## IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CENTRE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CRIMINAL DIVISION

: NO. CP-14-CR-2421-2011 COMMONWEALTH

: NO. CP-14-CR-2422-2011

VS

GERALD A. SANDUSKY :

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS (Commonwealth Opening Remarks)

BEFORE: John M. Cleland, Senior Judge

June 11, 2012 DATE:

PLACE: Centre County Courthouse

Courtroom No. 1

102 South Allegheny Street

Bellefonte, PA 16823

## **APPEARANCES:**

FOR THE COMMONWEALTH:

Joseph E. McGettigan, Esq.

Frank G. Fina, Esq.

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

Joseph Amendola, Esq. Karl Rominger, Esq.

NOTES BY: Patricia A. Grey, RPR

Official Court Reporter

Room 208, Centre County Courthouse 102 South Allegheny Street

Bellefonte, PA 16823

814-355-6734 OR FAX 814-548-1158

1	INDEX 7	TO THE	WITNE	SSES	
2	DIR	RECT CE	ROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	COMMONWEALTH:				
4	[None]				
5					
6	DEFENDANT:				
7	[None]				
8					
9	INDEX	TO THE	EXHII	BITS	
10					ADMITTED
11	COMMONWEALTH:				
12	[None]				
13					
14	DEFENDANT:				
15	[None]				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

## P R O C E E D I N G S

MR. McGETTIGAN: May it please the Court, Your Honor, counsel, partner counsel, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. Good morning. Thank you for your service as jurors.

I have now the opportunity to make to you what is known as an opening statement. And in an opening statement I get to provide for you a preview, an outline, of the testimony that you'll hear in the following days.

Now, let me tell you two things first.

If I forget to say so, number one, I refer to my notes and I hope you don't mind. I'll try not to do that.

Secondly, I'll not a loud spoken person but if you can't hear me, I'll speak up a little bit more. I may take a drink a water during the course of my time speaking to you. But what I'm going to try to do during the course of the opening is to provide for you an outline from — a guideline for the testimony that you'll hear during the course of the following days.

As the Court has instructed you, the words of the attorneys, whether statements or questions, are not evidence. They're not

testimony from the witness stand and you will, of course, base your verdict on the evidence you hear from the witnesses on the witness stand. To the extent that what I say to you proves to be an accurate guide to the testimony that you will hear from the witnesses, I hope you'll find it useful.

At the conclusion of the case, I'll be able to speak with you again directly in what's called a closing argument. At that time -- sometimes I'm referred to as the Commonwealth -- I will underline, point out to you that which the Commonwealth submits is significant in your determination of the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt of the crimes with which he is charged.

I should introduce myself again. You may not remember me. I'm Joe McGettigan, and myself and my partner, Frank Fina, we're the persons entrusted in the presentation of the trial evidence in the case of Commonwealth versus Gerald Sandusky. You knew that already.

Anyway, in short, what will happen now in my opening is I will outline the testimony that you'll hear. That outline will be filled in

with testimony from the witnesses and then at the conclusion of the case, I'll underline that which we submit is significant in your appreciation and understanding of the case and determination of the defendant's guilt.

Now, in an opening statement, prosecutors often ask you to bring to the case your common sense and the light of your everyday experience. I do so in this case.

I'm going to ask something more of you in this case because the testimony that you will hear and the evidence you will hear is not about everyday events or common place occurrences.

This is sadly about the systematic behavior that the testimony will reflect was engaged in by a serial predatory pedophile. You have to bring something additional to this case. I am going to ask you to do that.

Now, and as for my opening, I am going to divide it into kind of three parts. In the first part I will address the general nature of the testimony you will hear. In the second part I will talk about how the investigation began and what the testimony will show. And in the third part I will give you a little brief previews of

what you'll hear from each of these witnesses.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, one of the things that you're going to have to use special attention to is the way in which children react because you're going to be hearing testimony from young men, primarily the victims in this case, young men ranging in ages from 28 down to -- I believe the youngest is 18 years old and there were experiences that they had as a child and these were experiences that took place not over days, not over weeks, not even over months, but in some cases over years -years of victimization. And they were years in the past -- years in the past which reminds me of what a famous author once said is that the past is never dead. It's not even past. And for these young boys then, and young men now, you'll find out how true that is.

And remember that the testimony you'll hear will come from young men with varying experiences in their lives which I think you'll find interesting. One is a father himself now.

Two others are recent high school graduates within the recent time. One is a Penn State graduate. One is a graduate of Biden College.

One had some problems with drugs and alcohol, and

yet another one serves his country in arms in a war zone and serves today.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

You will also find out that their experiences in their later lives -- well, they're as varied as their contact with the defendant, that is of these eight young men -- 2, 3, 4 -their experiences with the defendant, their victimization took place over weeks, months, and was in an escalading fashion, escalading to the point of some of the crimes you heard described by His Honor, involuntary deviate sexual intercourse, oral sex. Others were less invasive and less lengthy. And yet two of them were instances of only one contact, stopped on those occasions by, in one instance, a vigilant mother and another instance by the extreme reaction of the child himself at the first serious violation. So you'll hear about that.

Now, you'll hear these young men -- some of them share another common characteristic of the contact with this defendant. Six of them had no father in their lives. Three never knew their father. One will tell you, as he told me, I think my father saw me once when I was six weeks old. They shared that characteristic -- three of

them were in foster care, including the young man who was a veteran who went into foster care after his contact with this defendant and his behavior became somewhat extreme and he aged out as they call it of foster care until he joined the Army, and you'll see him. He's a young man now a veteran who serves his country, but you will know him as he was then.

Because you'll be hearing the voices of young men, but this is why I ask you to bring something additional to this case, that is your insight, your perception, and your understanding, your understanding of the way children experience things and feel things and they react to things. When you do that, you'll be hearing voices of these young men. You'll be looking at those young men up there but you'll see them and understand them as the children they were. They were used and abused for sexual purposes of this defendant.

And, you know, up until now, these young men have been known as, in public -- some of you read the papers. We all read the paper -- Victim No. 1, Victim No. 2, Victim No. 3, and so on but they are real people with real experiences and

experiences that you will hear about and you will understand. And so I think that you should see them now as you know they were violated.

So here they are.

2.4

That's Aaron, Victim No. 1, at the time the violation began by this defendant.

That's Jason -- Jason at the time he was violated. And I'll tell you something -- you may leave Jason up there for a second. Jason is different from the others in that he's in black and white. That's because Jason is a young man who aged out of foster care and went in the Army and he had not one picture of himself. That was from his yearbook.

Next please.

That's Brett. Do you know whose hand that is on his shoulder? The defendant's. Brett was 13 when over a two-year period the victimization began. He lost contact with the defendant. You will hear from Brett and you will see Brett. Brett will be your witness today, your first witness today, and you'll hear from Brett how the defendant said, oh, you're going to be a football player for Penn State. You can get a scholarship and become a player -- a walk-on

player.

Next please.

Michal. The defendant is right behind Michal in that picture. Michal was a young man whose victimization was on one instance only because he was one in the shower with the defendant, and Michal tell about his reaction when the defendant decided that showering with a ten-year old boy was not sufficient but touching was necessary, how Michal crawled against the wall to avoid the defendant's touch, not to entirely escape his indecent assault. That's Michal.

Next please.

That's Zachary. Zachary is one of the instances where -- like Michal, where his victimization took place on one day only because of the vigilance of the mother, and Zachary you'll hear him tell about how he went to the gym for the first time with the defendant to work out when he was 11, I think -- when he was an 11-year old. And when he came back, he was so unaware of the nature of the contact the defendant had with him, his mother said she wondered why my hair was wet. I took a shower. And his mother was

alarmed and said this is not right. She extracted more of the information about the contact the defendant had with the victim, who was so innocent he wasn't even sure what part of a man's body should look like that and why he should be touching him.

But she called the police and you will hear from Zachary -- there are a lot of college graduates but here's a young boy who bore him no ill will because he wasn't even aware of what happened. Had lengthy continued contact with the defendant but never alone as Michal was never alone with him again, but lengthy contact. Got taken to football games, Penn State games, the greatest because he bore no ill will and he bears none today but today, as a young man, he knows what happened was wrong.

You'll also hear surrounding that incident from a detective from The Pennsylvania State University Police, Ron Schreffler who when it was reported to him as Zachary's mother did, said talk to the defendant. Tell him to come over here. Confront him and I'll listen. And you'll hear the words of the defendant when Zachary's mother said what did you do to my son?

Why did you do these things? You'll hear what the defendant then said. Proof about the charges.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

May I have the next one please?

Dustin Struble. Dustin, 11, 12. He was a young boy who was so enamored with activities that he could engage in; that he thought this was the greatest. I'll get to go someplace with somebody who's important, somebody who was a big deal. He did. He and Zach (sic) later went to games for years afterwards. They got tickets from the defendant but Zachary (sic) never told -- excuse me. Dustin never told anyone of the behavior that was engaged in by the defendant because he would even sleep at his house and the defendant would lie in bed behind him and put his hand under his shirt and put his hand in his pants and stroke him and touch him and caress him. He rode in his car. He put his hand on his knee and the continuing common place and continuing occurrences with the hand on the knee -- hand on the knee but he would be in bed with little Dustin.

Now, interesting enough you'll see the defendant's behavior was, as I said, serial --

predatory and serial but it was also overlapping and continuing. So at some times -- Brett's relationship with the defendant was three years and during that time -- just before that the defendant had been in contact and involved with Dustin and his contact never escalated beyond indecent assault, did not get to the oral sex and IDSI, and Dustin actually felt as if he had been abandoned and now the defendant had selected someone else -- selected someone else to cultivate, to mentor, when actually we know his target was grooming. That is, he was making each of these young men more and more accustomed to an escalading level of touching -- touching.

Next please.

Sabastian. Sabastian is 18 now and you will assess for yourself when you see him on the witness stand how much he weighs. I can tell you he's as tall as me and maybe a hundred twenty pounds. Just graduated from high school.

Sabastian, four or five years ago, was even smaller, smaller looking, and weaker. And he was taken to the defendant's home where he performed oral sex on the defendant and had it performed on him, where the defendant would pick him up from

school and take him home. Sabastian, you'll hear from him later in the case.

Next please.

Ryan. Ryan was a young fellow who ended up in foster care as well, and he was a less lengthy congallant (phonetic), if that's perhaps a word, of the defendant's but it lasted long enough for the defendant to take him to his home, take him downstairs, a place where he would be with children, and both perform and have oral sex performed on him by Ryan until he asked him to perform oral sex in his car. Ryan will tell you that. And Ryan went to foster care and went away.

You'll hear from each of these young men about to whom they revealed this when they were boys and now. You can understand why, the natural reluctance of children to talk about something. You'll hear who the first persons they were to speak to. You'll understand.

Someone might say, well, how did the Commonwealth select these victims? Well, we did not select them. We discovered them. The defendant's selected them.

He'll tell you a bit about why but I

think you'll understand how the nature of the testimony that you'll hear and why you understand, and I'm going to ask you something now in advance of this testimony -- I'm going to have to press these young men for the details of their victimization, the things that happened. I'll have to do that, and they'll have to look back in years to a time that you can imagine because of their youth and them being children and growing past then, that they didn't want to think about, they don't want to talk about, they don't want to remember. But I am going to have to press them for those details because you must hear them. So I ask you now that you forgive me that I press them for the details, as I have asked them to forgive me for pressing for details and the graphic events of these times. must ask and they must answer looking back in time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You'll remember then as you hear some of them, because you can imagine the age at which they were abused, the years that it was in the past, and the efforts which they had tried to bury, there is no doubt, will cause them to have difficulty in remembering with great specificity

dates and things like that, dates and details. The most recent events were 2008. The most remote was back in 1995, '96.

I must press them and they must answer and you will forgive me for that and you will also understand that recollections which may not be perfect, as sometimes you'll see as perhaps in your own lives, in my life, sometimes the honest admission of a lack of memory about detail — minor detail gives the clearest indication of the absolute truth of the painful events they will never forget. You will hear them testify to these things on the witness stand.

Now, I believe His Honor in his remarks to you discussed some organizations whose names you'll hear in this case, The Second Mile, The Pennsylvania State University, because they are contacts for this case. Each of these victims met the defendant through The Second Mile, either at a camp or an event, an organization he founded. And you'll think that this is the perfect environment for the predatory pedophile provided through an expansive pool of victims. Seeking out those he was interested in and notice how those boys who were most vulnerable.

But The Second Mile -- The Second Mile is an organization which did much good, much good for many people over many years. Some of these men will say themselves that their memories of their times at Second Mile are not dark. They won't say the same for their time with the defendant. But The Second Mile was an organization whose vast members and students and children wanted to do good things, and it is not on trial here.

Similarly, you will hear that some of these Second Mile events and some of the instances and the most graphic and evil instances of abuse took place when Second Mile activities were on The Pennsylvania State University campus in their facilities — their athletic facilities because you may or may not be aware — you will hear from the witness — the defendant was a long-time member of the athletic program at The Pennsylvania State University, being one of our nation's great educational institutions. The Pennsylvania State University is not on trial.

Now, is it possible that earlier signs of abuse were observed by or heard of by persons involved in The Second Mile occurred? No. Were

The Pennsylvania State University heard? I don't know. Is it possible that those signs could have been responded to in a different benefaction?

Indeed.

You will find in the course of this law enforcement officials could have behaved in a different and better fashion than they did and perhaps would have changed the course of actions if they knew. None of those persons or none of those entities are on trial here. This defendant for what he did, his acts, is on trial here.

But you'll hear about Second Mile again, a good organization with many hard-working people and The Pennsylvania State University being a great educational institution. You'll hear them throughout the testimony because they provide a context -- a context for you so you can understand -- you can understand how a person who enjoyed this reputation, this responsibility, this authority, how he could abuse so many for so long a period of time. How he took his role with The Second Mile, took his role as authority, as responsibility, as a public profile in Second Mile and The Pennsylvania State University and he took those and in some respects almost over board

with all of these children because he was a big
football guy. I get to go to games. I get to
stand on the sidelines and be up in the stands
and take those things and use them and betray the
trust that those two institutions had given him.

You will hear that testimony.

2.4

Well, I ask you now to consider a few things that you will understand from the testimony -- the direct testimony of these victims when they were children. That is the emotions that direct their responses but you'll have to weigh and judge their responses at the time and now.

And I can say one of the first things you should understand is humiliation.

Humiliation is the emotional response we have when we believe someone has caused us to cause —done something to us that embarrasses us, makes us feel that.

The next one is shame. Shame is the emotion that arises when we feel maybe we had done something wrong -- as a little boy, you did something wrong. Shame.

Next. Fear. Fear is the emotion that arises when we think that that which has

humiliated us and shamed us will be exposed and become known to others.

And those three things you will understand when you hear the testimony how these young men explained why they said nothing because these three things combine to silence -- years of silence. That, as young boys, must have been painful -- to young men must have been painful but to them less painful than exposure.

So when you hear them testify about the things that were done and how they were touched, touched like girls, as they say -- some of them said touched like girls, hand on the knee and caressed and kissed on the forehead and cheek and lips, you will understand how those emotions caused that response.

Now, I'm going to tell you a bit about the testimony will reflect about how the investigation began and how it progressed.

Because amazingly you will hear that this defendant's behavior was observed or investigated primarily, not once, not twice, not three times, but -- I had forgotten about one until this morning -- four different times until official action took place.

Now, we'll start with the actual beginning of this investigation that led us to here today. That was in 2008 because in November of 2008, a young boy went to his mother and said, you know, is there an internet site for those

Megan's Law people? She wondered why.

Aaron's behavior had been difficult over the past few years because Aaron in 2004 or five met the defendant through Second Mile. Aaron was a fatherless boy. He is the one that never saw his father. He lived with his mother, his younger brother, and his younger sister in public housing in Lock Haven. He was sent to a Second Mile event. And the defendant then called his home and said, hey, would Aaron like to go to some events with me? This was great. For Aaron, it's an opportunity and use of resources he never had. He got to do things. And for his mother must have seemed like a God send to have someone mentor, a big person like this mentor my son.

Then over the course of the next two or three years, you'll hear from Aaron. Aaron will be our second witness. You'll hear from Aaron about what first seems interested in mentoring, that affectionate behavior became more than that.

It became sexual abuse and then finally even stalking as the defendant would follow Aaron. He went to his school and took him out of school which he was able to do because of who he was. He took him out of study hall to talk to him because Aaron kept silent for two or three years over which he will tell you -- he will tell you this defendant performed oral sex on him as a 13, 14-year old, 12-year old over the course of years, dozens of times -- dozens of times and, in addition, he coerced little Aaron to perform oral sex on him, again, dozens of times.

And then when Aaron was silent all this time, his mother thought this was good for him, even though Aaron was starting to have physical responses to these things. He became temperamental. He started wetting the bed at 13, and his mother, little did she know, told -- it became clear when Aaron complained to her and what she thought might be knee-jerk problems were the cause of this defendant's treatment of Aaron.

Aaron got a little older, gained a sense of self and spoke out, not at first. He said -- after his mother said why do you want to know who's on Megan's list? She spoke to the school

authorities and you will hear how the school authorities -- Aaron will tell you the school authorities first discouraged him. They said what a heart of gold that guy has. You want to think this over because you make that kind of complaint because he hadn't fully disclosed. He just said he didn't want to be around him any more. He was doing bad things.

But eventually Aaron's mother said you need to talk to Children and Youth Services.

They went to Children and Youth Services, and they told them what happened. That very day Children and Youth Services in Clinton County called the state police and the state police interviewed Aaron, and that's how the investigation began, because Aaron at that time was 14, having been abused for three years.

You'll see him today or tomorrow. Aaron now is almost as tall as I am. You'll guess his weight. He may weigh a 125 pounds. He was -- he wasn't that big at the time. He testified and talked to the police and he testified, he will tell you, before the grand jury and his response talking to the grand jury and trying to articulate what had happened to him, trying with

all his sources to articulate what happened. He actually cried in front of the grand jury, not once or twice, and afterwards how he almost fainted, how he threw up, and the decision was made then -- because someone said why wasn't an arrest made then, a decision was made then an investigation must continue because some in law enforcement at that time, the state police, and one of my trial partner thought it is unlikely that a man in his fifties, who had a long sexual abusive relationship with a child, had begun and ended with one small boy.

That's how the investigation began.

First a state trooper was assigned and then another and then the deputy attorney general was assigned and the matter was submitted to the grand jury. At the grand jury Aaron spoke. He had nothing else to say. He didn't know any of the other children connected to the defendant. So things were slow for a bit and then a couple things happened.

One was there was a tip received that

Michael McQueary who by this time was an

assistant football coach at The Pennsylvania

State University. He might have some information

with regard to this and he was contacted. He revealed what he had seen, and he will tell you what he saw this defendant do in a locker room shower at The Pennsylvania State University, how he saw this defendant, a man who he had known for eight or ten years, who he had been his coach, how he saw that defendant in a shower pressed up against the wall, with this hands on the wall, with a small boy beneath him with his hands on the wall, both naked, this defendant in skin-to-skin contact, his front to this little boy's back moving back and forth in the evening hours alone in a shower locker room at The Pennsylvania State University.

That's what Michael McQueary will tell you he saw. That's what he told state troopers and agents of the Attorney General's Office.

Then we had another clue. Well, let's look at the university campus to see if anybody else knows anything. Then you will hear they examined the state police records -- excuse me. The Pennsylvania State University Police records and they went back as far as 1998. In 1998 that's when they saw the report that Zachary's mother had made. 1998. That record still

exists, even though no formal action had been taken, went through The Pennsylvania State

University Police, the local police, even to the District Attorney of Centre County but no charges were filed. They were discussed but they were not filed.

We found those records and from those records, they began looking at the defendant's surrounding career and photographs and documents and books and papers, and they also had interviewed staff on campus. A lot of these events took place in the nighttime hours. Talk to people who might be here over the nighttime.

They talked to the maintenance staff in one of the buildings and some of them came forward with a story they had long concealed because by now it was 2008, 2009, '10. They remembered vividly an event that occurred back in the year 2000 when they were cleaning the lockers and one of the janitorial staff saw this defendant holding a boy against the wall performing oral sex on the little boy.

He told his coworkers and they didn't know what to do. They saw the defendant, Jerry Sandusky, all the time with little boys. They

were fearful of making a mistake, of losing their jobs. So they told no one. But you'll hear from a few of them what their coworker -- their coworker first observed this directly.

Unfortunately he's lost to us. He suffers from Alzheimers, dementia. He made an immediate outcry when he saw this. He was shaking. He said I can't believe what I saw that dirty man do in there. Holding that boy sucking on his penis.

The janitors heard that and he told them and they talked amongst themselves. They did nothing.

So now you have a sequence of events that began in 1998, what Zachary tells us in 2000. In 2001 was Michael McQueary and then even before Aaron came forward a few years before that, you'll hear another person. You'll hear Joseph Miller a wrestling coach where Aaron went to school who knew Aaron and he tried to help Aaron. Aaron felt that as a kid.

He walked into the gymnasium to turn off the lights and saw some glimmer of light in a smaller room, not out in the main wrestling room, but in a smaller room where there's a rock climbing wall. He said he's going to go back there and close that door too. He walked back

there. What did he see? He saw Aaron, about
this big, on the floor face-to-face side-by-side
wrapped in the embrace of the defendant who
popped up and Coach Miller will tell you, oh, I'm
just showing him some wrestling moves. Coach
Miller will say it didn't look like anything I
ever seen. It was Jerry Sandusky. He thought
that was weird.

2.4

So those four times -- those four different events, Zach and his mother and Detective Schreffler, janitors, Michael McQueary, Coach Miller, all occurred before Aaron came forward. Aaron did come forward and that's how the investigation began.

Then as I said, photographs were found that reflected the defendant and a variety of children all different times at all different places. And they began to be identified, located, and interviewed or not interviewed because still the investigation was slow because doors were closed. Just like the doors of people's minds, they don't want to talk about anything. They were closed. But eventually some came forward after we found them and the one you'll hear from today, Brett, he will tell you

the police found me. I didn't talk to anyone.

2.4

Each of them will tell you -- I'll ask them and make a point of asking who's the first person you told about this? In many instances you will hear even when they spoke to the police the first time, they wouldn't fully disclose. They didn't want to be there. They don't want to be here but eventually some of them appeared before the grand jury and they'll all, they're bound now and obligated by an oath to tell you the truth from that witness stand.

So you'll hear from them as I pointed them out today, Aaron and Brett and Dustin and Zach and Michal and Sabastian, and Jason. You'll hear from each one of them over the course of this trial.

You'll hear from Michael McQueary and what he saw. And you will see the room where this took place, the shower at The Pennsylvania State University. You'll see the sauna where the defendant would perform oral sex a dozen of times on Brett and he coerced Brett to perform oral sex on him dozen of times. You'll see that shower, that sauna. You'll hear from each of them about what happened to them when they were children.

Excuse me for one second.

Brett. I mentioned his name earlier. Brett is also the oldest of the young men up here. You'll hear him say back in the nineties, you know, he went to a Second Mile event with the defendant and the defendant would want to do things with him. He had no -- Brett was one of those kids that didn't have a father. Perhaps he didn't even live with his mother because his mother remarried and he didn't get along with his stepfather and now his mother. He lived with his grandmother. Actually will say his mother takes care of his great grandma now.

But this was a chance for him to get out of the house -- he's 13 years old -- to do something. And you will hear him and you will see both photographs, documents, exhibits, physical items, the nature of the relationship that the defendant began, coerced, bred, and cultivated with Brett because with Brett he was a big man, the defendant. Brett became the biggest football fan of all time, college football, Penn State football.

You'll see him testify at five foot,

nine and he's a hundred pounds, dressed in LaVar Arrington, the great football player's uniform, dreaming that he could be like that because the defendant can do it for him. You'll see the multitude of gifts the defendant give him as part of the cultivation and grooming process, skateboards, snowboards, football pads, hockey pads, helmets, things likes this. The defendant got him into Sports Illustrated. There's a picture of Brett in Sports Illustrated with the defendant. He took a video -- a linebacker video. There is not a person on this jury who could not pick Brett out. He was a linebacker in this video.

This is the way the defendant managed and controlled. He gave him all these things. He took him to all these games. He took him to away games and you'll see the list of the bus — the bus trip from the campus to the airport, the plane list and the list of hotel rooms for the Alamo Bowl and the Outback Bowl and the list, it will be a long list, but fairly close to the top you'll see Jerry Sandusky, Dottie Sandusky, and Brett right next them.

Brett will tell you about how one time

at one of these bowl games when the defendant was alone in a room and Brett was about to take a shower, the defendant came in. And the defendant's wife was not in the room at the time, and the defendant at that point began to coerce Brett into performing oral sex there in the bathroom. At that time they were interrupted by the defendant's wife walking into the hotel room but not the bathroom. Brett will tell you about the expression that the defendant knew because Brett wanted to take a shower. He didn't want to be involved in this. He said do you want to go back to Snow Shoe -- the little town of Snow Shoe? Well, we're here. This is your opportunity. This is your option or you can go back and be just a little boy in a little town or you can be here at these games with me. That's the testimony you'll hear from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's the testimony you'll hear from

Brett and you will see the objects he was given.

You'll also see other items of physical evidence.

You will see a contract that Brett signed.

Actually his mother signed as well but the

defendant drew up under the auspices of The

Second Mile. It's up there but like no contract

they ever read because it required contact --

physical contact on a weekly, almost daily basis between Brett and this defendant. He gave him money for spending time with the defendant. And other things were thrown in, like if you get good grades, you do this, you do that. But mostly it was just, as you will see back in the document, to force contact because in some people's minds — I cannot speak for another person's mind, but perhaps in some people's mind this is not just abuse, this is a relationship, be it sexual with a little boy.

And that's what we will see with Aaron as well. When you see the defendant arguing, saying he must spend more time with me. You have time for Big Brothers and Big Sisters. Why not me? I got you out of school. Why don't you have time for me? The defendant actually will utter — you will hear someone say this. I feel used. You used me. As you know and you will find out through the testimony, it's exactly the reverse — exactly the reverse.

You'll hear from Brett, again, about this extended relationship, with the sexual component and Aaron and Sabastian towards the years when we'll proceed to 2008 and things began

to unravel for this defendant and their relationships were again lengthier and more evasive, more penetrating. You'll hear about efforts of digital penetration was involved and anal penetration as well which were resisted.

As well as those you'll hear from the two instances of one time alone, Michal and Zach, and you'll hear from Ryan who had oral sex performed and coerced to be performed by him on the defendant but over a lengthy period of time.

You'll hear from Dustin -- Dustin who really thought he had been dropped -- in a way he had -- because the defendant moved on. You'll hear from Jason who also didn't want to be abandoned. He thought he found a father figure and he thought in some ways this was not a terrible price to pay because the defendant would he would spoon with him, put his hand down his pants, touch his genitals. Basically he would end up, because of his behavior, in foster care and aging out, going into the Army, but turning into a nice young man.

But at the time the father who he never seen, this man instead, seemed a small price to pay, minutes of this disturbing, and he'll tell

you the weird and creepy contact. For somebody to put an arm around him and take him places, that's a serial pedophile. That's the testimony you'll hear and that's the victim you'll see.

You'll also see photographs, a variety of these young men, not a great deal after Brett. Brett was the most photographed and the earliest. Here's a picture. You'll see pictures of him in the uniform of LaVar Arrington and here and video and Sports Illustrated. Less so with others.

Aaron was photographed a lot. The defendant would go to his wrestling meets and his track meets and follow him around. Aaron's grandfather will tell about the time that he really started to try and to break loose desperately. He said I'm not going to give you my schedule. I'll not telling you. He would hide. The defendant would follow his school bus home and try to make him get in the car and Aaron will tell about that as well.

You'll also hear and see the lists -interesting lists. Here's some of the camper
lists at The Second Mile. Not all of them are
retrievable but the ones we had retrieved will be
of interest to you because you will see lists of

children's names on there. They're recovered from the defendant's effects years afterwards by Agent Sassano who conducted a search warrant and they found some of the defendant's goods still in an old locked area on the campus and you'll see these Second Mile lists.

What do you see? You'll see interesting things. You will see names, including the names of some of our victims, with little asterisks, little stars next to them. You will think that was interesting. And then you'll see little notations with other children's name, blonde, glasses, no parents. What do you think that means? Blonde, no parents, an asterisk. Some of them are double starred.

The defendant's list and an couple of them have asterisks and Aaron's shoe size because he was going to give him gifts because they were overlapping. As I said, some of these victims overlapped. They didn't know each. They might have met each other because some on them were on weekdays. Some were on weekends.

You may ask during the course of my speaking here, where did this occur? Well, around 2001, sometime after Mr. McQueary came

forth, the defendant was he denied the ability to bring children onto The Pennsylvania State University campus any more. Up till then showers, lockers, the coaches' shower, former coaches, that was the place. Afterwards his home, downstairs where the children slept. Upstairs sometimes. Dottie was upstairs sometimes. That's where they took place, in his home and the boys will tell you about what happened in that home, things I related here.

Now, you're going to hear from all those victims. You'll hear about the two victims that Mr. McQueary observed and the janitor observed. You will hear from Agent Sassano about his activities. You'll hear from Mark McCann, who was a long-time employee of Second Mile, and he will take a look at the contract that the defendant signed with Brett and say no contract we ever generated like this. Why? Because it allows for unsupervised contact and it pays somebody to be in contact. Second Mile does not pay kids to spend time with somebody. He'll say he never saw anything like this. The defendant had that contract and gave it to Brett.

You will hear from Donald Fisher,

Aaron's grandfather, who saw the dispute, the kind of dispute you have between 16-year old kids, a boy and a girl. Why won't you spend more time with me? You have used me. You have used me and now you have time for Big Brothers and Big Sisters but not me. Donald Fisher will say I told Mr. Sandusky, hey, he's a growing kid. The defendant wouldn't let it go. You'll hear about the phone records that reflect dozens, dozens, hundreds of phone calls the defendant made to Aaron's home, Aaron's mother. Why won't Aaron spend time with me?

You'll hear from Jessica Dershem who's the in-take worker at Clinton County Children and Youth Services. This will be interesting. I'll get back to her.

You will hear from Ronald Schreffler, the detective, who was in another room and overheard when Zachary's mother confronted the defendant, and I'll speak to him about a little bit later. I'm almost done.

You'll hear from John McQueary, Mike McQueary's father, because Mike called him as soon as he saw this and say, gee, dad, I can't believe this.

You'll hear from Ron Petrosky and Jake Witherite. They are two of the janitors and you'll hear from a couple ladies who work at the -- one of the hotels nearby. Because after the defendant no longer was able to bring children to Pennsylvania State University campus and work out or take them to showers, he got someone to give him permission to use the workout facilities and the pool at the hotel. So he would -- you'll hear the lady that issued it and say she wish she hadn't issued a pass to get into the workout room and the pool. And you'll hear from another lady who said she knew who Jerry Sandusky was because she worked there. She was there with her grandchildren and she said there's the great Jerry Sandusky with children. That's what you are going to hear.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But you'll hear from Jessica Dershem and Ron Schreffler and I believe that's the second time -- I'm nearing the end of my remarks. I've been speaking for a long time -- because they are among a few more people.

Because as His Honor instructed you, the defendant is entitled to the presumption of innocence and the Commonwealth has the burden of

proof going forward and we maintain that burden throughout and we accept that burden and we'll meet our burden.

The defendant doesn't have to testify. All he's got to do is show up, and if he doesn't testify, you can't hold it against him but you will hear his words. You will hear his words because you will hear the words that he said to Joe Miller, oh, just showing a wrestling move here.

You will hear the words that he said to Donald Fisher or in front of Donald Fisher, why won't he spend more time with me? He has time for everything else but not for me. You'll hear those words, the defendant's words.

You'll hear his words in front of Ron Schreffler, as I said, because Ron Schreffler first overheard him and then later confronted him and he confronted him in 1998 and you will hear from Ron Schreffler tell you, I said you think there's something wrong with showering a little boy for the first time? He said, yeah. He admitted showering with boys in the past. He promised — he promised he wouldn't do it again and often broke a promise. In 1998 I'm not going

to do this. I realize how inappropriate it is.

I don't think I did anything wrong. I don't

think I did anything wrong. I would say nothing

4 bad happened.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So you will hear from him, the defendant's words.

And Jessica Dershem who interviewed Aaron and then afterwards interviewed this defendant and not alone. She didn't speak to him alone. She interviewed them, as now, in that order. You will hear Jessica Dershem who took very, very good notes and she'll say the defendant during the course of two or three, maybe more, pages of notes talked about how, well, I had a three-year relationship with Aaron. I feel used now and, sure, I used to sleep with I often scratched his back and during the course of that, he would lay on top of me for three to five times. I kissed him I think on the cheek, on the forehead. I'm not sure if I kissed him on the lips, and I really can't remember whether my hand went down his pants or not.

These are the defendant's words to Jessica Dershem. Oh, I took him out of town, too. Yeah, sure, I took him to a hotel. He

stayed at my house -- only seven or eight times.

Aaron will tell you he stayed there dozen of times -- dozens of times.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So you'll hear the defendant's words and you will hear his voice as well. Because the defendant, if you may or may not be aware, during the course of the time preceding this trial decided that he would give an interview or two and they were televised and recorded. And you are going to hear portions of that in which the defendant said, I would say I did nothing wrong. I guess I shouldn't have showered with those kids. When asked was he sexually attracted to young boys, you'll hear the pause that ensues and then his response, and then you'll hear another response. That was from a televised interview. You just hear his voice. His lawyer and Mr. Costas, the sports guy or person, they were in person. The defendant is on the telephone and you'll hear his voice. It's his voice.

And you will finally hear when he did an interview with the New York Times. Didn't seem like a good idea. He was reminded of the comments he made by Bob Costas. He was confronted with his question, you know, whether

he was attracted to young boys. His response was -- well, you know. I didn't want to say I was attracted to young boys. To tell you the truth, I'm attracted to children, all kinds of children, and you'll hear him prompted from across the room but not in a sexual way. Yes, not in a sexual way after being prompted.

So you will hear the defendant's words and you will hear his voice and you will judge the credibility of those words and that voice.

You'll understand -- and I'm very near to concluding now. I'm sorry for speaking so long.

You will understand that what was going in those interviews is something that you see in instances like this when a person is confronted with, you know, something as overwhelming as he was on these TV shows, that is, I think, admit what you must, deny what you can, call him a liar, make counter charges, and allege a conspiracy because that's what someone does when they're confronted with the overwhelming nature of the deviate acts they committed and just spoke about.

Well, one last thing. What was your memory abut that sexual offenses? Almost uniquely are crimes in which the victims, and

women know this better than anybody, in which the victim become the accused. That's the way in the nature of the events. But I have used my allotted time and perhaps more of your time. I will speak to you again at the conclusion of the case.

I thank you very much for listening to me. I appreciate it. If you pay as close attention to the witnesses that you'll hear during the course of this case as you have to me, the Commonwealth will be much in your debt.

Again at the conclusion of the case, I will stand up before you and speak to you directly as I have now. I hope for not as long. At that time I will ask you has the Commonwealth done, during the course of this case and the testimony, what we said we will do that and that is to demonstrate beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant's guilt of the crimes with which he's charged? I submit I'll ask you that question. I hope you know what the answer will be. I will ask you to convict the defendant. But I ask you now during the course of this case to listen intently and to consider and do justice for, well, nine people who overwhelmingly deserve —

1	eight young victims you'll hear from and that
2	man.
3	Thank you for listening.
4	END OF PROCEEDINGS
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE				
2					
3	I hereby certify that the proceedings				
4	and evidence are contained fully and accurately				
5	in the notes taken by me upon the hearing of the				
6	within matter, and that this copy is a correct				
7	transcript of the same.				
8					
9					
10	Dotto Dobos DDD				
11	Date Patricia A. Grey, RPR Official Reporter				
12					
13					
14	APPROVAL OF COURT				
15					
16	The foregoing record of the proceedings				
17	had upon the hearing in the within case, upon				
18	review and approval of counsel, is hereby				
19	approved and directed to be filed.				
20					
21					
22	Date John M. Cleland, Senior Judge				
23	Date John M. Cleland, Senior Judge Specially Presiding				
24					
25					