you at universities. Like sometimes it's in a coach's contract when it will say if the current head coach is fired we will guarantee you the opportunity to interview with the next head coach. Like that's comical. Like guys and coaches all over the country know -- I mean, I have worked with guys where before they actually sit down in their chair the new head coach is

1 saying, hey, man, just being up front I am not 2 going to have something for you and then it's a 3 four-minute conversation, shake hands, and leave. 4 I mean, coaches know how it works. A lot of 5 times that's a -- it's just a formality. There 6 is -- it's almost like to tell the public, yeah, 7 I talked to -- new head coaches -- put it this 8 way. When they get the job they are always asked 9 by the media are you going to consider the 10 current assistance on this staff for your new 11 staff and darn near every coach says well, you 12 know, there is some good coaches on this staff 13 and I am going to talk to them but I do have some 14 guys in mind. It's like, dude, you aren't 15 keeping -- like they are not going to keep many 16 of those guys, if any. 17

Q. So based on the materials that you reviewed in connection with this litigation, along with your years of experience as a coach, a reporter, an employment consultant, and an agent, did you form an opinion as to whether it was likely that Mike McQueary would have been retained at Penn State following the appointment of Bill O'Brien as head coach?

A. I did.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Ο. And did you form an opinion to a 2 reasonable degree of certainty? 3 Α. Yes. 4 0. And what is that opinion, Mr. Roussel? 5 Α. I did not think Coach O'Brien would keep 6 Coach McQueary. 7 0. And based on the information that you 8 reviewed in connection with this litigation along 9 with your years of experience as a coach, a 10 reporter, an employment consultant, and an agent, 11 did you form an opinion with respect to the 12 failure of Mike McQueary to obtain employment 13 after the expiration of his contract with PSU? 14 Α. I did. 15 Ο. And do you form that opinion to a 16 reasonable degree of certainty? 17 Α. Yes. 18 0. And what is that opinion? 19 Α. Well I think coach had a very limited 20 network because he had worked at Penn State for 21 his entire career and I think it was 22 significantly to his detriment that coaches 23 didn't branch off from this staff. Sometimes 24 it's good for you to have some of your colleagues

get fired because then they go get other jobs and

1	now you have contacts at other places that are in
2	a position to help you. Sometimes it's good for
3	guys on your staff to get promotions, to go make
4	more money or have a better job title at other
5	places because you have got now you have got a
6	lifeline at other places. Guys who you have
7	worked with that are going to be able to speak up
8	for you they are all over the country, and you
9	look at coach's timeline both of those hindered
10	him, no doubt, and when you looked at his resume
11	good resume? Yeah. Good resume. Did they
12	have a good team certain years? Yeah. They had
13	a good team but nothing is like, wow, you have
14	got to hire Mike McQueary, like he is available,
15	and so when you combine all of those, yeah, I
16	think that he was definitely hindered.
L7	Q. Thank you. I have no further questions
18	at this time. Mr. Strokoff may have some
19	questions.
20	Mr. Strokoff: I do.
21	Cross-Examination
22	By Mr. Strokoff:
23	Q. Sir, my name is Elliott Strokoff and I

represent Michael McQueary in this action. Can you tell us, sir, what your date of birth is?

1	A. May 19, 1980.
2	Q. So you are 36 years old?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. And what year did you graduate
5	Old Miss?
6	A. December 2002.
7	Q. And while you were at Old Miss you were
8	a student assistant?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. With some growing responsibilities over
11	the years?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And after you graduated Old Miss you
14	went to Stanford University?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. What was the position you had at
17	Stanford?
18	A. Defensive assistant.
19	Q. Defensive assistant coach?
20	A. Defensive assistant.
21	Q. All right. Were you an assistant coach?
22	A. No. There is nine full-time assistant
23	coaches.
24	Q. So you were somebody who was a defensive
25	assistant, not an assistant coach?

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And you were at Stanford for how long?
3	A. One season.
4	Q. And then you returned to Old Miss and
5	you said offensive quality control?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. Was that an assistant coach position?
8	A. You are not one of the nine full-time
9	assistant coaches.
10	Q. So the answer is no?
11	A. In the coaching profession when you are
12	a graduate assistant or a quality control
13	assistant you refer to yourself as a coach.
14	Q. But my question is you don't refer to
15	yourself as an assistant coach, right?
16	A. No. Legally that would not be correct.
17	Q. Okay. And how long were you at Old Miss
18	in offensive quality control?
19	A. One season.
20	Q. And then you went to Memphis as an
21	offensive grad assistant?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. And as an offensive grad assistant you
24	have to take courses?
25	A. Yes. You have to be enrolled in

1	courses.
2	Q. Okay. And did you obtain a master's
3	degree?
4	A. I did not. I worked towards a master's
5	degree but no I didn't finish with a master's.
6	Q. So you're offensive grad assistant for
7	two years?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Then you went to Samford?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And where is Samford?
12	A. Birmingham, Alabama.
13	Q. Okay. And were you an assistant coach
14	as Samford?
15	A. Yes. I was the special teams
16	coordinator.
17	Q. So you finally and what year is this?
18	Ms. Conrad: Object to the
19	characterization. Finally.
20	Mr. Strokoff: All right.
21	By Mr. Strokoff:
22	Q. What year, sir, did you become an
23	assistant coach?
24	A. 2007.
25	Q. And that was for one season?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And then you decided not to be an
3	assistant coach or head coach at that point and
4	bought a website?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Now where is your business located, sir?
7	A. Our company headquarters is in Houston
8	are you talking about what I do now?
9	Q. Yeah.
10	A. Houston, Texas.
11	Q. And how long have you been operating
12	your business in Houston, Texas?
13	A. Well I joined the company in it's
14	been a little over two years.
15	Q. Now, sir, you wrote a report dated
16	October 6, 2016, is that correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. When were you engaged to write
19	this report?
20	Ms. Conrad: Objection. Relevancy.
21	The Court: It's cross-examination,
22	counsel. He can ask whatever he wants.
23	Go ahead.
24	The Witness: Maybe a week and a half
25	prior to that.

By Mr. Strokoff: 1 2 All right. And, sir, with respect to the report which you've issued do you agree that 3 when you set forth the nine documents that you 4 5 reviewed you didn't included the hundreds of pages of documents detailing Mr. McQueary's 6 actual job search over the years? 7 I didn't go through hundreds of pages of 9 documents. No. And you didn't list any of those 10 0. documents in your report, right? Talking about 11 12 your report. 13 I mean, no. I didn't -- there was Α. nothing that I looked at that was hundreds of 14 15 pages long of what his job search was like. 16 Now you do say in your report that you 17 reviewed John Parry's report, right? 18 Α. Correct. Okay. And, sir, do you agree with me 19 20 that there is absolutely nothing in the report which you authored on October 6, 2016, which 21 22 criticizes any part of John Parry's report? 23 It's not in my -- in my report. I don't Α. 24 believe so.

Okay. Sir, you were paid for writing

25

Q.

1	this report, were you not?
2	A. It's my understanding that experts are
3	paid, and, yes, there is a level of compensation.
4	Q. Okay. And what were you paid for
5	writing the report?
6	A. How much?
7	Q. Yeah.
8	A. It's undetermined.
9	Ms. Conrad: Objection as to relevancy.
10	The Court: Come on up here please.
11	(Whereupon, the following conversation
12	was held at side bar:)
13	Ms. Conrad: This is gamesmanship. You
14	know, we didn't stoop to this level during our
15	examination.
16	Mr. Strokoff: Your Honor, there is
17	nothing in his report that criticizes John Parry.
18	He says the most important thing is fit. John
19	Parry said the most important thing is fit.
20	The Court: So why don't you just say do
21	you agree with Mr. Parry that fit is it?
22	Mr. Strokoff: I understand that but now
23	he is he is here today now adding things in.
24	The Court: Gamesmanship is
25	unfortunately part of

1 Ms. Conrad: I understand that, sir. 2 The Court: I personally don't think you 3 get any place by asking somebody because 4 everybody knows nobody is here for next to 5 nothing except for the judge. The rest of you 6 are all very well -- so I would ask another question and I think there are a lot of other 7 questions you can put this man that are more 9 beneficial to your side. 10 Mr. Strokoff: But you are not 11 sustaining the objection? The Court: I am not going to sustain 12 13 the objection. If you want to ask it, go ahead. (End of side bar.) 14 15 By Mr. Strokoff: 16 And, sir, I agree with you, you are 17 entitled to charge a fee because you are an 18 expert, but my question is what was your fee for 19 writing this report? 20 It's an undetermined amount that 21 that is not significant and costs -- it's covers 22 my travel and I was not doing this in no question 23 for money. 24 So are you saying that you haven't been 25 paid yet for the report?

1	A. I have not been paid.
2	Q. And you don't have a contract that sets
3	forth the terms and conditions under which you
4	will be paid?
5	A. There is a contract but it does not
6	specify there is a range of the amount of
7	money and it's
8	Q. But you say you are not doing this
9	report for money?
10	A. No. I am not here grabbing money.
11	Q. Do you know Mike McQueary?
12	A. I basically made sure that I was
13	compensated basically for my time for today, and
14	yesterday, and the conversation that I had to
15	have on the phone that I would have been
16	focussing on all of my jobs right now with the
17	coaching carousel season coming up. Like I am
18	kind of busy right now.
19	Q. Have you ever met Mike McQueary?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Did you ever talk to him?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Sir, do you recall that John Parry said
24	most important criteria is probably fit? If you
25	don't

1 I am not certain. I read the Α. Yeah. 2 report. I read his report. I read his 3 statements. 4 You are saying you are not certain you 5 read his report? 6 Α. No. I certainly read it. I can't 7 actually recall if he said what the exact quote 8 was. 9 Okay. So in your report which you 10 issued you had no criticism of Mr. Parry but 11 today you do? Testified you had some criticism 12 today? Not even criticism. I will rephrase it. 13 You disagree with some of Mr. Parry's opinions? 14 Α. Yeah. I would have to go back -- like I 15 would love to be able to read to dispute one 16 thing after another. I mean, I remember reading 17 it and I disagreed with it. I remember that. 18 And it's your opinion that the key 0. 19 factor with obtaining a job as an assistant coach 20 is a network? 21 Networking is absolutely critical. 22 doubt about it. 23 And it's the network that enables you to 24 get in quickly to be considered by a head coach 25

when there is an assistant coaching vacancy?

1	A. Yes. You put that very well.
2	Q. And you have to act quickly?
3	A. No doubt.
4	Q. Sir, will you agree with me if you do
5	not know somebody who knows the head coach to put
6	in a word for you there is not much sense in
7	applying for an assistant head coach with that
8	coach?
9	A. No. I would not agree. It certainly is
10	a detriment but that is not how I approach
11	things. I mean, with my guys we are going after
12	jobs. If a guy wants to go after a job we have
13	got a marketing profile and I am going to make
14	sure that that head coach understands how my
15	client is different and why he is valuable.
16	Q. So even if you don't have a network you
17	still think it makes sense to apply for a
18	position?
19	A. Yes. It makes sense because you never
20	know but it certainly reduced your chances.
21	Q. Significantly?
22	A. Yes. I would say significantly.
23	Q. Now this Exhibit A to your report
24	it's entitled college coaching transitions wide

receiver coaches 2011 to 2012. This is something

you put together, right? 1 2 Yes. Myself and my former -- or my Α. managing editor with coachingsearch.com. 3 And this shows that there were wide 4 5 receiver changes between 2010 and 2011 season? 6 Α. This is something I did back then. This isn't something I did this -- for this court 7 appearance or anything. I had this on file. 9 Every year since I think 2008 I go through every 10 Division 1 school in America and list every coach on that staff by position and I actually have a 11 12 chart in my office so when the coaching carousel 13 season comes I understand where everybody is, who 14 is going to be looking for a job, who is out of a 15 job, and that's one of the reasons why the 16 website took off because we put the connections 17 together. 18 And the coach carousel season begins 19 November? 20 I mean, right now there are already five 21 jobs open for next year. Yeah. I mean, it's 22 already -- I mean --23 Q. But with respect -- when you have your 24 2011 to 2012 you are talking about --

Before the 2012 season those guys were

25

Α.

1	hired.
2	Q. But those guys most of them were
3	hired either at the end of 2011 or the beginning
4	of 2012, right?
5	A. Right. That's the purpose of that
6	chart.
7	Q. So we are clear with respect to
8	Mr. Hixon he had over two decades of college
9	coaching experience and also some pro-coaching
10	experience?
11	A. He did but he had also worked with Bill
12	O'Brien in the past.
13	Mr. Strokoff: Pass witness, Your Honor.
14	Ms. Conrad: Your Honor, I have no
15	further questions for this witness. I move for
16	the admission of D-110, the report of
17	Mr. Roussel.
18	Mr. Strokoff: No objection, Your Honor.
19	The Court: Okay. It's admitted.
20	Ms. Conrad: Thank you, sir.
21	The Court: You can step down.
22	The Witness: Thanks.
23	Ms. Conrad: Would you like us to
24	continue?
25	The Court: Who is next?

1	Mr. Morrison: University calls
2	Dr. Samuel Kursh.
3	Whereupon,
4	Samuel Kursh
5	was called as a witness and having been duly
6	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
7	The Witness: Good morning, Your Honor.
8	The Court: Good morning.
9	Voir Dire as to Qualifications
10	By Mr. Morrison:
11	Q. Good morning, as you know my name is
12	George Morrison. Can you please state your full
13	name for the record please?
14	A. Samuel J. Kursh, K-u-r-s-h.
15	Q. And, Dr. Kursh, before we get into the
16	relate substance here today we are going to do a
17	little background exploration with you starting
18	with your education. So I am assuming you
19	graduated from high school, is that correct?
20	A. Mount Pleasant High School in
21	Wilmington, Delaware.
22	Q. Okay. And then from there where did you
23	go?
24	A. I went to the University of Delaware and
25	then graduated in 1969 with a combined degree of

Τ	mechanical engineering and business
2	administration.
3	Q. And after the University of Delaware did
4	you continue on in your education?
5	A. Yes. I went to the George Washington
6	University in Washington D.C. and then I got a
7	master's degree from them in 1971 in a field
8	called engineering administration, which was
9	management of the technical organization.
10	Q. And after receiving that master's degree
11	did you obtain any further degrees?
12	A. Yeah. Like many politicians I couldn't
13	leave Washington so I got a doctorate at George
14	Washington as well.
15	Q. And can you go into a little bit more
16	detail about that doctorate?
17	A. Yeah. I got a doctorate in business
18	with areas of concentration in applied economics
19	in a field called operations research, which is
20	the use of mathematics and statistics and related
21	disciplines in the analysis of complex problems.
22	Q. After you obtained these degrees
23	getting into your work experience now, can you go
24	over the basic outline of your work experience?
25	A. Yeah. I started out with an academic

1 career and I was with the State University of New 2 York at Stony Brook where I taught for a year and 3 a half, almost two years, and I then decided that the academic world wasn't really for me and I 5 moved back to Wilmington, Delaware, and became 6 associated with our family business, which was a 7 plumbing supply, and I ran that business for 12 8 years and I got bored and decided to do something 9 else and I went into -- I had gotten exposed to the litigation process and realized there was a 10 11 need for economic and statistic expertise. So I 12 decided to change careers and do that and that 13 was 30 in years ago. So I have been doing that 14 ever since.

Q. Okay. And when you switch gears are there any specific companies or organizations that you are affiliated with when you made that switch?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. I started out with a company called the Center for Forensic Economic Studies.
- Q. And what were your job duties, responsibilities at the Center for Forensic Studies?
- A. We started out as working on a single commercial matter involving trucking and I often

kid that the reason I left the plumbing supply business was I hated to wait -- I hated to be conscious of when is the painter going to show up to do something and was the accountant going to be here this week, all of those little details of running a business, and it took about six months and I was running Center for Forensic Economic Studies. So I was back in the same position.

So I became a partner a couple years later in the center and in 2003 four of the five partners sold their business, including me, to a public company and we remained with that company — I did until 2010 and then I went out on my own and my partners left in 2000 — I think 2012.

Now we all partners again in another company called BLDS.

- Q. And while you were -- I have it noted you were a director from 2003 to 2010 for that organization during that time period. What were your responsibilities? Job duties? What were you doing during that time period?
- A. Over my time doing this kind of work I have concentrated on employment matters and commercial litigation. I have done the same thing for --

Sure. And that includes your current 1 0. 2 position that you hold today? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And focussing in on -- you just 5 mentioned employment related matters. Can you 6 expand a little bit on what type of work you did 7 with employment related matters? Well most employment cases I would put 8 them in two categories. There is the failure to 9 10 cases, failure to hire, failure to promote, and 11 that's a whole group of cases where people feel 12 that very have been treated unfairly, that they 13 didn't get a job, they did not get the job they 14 wanted, and they sue the company. The second --15 I am going to ask you to talk a little 16 closer to the mic. The acoustics in this room 17 are not the best? 18 Α. Oh, okay. 19 Q. Thank you. 20 Α. The second group are cases where there 21 are some kind of dispute and it can be a 22 Whistleblower case or some other and someone is 23 terminated or doesn't get rehired. Those are the 24 two general types of cases. 25 And what was your role with those types

Q.

1 of cases?

- A. Virtually always my role is the calculation of economic loss.
- Q. Do you have any teaching experience besides the initial run at Stony Brook?
- A. Yes. I taught the University of
  Delaware. I taught financing accounting from the
  construction industry. I taught a course on
  alternate energy utilization and then I taught
  the business school at Temple University where I
  taught operations research -- excuse me -- and
  production management. Same course, different
  title. And I've taught at Temple University Law
  School where two partners and I taught a course.
  It went by a number of different names but it was
  basically economics and statistics in the law.
  - Q. Publications. Are you well published?
- A. Well, well would be your choice of a word.
  - Q. Fair enough. Can you give us just an --
- A. I probably have 15 or 20 publications directed towards potential clients or on issues that I find particularly interesting and I want to write about it.

Mr. Morrison: Okay. At this time I

1 would like to move for the qualification of Dr. 2 Kursh as an expert witness for economics. 3 Mr. Strokoff: No objection, Your Honor. 4 Mr. Morrison: Thank you. 5 The Court: Again, as with all of the 6 others, education, training, and experience of 7 all of the relevant information does he have -does he hold his opinion to a reasonable degree 9 of I suppose in this instance forensic science. 1.0 Again my decision is not binding on you. He is 11 qualified. 12 Go ahead. 13 Mr. Morrison: Thank you. 14 Ms. Conrad: Your Honor, I apologize for 15 interrupting but in light of time would this be a 16 good opportunity for a break before we get into 17 the heart --18 The Court: All right. That might make 19 sense here. Why don't we take 15 minutes, 20 members of the jury. Go ahead and step out. 21 Counsel, want to come up here a second? 22 (Whereupon, a discussion was held off 23 the record.) 24 (Whereupon, a break was taken.) 25 The Court: Take a seat please.

1	Go ahead, counsel.
2	Direct Examination
3	By Mr. Morrison:
4	Q. Dr. Kursh, did you prepare a report with
5	respect to the claims asserted in this particular
6	litigation?
7	A. I did.
8	Q. And in front of you there is a binder
9	which I have open to Defendant's 111 which is in
10	volume three of defense binders. Can you take a
11	quick look at that document?
12	A. It is my report or a copy of it.
13	Mr. Morrison: I would like to move for
14	the admission into evidence D-111.
15	Mr. Strokoff: No objection, Your Honor,
16	however, I do note that the report references a
17	page setting forth Dr. Kursh's documents that he
18	reviewed and that's not on the copy of the
19	exhibit, which I have been provided.
20	The Court: I am sure counsel will
21	provided that for you.
22	Mr. Strokoff: And I am suggesting it
23	might not be part of what he has.
24	By Mr. Morrison:
25	Q. Dr. Kursh, do you need that

1	The Court: Excuse me. Just a moment.
2	D-111 is admitted without objection and
3	then we need to check to make sure everybody has
4	the right pages.
5	The Witness: I left out the page when I
6	sent it to him. I sent the page to you a couple
7	days later.
8	The Court: So what exhibit book are we
9	in? Five?
10	Mr. Morrison: Three.
11	The Court: Okay. Doctor, the exhibit
12	that you have in front of you does that have the
13	page that the rest of us don't have but that you
14	sent to
15	The Witness: No, Your Honor. It does
16	not.
17	The Court: You don't have it either?
18	The Witness: No.
19	The Court: Well we are working at the
20	same disadvantage. So when the page is produced
21	we can do with it as we need to do.
22	Go ahead.
23	Mr. Morrison: D-111 is admitted?
24	The Court: Yes.
25	Mr. Morrison: Thank you.

By Mr. Morrison:

- Q. Dr. Kursh, I am going to pretty much work through in chronological order of the report that's been marked as D-111. Starting off I note that in your report you make observations with respect to labor market data. Can you explain to the jury why it's important to consider labor market data?
- A. It's always important to consider -- in an employment case it's always important to consider the state of the labor market at the time. In some cases it's very important to know. In other cases not so much. This is a case where labor market data was just included for background. It does not particularly help except with respect to the ability the get jobs in this case outside of coaching but it does not -- it is not the key thing in this particular matter.
- Q. Okay. And moving onto the fact that you note that you did not provide an opinion as to liability. Can you explain why you did note that in your report?
- A. Well there are one, two, three -- at least a half dozen a lawyers here who have opinions on liability. I am not a lawyer and I

1 | have no opinion on it.

Q. Fair enough. And you also make reference --

The Court: You didn't count me though.

The Witness: No. I did actually.

By Mr. Morrison:

- Q. You also make reference that it's important that one must consider Mr. McQueary's likely career path either within or in coaching. Why is that something important that must be considered in rendering your opinions?
- A. As a general rule in an employment case you look at what the industry career path is. If you were an aerospace engineer and you're working for Boeing you can go through the progression of engineering jobs at Boeing and get a pretty good idea of what someone would earn and however, in that case, the Boeing example that I just gave you, knowledge of the industry plays an important role but not the dominant role because there are other jobs available in aerospace engineering, and there are many other companies who do it, and the progression of people through them is fairly well defined.

Now in coaching it's an entirely

different matter. One has to -- but in the same idea you have to understand what's going on in the industry with respect to positions in the industry and what likely career paths there are and what -- what career paths would be probabilistically most likely and that's what we try to bring to this.

- Okay. And you begin to reference coaching and I almost had a follow-up question for you. So that's fine but I note that you make the -- you reach the conclusion that coaching is an industry with incredible turnover, is that correct?
- Well certainly the literature indicates Α. that that's the case. My tangential involvement as a sports fan I probably would have said that any way but clearly the literature and the articles about coaching indicate that it is a field with incredible turnover.
- And you also provided some analysis with respect to statistics on head football coaches within your report, didn't you?
  - Α. Yes.
- And can you explain to the jury why you provided that information?

21

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

1 As you heard with the previous witness 2 the head football coach determines their assistants. So if there is a lot of turnover in 3 4 head football coaches that would imply that there 5 is a lot of turn over in assistant football 6 coaches and so the two are very much related. Now what we found was in looking at the FBS, that 7 is the 120 schools that play what I call big time 9 college football, the average tenure is 6.4 10 So that's about how long they stay at one 11 job. However, that number is skewed upward 12 slightly because of head football coaches at 13 those schools who have been there many, many 14 years. For example, Joe Paterno was at Penn 15 State and I don't remember the number of years 16 but I am going to say 35 or 40 and before him the 17 coach, Rip Engle, was there for many, many years. 18 On the other hand, there are coaches who are 19 bouncing around all of the time.

So on average head football coach in an FBS level is expected to stay about 6.4 years and I think that's an important number in our analysis because it helps to define -- given what we know about the industry and what we know about jobs in the industry it helps define how long a

2.0

21

22

23

24

typical assistant coach or a staff member would be there as well.

- Q. And moving from the analysis that you provided with respect to head coaches focussing on assistant coaches do assistant coaches have job security as used in your report?
  - A. Well it's even worse.
  - Q. Please explain.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Certainly you have the idea that the Α. head coach controls the job patterns of his That's for sure but if in an assistant. offensive coordinator has sputtering offense one year he maybe looking for a job year and so there is more turn over among assistants. To give you some idea in 2015 going back to 2005, so that's a 10-year period, there are 1150 -- 1152 full time assistant coaches. Of that number only 31 had been within a school for more than -- or during the entire period. So about 2.6 percent were an assistant coach for 10 years. That's a pretty small number and it says turnover is very high.
- Q. Turning to some of the specific numbers that you use as what I refer to as an offset your report references two numbers 72,605 and 41,715.

  Can you explain where those numbers come from and

their significance?

2.0

A. Right. The calculation of economic damages is a very simple concept. It's A minus B. A is what the person is projected to make or could have made and B is what they actually made given what happened or what they actually can make given that's what happened. The two numbers we picked 70,432 -- that's the average income of a college graduate in the United States between 35 and 39. \$72,605 and that's cross the United States. And in doing this case I thought that was probably not the right number to use here.

wage survey. Now it's called the area occupational employment and wage estimates for State College. So right for this specific area. A lower level supervisor makes 41,715. So in doing my A minus B calculations I used the 41,715 because I thought that was about the lowest income that ought to be considered as potential B or potential offset.

- Q. Getting into the scenarios that you reference in your report?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. You provided three scenarios but before

we get there do you put your scenarios over a 20 year time period?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that the uncertainty of Α. No. jobs in this industry probably driven by the 6.4 year average tenure of full -- of head coaches --I think that going past a single head coach is speculative. That you are hired and when your head coach leaves you are likely going to leave as well. So I did all of my scenarios assuming six years because I think after that you get into speculating well who is the next head coach going to be, where is he going to work, where is he going to be, and that's different -- this industry is different than my aerospace example, my Boeing example earlier, where if you are an aerospace engineer and you are working for Boeing and you are moving up the chain, you're moving up the hierarchy and you decided to leave Boeing there is that same hierarchy that exists at McDonald Douglas and so on and it's very predictable. We know what happens. Engineers have careers of -- probably the work life expectancy of an engineer just graduating college is at least 30 years, maybe more, and we do not have that kind of work life statistic for

- 1 coaches. It's a young man's profession. At
  2 least at the junior levels.
  - Q. Let's get into scenario one.
  - A. Okay.

2.0

- Q. Can you explain to the jury your first scenario?
- A. My first scenario follows directly along with the idea that when a head coach leaves you are leaving as well. Joseph Paterno left the 2011. Mr. McQueary still had six or seven months to run on his one year contract which expired in June of 2012 and under that scenario there would be no economic loss because without Joe Paterno it is likely that Mr. McQueary would not continue the job at Penn State. So that's scenario one.
- Q. Did you also consider any additional factors with respect to scenario one such as networking? Anything of that effect?
- A. Well networking is an overriding issue in this case and I will also say it's an overriding issue in virtually every employment place. Most jobs in the United State's economy are not filled by advertisements from the employers. They are filled by networking and if you read the commentary on this published by the

United States Labor Department they specifically say you have to network to get another job. if you are just going to rely on monster.com or wanted ads in the newspaper -- if your newspaper still has wanted ads -- that's not how you get jobs because there is no -- there is no way of a real good selection in those jobs. And if you listen to monster ads on the radio or television they say, you know, we are going to send you the people that qualify for your position, so they are doing some skimming, the some funnel as some shape to it, as opposed to network which has very fine shape to it. Lots of people go in at one end and the network is develop and somebody comes out at the end and that person is very well defined. So networking is key in this business, more so than in other professions, but it's important in every profession.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did networking play as a factor in scenario two that you opine?
- A. Scenario two is that Mr. McQueary was able to get a job but at a smaller football program. However, still an FBS program because the data I have is on FBS and so I assume that he would make -- he was making 140 which put him in

1 the 65th percentile of assistant coaches. 65th 2 percentile simply means if there is 100 of them there would be 64 below him and 35 above him. 3 Не 4 is in 65. I looked at salary -- wages and 5 salaries that came from the NCAA football 6 assistant coaches salary data for 2012 and I looked at people making in the bottom two deciles 8 from zero to 20. So go back to the 100 people I 9 just talked about he was 65th at one of the most 10 successful major college programs in the country 11 and I said well where is he going to end up and I 12 felt that a conservative way to look at it was 13 that he would be in the bottom 20 percent of FBS 14 programs because they would be smaller programs, 15 the ones not paying as much, and using the 16 average of people in that he should have made in 17 that situation about \$77,000 a year or 76,957, 18 and we will look at the B. Remember I told you 19 it's A minus B. In the first one there is no A 20 minus B but the first one is he would have made 2.1 about 77,000 and the B is the 41,000 number that 2.2 I talked about as being the earnings of the first 23 level supervisor, and you do that for one, two, three, four, five, six years at the time he would 24 25 be that coach and that gives you numbers that

range from 35,242 -- that's arithmetic of 77
minus 41 times one, one year, 211,452. So there
is a \$35,000 loss every year, six times \$35,000,
roughly 211. That's scenario two.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now I want to clarify one point. You said you felt or you concluded that he would be in the 20th percentile of that group. Can you just provide a little more of a basis for that determination?
- Α. It's clear that if you coach at a -- a school can be in FBS but not really be a football school and if you coach at one of those you're not going to make as much money and the question was where is the cut off and when I looked at the data it appeared to me that the cut off was right around the 20th percentile that if you were to look at all of the data points -- they were clustered down in the bottom 20 percent and then they were clustered up at the top and they kind of to me represented the two -- if there were only two kinds of schools -- and we know there are many more but if there were only two, one at the top are high paying jobs, and one at the bottom are lower page jobs and smaller programs and that's why I did it.

Q. And again you limited the scenario to six years why?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. Well coaches leave after 6.4 and we know that they tend to take their assistants with them or at least hire others.
  - Q. And finally scenario three?
- Scenario three is that despite all these Α. things you have heard about networking and lack of experience and so on that Mr. McQueary was able to get a job at a school that paid the same 140,000 a year and run it again for one, two, three, four, five, six years subtracting from it -- excuse me -- the 41,175, the B, so basically you are looking at 140 minus 40 or about \$100,000 a year as an economic loss if you assume he could have gotten a job paying the same 140. And again it's for a six-year period because that's what the data indicates about how long you are going to stay or about how long the guy who is making the decision is going to stay. So that loss goes from 98,000 to 590,000 and one, two, three, four, five, six.
- Q. Moving off your scenario instead have you had the opportunity review the report drafted by Mr. Stavros Plaintiff's Exhibit report?

1 A. I have.

2.0

Q. Okay. I am going to run through a couple of those scenarios and I want to get your feedback on them, okay?

Yes.

- A. Sure.
- Q. First and foremost, will you agree with me that plaintiff exhibit report calculates future losses over the next 20 years under the various scenarios?
- A. Yeah. This term of how long somebody works is called work life expectancy. All of us have work life expectancy. Depends on how old we are, what we do, how educated we are, in some senses where we live. In my opinion a nonspeculative work life expectancy for a college football coach is about six years for an assistant coach. Mr. Stavros did not do any analysis of what's going on with people in the industry and as a result he kind of pictures a work life expectancy out of the air that I don't believe is supportable.
- Q. Two of the scenarios that are set forth by plaintiff's economic expert calculates future losses based on the salaries of a few comparisons and those comparators are Mr. Buggs, Mr. Kenney,

and Mr. Vanderlinden. Do you agree with those scenarios?

A. No.

- Q. Why?
- A. Those three gentlemen were also coaches at Penn State and they were able to go out and get other positions. I believe Mr. Bus (sic) differing him from the other two has spent a large majority, if not all, of his career at Penn State. The other two had experience at more than one school and it's important to have experience in more than one school. So he is not comparing apples to apples. He is looking at people who have established coaching careers with broad experience and he is comparing them to Mr. McQueary who has a limited coaching career with limited experience and in my mind as a economist that's an improper comparison.
- Q. Two of the scenarios in the plaintiff's report has scenarios for wide receivers in colleges where Mr. McQueary applied and those colleges and universities include Connecticut, Maryland, Rutgers, LSU, and West Virginia. Do you agree with those scenarios?
  - A. You said salaries for wide receivers?

- 1 0. Correct. 2 I am sure Mr. McQueary would like to make what wide receivers make. Wide receiver 3 coaches I think is what you mean. 4 5 Correct. 0. I don't see why you would look at those 6 7 schools. There is no network to connect you to the schools or coaches so it seems to me that's 8 9 speculative. There is no reason to make that 10 assumption. 11 And the final two scenarios set forth in 12 plaintiff's expert report is based on the 13 assumption that Mr. McQueary will begin to earn the average earnings of a offensive coordinator 14 15 starting on or about November 11, 2018, at the 16 same institutions that we just referenced in the 17 prior scenarios. Do you agree with those 18 scenarios? 19 20
  - A. Again I don't see any basis to draw that conclusion. It's just pulling numbers and -- the numbers are associated with the schools but they are not supported by anything we know about that industry so I would not agree with that.

21

22

23

24

25

Q. The opinions that you expressed today, have you expressed them within a reasonable

1	degree of certainty?
2	A. Yes.
3	Mr. Morrison: Thank you. No further
4	questions.
5	Mr. Strokoff: Your Honor, I request the
6	one-page addendum so I can properly cross
7	Dr. Kursh.
8	Ms. Conrad: What page is it?
9	Mr. Strokoff: The page where he sets
10	forth the documents that he reviewed in rendering
11	his report.
12	May I pass the page on the witness, Your
13	Honor?
14	The Court: Yes.
15	Cross-Examination
16	By Mr. Strokoff:
17	Q. Sir, the piece of paper which I just
18	handed you, which at the top says documents
19	reviewed, is that the document that you refer to
20	in your report setting forth the documents you
21	reviewed to issue this report?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. So, sir, you did not review the
24	specific documents that Mr. McQueary identified
25	that he used in his various job searchs?

A. No. The second day of his deposition
was all about his job searchs and I didn't feel
it necessary to go through what I heard was
hundreds of pages of documents to do that. I
have looked at a lot of job search documents in
my career. Most of the documents supporting job
searchs do not really support very much at all so
I thought the deposition did a good job of
explaining his search, what he was looking for,
and what happened, and I let it sit at that.
Q. Okay. So the answer to the question is
you did not review this Plaintiff's Exhibit 79?
A. Well I don't know what Plaintiff's
Exhibit 79 is. If you are representing to me
that that is his job search documents you are
correct.
Q. Sir, on the first page of your report
dated August 21st, 2016, at footnote two you
state what your hourly rates are?
A. Yes.
Q. Why do you do that in your report?
A. Because I always get asked by attorneys.
Q. Okay. Well what does your report say
your hourly rates were for preparing this report?

A. They range from \$395 an hour to \$495 an

1	hour.
2	Q. It says for preparation of the report?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Same rates for testifying today?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Now, sir, you were here for
7	Mr. Roussel's testimony earlier this morning?
8	A. I was.
9	Q. And you heard him mention that the wide
10	receivers coach who was hired by Coach O'Brien,
11	Mr. Stan Hixon, had 20 or 30 years worth of
12	coaching experience?
13	A. I think you posed the question with the
14	years and I think he answered that he has
15	substantial experience. I don't know that he
16	gave the numbers.
17	Q. All right. With respect to your
18	testimony about Assistant Coach Vanderlinden you
19	said he had been at more than Penn State, right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. How many years of assistant coaches
22	experience did he have?
23	A. I don't recall off the top of my head.
24	It's certainly in my notes. I believe that of
25	the three there was one with about 10, one

- 1 with about 20, and one with about 30, and I don't 2 remember which is which as I sit here. But of the three all had more than six 3 0. 4 years of coaching experience, right? 5 They were successful -- well they were at Penn State and one must be little bit careful 6 7 with this. Penn State has had a reputation of consistency in its program led by -- by all signs 8 9 a fabulous head coach who kept his team intact 10 over the years and I don't recall how long each
- 12 recollection is that they all were at Penn State 13 for a long time. 14

one of those were at Penn State but my

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Well, sir, you made reference to various 0. statistical data that you refer to. Do you have any resources which supports your position -- and I mean a published data resource -- that the average working life for an assistant football coach in FBS is six years?
- That really wasn't my testimony. No. My testimony --
- Sir, I didn't say that was your 0. testimony. I said do you have any data resource which says that the average working life for an assistant football coach in FBS is six years?

Six years -- I don't. 1 Α. 2 Ο. Okay. 3 The Court: You can explain your answer if you want. 4 5 Thank you. I recognize The Witness: that assistant coaches follow head coaches and 6 that that's a very important factor in this industry with the exception of Penn State that 8 9 has one -- maybe that is not an exception. Maybe 10 that is exactly on point. Where you say Joe 11 Paterno was there for many, many years and kept 12 his team intact and, therefore, the people that 13 worked for Joe Paterno had long work lives at 14 Penn State. That's very different than what we 15 observe in most other -- most other coaches at 16 most other colleges. 17 By Mr. Strokoff: 18 And the six year number is the average Ο. 19 tenure of a head coach at one school, right? 20 Α. Correct. 21 And you said the assistants follow the 2.2 head coaches. The head coaches then when they 23 leave one school go to another school? 24 Α. Right. But I hope Mr. McQueary does not

follow Joe Paterno right now.

1 I am sorry, sir? 0. 2 Α. Joe Paterno has passed and so whatever 3 benefit Mr. McQueary got from being a part of Joe Paterno's staff -- he cannot follow him now and he has not followed for whatever reason any of 6 the assistant coaches who he could have had relationships with. Sir, do you agree that head coaches go 8 9 from school to school? 10 Α. I do and they take their assistants with 11 them or many of their assistants. 12 Okay. So that six year number is just 13 an average at one school, right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 So your scenario number one assumes that Ο. 16 even though Mr. McQueary was an assistant coach 17 in as you said one of the most successful college 18 programs in the country, end quote, his career 19 was over once -- well his career was over in 20 2012? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Because you decided that he would not be 0. 23 able to find another job under any circumstances?

Because he had not built the

network or done the other things necessary to do

24

25

Α.

No.

to seamlessly move from one job to another and he had lost the main contact for that move. Let me give you an example. One of the contacts that Mr. McQueary apparently made was with Al Golden who at the time was at Miami. Mr. Golden left Miami and was replaced by Mark Richt, who was from Georgia, the head coach of Georgia. Of the nine people that Mark Richt hired at Miami four of them were on the Georgia staff. Now that kind of pulling power by a head coach is important. Equally important is the ability to have a network that you can contact these people.

- Q. Sir, you said seamlessly. Are you saying in your scenario number one that your opinion because Mike McQueary did not have the network that you thought he needed to have his career was over in college football coaching?
  - A. Effectively yes.

- Q. And you said that on the basis of your assessment the network that he had was inadequate?
- A. I say that on -- that conclusion was reached by others in this case but I say that in my general knowledge of the importance of having a network to get another job.

1	Q. Mr. McQueary did have network, did he
2	not?
3	A. Well, yeah, he had a network. It was
4	not a particularly particularly vibrant one as
5	far as his job prospects.
6	Q. And that network was what, sir?
7	A. Well he contacted a coach at Temple. He
8	contacted other coaches but I didn't see any
9	there was no follow up. It did not appear to me
10	that he had a broad base network, other
11	assistants and so on, which you wouldn't expect
12	because he wasn't exposed to them at Penn State.
13	Q. And again you didn't read all of the
14	documents in Plaintiff's Exhibit 79, did you?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Okay. Let's go to scenario number two
17	where you assume that Mr. McQueary was able to
18	secure an assistant coaching position in a
19	smaller football program, right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And you decide that the salary break
22	point for a smaller program would have been
23	approximately \$77,000 a year?
24	A. Correct.

So your assumption in predicting the

25

Q.

economic loss that he steps down to a smaller program is that he would remain there for six years, is that correct?

2.2

- A. Right. Along with the tenure of that coach.
- Q. He wouldn't be promoted or go to another school?
- A. It's speculative beyond -- other than where I take him any other decisions past that is speculative. This could arguably be speculative but clearly when you look at short tenure among coaches to continue to assume that he would be able to get other jobs is highly speculative.
- Q. Well again you know that the coaches move on to other head coaching positions and bring assistants with them?
- A. Right. But what you do not know is how many of them fall out of the system. If there is a thousand -- excuse me. There is 100 FBS schools -- and I am just picking 100 because that's an easy number -- and nine assistants, that's 900 of them. If you have 900 at the beginning you clearly have 900 over the course of time because they all have nine assistant. What you don't know is how many of the first 900 fall

- out, go do something else, have had it with

  coaching who move down to Division 2. You don't

  know that. Those numbers -- I was unable to find

  them but when you look and you see that the

  assistant coaches in FBS only two percent of them

  last 10 years at the same school that tells you

  there is a lot of turnover.
  - Q. But you, sir, again you have no numbers as to what the average working life is for an assistant football coach in FBS?
    - A. That is correct.

- Q. Okay. Now just looking at your scenario number two where Mr. McQueary stays forever at a smaller program paying just \$77,000 a year without any raises your economic loss is \$35,000 a year?
- A. Yes. Assuming his alternative is B is the lower level supervisor in State College.
  - Q. And that's one --
- A. Yes. If he has higher horizons then that then that number is too low.
  - Q. That's the one you used?
  - A. That's the one I used.
- Q. And scenario number three is again for six years assuming that he finds a position

1	paying what he was earning at Penn State?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. And that for six years is \$590,000?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And your calculations are slightly less
6	than \$100,000 a year differential?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. So that if somebody would determine that
9	taking Mr. McQueary out to his respected working
10	life consistent with other factors, let's say 25
11	years, so it would be 25 times the 98,000 number
12	you have here?
13	A. If you assume he had a 25 year work
14	life, yes.
15	Q. Now the other question I have for you is
16	you say Mr. McQueary earned \$140,000 a year at
17	Penn State?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Are you aware also he received about 25
20	to \$30,000 a year on average in bonuses?
21	A. I didn't know it was on average but I am
22	aware he received bonuses. I didn't include
23	that. I only dealt with base salaries.
24	Q. Okay. Now I think you said that
25	Mr. McQueary's base salary of 140,000 put him in

1 the 65th percentile? 2 Α. Of reported salaries in that group, yes. 3 You also talk about the top schools in 0. the box? 4 5 Α. If you look at the data it seemed Yes. to me to be clustered. 6 You said 65 percentile. Is that in the 7 8 tier that's the top paying schools? 9 It was in the top cluster, yeah. If you 10 forgot about the guys like Kerby Smart and Bill 11 Muschamp who were making millions of dollars as 12 assistant coaches -- there is a few of those guys 13 at the top and then there is a bulk of the other 14 ones and then there is a little fall off and then 15 there is the lower tier. 16 Okay. What is the low point of the bulk 17 of the other ones? You said Mr. McQueary's 140 18 was the 65th percentile. Where does the cluster 19 end that cluster? 20 Without looking at the data I would say 21 somewhere in that range of buck-twenty, somewhere 22 in there.

Q. Buck-twenty meaning \$120,000?

Yeah.

Α.

23

24

25

That's just from looking at the data the few

That's off the top of my head.

1 times I did. 2 Ο. So you also do not know what average 3 retirement age is for assistant football coaches in FBS? 4 5 Α. No. 6 And, sir, when you make your -- or when 7 you render your reports in more traditional 8 occupations, like somebody who is an aerospace 9 worker in Boeing, and you project ahead part of that projection includes a factor for certain 10 11 periods of unemployment, isn't that correct? 12 I don't do that. There are people who 13 do that. I do not do that. I use whatever the 14 company -- usually company pension plans, work 15 life analysis is -- that's usually what I do. 16 Mr. Strokoff: Pass the witness, Your 17 Honor. 18 Redirect Examination 19 By Mr. Morrison: 20 Only a few follow-up questions, 21 Dr. Kursh. You have been an expert for quite a 22 number of years, haven't you? 23 30 plus. Α. 24 30 plus. Are you -- the fees that Q. 25 Attorney Strokoff referenced in the footnote in

1 your report, number one, in full disclosure you 2 did reference them right up in front in your 3 report, didn't you? Α. Yes. Are those fees consistent with what 5 6 other expert's charge? 7 Α. Yes. And they are not what I make. 8 That money goes to my company and we pay other 9 people to do things. 10 0. Understood. Noted. With that, no 11 further questions. Thank you, sir. 12 Mr. Strokoff: That prompts nothing, 13 Your Honor. 14 The Court: Thank you. 15 Thank you, you are free to go about your 16 business. Leave it there. 17 Ms. Conrad: Your Honor, we would next 18 prefer to start the video but in light of the 19 hour do you prefer to do that after lunch? 20 The Court: Members of the jury, let me 21 give it to you this way. If you take a somewhat 22 reduced lunch hour we are going to be able to 23 compress things down a little and I think if you 24 were to say take a lunch for half an hour and 25 come back that we can get everything in by 3:00

1	this afternoon and you would be free to go about
2	your business for the rest of the afternoon. Is
3	that a preference that you would like to have as
4	opposed to take our normal lunch hour and then we
5	go long and whatever fashion we go along?
6	Okay. Most are nodding in the
7	affirmative so why don't we take a lunch and come
8	back at five minutes after 12. We will all
9	figure out what restaurant can serve in a half
10	hour in town. Go ahead and step out.
11	(Whereupon, a lunch break was taken.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PROCEEDINGS AND EVIDENCE ARE CONTAINED FULLY AND ACCURATELY IN THE NOTES TAKEN BY ME UPON THE HEARING OF THE WITHIN MATTER AND THAT THIS COPY IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE SAME. ELISE A. FITZGERALD DATE OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT A COPY OF THIS
3	TRANSCRIPT WAS MADE AVAILABLE TO COUNSEL OF
4	RECORD FOR THE PARTIES, ADVISING THEM THAT THEY
5	HAD UNTIL IN WHICH TO FILE
6	ANY OBJECTIONS OR EXCEPTIONS TO THE SAME. THAT
7	TIME PERIOD HAVING ELAPSED WITHOUT RECORDING OF
8	OBJECTIONS OR EXCEPTIONS, THE TRANSCRIPT IS
9	THEREFORE LODGED WITH THE COURT FOR FURTHER
10	ACTION.
11	
12	A. A. Titadation
13	1/2/10 GUN HT MYONNON
14	DATE ELISE A. FITZGERALD
15	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	ACCEPTANCE BY COURT
2	UPON COUNSEL'S OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW AND TO
3	OFFER CORRECTIONS TO THE RECORD, THE FOREGOING
4	RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IS HEREBY ACCEPTED AND
5	DIRECTED TO BE FILED.
6	
7	
8	11-2-16 Momar & barn
9	DATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	