## IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CENTRE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CRIMINAL DIVISION

: NO. CP-14-CR-2421-2011 COMMONWEALTH

: NO. CP-14-CR-2422-2011

VS

GERALD A. SANDUSKY :

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS (Jury Trial) (Day 6)

BEFORE: John M. Cleland, Senior Judge

DATE: June 19, 2012

Centre County Courthouse PLACE:

Courtroom No. 1

102 South Allegheny Street

Bellefonte, PA 16823

## **APPEARANCES:**

FOR THE COMMONWEALTH:

Joseph E. McGettigan, Esq.

Frank G. Fina, Esq.

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

Joseph Amendola, Esq. Karl Rominger, Esq.

NOTES BY: Patricia A. Grey, RPR

Official Court Reporter

Room 208, Centre County Courthouse 102 South Allegheny Street

Bellefonte, PA 16823

814-355-6734 OR FAX 814-548-1158

1	INDE	K TO T	HE WITNE	SSES	
2	D	IRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	COMMONWEALTH:				
4	(Rebuttal)				
5	John O'Brien	273	277		
6		278	295	301	
7					
8	DEFENDANT:				
9	Tanessa Inhoof	4			
10	Joshua Green	7	9		
11	Megan Rash	10	13		
12	Joyce Porter	15	17		
13	Phil Mohr	20	22		
14	Jack Willenbrock	23	26		
15	Scott Rossman	29	37	39	
16	Joseph Leiter	41	48	55	
17	Lance Mehl	59			
18	John Wetzler	62	64		
19	Kelly Simco	66			
20	Benjamin Andreozzi	71	87		
21	Scott Rossman	97			
22	Joseph Leiter	101	104	109	111
23	James Martin	118	124	128	
24	Elaine Steinbacher	129	135		
25	Dawn Daniels	142	144	145	

1	INDEX TO	THE WIT	NESSES	CONTINUED	
2		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	FOR THE DEFENDANT	:			
4	Josh Fravel	148	153	158	
5	Elliot Atkins	164			
6		168	192	222	225
7	Dorothy Sandusky	226	258		
8					
9					
10	IND	EX TO T	HE EXHI	BITS	
11				I	ADMITTED
12	COMMONWEALTH:				
13	(None)				
14					
15	DEFENDANT:				
16	(None)				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: Good morning. We'll be in
3	session. You may be seated.
4	Would you bring the jury in?
5	(Whereupon, the jury was escorted into
6	the courtroom.)
7	THE COURT: Good morning again, ladies
8	and gentlemen.
9	We're ready to proceed.
10	MR. AMENDOLA: May we have Tanessa
11	Inhoof, who's in the jury room please?
12	Whereupon,
13	TANESSA INHOOF
14	was called as a witness and having been duly
15	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
18	Q. Good morning.
19	A. Morning, Joe.
20	Q. Will you state your full name please?
21	A. Tanessa Ann Inhoof.
22	Q. And where do you live, Tanessa?
23	A. Bellefonte.
24	Q. And do you know Jerry Sandusky?
25	A. Yes, I do.

How long have you known Jerry? 1 Ο. 2 Α. About 17 years. 3 And how did you first meet Mr. Sandusky? Ο. 4 Α. Through The Second Mile. And can you tell us a little bit about 5 Ο. 6 your involvement in The Second Mile? 7 I went for about six years of my 8 childhood. Enjoyed every minute of it. 9 And during that time that you were 10 involved in The Second Mile and even afterwards, 11 did you have contact personally with 12 Mr. Sandusky? 13 Α. Yes, I have. 14 Can you explain what contact you had? 0. 15 Α. I would go over for picnics with the 16 football players, play football in the back yard, 17 had about a couple -- probably a handful of times 18 I spent the night, had dinner, learned to cook 19 dinner, thanks to Dot. 20 If you recall, about how many times did 0. 21 you spend overnight at Mr. Sandusky's residence? 22 Roughly five times. 23 Q. During the period of time that you have 24 known Mr. Sandusky up until, obviously, all 25 this -- all these things happened and he was

1	arrested, did you know people in the community
2	who knew Mr. Sandusky?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. And did you ever hear those individuals
5	talk about Mr. Sandusky's reputation for being
6	honest, truthful, law abiding, peaceful, and
7	nonviolent?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. What was that reputation?
10	A. He was a very respected man in the
11	community by helping the children at The Second
12	Mile and for all the other activities that he's
13	done for the kids.
14	Q. Thank you.
15	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
16	THE COURT: Cross?
17	MR. McGETTIGAN: No, Your Honor. I have
18	nothing.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. You may step
20	down.
21	MR. AMENDOLA: Josh Green would be the
22	next witness. He's in that back room.
23	Whereupon,
24	JOSHUA GREEN
25	was called as a witness and having been duly

1	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
4	Q. Will you state your full name please?
5	A. Joshua C. Green.
6	Q. You're going to have to keep your voice
7	up. Maybe talk into the mic a little bit.
8	A. Joshua Green.
9	Q. And where do you reside, Mr. Green?
10	A. Milesburg.
11	Q. How old are you now?
12	A. Thirty-three.
13	Q. Do you know Mr. Sandusky?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. When did you first meet Mr. Sandusky?
16	A. I would have to say around '90, '91.
17	Q. And how did that occur?
18	A. I was enrolled in The Second Mile.
19	Q. And how many years were you involved in
20	The Second Mile?
21	A. Three.
22	Q. And during the years that you were
23	involved in The Second Mile, did you ever have
24	occasion to do anything personally with
25	Mr. Sandusky?

1 Α. Yes, but I actually asked for it myself. 2 Ο. Pardon me? 3 I asked for it myself. It was --4 Were there -- I think both of us. Q. We 5 all had a problem. What was that last comment? 6 You asked for it yourself? 7 Yeah. A little story. My roommate and Α. 8 I had won cleaning our rooms five times in a row 9 and I was actually introduced to Jerry at Second 10 Mile, and I had jokingly said how about you take 11 me to a football game and he agreed. 12 And after that occurred, did you ever 0. 13 spend time at his house? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. Did you ever spend time overnight at his 16 house? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Can you tell us about how many times 19 that occurred? Ball park? 20 Α. Overnight or --21 Q. Yes. 22 Overnight, three or four times. Α. 23 Q. How about other times when you visited 24 there but didn't stay overnight?

I would have to say roughly 15, 20

25

Α.

1 times. 2 Now, from the time that you first met 3 Mr. Sandusky through the time that these charges 4 were filed, did you know other people in the 5 community who knew Mr. Sandusky? 6 Yes, I knew two others. 7 Did you ever hear those individuals whom 8 you know who knew Mr. Sandusky talk about his 9 reputation for being honest, peaceful, law abiding, peaceful, nonviolent? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 And what was that reputation? 0. 13 Α. Is was very good. 14 Thank you. 0. 15 MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have. 16 MR. McGETTIGAN: Just briefly. 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: 19 Q. Did you say the number of people that 20 you know Mr. Sandusky is two? 21 Well, I misunderstood, I quess. 22 thinking that went with me to Mr. Sandusky's 23 house. But, no, in that aspect I know several. 2.4 Okay. When did you learn you need to be 0. 25 here as a witness?

1	A. Probably about a week ago.
2	Q. Somebody called you?
3	A. Yes.
4	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing further,
5	Your Honor.
6	MR. AMENDOLA: Nothing further, Your
7	Honor.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. You can step
9	down.
LO	MR. AMENDOLA: Megan Rash would be the
L1	next witness.
12	Whereupon,
L3	MEGAN RASH
L 4	was called as a witness and having been duly
L 5	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
L 6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
L 7	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
L 8	Q. Will you state your full name please?
L 9	A. Megan Lynn Rash.
20	Q. And how do you spell your last name for
21	the court reporter?
22	A. R-a-s-h.
23	Q. Where do you live, Ms. Rash?
24	A. Milesburg.
25	Q. Are you married currently?

1	A. Currently separated.
2	Q. Do you have any children?
3	A. No, I'm expecting.
4	Q. You look about 12. How old are you?
5	A. Twenty-five.
6	Q. And can you to tell us a little bit
7	about your background with The Second Mile?
8	A. I was in Second Mile for about four
9	years. Started when I was in elementary school.
10	It was an amazing summer every year that I went.
11	Q. What have you done since you've
12	graduated from high school?
13	A. My senior year I enlisted in the Army.
14	Following that, I spent one year in Iraq on
15	deployment.
16	Q. Okay. I'm having trouble hearing you.
17	You enlisted in the Army. I heard that part.
18	How long were you in the Army?
19	A. I was in the Army for six years. Last
20	week marked one year that I have now been
21	honorably discharged from the military.
22	Q. And where did you serve when you were in
23	the Army?
24	A. I served in South Carolina, Virginia,
25	Oklahoma, and a year in Iraq.

1	Q. What was your military specialty?
2	A. I was a 92 Alpha. It's an automated
3	logistical supply specialist.
4	Q. Do you know an individual by the name of
5	Brett Swisher Houtz?
6	A. Yes, I do.
7	Q. And how long have you known Brett
8	Swisher Houtz?
9	A. About 18 years.
10	Q. And can you tell us how you knew him
11	over 18 years?
12	A. We both grew up together in Snow Shoe,
13	and he was my older brother's best friend for a
14	long time.
15	Q. Do you know other people in the
16	community where you grew up who know and knew
17	Brett Swisher Houtz?
18	A. Yes. He was pretty well known around
19	the community.
20	Q. And among those people whom you know who
21	know and knew Brett Swisher Houtz during the
22	period of time that you have known him, have you
23	ever heard those individuals talk about his
24	reputation for being untruthful and dishonest?
25	A. Yes.

What is that reputation? 1 Q. 2 Α. That he was a dishonest person and --3 I'm sorry? Q. He was a dishonest and embellished 4 Α. stories. 5 6 Q. Thank you. 7 MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have. MR. McGETTIGAN: Very briefly, Your 8 9 Honor. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: Is Rash your married name or your maiden 12 13 name? 14 My married name. Α. 15 Ο. Okay. Your maiden is Dixon? 16 No, my maiden name is Williams. My Α. 17 mother's last name is Dixon? Okay. And Ryan Dixon was your brother? 18 Ο. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. He's passed away? Q. 21 Yes. He passed away in 2008. Α. 22 Motorcycle accident? Q. 23 Α. Yes. I'm sorry. At one time he and Brett 24 Q. 25 were very close friends, were they not?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. And sometimes got in trouble 3 together? 4 Oh, very much so, yes. 5 And also Brett kind of got the benefit 6 of the doubt, seemed to get more stuff from 7 people and things like that, including the defendant? 8 9 Most definitely. 10 Yeah. So he would get taken places and 11 given shirts and jerseys and snowboards and all 12 that kind of stuff? 13 Α. Yes. And Ryan didn't get really much of that 14 Ο. stuff, did he? 15 16 No, my brother didn't. Α. 17 Where in Iraq? What location? Ο. 18 Α. I served in Tallil, Iraq. 19 Q. In Tallil? 20 Um-hum. Α. 21 Which camp? Q. 22 It was Tallil. Honestly I Α. 23 can't remember. I incurred a brain injury while 24 I was overseas. 25 Okay. Are you okay? Q.

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing further.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. You can step
5	down redirect?
6	MR. AMENDOLA: No, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. You can step
8	down.
9	MR. AMENDOLA: Our next witness is I
LO	think in the courtroom, Joyce Porter.
11	Whereupon,
12	JOYCE PORTER
L3	was called as a witness and having been duly
L 4	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
L 5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
L 6	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
L7	Q. Will you state your full name please?
L8	A. Joyce Porter.
L 9	Q. And where do you reside?
20	A. State College.
21	Q. With whom do you reside there?
22	A. With whom?
23	Q. Yes.
24	A. Well, my husband and six, seven, eight
25	kids.

1	Q. And how many kids do you and
2	A. Fourteen.
3	Q. You and your husband have 14 kids?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And of those kids how many are foster or
6	adopted kids?
7	A. We have nine we gave birth to and five
8	we have adopted.
9	Q. And do you know Mr. Sandusky?
10	A. Yes, I know him well.
11	Q. How long have you known Mr. Sandusky?
12	A. Around 40 years.
13	Q. In what way, what capacity, how have you
14	known him?
15	A. My friend, Joan Kulka, introduced me to
16	his wife and then we all got to know each other.
17	Some of my big kids are the same ages as his
18	kids.
19	Q. Over the past several decades on an
20	average basis, how often would you see
21	Mr. Sandusky?
22	A. Some a few times a year. He was
23	always busy as a football coach but sometimes
24	Dottie and Joan and some other friends and I
25	would get together and go out to lunch or eat

1	lunch at each other's homes and once in a while
2	we would do something with our husbands, once in
3	a while.
4	Q. Do you know other people in the
5	community who know Mr. Sandusky?
6	A. Yes, many.
7	Q. Among the people who you know who know
8	Mr. Sandusky, have you ever heard them speak
9	about his reputation for being truthful, honest,
LO	peaceful, law abiding, and nonviolent?
11	A. All the people I know who know Jerry
12	thinks think he's a wonderful man.
L3	Q. Thank you.
L 4	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
L 5	MR. McGETTIGAN: May I. Just one or
L 6	two.
L 7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L 8	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
L 9	Q. Good morning, ma'am. How are you?
20	A. Hi. Good.
21	Q. Okay. When you said six, seven or
22	eight, you meant because you are never sure who's
23	coming back that day or
24	A well we have got some in college.
25	Q. Right.

1 Some that moved to North Carolina and Α. 2 then moved back. You never know. 3 I know. I think you told the jury that 0. 4 during the course of the time you've known the 5 defendant, you see him a couple times of the year 6 actually? 7 Α. Yes. You're a busy lady? 8 0. 9 Α. Yes. 10 And he would be busy as well? Q. 11 Α. Yes. But you would see him from time to time? 12 0. 13 Well, he and Dottie were very kind and Α. 14 wonderful because I have a son with Down 15 Syndrome. 16 Ο. Um-hum. 17 Α. Dottie and Jerry would take him out for 18 dinner. They would celebrate his birthday. 19 Matt was little, he was a very ornery little boy 20 and he would run away and he didn't listen. 21 Matt being? Q. 22 Matt is the one with Down Syndrome. 23 Dottie and Jerry would still spend time with him. 24 You say to Matt, who's the best. He goes Jerry. 25 How's Matt doing now? Q.

1	A. He just won the Dan Piper for the best
2	representative of a Down Syndrome person in the
3	United States.
4	Q. Congratulations, ma'am.
5	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing further.
6	THE COURT: Redirect?
7	MR. AMENDOLA: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	Nothing further.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. You may step
LO	down.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
L2	MR. AMENDOLA: At this time, Your Honor,
L3	I would propose to call Trooper Scott Rossman, if
L 4	he's available. I don't know if he's available?
L 5	MR. McGETTIGAN: I think it will just
L 6	take minute because we didn't know when you would
L 7	want him. He's upstairs, downstairs.
L 8	It may just take a minute, Your Honor.
L 9	THE COURT: Sure. Is somebody going to
20	get him?
21	MR. McGETTIGAN: I think they texted to
22	him already.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, while we're
25	waiting I have just been informed that another

1	character witness is here. We can call him.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. AMENDOLA: Phil Mohr.
4	Whereupon,
5	PHILIP MOHR
6	was called as a witness and having been duly
7	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
LO	Q. Would you please state your full name?
11	A. Philip Warren Mohr.
12	Q. And how do you spell your last name?
L3	A. M-o-h-r.
L 4	Q. Where do you reside?
L5	A. State College.
L 6	Q. Are you currently employed?
L 7	A. No. I'm retired.
L 8	Q. And what was your occupation prior to
L 9	retirement?
20	A. I was an affiliate professor of
21	microbiology.
22	Q. At what school?
23	A. At Penn State University.
24	Q. And are you married?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. Do you have any children?
2	A. Two.
3	Q. How old are they?
4	A. Oh, sure. Thirty-four and 30.
5	Q. I guess that's a tough question to ask
6	the father, right? Mr. Mohr, do you know
7	Mr. Sandusky?
8	A. Yes, I do.
9	Q. And how long have you known
LO	Mr. Sandusky?
11	A. Probably about 35 years.
L2	Q. And can you tell us how you have known
13	him over 35 years? Was it social, professional,
L 4	combination?
L 5	A. Largely social. We were neighbors when
L 6	we moved when my wife and I moved to State
L 7	College. We lived a few doors down the street.
L8	We go to the same church. We've
L 9	picniced together. We've spent Christmas parties
20	together. I play the piano and organ and I
21	played for both Jerry's mother and father's
22	funeral.
23	Q. Now, over that 35-year period Mr. Mohr,
24	did you know other people who also knew
25	Mr. Sandusky?

1	A. Many.
2	Q. And among those people whom you knew who
3	knew Mr. Sandusky during that period of time,
4	have you ever heard those people talk about
5	Mr. Sandusky's reputation for being honest,
6	truthful, law abiding, peaceful, and nonviolent?
7	A. Only in the most positive way.
8	Q. What is that reputation?
9	A. Wonderful. It's great.
LO	Q. Thank you.
11	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
12	MR. McGETTIGAN: Just one question.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L 4	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
L 5	Q. Good morning, sir. How are you?
L 6	A. Good morning.
L 7	Q. You said you went to the defendant's
L 8	church?
L 9	A. Yes.
20	Q. Did you ever see him attend church with
21	young boys?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. Did you know any of those boys?
24	A. I was probably introduced to them but
25	there were many over the years.

1	Q. Thank you very much.
2	MR. McGETTIGAN: Nothing further, Your
3	Honor.
4	MR. AMENDOLA: Thank you, Mr. Mohr.
5	Your Honor, may he be reseated since
6	he's a character witness?
7	THE COURT: Yes, you can just go out
8	right there and across.
9	MR. AMENDOLA: Mr. Willenbrock I
LO	understand is here.
11	Whereupon,
12	JACK WILLENBROCK
L3	was called as a witness and having been duly
L 4	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
L 5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
L 6	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
L 7	Q. Will you please state your full name?
L 8	A. Jack Willenbrock.
L 9	Q. And how do you spell your last name?
20	A. W-i-l-l-e-n-b-r-o-c-k.
21	Q. And where do you reside,
22	Mr. Willenbrock?
23	A. In the Lemont area of State College.
24	Q. And are you married?
25	A. Yes.

1 Do you have any children? Ο. 2 Α. Three children, nine grandchildren. 3 Are you currently employed? 0. 4 Α. Retired from Penn State University as a professor of civil engineering. 5 6 How long were you a professor of civil 7 engineering at Penn State? 8 Α. Twenty-seven years. 9 Do you know Mr. Sandusky? Ο. 10 Α. Yes. 11 Ο. How long have you known Mr. Sandusky? 12 My wife Marcia and I moved to State Α. 13 College in 1968. We lived on Yardal Road. 14 Sanduskys also lived on Yardal Road and our kids 15 grew up together and we have known the family 16 through church, through other activities over 17 those years. 18 Now, you mentioned your kids grew up 19 with his kids? 20 Yes, sir. Α. 21 Were there occasions when your kids were Ο. 22 over at the Sandusky residence? 23 Α. All the time. There was a period of 2.4 time in that area where the kids were out in the 25

They were at our house.

summer all the time.

1 They were at his house. Dottie would ring a 2 bell. Marcia would ring a bell about 9:00 3 o'clock, and they would all come home. 4 0. During the course of your kids growing 5 up with Mr. Sandusky's kids, did you ever have 6 occasion to see Mr. Sandusky interact with the 7 kids? All the time. Would --8 What kind --9 Ο. 10 -- you like some examples? Α. 11 Ο. Yes. First Jerry's father played --12 Α. 13 Objection. MR. McGETTIGAN: 14 THE WITNESS: -- Santa Clause on 15 Christmas Eve. 16 THE COURT: I'm not sure this is within 17 the scope of reputation testimony. 18 MR. AMENDOLA: I'll withdraw the 19 question, Your Honor. 20 BY MR. AMENDOLA: You mentioned that you also go to church 21 22 with Mr. Sandusky? 23 Α. St. Paul's United Methodist Church in 24 State College. 25 And over the course of time that you Q.

1	have known Mr. Sandusky, have you known other
2	individuals who know Mr. Sandusky?
3	A. Quite a few.
4	Q. Among those individuals whom you know
5	who know Mr. Sandusky during that 35-year period
6	or so, have you ever heard them talk about
7	Mr. Sandusky's reputation for being truthful,
8	honest, peaceful, law abiding, and nonviolent?
9	A. All the time.
10	Q. What is that reputation?
11	A. Excellent. Among our children, among
12	our grandchildren Jerry Sandusky is a father
13	figure, and he's also respected for what he did
14	professionally.
15	Q. Thank you.
16	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
17	MR. McGETTIGAN: Very briefly, Your
18	Honor.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
21	Q. Good morning, professor. How are you?
22	A. Good morning.
23	Q. At some point in the past year or so,
24	perhaps even before that, you learned about
25	allegations initially and then charges against

1 the defendant; would that be correct?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. And during -- would it be accurate to say that during the course of the time after you learned these allegations you had contact with -- communication with people in the community who knew the defendant?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. I know your testimony here has to do with your recollections of the reputation he enjoyed over the course of the years you have known him; would that be correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. But since those allegations arose and those charges arose, were there any in the community with whom you know who knew the defendant whose opinion of his reputation became different?
  - A. Sir, my wife and I are Christian.
  - O. I understand.
- A. And we felt at the beginning when these allegations started that we were not the ones that were going to judge what Jerry did and when people started talking about it, we decided that we didn't want to hear it.

1	Q. Okay. And that's why I'm just going to
2	narrow my question very briefly.
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. In the community of persons that you
5	knew, there were some who because of these
6	allegations or maybe other reasons, among whom
7	the defendant's reputation was not as good as
8	others; would that be correct, sir?
9	A. They knew my stance and they didn't
10	verbalize it in my presence.
11	Q. You're aware of their existence,
12	however?
13	A. No, I'm not because they didn't
14	verbalize it but they knew what I stood for and
15	what my wife Marcia stood for. We never
16	approached the issue of the Sandusky situation.
17	Q. Thank you very much, sir.
18	THE COURT: Redirect?
19	MR. AMENDOLA: No, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You can
21	step down.
22	Whereupon,
23	SCOTT ROSSMAN
24	was called as a witness and having been duly
25	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
3	Q. Will you please state your full name?
4	A. I'm Corporal Scott S. C. Rossman.
5	Q. And by whom are you employed?
6	A. I'm employed by the Pennsylvania State
7	Police.
8	Q. How long have you been so employed,
9	Corporal Rossman?
LO	A. Thirteen years.
11	Q. And can you explain to the jury what
L2	your involvement has been in the Jerry Sandusky
L3	investigation?
L 4	A. I was one of the lead investigators for
L 5	the investigation.
L 6	Q. And when did you first become involved
L 7	in this investigation involving Mr. Sandusky?
L8	A. It would have been in May or June of
L 9	2009.
20	Q. And during the course of your
21	investigation, can you tell us how many of the
22	individuals who subsequently became accusers did
23	you interview?
24	A. Could you clarify the question?
25	Q. Yes. I'll ask it another way. During

1 the course of your investigations, did you investigate individuals who later became 2 3 accusers, complaining witnesses in the Commonwealth's case? 4 Yes, I did. 5 Α. Can you tell us, if you recall, who you 6 7 interviewed among those accusers? Aaron Fisher, Michal Kajak, Dustin 8 Α. 9 Struble, and I believe there's three more. 10 Well, okay. Did you also -- did you 11 also interview -- I'm looking at my list here. 12 Excuse me just a minute. 13 Did you interview Mike McQueary? 14 Yes, I did. He's not a victim. Α. 15 Q. Did you interview Jason Simcisko? 16 A. Yes, I did. 17 Did you interview Brett Swisher Houtz? Ο. 18 Yes, I did. Α. 19 And I think you mentioned you Q. 20 interviewed Michal Kajak? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 Did you interview Dustin Struble? Q. 23 Α. I have already answered that question, 24 sir. 25 I'm sorry. Now, in the course of Q.

interviewing those individuals, I take it that occurred over a period of time?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And would it be fair to say that a number of those individuals were interviewed after a newspaper article appeared in the Harrisburg Patriot News on March 31, 2011?
- A. I can't answer that. I'm not aware of that article.
- Q. If there were reports that you had written indicating that your first interviews occurred after that date, after that article appeared, I take it you wouldn't dispute that?
  - A. No, I would not.
- Q. When you interviewed these individuals that you have told us about, do you recall whether or not you ever suggested to them or told them that there were other accusers, other young men who had alleged that Jerry Sandusky had abused them?
- A. Not that I can recall. If you look at the time frame of which they were interviewed, you are talking about a substantial amount of time. So, I can't give you a definite answer of -- not that I recall.

1	Q. Well, let me ask it another way. Would
2	you agree that if I'll ask a different
3	question first. In many of these interviews
4	would it be fair to say that the individual you
5	interviewed, the potential future accuser,
6	indicated that nothing or something minimal
7	occurred between him and Jerry Sandusky and then
8	later added more to it?
9	MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, I would
10	object. This is direct examination. I'm not
11	sure that's a proper question.
12	THE COURT: Well, it's not artfully
13	phrased but go ahead and maybe rephrase it. I
14	think it's I understand what you are getting
15	at. It's a proper subject.
16	MR. AMENDOLA: Yes.
17	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
18	Q. Did you ever in the course of
19	interviewing these accusers who later led to
20	charges; did, in your initial interview, any of
21	them say to you that anything happened or
22	something minimal happened and then later add
23	things to it?
24	A. Yes.

Q. During the course of that transition

from nothing happened or maybe some things
happened minimally but then they became more
serious, did you ever suggest to them that you
think more serious things occurred but that
they're just not telling you and there are other
people out there who are saying the same things,
that more serious things occurred?

A. There were several parts to that question that you just asked me.

Did I ever think that more occurred than what they told me? Absolutely.

- Q. Did you ever convey that to them when they weren't telling you what you might have thought occurred more seriously?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Did it occur to you when you were doing that that you might be tainting the investigation?
  - A. No.
- Q. That you might be suggesting to these accusers or potential accusers that more things happened that didn't?
- A. No.
- Q. Do you recall specifically your interviews with Brett Swisher Houtz? Do you

1	recall those interviews?
2	A. I would have to refer to my report.
3	Q. If I told you there was a lengthy
4	interview on April 21, 2011 in which you were
5	present with Corporal Leiter, would that help you
6	recall that that was one of your interviews?
7	A. I remember interviewing him. If that's
8	indeed the correct date, then, yes.
9	Q. And would you agree that that interview
10	was rather lengthy?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And who else was present during that
13	interview?
14	A. Mr. Swisher's attorney, Mr. Andreozzi, I
15	apologize if I pronounced that incorrectly.
16	Q. Now, in your experience as state
17	trooper, Corporal Rossman, is it typical for a
18	witness's attorney to be present during
19	interviews of that nature?
20	A. It has occurred before.
21	Q. But would you agree that that's unusual?
22	A. I would say that it doesn't occur a lot.
23	I don't know if it's unusual.
24	Q. So this was an exceptional situation
25	where Mr. Andreozzi was present?

1	MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, objection
2	once again. It's just leading, questions on the
3	direct of the witness.
4	MR. AMENDOLA: Well, Your Honor, he's a
5	Commonwealth witness. That's part of the
6	problem.
7	THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
8	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
9	Q. Would you agree it was an exceptional
10	situation for Mr. Andreozzi, Mr. Swisher's
11	attorney, to be present?
12	A. I can't say what Mr. Andreozzi was
13	thinking at the time. There was a request made
14	for Mr. Andreozzi to be present and we couldn't
15	deny that request.
16	Q. Do you recall let me ask it a
17	different way. Prior to prior to you or to
18	your knowledge any other trooper involved in this
19	investigation talking with Mr. Swisher Houtz, did
20	he obtain private counsel, Mr. Andreozzi? Did he
21	have private counsel before he spoke with you?
22	A. The private counsel came along with him.
23	Q. That was Mr. Andreozzi?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Was April 21, 2011 the first time you

1 spoke with Mr. Swisher Houtz? 2 The first time that I had spoke with 3 him, yes. 4 To your knowledge had he spoken with 5 anyone else? 6 I believe at one point Mr. -- excuse 7 me -- Corporal Leiter had went to his residence. 8 Do you recall that there was a break in 9 the interview where Mr. Swisher Houtz went out I 10 think to have a cigarette or get a soda or said 11 he was hungry? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Did you stay in the interview room with 14 his attorney or did Corporal Leiter stay in the 15 interview room with his attorney? 16 I'm not sure. We might have both left. Α. 17 One of us might have came back. I'm not sure. 18 Well, assuming for the moment that there 19 was one of you in there, you're not sure whether 20 it was you or Corporal Leiter? 21 I'm not going to assume that because I 22 can't recall if it was myself or either of us. 23 Q. To your recollection was there a 24 recording made of that interview? 25 I believe there was. Α.

1	Q. And if you listened to the recording,
2	would you be able to tell whose voice was whose
3	at the interview?
4	A. Probably.
5	Q. Thank you.
6	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have, Your
7	Honor.
8	MR. McGETTIGAN: May I, Your Honor?
9	Thank you.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
12	Q. Corporal, how many young men did you
13	interview all together approximately in the
14	course of this investigation?
15	A. I would say 40 to 50 individuals.
16	Q. Okay. And some or most of them more
17	than once?
18	A. Most of them usually only once.
19	Q. And did you at any time ever tell anyone
20	what to say?
21	A. No, at no point.
22	Q. Did you ever I mean, did you
23	manufacture events for them to relate to you?
24	A. Absolutely not.
25	O. Encourage them to say any particular

1	thing involving the defendant or anyone else?
2	A. No. We were just trying to seek the
3	truth out of the individual.
4	Q. Okay. I understand that sometimes this
5	person you spoke with, the individual, were
6	reluctant to speak to you about what had happened
7	to them?
8	A. Yes. It's a very uncomfortable subject
9	for any victim to come in and relate that
10	something horrific has happened to them.
11	Q. Did some of them show such reluctance
12	you thought they merited a second interview?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And were some more forthcoming after
15	they felt more comfortable talking to you?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. You were asking these young men
18	about sexual abuse early in their childhood?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Did some of them cry when you talked to
21	them?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Did some of them just say I'm not going
24	to talk to you at all about any of this stuff?
25	A. Yes, some became very defensive.

1	Q. And just said I don't want to talk about
2	it?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Essentially was your interview process
5	going in and say I would like to ask you a few
6	things about your involvement in The Second Mile.
7	Is that pretty much the way you began, The Second
8	Mile and/or Mr. Sandusky?
9	A. Association, yes.
10	Q. And as soon as you said Second Mile with
11	Jerry Sandusky, some of them just shut up?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Thank you very much.
14	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing further,
15	Your Honor.
16	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, just one more
17	question.
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
20	Q. Corporal Rossman, did you ever interview
21	an individual by the name David Hilton?
22	A. I would have to refer to the report.
23	Q. So off the top of your head, you don't
24	recall?
25	A. I don't believe I did that interview.

1	The name is familiar. I can't say 100 percent
2	sure without looking at the report. But I don't
3	believe that I did that one.
4	Q. Thank you.
5	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, that's all I
6	have at this time. But may I ask that the
7	witness remain the remain available because we
8	may need to recall him after we have a couple
9	more witnesses.
LO	THE COURT: Very well. You'll keep him
11	available?
L2	MR. McGETTIGAN: Certainly, Your Honor.
L3	THE COURT: Thank you.
L 4	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you.
L 5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
L 6	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, we have a
L 7	couple more character witnesses maybe we can
L8	intersperse.
L 9	And then our next would be Corporal
20	Leiter after we call a couple of character
21	witnesses.
22	MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, may we
23	summon Corporal Leiter to the courtroom while the
24	character witnesses are testifying?
2.5	THE COURT. Yes

1	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	MR. AMENDOLA: John Wetzler. Lance
3	Mehl. They may be upstairs, Judge. Is Corporal
4	Leiter here? If Corporal Leiter, we can proceed
5	with Corporal Leiter.
6	Whereupon,
7	JOSEPH LEITER
8	was called as a witness and having been duly
9	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
12	Q. Corporal Leiter, will you state your
13	full name please?
14	A. Joseph A. Leiter, L-e-i-t-e-r.
15	Q. And I understand you are retired now.
16	Congratulations.
17	A. That's correct. Thank you.
18	Q. How long were you a state trooper?
19	A. For 26 years and nine months.
20	Q. And don't have the days down. Were you
21	involved in the Jerry Sandusky investigation?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Can you tell us about when you became
24	involved? Was it at inception? Did you become
25	involved later?

1 Α. Well, I became involved with the 2 beginning whenever the state police at Rockview 3 received it as the crime unit supervisor. But I 4 didn't become more actively involved until later on, probably January of 2011. 5 6 And during the course of your 7 involvement in this investigation, did you have 8 occasion to interview a number of potential 9 witnesses? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And if you recall, can you tell us who 12 among the people who ultimately became accusers, 13 alleged victims, did you interview, if you can 14 For example, let me maybe make it simple recall? 15 for you. 16 MR. AMENDOLA: Rather than ask him to 17 give a list that he may not remember, Your Honor, 18 if I may. 19 BY MR. AMENDOLA: Did you ever interview Aaron Fisher? 20 Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Did you ever interview Mike McQueary in 0. 23 regard to the allegations involved in Accuser No. 24 2 or alleged Victim No. 2?

25 A. Yes.

1 Did you ever interview Michael -- excuse Ο. 2 me -- Brett Swisher Houtz? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Did you ever interview Michal Kajak? Q. Α. 5 Yes. 6 Did you ever interview Zach Konstas? Q. 7 Α. Yes. Did you ever interview Dustin Struble? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Did you ever interview Sabastian Paden? Q. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Now, can you tell us if you recall, and 13 you may not, but if you can, can you tell us how 14 many times you interviewed each of those individuals? 15 16 I don't believe I interviewed any of Α. 17 them more than three times. 18 More than three times? Ο. 19 Α. Correct. 20 Would it be fair to say that at least in Q. 21 some of the cases involving people you 22 interviewed, if you were the first interviewer on 23 the scene, that their recitations of what their 24 involvement was with Mr. Sandusky shifted? other words, initially they said nothing or very 25

little happened and then it kind of expanded into 1 2 something did happen? 3 In some of them, yes. 4 Were there at any time situations in Q. 5 which you interviewed potential accusers, these people that we just talked about specifically, 6 7 and explained to them that there were other 8 accusers, other people who had said that Jerry 9 Sandusky had abused them? 10 At some point in our interviews with 11 some of them, we did tell them that. 12 Now, was that an effort on your part 0. 13 trying to get them to give you more information 14 that you felt they had? 15 Α. No. 16 What was your purpose in explaining that 0. 17 to them? 18 Α. Each of these accusers was very, very 19 seriously injured and very concerned and we had 20 told them, especially prior to going to grand 21 jury, that they wouldn't be alone; that there

Q. Were you concerned at all though in telling these individuals as you interviewed them that there were other individuals who said

22

23

24

25

were others.

1 certain acts occurred, for example, oral sex 2 occurred with other people? 3 We never told them anything like that. Α. 4 The only thing we ever told them was that there 5 were others. We never told them what anyone else 6 had ever told us. 7 O. You're certain about that? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Do you recall interviewing Brett Swisher Ο. Houtz? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Do you recall how many times you have 13 interviewed him? 14 I believe once or twice. 15 Do you recall a specific interview that 0. 16 occurred on April 21, 2011 in which Brett Swisher 17 Houtz was present, Corporal Rossman was present, 18 and Ben Andreozzi, Brett Swisher Houtz's 19 attorney, was present? 20 I can't tell you the date but I remember 21 when we were --22 I'm sorry. What? Ο. 23 I don't remember the date but I can tell 24 you we were --25 I understand that. You remember that

# interview?

- A. I remember an interview, yes.
- Q. Now, prior to that interview, had you attempted to speak with Brett Swisher Houtz?
  - A. Prior to any of the interviews, yes.
- Q. Can you tell us the circumstances, if you recall, behind that first contact with him?
- A. We were trying to locate Brett. We had a difficult time locating him and whenever we did -- in fact, with most of the individuals, we would go to their residence and there wouldn't be anyone home because we went during daytime hours.

So when we located a residence or at least an address of Brett Swisher Houtz, I had gone to his residence with the intention of just leaving a card not expecting to find him there.

When I knocked on the door, he answered, and I explained to him who I was and why I was there and he had at that time told me that wasn't going to talk to me.

- Q. He didn't speak with you?
- A. No.
- Q. Did he mention to you at that time that he already had an attorney?
- A. Yes.

1 Ο. And that he wanted his attorney present 2 during any interviews? 3 No, he didn't say that he wanted him 4 present during the interviews that I recall. I 5 believe he told me he wanted to talk with his 6 attorney before he would talk with us. 7 Did you ever -- did you ever give an interview to a Bill Moushey? 8 9 Α. No. 10 And you are familiar with the book Game Ο. 11 Over? 12 Α. Yes. 13 In that book on page 73 at the bottom, 14 the bottom 3 lines, what Mr. Moushey attributes 15 is that Leiter kept private the stories from 16 others involved in The Second Mile when he 17 conducted his interviews making sure not to taint 18 the case by tipping anyone off. That statement was ever attributed to you? 19 20 Α. No. 21 But you are telling us today that you 22 did share with a number of these young men that 23 there were other young men out there? 24 Α. We did at certain points of our 25 investigation, yes.

1	Q. Would it be fair to say that you did
2	that even before all of the young men were
3	involved? For example Mr. Houtz Mr. Swisher
4	Houtz's case, did you tell him, for example, that
5	there were other nine other young men who had
6	said Jerry Sandusky did sexual acts with?
7	A. We never gave a specific number of
8	people.
9	Q. Never gave any numbers?
10	A. Not that I recall.
11	Q. Do you recall a conversation with
12	Mr. Swisher Houtz's attorney indicating that in
13	the middle of the interview during a break that
14	when Mr. Swisher Houtz came back you were going
15	to tell him there were other people involved so
16	that he could hopefully then start saying that
17	things happened to him?
18	A. I don't remember that.
19	Q. Thank you.
20	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
21	MR. McGETTIGAN: If I may, Your Honor.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
24	Q. You were asked about a list of young men
25	that you interviewed, Dustin, Brett, et cetera.

1 I have the report here. I can read you a list of 2 40, 50, 60 names of other young men you interviewed? 3 4 Α. Correct. 5 Okay. And in the early parts of this 6 investigation, it was Trooper Rossman and yourself involved, you as the crime supervisor? 7 Α. That's correct. 8 9 So you got involved in this because you 10 needed interview help. You went out to see if 11 these young men would speak with you? 12 That's correct. Α. 13 Is it true that sometimes when you went 14 out with young men, they would close the door and 15 say I don't want to talk. I have nothing to say? 16 MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, I object. 17 THE COURT: Leading. 18 MR. McGETTIGAN: It's cross-examination, 19 Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Yeah, right. He's your 21 witness. No. 22 MR. McGETTIGAN: I understand. 23 THE COURT: No. 24 MR. McGETTIGAN: I understand, Your 25 Honor.

## 1 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: Did you ever tell anyone what to say? 2 Q. 3 Α. No. 4 Did you ever suggest to any one of these 5 young men, the names that counsel read out or any 6 of the young men you interviewed, any particular 7 thing that may or may not have happened to them? 8 Α. No. 9 The suggestion by counsel is that you 10 tainted one witness with another by saying what the first one said. Did you ever do that? 11 12 Α. No. 13 Did you ever tell anyone they had to say 14 anything? 15 Α. No. 16 Did you ever tell anyone they absolutely 0. 17 had to talk with you? 18 Α. No. 19 Did you go to the grand jury where Aaron 20 Fisher testified for the first time? 21 I was there. I don't know -- I don't 22 recall if I was present in the grand jury room. 23 Did you see Aaron that day? Q. 24 Α. Yes. 25 Could you describe for the ladies and

- gentlemen of the jury Aaron Fisher's condition
  when you saw him before and after his grand jury
  testimony?
  - A. Well, let me back up. I wasn't with him. I did not go to Aaron Fisher's grand jury. I was not there.
  - Q. You did interview Aaron Fisher at some point?
  - A. At the end, yes, at the tail end of the investigation.
  - Q. Okay. And when you first spoke to -tried to speak with Brett Swisher Houtz, he
    didn't want to speak with you the first time you
    met him?
  - A. No. In fact, whenever he answered the door and he was very, very reluctant. I remember he curled up in the fetal position on the end of his couch.
  - Q. Was that after there had already been some public release of information about the investigation?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did he tell you he had a lawyer because he didn't -- the press was already hounding him?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	MR. ROMINGER: Objection. Leading.
2	THE COURT: Only one of you can make the
3	objection but the objection is proper and it's
4	sustained and the answer is stricken.
5	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	MR. AMENDOLA: If I may, Your Honor, may
7	I approach?
8	Are you done? I'm sorry. I thought
9	Mr. McGettigan was done.
LO	MR. McGETTIGAN: One or two more,
11	Mr. Amendola.
L2	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
L3	Q. Did you ever ask anyone to tell you that
L 4	any particular sex act occurred by anybody, from
L5	anybody to anybody?
L 6	A. No.
L 7	Q. Did you know the defendant, Jerry
L 8	Sandusky, at the beginning of this investigation?
L 9	A. I knew him only because he's a prominent
20	figure in the area.
21	Q. Had you ever met him personally?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Okay. Did you care who anybody named as
24	their victimizer or just that they were
25	victimized and you wanted to find out what had

1 happened? 2 Α. Yes. 3 MR. AMENDOLA: Objection. 4 MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you. I have 5 nothing further. I withdraw the question. I'm 6 sorry, Your Honor. 7 MR. AMENDOLA: Objection. THE COURT: Approach now or --8 9 MR. AMENDOLA: Yes. 10 (Whereupon, the following discussion was 11 held at sidebar:) 12 MR. FINA: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I'm 13 just a little -- the defense called these 14 individuals as their witness. I'm not sure why 15 we don't have -- and they led extensively through 16 the direct examination -- why the Commonwealth 17 The fact that it's a cannot lead on cross. 18 police officer doesn't under the rules make, I'm sorry to be --19 20 THE COURT: Every cross-examination you 21 have done in direct examination the leading 22 questions have been -- that's all I can do is not 23 jump up out of my chair and say objection. Okay. 2.4 I don't know how you could possibly say that this witness is not your witness. 25

```
1
               MR. McGETTIGAN: He's called.
 2
                THE COURT: He's called -- well the
 3
      ruling stands.
 4
               MR. FINA: I'm sorry, Judge.
 5
               MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, we have a
 6
      tape that the Commonwealth provided us which we
 7
      have to set up to play and I would like to play
 8
      it and have Corporal Leiter identify it if he
 9
            This might be a good time for a break for
10
      us to set that up rather than have the jury waste
11
      time.
12
                THE COURT: No, set it up during the
13
      normal break. Okay. So we'll have to call him
14
      back.
15
               MR. AMENDOLA: Call him back.
16
                THE COURT: We're going to keep going
17
      with the next witness.
                               Okav.
18
                (End of sidebar discussion.)
19
               MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, if I may
20
      approach the witness?
21
                THE COURT: Yes. Redirect.
                                             I'm sorry.
22
               MR. McGETTIGAN:
                                 Is this witness
23
      excused?
24
                            I think he was going to do
                THE COURT:
25
      redirect.
```

1 MR. AMENDOLA: I was going to ask him about certain segments that were taken from the 3 tape.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

#### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. AMENDOLA:

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Corporal Leiter, when Brett Swisher Q. Houtz was interviewed, do you recall there was a recording made?
  - Α. Yes.
- Ο. I'm going to read part of that. We'll play the tape after we have a chance to set it up but a comment was made that purported to you, has your initial on it, Mr. Andreozzi asked you during the course that you have a witness that's conveyed and your response was we have two that have seen him. We can't find the victim but he may be in there. And then Andreozzi, the attorney, says oh you're kidding. The time frame matches up. Can we at some point in time say to him, listen, we have interviewed other kids and other kids have told us there was intercourse and they have admitted it. You know, is there anything else that you want to tell us?

Purportedly your responses was, yeah, we

do that with all the other kids. Say, listen,
this is what we found so far. You fit the same
pattern of all the other ones. This is the way
he operates and we know the progression of the
way he operates and the other kids we dealt with
have told us that this happened after this has
happened that. Did that happen to you?

Do you recall that conversation back and forth with Mr. Andreozzi?

- A. I don't recall it but if it's been recorded, it's there.
- Q. Do you recall after -- do you recall there was a break in the interview where Mr. Brett Swisher Houtz went out and had a cigarette and got a soda or something?
  - A. Um-hum.

2.4

- Q. You recall that?
  - A. Yes, I do remember he had to leave.
- Q. Do you recall the following comment made by you when Mr. Swisher Houtz came back: Before we start again, I just want to let you know, you are not the first victim we have spoken to. We interviewed probably I'm going to say nine.

And then Mr. Swisher Houtz said to you,

I know you told me before about this is basically

how you found me.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then according to the tape you responded by saying: We interviewed about nine. Again, I called them kids. I apologize. Nine adults we have interviewed and you're doing very It is amazing if this was a book, you would have been repeating word for word pretty much what a lot of people have already told us. It is very similar. A lot of things you have told us is very similar to what we have heard from the others and we know from listening to these other young adults talk to us and tell us what has taken place that there is a pretty well-defined progression in the way that he operated and still operates I guess to some degree and that often times this progression, especially when it goes on for an extended period of time, leads to more than just touching and feeling. That's been actual oral sex that has taken place by both parties and there's -- we unfortunately found that there's been -classifies as a rape has occurred and I don't want you to feel that again. As Trooper Rossman said, I don't want you to feel ashamed because you're a victim in this whole thing.

when I -- when we first started, we talked and we needed to get details of what took place. So these type of things happened. We need you to tell us this is what happened. Again, we are not going to look at you any differently other than the fact that you are a victim of this crime, and it is going to be taken care of accordingly. But we need you to tell us as graphically as you can what took place as we get through this whole procedure. I just want you to understand that you are not alone in this. By no means are you alone in this.

Do you recall telling him that?

- A. That sounds accurate, yes.
- Q. Do you recall in your earlier testimony you said you never told one victim that another engaged in oral sex with Mr. Sandusky, you didn't go into details?
- A. We didn't go into details. Again, it's similar. We told him there were some similar things and we also interviewed 50, 60 people.
- Q. Would you agree in this statement that you are basically now admitting that you participated in that you are telling him there

1	are nine other people that said Mr. Sandusky did
2	these things?
3	A. In that statement, yes, I did say that.
4	Q. Do you also agree that you are telling
5	him they engaged in oral sex?
6	A. If that statement is correct, yes.
7	Q. We'll play the tape as soon as we have a
8	break and have a chance to set it up. Thank you.
9	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
10	THE COURT: Recross?
11	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing, Your
12	Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
13	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, may we keep
14	this witness available?
15	THE COURT: Yes. You may step down.
16	MR. AMENDOLA: Lance Mehl I believe is
17	here.
18	Whereupon,
19	LANCE MEHL
20	was called as a witness and having been duly
21	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
24	Q. Will you state your full name please?
25	A. Lance Mehl.

Will you spell your last? 1 Ο. 2 Α. M-e-h-l.3 And where do you reside? Ο. St. Clairsville, Ohio. 4 Α. 5 And can you give us a little bit about 6 your background? Where did you go to college? 7 Α. I went to Penn State. 8 Did you participate in any sports? Ο. 9 Α. Football. 10 What position did you play? Q. 11 Α. At Penn State I was a defensive end, 12 nose quard and linebacker. 13 Those were the days they had you playing 14 a lot of different ways? 15 Α. That's correct, yes. 16 When did you play football for Penn Q. 17 State? 18 1976 through 1979. Α. 19 And after you graduated from Penn State, Q. 20 what did you do? 21 I was drafted by the New York Jets. 22 Played for New York for eight years. 23 What position did you play for the Jets? Q. 24 Α. Linebacker. Did you retire from pro football after 25 Q.

1	the eight years or did you go somewhere else?
2	A. I retired.
3	Q. Are you employed currently? Do you have
4	a profession?
5	A. Yes, I'm a probation officer for Belmont
6	County Juvenile Court in Ohio.
7	Q. How long have you been a probation
8	officer?
9	A. A little over 15 years.
10	Q. Do you know Jerry Sandusky?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. How long have you known Jerry Sandusky?
13	A. I think I first met him in 1975.
14	Q. Have you stayed in contact with him over
15	the years?
16	A. Pretty much through the golf tournament,
17	yes.
18	Q. And do you know other individuals who
19	know Jerry Sandusky?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Did you know those individuals from the
22	time you first met him as, I guess, a Penn State
23	football player up until the time that charges
24	were filed in this matter last November?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. Did you ever hear those individuals whom
2	you know who know Jerry Sandusky speak about his
3	reputation for being peaceful, honest, truthful,
4	law abiding, and nonviolent?
5	A. All the time.
6	Q. And what is that reputation?
7	A. Very good. We all looked up to him. He
8	was a class act.
9	Q. Thank you.
LO	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
11	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing, Your
L2	Honor.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. You can step
L 4	down.
L5	Whereupon,
L 6	JOHN WETZLER
L 7	was called as a witness and having been duly
L 8	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
L 9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
21	Q. Will you state your full name please?
22	A. John Louis Wetzler.
23	Q. And where do you reside, Mr. Wetzler?
24	A. 1026 Airport Road, Bellefonte,
25	Pennsylvania.

1 Ο. Are you employed? 2 Α. I'm retired from the Bellefonte Area 3 School District. 4 What did you do in Bellefonte Area School District? 5 6 I was a social studies teacher for 11 7 years and a quidance counselor for 25 years. 8 Ο. And do you know Mr. Sandusky? 9 Α. T did. 10 How long have you known Mr. Sandusky? Q. 11 Α. I would say somewhere between 25 and 30 12 years. 13 In what capacity, how did you know 0. 14 Mr. Sandusky during that time? 15 Α. I was also a football coach and I would 16 go to Penn State for clinics and also for the 17 Penn State camps during the summer. 18 Now, during that time frame, did you 19 know other people who knew Mr. Sandusky? 20 I would think a lot of people knew Α. 21 Mr. Sandusky. 22 During the course of your association 23 with Mr. Sandusky over those years and, of 24 course, prior to the charges being filed last 25 November, did you have occasion to hear those

1	individuals talk about Mr. Sandusky's reputation
2	for being truthful, honest, peaceful, law
3	abiding, nonviolent?
4	A. Yes. I never heard anyone have any
5	negative things to say about Coach Sandusky.
6	Q. So what would you say his reputation was
7	for those characteristics?
8	A. I would say it's very positive, very
9	strong, and people supported him in the things
10	that he was doing.
11	Q. As part of your football coaching, did
12	you have occasion to stay in dormitories when you
13	went to clinics at Penn State?
14	A. For the camps, yes. The clinics, no.
15	Q. Was Mr. Sandusky in the dorms with you
16	during those times ever or
17	A. Most of the time I was in his dorm and
18	many times on his floor.
19	Q. Thank you.
20	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
23	Q. When's the last time you had a
24	conversation with the defendant?
25	A. Conversation with the defendant?

1 Yeah? Ο. 2 Α. I would say probably when the charges 3 were first filed. 4 Q. Did you call him or did he call you? I called him. 5 6 You called him to tell him you would 7 support him? 8 I would support him, yes, based upon what I know of him. 9 10 You have no personal knowledge of the 11 events of which he's accused of, do you? 12 Α. No. 13 Do you know any of the persons that were 14 victims of this defendant? 15 Α. Not that I'm aware of. 16 Have you ever asked him about any of Ο. 17 these things? 18 Α. No. 19 Q. Just kind of took it on faith, hey, it's 20 Jerry Sandusky, couldn't have done anything 21 wrong, is that pretty much the attitude? 22 My opinion was based upon what I No. 23 saw from him in working with him at those camps 24 and those clinics. 25 You never been in his basement?

1	A. Once.
2	Q. Were kids there?
3	A. His children and my wife and some Penn
4	State football players.
5	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing further,
6	Your Honor.
7	MR. AMENDOLA: Thank you. I have
8	nothing further, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. You may step
LO	down.
11	Kelly Simco. I believe she's in the
L2	courtroom.
13	Whereupon,
L 4	KELLY SIMCO
L5	was called as a witness and having been duly
L 6	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
L 7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
L 8	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
L 9	Q. Will you please state your full name?
20	A. Kelly Jo Simco.
21	Q. Will you spell your last name just so we
22	have it on the record?
23	A. S-i-m-c-o.
24	Q. And where do you reside?
25	A. Penns Valley, Spring Mills.

1 Do you know Jerry Sandusky? Ο. 2 Α. Very well. 3 How long have you known Mr. Sandusky? 0. 4 Α. I had to figure out how old I was a 5 little bit ago. So 20 years. 6 How old are you today? Q. 7 Α. Twenty-eight. 8 So when you were eight years old? Q. 9 Yes. Α. And how did you first meet Mr. Sandusky? 10 Q. 11 Α. At The Second Mile. 12 Did there come a time when other than 0. 13 seeing him at The Second Mile you saw him on a 14 more personal basis? 15 Α. Not until I was much older. 16 How long were you in The Second Mile? 0. 17 I aged out at the age of 16 during the Α. 18 smile camp. 19 Ο. Did you go to college? 20 I did. Thanks to Jerry half my tuition Α. 21 was paid. 22 How was that by way of -- what process? Ο. 23 Two different things. The first was --Α. 24 MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection. 25 THE COURT: Sustained.

### BY MR. AMENDOLA: 1 2 Do you -- in the course of your 3 relationship with Jerry Sandusky, have you known 4 other individuals who know Jerry? 5 Α. Yes, many. And between the time that you first met 6 Q. 7 Mr. Sandusky when you were eight up until when 8 these charges were filed last November, did you 9 ever hear those other individuals talk about 10 Mr. Sandusky's reputation for being truthful, 11 honest, peaceful, law abiding, nonviolent? 12 Α. Yes, even through yesterday. 13 What is that reputation? 0. 14 Amazing. There's none better. Α. 15 Q. Thank you. That's all I have. 16 Α. Thank you. 17 MR. AMENDOLA: Wait, wait, wait. Thev 18 may have questions. You don't get off that easy. 19 THE WITNESS: I tried. 20 MR. McGETTIGAN: Actually you do get off 21 that easy. Thank you. 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 23 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we'll 24 take our mid-morning recess now. 25 We'll remain seated while the jury is

taken out. We will try to get started right on 1 2 time in 20 minutes. 3 We will remain seated while the jury is 4 taken out. (Whereupon, the jury was escorted from 5 the courtroom.) 6 7 THE COURT: Counsel, if you need to see 8 me, let's do it before we come back. We'll be in recess until 10:45. 9 10 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) 11 THE COURT: You may be seated. 12 Bring the jury in. 13 (Whereupon, the jury was escorted into 14 the courtroom.) 15 MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, we understand 16 that Mr. Andreozzi, an attorney, is in the 17 courtroom and we have no objection to that for 18 the purpose of what we're about to do, even 19 though there's a sequestration order, unless the 20 Commonwealth has an objection. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. AMENDOLA: With that, Your Honor, 23 Mr. Rominger is going to play the tape that we 24 would like to present to the jury. 25 THE COURT: Are you going to identify

1	what this is?
2	MR. ROMINGER: This is a taped interview
3	that took place between Mr. Leiter,
4	Mr. Andreozzi, and Accuser No. 4, Mr. Swisher.
5	It took place on or about 4/21/2011. I believe
6	it's the testimony that was read by Mr. Amendola
7	but it is the actual tape, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Go ahead.
9	(Whereupon, an audio tape was played.)
10	MR. McGETTIGAN: May we see you for a
11	second briefly?
12	(Whereupon, the following discussion was
13	held at sidebar:)
14	MR. McGETTIGAN: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
15	The only problem with that bit of
16	evidence is there was no one to authentic it and
17	I would like to talk to Mr. Amendola now and
18	announce who the parties were. I made a note.
19	MR. AMENDOLA: We're going to call Ben
20	Andreozzi, Your Honor.
21	MR. ROMINGER: Right now.
22	THE COURT: Right now?
23	MR. AMENDOLA: Right now. That's why I
24	had him stay in the courtroom, Judge. I'm
25	calling him now.

1	THE COURT: He's going to identify the
2	voices?
3	MR. McGETTIGAN: Okay. Fine.
4	MR. AMENDOLA: Yes.
5	(End of sidebar discussion.)
6	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, our next
7	witness is Ben Andreozzi.
8	Whereupon,
9	BENJAMIN ANDREOZZI
10	was called as a witness and having been duly
11	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
12	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, before I give
13	my testimony, I would assert that I think certain
14	information may be privileged; that I may ask
15	that the Court consider that privilege before
16	answering a question.
17	THE COURT: You can assert it based on
18	the question asked.
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
21	Q. Mr. Andreozzi, will you please state
22	your full name?
23	A. Benjamin Andreozzi.
24	Q. And you are an attorney, correct?
25	A. That's correct.

1 Where are your law offices located? Ο. 2 Α. It's in Harrisburg. 3 And what do you specialize in? 0. I represent victims of crime in civil 4 Α. 5 cases. 6 Do you advertise that you represent 7 individuals who were sexual assault and molestation victims? 8 9 That's correct. Α. That's one of your headliner 10 11 advertisements, isn't it? 12 I don't know what the headliner Α. 13 advertising are but those are the types of folks 14 that I represent, victims of crime in civil 15 cases. 16 When did you first begin representation Ο. 17 of Brett Swisher Houtz? 18 Α. I believe it was in April. 19 Q. Of 2011? 20 That sounds accurate. 2010 or 2011. Α. 21 You heard the tape that was just played? Q. 22 I did. Α. 23 Can you tell us if your voice is on that Q. 24 tape? Well, it was. Let me just clarify that. 25 Α.

- I didn't hear everything that was said but that
  certainly was my voice, and I do recall that
  meeting.
  - Q. Do you recall -- do you recall attending an interview session with Corporal Joe Leiter and Corporal Rossman and Brett Swisher Houtz on April 21, 2011?
    - A. I do.

- Q. And where did that interview take place?
- A. I think it was at the state police barracks, if I'm not mistaken.
- Q. To your knowledge, prior to that day -I'm not asking what was said, but to your
  knowledge prior to that interview, had Brett
  Swisher Houtz made any sort of statements
  regarding Jerry Sandusky to the police?
- A. My recollection is that there may have been a brief discussion with Corporal Leiter. I believe Corporal Leiter showed up at his house. Brett had some major concerns about speaking with the police. He did not feel comfortable speaking with the police. My recollection is that I got a phone call.
  - O. From Brett or from his dad?
  - A. I believe it was from his father.

1 That was based --Ο. 2 Α. I know it was from his father. 3 Do you know how did the father get to Ο. 4 you? Did you know the father? 5 I have no idea how the father got to me. 6 Do you think it had anything to do with 7 your advertising for sexual abuse victims? It could be the fact that I represent 8 Α. 9 victims of crime in civil cases. 10 Now, I know you probably had difficulty 11 hearing that tape. Parts of it were loud. 12 of it weren't. But I'm going to ask you some 13 questions but I am going to predicate that by 14 saying during the interview segments that you 15 heard, your client was not present; would you 16 agree with that? 17 I would agree with that. It sounded 18 like maybe 95 percent of the time he was not in 19 the room. 20 Do you recall who was in the room with 21 you when the conversation that was just played 22 occurred? 23 Α. I don't recall but I'm pretty sure it 24 was Corporal Leiter and Trooper Rossman.

MR. AMENDOLA:

Your Honor, if I may

1 approach, I'm going to read some segments from 2 the interview which Mr. Andreozzi may not have 3 heard. 4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 BY MR. AMENDOLA: 6 Q. Did you hear the part of the tape on 7 page 39 where Corporal Rossman says: The time is now 12:21. We're going to put this recording on 8 9 hold, and we'll reserve a few minutes. 10 Did you hear that part of the tape? 11 Α. I don't remember specifically. I'm sure 12 he said that, yes. 13 And would it be fair to say your 14 understanding was at that point the tape is 15 turned off? 16 Α. I don't recall. 17 You have no recollection? Ο. 18 Logically it makes sense that if he made Α. 19 that the tape would be stopped. So I would agree 20 with you. 21 O. So the conversation that occurred after 22 that, as far as you recall, would have been made 23 with you and Corporal Leiter thinking the tape 24 was off?

That I can't say. I will tell you that

25

Α.

1 certainly was my voice and did have a discussion. To your recollection was Brett Swisher 2 3 Houtz in the room when the conversation you heard 4 on the tape was played? I remember Brett leaving the room. 5 So 6 I'm going to assume he was not in the room, 7 correct. Q. Do you remember saying this following 8 9 segment on page 41 to Trooper Leiter during the 10 segment that was played: Oh, you're kidding. 11 The time frame matches up? That was a question. 12 Can we at some point in time say to him, listen, 13 we have interviewed other kids and other kids 14 have told us that there was intercourse and that 15 they have admitted it. You know, is there 16 anything else that you want to tell us? 17 Do you recall saying that to Corporal Leiter? 18 19 Α. I remember having a discussion with 20 Corporal Leiter and I remember telling him that 21 Brett was having an extremely difficult time 22 opening up because he felt so uncomfortable. 23 This was the first time --24 THE COURT: Wait just a second. The

question was a yes, no answer.

1 THE WITNESS: Okay. Could you repeat 2 the question for me? 3 BY MR. AMENDOLA: Here's the question according to the 4 Q. 5 tape and according to the transcript from the 6 tape that you asked Corporal Leiter? 7 Α. Okay. Oh, you're kidding. The time frame 8 9 matches up? Can we at some point in time say to 10 him, listen, we have interviewed other kids and 11 other kids have told us that there was 12 intercourse and that they have admitted it. You 13 know, is there anything else that you want to 14 tell us? 15 That's what the tape says you said to 16 Corporal Leiter. 17 Α. The answer to that would be yes. 18 Ο. You recall that? 19 Α. I don't recall that but I believe that 20 it was said. So I'm not debating that I said 21 that. That was my voice, yes. 22 Would it be fair to say, not going into 23 what he said to you but up until that time that 2.4 Brett Swisher Houtz had not told you about oral 25 intercourse had happened?

- A. No, he had told me that something else happened and he wasn't comfortable talking about it.
  - Q. I understand. But he hasn't told you specifics about oral sex or things like that?
    - A. You're right.

Q. Then Corporal Leiter answers in response to your come back: Yep, we do that with all the other kids. Say, listen, this is what we found so far. You fit the same pattern of all the other ones. This is the way he operates and we go to the progression of the way he operates and the other kids we dealt have told us that this has happened after this happened. Did that happen to you?

Do you recall him more or less answering that way?

- A. I'll be honest, I don't recall the specifics of the discussion that I had. But I would not -- I'm not trying to argue that that discussion didn't happen, particularly if you got the transcript in front of you.
  - Q. Did you hear it on the tape?
  - A. I did, yes.
    - Q. Would you dispute the tape?

1 Α. No, I certainly would not. 2 Q. And then on page 43? 3 MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, I object. 4 We just heard the words. He's authenticated the words and now counsel is --it's kind of 5 6 repetitive and it is basically asked and 7 answered. 8 THE COURT: I think you asked at the sidebar that he authentic his voice and the 9 10 things he said. 11 MR. McGETTIGAN: He has, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: I believe that's what 13 Mr. Amendola is doing. 14 MR. McGETTIGAN: I believe he has 15 identified --16 THE WITNESS: I'm not disputing any of 17 this was said. 18 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead, 19 Mr. Amendola. 20 BY MR. AMENDOLA: 21 Do you recall on page 43 Corporal Leiter 0. 22 saying: How did he, meaning your client, how did 23 he get ahold of you? The answer, according to 24 the tape and the transcript, his dad found it on 25 my internet side probably.

1 Do you recall Corporal Leiter asking you 2 that and you telling him that's how it happened? 3 Again, I don't remember, you know, him 4 asking me that but I certainly believe that that I have 5 was said because I heard it on the tape. 6 no reason to dispute that, correct. 7 You wouldn't dispute that? Ο. T would not. 8 Α. 9 On page 44, Corporal Leiter says to 10 Brett Swisher Houtz, who's now back in the room: 11 Before we start again, I just want to let you 12 know you are not the first victim we have spoken 13 to. We have interviewed probably, I'm going to 14 say nine. 15 Do you recall more or less that statement being made on the tape? 16 17 Α. On the tape, yes, I do. Yes, I do. 18 Would you dispute that? 0. 19 Α. No, I would not, nope. 20 Q. And then Corporal Leiter going on and 21

explaining to your client: We interviewed about nine. Again I call them kids. I apologize.

Nine adults we have interviewed and you are doing very well. It is amazing if this was a book, you would have been repeating word for word pretty

22

23

24

much what a lot of people have already told us. It is very similar. A lot of things you have told us is very similar to what we have heard from the others and we know from listening to these other young adults talk to us and tell us what has taken place, that there is a pretty well-defined progression in the way he operated and still operates I guess to some degree and that the often times this progression, especially when it goes on for an extended period of time, leads to more than just the touching and the feeling. That's been actual oral sex that has taken place by both parties and there's -- we unfortunately have found that there's been -classifies as a rape has occurred and I don't want to you feel that again. As Trooper Rossman said, I don't want you to feel ashamed because you are a victim in this whole thing. happened happened. He took advantage of you but when I -- when we first started we talked, we needed to get details of what took place so these types of things happened. We need you to tell us this is what happened. Again, we are not going to look at you any differently other than the fact that you are a victim of this crime and it

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is going to be taken care of accordingly. But we need you to tell us as graphically as you can what took place as we get through this whole procedure. I just want you to understand that you are not alone in this. By no means are you alone in this.

I know you don't remember that word for word but did you hear that on the tape?

- A. I did hear that on the tape, yes.
- Q. Would you dispute that?
- A. Wuld I dispute what?
- Q. What you heard on the tape?
- A. No, I heard it on the tape.
- Q. That was Corporal Leiter?

- A. It sounded like Corporal Leiter, correct. I have no reason to dispute anything that's on the tape that was said.
- Q. Now, on page 45 at the top, this is after that last statement was made. Okay. We're going to restart the recording. It's now 12:37 on 4/21/2011 and again we're going to continue to record it. That you gave your consent to the continuing of the recording. Do you recall hearing that on the tape?
  - A. I did hear that on the tape, yes.

1	Q. Would you agree that what that means is
2	at that point you and Corporal Leiter thought the
3	tape was being turned back on?
4	A. I don't remember but thinking about it
5	now, yes, that would be my perception that when
6	he says that the tape would come back on.
7	Q. Would you agree that everything that
8	occurred when the tape was stopped and the tape
9	was turned back on as far as you and Corporal
10	Leiter knew it was not tape recorded?
11	A. Looking back on it, yes, I would agree
12	with you.
13	Q. Now, you are a plaintiff's attorney,
14	correct?
15	A. Yes, we have other areas of practice as
16	well.
17	Q. I am going to ask you what kind of
18	agreement you have with Mr. Brett Swisher Houtz.
19	Do you have some sort of fee agreement?
20	A. Yes, we do. I call a representation
21	agreement, correct.
22	Q. Without asking what it is, is that
23	contingency fee agreement?
24	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I think we're
2.5	getting to the issue of privilege.

## BY MR. AMENDOLA:

- Q. I'm not asking what the contents is?
- A. I don't feel comfortable disclosing the specifics of the agreement. I'm not going to dispute that --

THE COURT: I will sustain that. I will honor his privilege not to answer that question.

THE WITNESS: I'm not debating there is a written agreement that I have signed that I would represent him. That's in the course of a number of different things, including dealing with the media, included getting him counseling.

## 13 BY MR. AMENDOLA:

- Q. Let me ask you a question that will hopefully be okay. Would a verdict of guilty in this case favorably impact your client and you in a civil suit?
- A. I think you are asking me to speculate.

  I don't feel comfortable speculating. That would require that my client give me consent to actually file a civil suit. We haven't even discussed the filing of a civil claim and potential defendants in the case. So what you are asking me would be five steps down the line.

  We've never had discussions about who we could

- sue. We've never had discussions about, you know, what he could expect out of the case. You are asking me to speculate on that; I don't feel comfortable doing.
  - Q. Have you been paid any fees to date by Mr. Swisher Houtz?
    - A. No, I have not.

- Q. Do you anticipate billing him for all the time you have been in this courtroom?
- A. No, I do not but that's not insistent with my practice. In my practice I represent victims of crime often times not getting paid. I accompany them to different criminal proceedings and often times I don't get paid.
- Q. Isn't your practice you get paid a percentage of what the judgment is if you sue?
  - A. In certain cases, yes, that is correct.
- Q. You just haven't gotten that far yet with this case?
- A. Again, you are asking me to speculate.

  I'm not going to speculate but this is potential

  -- I'm not going dishonest. There is a potential
  that there could be a civil case, correct.
- Q. Just so I'm clear about this, you are saying that a verdict of guilty in this case

1	would not have any sort of impact on whatever you
2	might do five steps down the road for Mr. Swisher
3	Houtz?
4	A. Honestly, and I'm taking my hat off as
5	being an advocate for this client.
6	Q. I'm not asking you that
7	A. If you're asking me just to be
8	MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, may he
9	finish his answer?
10	THE COURT: No. We're going to one
11	person is going to speak at a time, and I'll ask
12	that you answer the question asked.
13	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat that
14	question for me?
15	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
16	Q. The question is, is your testimony today
17	that a verdict of guilty in this case would not
18	have any impact on anything you might do five
19	steps down the road for you or for Mr. Swisher
20	Houtz financially?
21	A. It could have impact, yes, it could.
22	Q. Thank you.
23	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
24	MR. McGETTIGAN: May I, Your Honor?
25	THE COURT: Cross.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
3	Q. First of all, I would like to play the
4	continuation of the tape after Mr. Houtz came
5	back into the room when Corporal Leiter, Trooper
6	Rossman, and Attorney Andreozzi were present.
7	MR. McGETTIGAN: Under the doctrine of
8	completeness, Your Honor, can we pick up where we
9	left off, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you.
12	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
13	Q. Mr. Andreozzi if you remain there for
14	a second I'm going to ask you to identify your
15	voice on this tape?
16	A. Sure.
17	Q. Or your client's and who you recognize.
18	(Whereupon, an audio tape was played.)
19	MR. ROMINGER: I would like
20	THE COURT: Do you want to shut it off
21	for a second?
22	(Whereupon, the audio tape was stopped.)
23	THE COURT: Your objection?
24	MR. ROMINGER: May we approach?
25	THE COURT: No.

1	MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, I believe
2	that the limited purpose that we played the tape
3	was to cross-examine the police officer and show
4	that what he told the jury on direct might not
5	necessarily be accurate. That said, the limited
6	purpose was that I don't believe that the offer
7	of prior inconsistent statement of the accuser is
8	probative at this point.
9	MR. FINA: Your Honor, may I?
LO	THE COURT: Sure.
11	MR. FINA: Your Honor, the whole purpose
L2	of the impeachment notion here is that somehow
L3	they affected the testimony. If under the
L 4	doctrine of completeness, which is rule 106, the
L 5	entire tape is played, that assertion may well
L 6	not hold up.
L 7	MR. ROMINGER: We should play it from
L 8	the beginning then.
L 9	MR. FINA: I'm trying not to be too
20	explicit, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: How much more of the
22	interview are you going to play?
23	MR. FINA: Well, I think under rule 106
24	it's almost four hours long if we play the whole
25	thing but we're not proposing to do that at this

time. We're just going to play some of it to give the favor of once it starts again what was going on, what was being discussed.

THE COURT: The issue is whether or not the seeds were planted by the officer. If you have something that rebuts that, I'll let you play it.

We're not going to repeat the story that we already heard from the witness on the stand.

MR. FINA: Well, Your Honor, some of it does. Some of that does happen but, of course, the notion -- if the tape continues on without any opportunity for anybody to have spoken to Mr. Houtz about the conversation that occurred when he wasn't present between Mr. Andreozzi and Corporal Leiter, and he continues on with his story, then that directly rebuts the notion that somehow that conversation affected him.

of the tape, as I understand, was to rebut the officer's statement that they did not say that they talked to other victims or what the other alleged victims had said. That was the purpose of the tape. If you want to rebut that by playing more tape, I'll let you do it but we're

```
1
      not going replay the witness's testimony.
 2
               MR. FINA: All right. Thank you, Your
 3
      Honor.
 4
               MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, may we
 5
       finish with the tape? We believe it serves the
 6
      purpose that we expect?
 7
                Thank you, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: I assume that this will be
 8
 9
      consistent with my ruling?
10
               MR. McGETTIGAN: I beg your pardon?
11
                THE COURT: I assume it will be
12
      consistent with my ruling?
13
               MR. McGETTIGAN: Yes, Your Honor.
14
      explain it at sidebar, if you want very briefly.
15
      I just don't want to --
16
                (Whereupon, the following discussion was
17
               held at sidebar:)
18
               MR. McGETTIGAN: Perhaps I should have
19
       imposed inquiry first.
20
                THE COURT: Leiter said the only thing
21
      we told them was there were others. We never
22
      told them what the others had said. Leiter said
23
      that Rossman said did you ever suggest more
2.4
      serious things occurred? Absolutely not. We
      conveyed that to them. Did you suggest anything?
25
```

1	No.
2	MR. McGETTIGAN: Okay.
3	THE COURT: So the purpose of the tape
4	was to show that that is, in fact
5	MR. McGETTIGAN: I understand that
6	exactly, Your Honor. The entire preamble to the
7	portion where Leiter spoke took place out of the
8	presence of the witness and then this took place
9	in the presence of the witness.
10	MR. ROMINGER: Mr. Houtz on his
11	THE COURT: The issue is not whether or
12	not the witness's testimony was corrupted by any
13	questions. The purpose of the evidence is to
14	show that the troopers didn't tell the truth, not
15	that Houtz didn't tell the truth.
16	MR. McGETTIGAN: Oh, I see, Your Honor.
17	I would still submit that the conversation which
18	follows immediately thereafter in the presence of
19	all parties implicates that particular claim of
20	impeachment because it continues on without
21	without interruption and just questions and
22	responses posed by Swisher Houtz with Andreozzi.
23	THE COURT: The trooper said he didn't
24	say anything.
25	MR. McGETTIGAN: I see.

1	THE COURT: And the tape says he did.
2	MR. McGETTIGAN: Okay.
3	THE COURT: I don't know how
4	MR. McGETTIGAN: Okay.
5	THE COURT: It's for that purpose.
6	MR. McGETTIGAN: Okay. I understand,
7	Your Honor. I'll have some questions without
8	tape. We may want to call him later. We'll
9	examine him with the transcript, okay?
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	(End of sidebar discussion.)
12	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
13	Q. Mr. Andreozzi, you were present during
14	some or all of the interview with Brett Swisher
15	Houtz when Officer Corporal Leiter and Trooper
16	Rossman interviewed him?
17	A. I was.
18	Q. Okay. Is it consistent in your
19	recollection that interview was approximately two
20	to two and a half hours?
21	A. Approximately, yeah.
22	Q. You were present the entire time?
23	A. To the best of my recollection I was.
24	Q. Did you ever suggest to Brett anything
25	that he should say?

1	A. I have never suggested anything to
2	Brett.
3	Q. Did anyone in your presence suggest to
4	Brett what he should say?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Notwithstanding and I think I have the
7	numbers here, the approximately 16 minutes that
8	was just played in court, which you heard
9	could hear some of?
LO	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. There was another, oh, about two
12	hours and seven minutes?
L3	A. Yeah.
L 4	Q. Okay. And during the course of that
L 5	time, was that primarily questions being posed to
L 6	Brett and responses by Brett?
L 7	A. Correct.
L 8	Q. Okay. And they were questions posed by
L 9	either Trooper Rossman or Corporal Leiter?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. During the course of that, did you hear
22	him recite, and gradually, full disclosure of the
23	events that occurred between Brett and the
24	defendant, Jerry Sandusky?
25	A. Yes, it was gradual as he did get into

1 more details, correct.

- Q. And none of that was played in court here?
- A. No, there was an extensive interview. We only heard a clip of it.
- Q. Okay. And during the course of that time, can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury -- let me strike that question and start again.

At that point how many times had you been in contact with Brett? You spoken to him once or twice or more?

- A. To the best of my knowledge, maybe once or twice I had spoken with him before we had gone into that interview.
- Q. And I take it none of those interviews on your part were without asking you the content, were they long interrogations or just brief initial client contact?
- A. It was very brief. In fact I think one of those contacts was actually through his father if I'm not mistaken.
- Q. And again without asking you what the content of your conversation was, was Brett -- how can you describe his emotional and/or -- his

1	emotional state when he began or tried to talk
2	about the things that he talked about in here?
3	A. He's been extremely uncomfortable
4	talking about this from day one. He viewed Jerry
5	as a father figure to him and it's been extremely
6	difficult for him to talk publicly about this.
7	In fact, you know, one of the things as his
8	attorney
9	MR. ROMINGER: Asked and answered at
LO	this point, Judge.
11	MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, I'll just
12	pose a more direct question.
L3	THE COURT: Yes, I think that would be a
L 4	good idea.
L 5	MR. McGETTIGAN: I'll do that.
L 6	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
L 7	Q. Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of
L 8	the jury what Brett's emotional state was at the
L 9	beginning of this interview of which defense
20	counsel played 16 minutes of two hours and 23
21	minutes?
22	A. He was shaking. He was clearly
23	emotionally distraught by having to go in to
24	speak with the authorities. He didn't feel
25	comfortable and, you know, as I said I think the

1	reason for that was the relationship he had with
2	Mr. Sandusky.
3	Q. Was Brett comfortable talking about the
4	sexually graphic elements of what occurred
5	between him and the defendant?
6	A. To this day he's not comfortable talking
7	about the details.
8	Q. And during the entire course of the time
9	that you were there, did you interject to add any
10	factual information? Did you know any factual
11	information about what occurred between Brett and
12	the defendant at this point?
13	A. No, I had a brief discussion with Brett
14	before we went in there. He spilled as much as
15	he could out on the table for the prosecutor or
16	for the police.
17	Q. Of the topics that were covered during
18	the two hours and 7 minutes that were not played
19	by defense, but in which you took at least
20	listened to the conversation, did Brett discuss
21	oral sex being performed upon him by
22	MR. ROMINGER: Objection.
23	THE COURT: Sustained. This was very
24	this evidence was presented for a very limited

purpose. The objection is sustained.

1	MR. McGETTIGAN: I understand, Your
2	Honor. I have nothing further, Your Honor.
3	MR. AMENDOLA: We have nothing, Your
4	Honor.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. You may stand
6	down.
7	MR. ROMINGER: We would like to recall
8	Trooper Rossman.
9	THE COURT: Is this for the purpose of
LO	identifying voices?
11	MR. ROMINGER: It is not, Your Honor.
L2	We have some more questions.
L3	MR. McGETTIGAN: I'll speak to counsel
L 4	while we wait.
L 5	Whereupon,
L 6	SCOTT ROSSMAN
L 7	was called as a witness, and having previously
L 8	been duly sworn, was examined and testified as
L 9	follows:
20	THE COURT: You have already been sworn,
21	Corporal, and no need to swear you again. Thank
22	you. You're still under oath.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. ROMINGER:

1 Corporal, I'm Karl Rominger. Ο. 2 represent Jerry Sandusky. I have a few questions 3 for you. Yes, sir. 4 Α. 5 If I ask a question and you don't 6 understand it, let me know because if you answer, 7 I'll assume you understood me, fair? 8 Yes, sir. Α. 9 Did you talk with Corporal Leiter since 10 the last time you were on the stand about 11 anything in this case? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Did he tell you about what evidence you 14 were fronted with or he was fronted with? 15 Α. No. 16 Did you talk about this case involving 17 any of his testimony? 18 Α. No. 19 Q. You guys did discuss this case? 20 We discussed --Α. 21 I just want yes or no answer, sir. Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Did you go -- you were present when Q. 24 Corporal Leiter and yourself interviewed 25 Mr. Swisher Houtz, correct?

- 1 Α. Yes, sir. 2 And you have maintained that at no time 3 would you have told any of the alleged victims 4 any of the information that other people were 5 saying? 6 I believe that was what was said. 7 Q. That's what you have done in all your 8 interviews, correct? You have never told anybody 9 else what anybody else has said with any 10 specificity? 11 Α. Not that I can recall. 12 Not that you recall? Ο. 13 Α. Exactly. 14 Is it possible that you did? 0. 15 Α. That I may have told them something 16 specific? 17 Ο. Yes? 18 Α. Not that I can recall. 19 How many of the accusers did you Q. 20 interview where you went off of tape and talked 21 to them about something and then went back on the 22
  - I believe Mr. Swisher was the only one.
  - We only know that because there was an accidental recording of Mr. Swisher's interview

tape?

23

2.4

1	off tape, correct?
2	A. I didn't even know there was an
3	accidental recording of his interview off tape.
4	Q. Do you sometimes talk to witnesses for
5	any period of time before you begin the tape?
6	MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, if I may
7	just with more specificity, I'm not sure
8	THE COURT: We're not
9	MR. ROMINGER: Witnesses
10	THE COURT: Let's focus on this case.
11	BY MR. ROMINGER:
12	Q. Did you ever talk to any of the alleged
13	accusers or other people you interviewed in this
14	case off of tape before you went on the tape?
15	A. In this particular with this
16	particular victim?
17	Q. This case. Every person you've
18	interviewed in this case?
19	A. I can't say what I have done every
20	single time, no.
21	Q. Actually you would agree that sometimes
22	you'll do a pre-interview before you decide
23	whether to tape it or not?
24	A. Not this case.
25	Q. Never once in this case have you done

1	that?
2	A. Not that I can recall.
3	Q. You can't also recall whether or not you
4	ever gave any specific information to anybody?
5	A. I don't remember doing that.
6	Q. Did you ever hear any of the other
7	troopers do that in your presence?
8	A. Not that I know of.
9	MR. ROMINGER: Nothing further for this
10	witness.
11	THE COURT: Cross?
12	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing, Your
13	Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you. You can step
15	down.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17	MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, we call
18	Corporal Leiter.
19	Whereupon,
20	JOSEPH LEITER
21	was called as a witness, and having previously
22	been duly sworn, was examined and testified as
23	follows:
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. ROMINGER:

1 Mr. Leiter, I'm Karl Rominger. 0. I also represent Jerry Sandusky. I have some brief few 2 3 questions for you. 4 While you were out of the room, we 5 listened to a tape of where you said certain 6 things that Mr. Amendola had asked you about 7 before you left. You recall that interview? 8 I recall parts of it, yes. 9 Were there any other victims, witnesses, 10 or people you interviewed in this case where you 11 would go off of tape for any period of time and 12 then go back onto the tape? 13 Α. Not that I remember. 14 Not that you remember. But you can't 0. 15 say that didn't happen, correct? 16 Again, I don't remember. Α. 17 Did you ever do a pre-interview -- did 0. 18 you ever do a pre-interview where you talked to a 19 witness first and then go on the tape after? 20 MR. McGETTIGAN: Same objection. 21 In this case. THE COURT: 22 BY MR. ROMINGER: 23 In this case? Q. 24 Not that I remember. Α. 25 But it's possible? Q.

1 Again, I don't remember that. Α. 2 Q. Did you have a chance to talk to 3 Mr. Rossman? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Did you guys talk about his testimony 6 here? 7 Α. No. Did you talk about your testimony here? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 You did tell him what you had talked Q. about and what you were confronted with? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. In the hall a few minutes ago? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Today? 16 A. Yes, after I left. 17 After you left? Q. 18 Α. Um-hum. And you talked to him about your 19 Q. 20 testimony? 21 Α. Correct. 22 Trooper Rossman just told us that you 23 didn't talk about your testimony. 24 Α. Um-hum. How would you explain that? 25 Q.

1	A. I can't.
2	Q. He would be lying if he said that?
3	A. That's
4	THE COURT: That's for the jury to
5	decide.
6	MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, I don't have
7	any other questions for this witness.
8	MR. McGETTIGAN: Very briefly, Your
9	Honor.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
12	Q. Corporal Leiter, in fact you are retired
13	now?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Congratulations. I'm going to read a
16	portion of the tape that was played and you were
17	questioned about this before. I am going to read
18	what is a paragraph or so that, okay, see if you
19	recall?
20	I'll stop during portions of it and ask
21	you if you recall.
22	This is a portion of the interview with
23	Brett Swisher Houtz in which Attorney Andreozzi
24	and Trooper Rossman were present. Do you recall
25	that, the one that was taped?

A. Correct.

1

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Do you recall Brett saying to you: 2 3 know you told me before that is basically how you 4 found me so. And then you interrupted and said: 5 We interviewed about nine. Again, I call them 6 I apologize. Nine adults we have 7 interviewed and you are doing very well. 8 amazing if this was a book, you would have been 9 repeating word for word pretty much what a lot of 10 people have already told us. It's very similar. 11 A lot of things you told us is very similar to 12 what we have heard from others and we know from 13 listening to these other young adults talk to us 14 and tell us what has taken place, there's a 15 pretty well-defined progression in the way that 16 he operated and still operates.

> Now, at that point had you told Brett Swisher Houtz or anybody else to say anything in particular to you?

- A. No.
- Q. Did you view that as inappropriate interview technique or telling someone -- giving someone information and asking them to repeat or embellish in any way?
- 25 A. No.

1 0. This goes on in the same portion of your 2 comments with Brett: I guess to some degree and 3 that often time this progression, especially when 4 it goes on for an extended period of time, leads 5 to more than just the touching and the feeling. 6 That's that actual oral sex that has taken place 7 by both parties and there's -- we unfortunately 8 have found that there's been -- classifies as a 9 rape has occurred and I don't want you to feel 10 that again. As Trooper Rossman said, I don't 11 want you to feel ashamed because you are a victim 12 in this whole thing.

Do you recall saying that?

A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you feel or were you attempting to communicate to this victim anything that you expected him to repeat, embellish, add to, or change?
  - A. No.
- Q. Was that part of what you believe was an appropriate technique?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What happened happened. He took advantage of you but when I -- when we first started we talked, we need to get the details of

1 what took place. So these types of things 2 happened. We need you to tell us that this 3 happened -- that this is what happened. Again, 4 we are not going to look at you any differently 5 other than the fact that you are a victim of this 6 crime. It is going to be taken care of 7 accordingly. 8 Do you believe that was appropriate

Do you believe that was appropriate technique?

A. Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you believe you were telling this witness anything he had to add to, change, make up, or embellish?
  - A. No.
- Q. We need you to tell us as graphically as you can what took place as we get through this whole procedure. I just want to you understand you are not alone in this. By no means are you alone in this.

Is that what you said?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you view that as appropriate technique?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you view that as an effort to get

1 the witness to be forthcoming and fully disclose 2 what you believed had happened to him? 3 Α. Yes. 4 What he told you happened to him? 5 Α. That's correct. 6 Okay. What was his emotional state Q. 7 during the course of this interview, if you recall? 8 9 He was very tired, mentally fatigued as 10 he was going through this. 11 Ο. Okay. Now -- do you recall -- is it 12 consistent with your recollection that's the 13 entire interview took a little in excess of a 14 couple hours? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And at some point Trooper Rossman and 0. 17 Brett Swisher Houtz left your presence? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 And you had a -- that's the conversation Q. 20 we referred to which you had with Attorney 21 Andreozzi? 22 Α. Right. 23 And was this the kind of first thing you Q. 24 said after Brett came back from a Pepsi and 25 Sierra Mist break? This, what I just read to

1	you?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. First happened?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. Did he continue on thereafter to
6	describe the events, the contact the defendant
7	had had with him during the course of the
8	relationship with the defendant?
9	A. Yes.
10	MR. McGETTIGAN: May I have just one
11	moment, Your Honor, please?
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
15	Q. Did you ever at any time ask Brett
16	Swisher Houtz to say anything in particular?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Okay. Thanks very much, Corporal,
19	Retired Corporal.
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. ROMINGER:
22	Q. You were asked about the length of the
23	interview. The actual interview took almost four
24	hours, correct?
25	A. It may have. I don't recall exactly how

- 1 long. I know it was lengthy.
  - Q. There was a lengthy portion of interview before -- we started -- when you went off the record but weren't really off the record, if I told you that was at one hour and eight minutes into the interview, you wouldn't have any reason to dispute that, correct?
    - A. No.

Q. Now, I want to ask you, you didn't think there was anything wrong giving specific details. You mentioned rape. You mentioned oral sex. You gave specific allegations that you had gotten from other victims, correct?

MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, I think the tape speaks for itself.

THE COURT: I think we have hashed this ground pretty completely.

## BY MR. ROMINGER:

- Q. If you were asked about other children, young men, witnesses that you interviewed in this case, you wouldn't have seen anything wrong about telling them similar information, correct?
- A. Similar.
- MR. ROMINGER: Nothing further.
- 25 MR. McGETTIGAN: Very briefly, Your

1	Honor.
2	Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
3	Thank you.
4	RECROSS EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
6	Q. You have been handed two pages of the
7	document that I will identify to you is the first
8	and last page of the transcript of the tape which
9	has been played. Just gong to simply ask you for
LO	the time. And one page of that document which
11	will be marked Commonwealth I think C-114.
12	123 and 124, I beg your pardon, Your
L3	Honor.
L 4	The cover page does it indicate a time
L 5	the interview started with Brett Swisher Houtz?
L 6	A. Yes.
L 7	Q. What time was that?
L 8	A. 11:15.
L 9	Q. And does the last page state the time
20	the interview concluded?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. What time was that?
23	A. 1:45.
24	Q. Okay. I'm not a math major. Thank you
25	very much.

1	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing further,
2	Your Honor.
3	MR. ROMINGER: Nothing further, Your
4	Honor.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. You can step
6	down, Corporal.
7	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, we would call
8	Dr. James Martin.
9	THE COURT: Short witness or long
10	witness?
11	MR. AMENDOLA: He's substantive and
12	character.
13	THE COURT: Is this a time for a lunch
14	break or should we
15	MR. AMENDOLA: If Your Honor, if the
16	Court is inclined for a lunch break, it's well
17	worth the time, yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	We'll remain seated while the jury taken
20	out and we'll reconvene at ten minutes to 1:00.
21	(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
22	(Whereupon, the jury was escorted from
23	the courtroom.)
24	THE COURT: We'll be in recess until ten
25	minutes to 1:00.

1	Counsel, would you approach please?
2	(Whereupon, a sidebar discussion was
3	held off the record.)
4	THE COURT: You can be seated.
5	Counsel, would you approach the bench?
6	(Whereupon, the following discussion was
7	held at sidebar:)
8	THE COURT: I want to reveal to you that
9	I just had an ex parte conversation with Mr. Fina
LO	and Mr. McGettigan.
L1	The purpose of that conversation was
12	that I was informed over the lunch hour that you
L3	had subpoenaed a reporter from The Patriot News,
L 4	Sara Ganim.
L 5	MR. AMENDOLA: That was in relationship
L 6	to what we had discussed this morning.
L 7	THE COURT: I understand. And that
L 8	her that the attorney for The Patriot News had
L 9	called the court administrator and said a motion
20	to quash would be filed.
21	I am aware that there had been can
22	I
23	MR. FINA: Sure.
24	THE COURT: I'm aware that there had
25	been concerns by the grand jury judge about

1 release of breach of grand jury secrecy, and I 2 just wanted to make sure that I wasn't treading 3 into a problematic area when I deal with the 4 motion to quash. So I asked counsel for the Commonwealth to confirm that that is a concern of 5 6 the grand jury. That's all the further we went. 7 I'm not sure what the implications are 8 because I don't know what the motion to quash is 9 going to say, but I wanted you to be aware that I 10 had that conversation and that was the purpose of 11 it. 12 I would like to get an MR. McGETTIGAN: 13 offer from Mr. Amendola about what Ms. Ganim 14 will --15 THE COURT: We'll get to that but I just 16 wanted to relate that I had that conversation and 17 the purpose of it, and then when we get to the 18 point of actually dealing with the motion to 19 quash, then we'll deal with the substance of it. 20 Okay? 21 MR. AMENDOLA: Fine, Judge. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. AMENDOLA: There was one other 24 little thing; that was Maxine Ishler approached me about -- she said something --25

1 THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. AMENDOLA: Someone had suggested,
maybe it was a former retired president judge
here, had suggested it was possible that
Mr. Sandusky had an interest in the Hampton Inn.
That's where the jurors were staying. That's not
true. Years ago he said he was part owner of the
land that was sold where that was built. He has
no interest.

THE COURT: The report came in also over the lunch hour, and I suggested that we should just confirm that Mr. Sandusky doesn't have an ownership interest in the place where the jury is going to be sequestered.

MR. ROMINGER: While we're here -THE COURT: You can bring the jury in.

MR. ROMINGER: I intend to call Jonelle Eshbach to the stand to talk about the leading questions she asked of the witnesses. I don't think anybody else was present besides her when she asked questions like -- and there have been other witnesses that have come before the grand jury and indicated Mr. Sandusky touched them. These are boys in an indecent manner. Did Jerry ever touch you indecently? Tell him this and

1 then ask --2 MR. FINA: Your Honor, there was 3 somebody present. The victims who testified, 4 they had the opportunity to go through the 5 questions with those victims and ask them: 6 Weren't these questions -- this is the question 7 and this is the answer -- those leading 8 questions. They haven't done that. 9 To now call the prosecutor, part of the 10 prosecution team to the stand and ask not only 11 whether she asked them but what the thought 12 pattern was, what the practice of the grand 13 jury --14 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't 15 Someone's papers are covering -hear. 16 Is it an objection? THE COURT: 17 MR. FINA: It's an objection. 18 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, then we'd 20 just ask that they make Mr. Struble and 21 Mr. Simcisko available for us tomorrow morning. 22 MR. FINA: I'll see what I can do, 23 Judge. I can't promise that. I mean, these 24 people have not been subpoenaed. 25 MR. ROMINGER: We had an agreement.

1	MR. FINA: We have provided numerous
2	people that have not been subpoenaed. I'm not
3	being flippant about this. I'm trying to
4	find them but
5	MR. ROMINGER: We had an agreement at
6	the beginning that we wouldn't hold them as long
7	as you guys would continue to make them
8	available.
9	MR. McGETTIGAN: An opportunity to cross
LO	them.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
L2	(End of sidebar discussion.)
13	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and
L 4	gentlemen. I think we're ready to resume now,
L5	Mr. Amendola.
L 6	MR. AMENDOLA: We call Dr. James Martin.
L7	Whereupon,
L8	JAMES MARTIN
L 9	was called as a witness and having been duly
20	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
21	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, just for the
22	record, Dr. Martin will be a substantive as well
23	as a character witness. With the Court's
24	permission, I'll do both of those at the same
25	time.

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
4	Q. Dr. Martin, will you state your full
5	name please?
6	A. James Stanton Martin.
7	Q. And where do you reside?
8	A. State College.
9	Q. Can you give us a little bit of
LO	information about your education?
L1	A. I attended Penn State University as an
12	under grad. I went to Hershey Medical School for
L3	my medical training. I did my residency
L 4	orthopedic residence training at the University
L 5	of Iowa and then did a one-year fellowship
L 6	position in Birmingham, Alabama.
L 7	Q. And while you were at Penn State, did
L 8	you participate in any athletics?
L 9	A. Yes, I did.
20	Q. What was your area in terms of
21	athletics?
22	A. I was on the wrestling team there.
23	Q. And can you tell us about your wrestling
24	career?
25	A. I wrestled at Penn State. I was there

1 for five years, and I was on the wrestling team 2 there. 3 Did you win any national championships? Ο. Yes, I did. 4 Α. 5 0. How many? 6 Just once. Α. 7 What weight class, by the way? Q. 8 The weight class that I won a national Α. 9 championship was 126 pounds. 10 Now, when you were going to Penn State, 11 did you know Mr. Sandusky, Jerry Sandusky? 12 Α. I did. 13 Can you explain what contact -- how you 14 had contact with Mr. Sandusky while you were going to college? 15 16 Well, we became very good friends. Α. 17 I first came to Penn State, the wrestling team 18 and the football team did their weight lifting in 19 the same locker room area and the same weight 20 facility and I just got to know Jerry through 21 that. We got to be very good friends and, you 22 know, through that and it just transpired to a 23 very good relationship.

Were there any periods in your

collegiate career when you actually resided at

24

1 the Sandusky residence?

- A. You know, I don't recall. There was a time when I was in medical school that I did a one-month rotation at Penn State and I stayed for a month at his house.
- Q. Can you give us a ball park idea of when that might have been?
- A. That would have been probably in 1992 or '93, '92 or '93.
- Q. Now, during the course of your association with Mr. Sandusky, has he ever given you any gifts?
- A. Yes, he's given me some gifts over the years -- I would call gifts.
  - Q. Can you tell us what they are?
- A. I can specifically remember him giving me a watch from the Fiesta Bowl in 1986. And then when I graduated from college, he made a photo album for me. His family, they had a graduation party for me at their house after graduation, and he had given me a photo album of my time there and some memories.
- Q. Now, I talked with you earlier, and I know that you indicated you brought some sort of book or album with you today. Do you have that?

1	A. Yeah, I have that photo album with me.
2	MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection, Your Honor.
3	I'm not sure if it's character or fact. If it's
4	fact, how relevant
5	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, this is
6	substantive evidence, and what we're attempting
7	to show is there's been testimony from the
8	Commonwealth's witnesses that Mr. Sandusky gave
9	them things. I think one part of the testimony
LO	from one witness was there was an album that he
L1	made up, a letter that was described as a love
L2	letter. What we're trying to show is
L3	Mr. Sandusky did this to other kids and had
L 4	nothing to do with
L5	THE COURT: Overruled.
L 6	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
L 7	Q. Did you bring that item with you today?
L 8	A. I did.
L 9	Q. Can you explain what that is first of
20	all, why don't you hold it up so everyone can see
21	it?
22	A. It's just a routine photo album. It's
23	got pictures of different places, the university
24	and there's a couple pictures of times that my
2.5	current wife and I spent at the Sandusky

1 residence for dinners and things like that and 2 some wrestling experiences. 3 Are there any photos in there? 0. 4 Α. Yeah. Yes, there are. 5 Q. Photos of you? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And I think you mentioned to me on the Q. last part of that booklet, that album, there was 8 9 something that Mr. Sandusky had written to you? 10 Well, there's a poem that was written. 11 To be honest with you, I don't know who wrote it. 12 It looks like -- it's certainly written in 13 Jerry's writing and signed by him and his family but it looks like it was written by him. 14 15 0. Can you read that for us? Do you want me to read the whole thing? 16 Α. 17 MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Overruled. 19 THE WITNESS: The title is Thanks. 20 Thanks for the memory. Thanks for being so warm 21 and friendly. Thanks for having a special touch. 22 Thanks for the feeling of caring so much. 23 for making a smile on that frown. Thanks for 24 being there when we were down. Thanks for

sharing the good times we've had.

Thanks for

1 putting up with the bad. Thanks for brightening 2 our life for above. Thanks for giving so much 3 Thanks for wearing the blue and white. love. 4 Thanks for mostly just being you. And then it 5 says: Love, Dottie, Jerry, E. J., Kara, Jeff, 6 and John. 7 MR. AMENDOLA: Now, with the Court's 8 permission, I'll go into character testimony, Your Honor. 9 10 BY MR. AMENDOLA: 11 Ο. Dr. Martin, do you know other people who 12 know Jerry Sandusky? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And over the years, obviously prior to Ο. 15 the time when charges were filed last November, 16 did you have occasion to hear those individuals 17 speak about Jerry's characteristics for being 18 honest, law abiding, truthful, things along those 19 lines? 20 I think that was a very well generally Α. 21 accepted feeling. 22 What was his reputation for those 23 characteristics? 24 Α. I think he was an honest, caring --

Truthful, law abiding?

25

Q.

1	A. Truth, law abiding.
2	Q. Peaceful?
3	A. (Witness nods head up and down.)
4	Q. Thank you.
5	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
6	THE COURT: Cross.
7	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
10	Q. You said that that was his reputation.
11	Has his reputation changed?
12	A. I think everybody there's a lot of
13	speculation about his reputation at this point
14	because of what's transpired.
15	Q. So it's fair to say that among those
16	people that you know who know the defendant,
17	there are some who would believe he has a bad
18	reputation for those characteristics that defense
19	counsel pointed out; is that so?
20	A. I think a lot of people just don't know
21	right now.
22	Q. How old were you when you met the
23	defendant?
24	A. I believe I was 18, 17 or 18. I was a
25	freshman or sophomore in college.

1	Q. And may I ask you about your family
2	background?
3	A. Sure.
4	Q. Okay. Your mother and father, siblings?
5	A. Yeah, I have a mother and a father and
6	three siblings.
7	Q. Okay. And when you met the defendant,
8	did he introduce himself to you?
9	A. I don't recall.
10	Q. You don't recall how you met him?
11	A. Like I said, I recall meeting him in the
12	weight room at the Penn State football facility.
13	I don't remember the details of that. That was
14	26, 27 years ago.
15	Q. You do not give that appearance you
16	know?
17	A. Pardon me?
18	Q. You do not give that appearance of
19	having that many years beyond
20	A. I have been told that before.
21	Q. I'm sure you have. You were an 18-year
22	old freshman when you met the defendant?
23	A. Seventeen or 18.
24	Q. Okay. And you wrestled as a light
25	weight?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Okay. And it's fair to say then, you Q. 3 weren't bigger at any time in your life than you 4 are now obviously? 5 A little bit bigger maybe. 6 Q. At --7 Five or ten pounds maybe. 8 Okay. And fair to say that -- likely Q. 9 that when you're in the weight room at the Penn 10 State wrestling room, you wouldn't have gone up 11 and introduced yourself to an assistant coach? 12 Α. Probably not. 13 Okay. Probably the defendant introduced Ο. 14 himself to you? 15 Α. Most likely. 16 And after that he kind of made an effort Ο. 17 to get to know you a little better? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. And the effort was pretty much on 20 his part you would have to say? I don't recall. 21 Α. 22 You don't recall. Okay. Did you ever Ο. 23 stay at the defendant's house? 24 Α. Yes.

Okay. Once or -- other than that period

25

Q.

1 when you were in your residency?

- A. I don't recall specifically. It's very possible I stayed at his house other times. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you ever end up working out with the defendant?
- A. I don't think we ever worked out together. We worked out in the same building at the same time but he never put me through a workout or anything like any -- we never worked out together per se.
- Q. Okay. You never had occasion to shower with the defendant or anything?
  - A. I have not, no. I did not.
- Q. Okay. Did you know him to shower with other boys?
- A. You know, I recall one instance when I was -- I believe it was that time when I stayed at his house that him and another boy during the middle of the day on the weekend that I went to work out and they were finishing their workout at the football complex when they were going to go and shower. I was going to work out. That's my only recollection of ever knowing of him showering with any boy.

1	Q. Okay. And you don't know anything
2	about any specific details were not springing
3	immediately to mind to your mind about his
4	relationship with boys or, frankly, with that
5	many other people at all?
6	A. Well, I did see him on many occasions
7	with Second Mile children or Second Mile kids on
8	many occasions because pretty much, you know,
9	Jerry had a lot of Second Mile kids around him
10	with a lot of things he did. And when I was
11	present there were a lot of Second Mile kids
12	around.
13	Q. Young boys?
14	A. Young boys, yes. I mean, age ten to 15
15	or so, yes.
16	Q. Okay. Thank you.
17	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing further,
18	Your Honor. Thank you.
19	MR. AMENDOLA: Just one question.
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
22	Q. Dr. Martin, did you also, you and your
23	wife, attend church services with Jerry and his
24	wife on occasion?
25	A. Yes, we did.

1	Q. Do you go to the same church?
2	A. I don't go to that church now.
3	Q. But you did at one time?
4	A. I did when I was an under grad here,
5	yes.
6	Q. Thank you.
7	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
8	MR. McGETTIGAN: Nothing on recross,
9	Your Honor.
LO	THE COURT: Thank you. You can step
11	down.
L2	MR. AMENDOLA: Elaine Steinbacher.
L3	Whereupon,
L 4	ELAINE STEINBACHER
L 5	was called as a witness and having been duly
L 6	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
L 7	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, this is
L 8	another witness who's going to testify factually
L 9	and then also as a character witness.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
23	Q. Mrs. Steinbacher, will you state your
24	full name please?
25	A. Elaine Steinbacher.

1 Ο. And where do you reside, ma'am? 2 Α. Kannapolis, North Carolina, outside 3 Charlotte. 4 Q. Are you married? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Do you have any children? Q. 7 Α. Yes, three. 8 Can you give us their ages, if you 0. recall then? 9 10 Steve 45, Donna 44, and Rick 40. Α. 11 Ο. Do you know Jerry and Dottie Sandusky? 12 Yes, I have known them for 47 years. Α. 13 Can you tell us a little bit about your Ο. 14 relationship with them? What you have been involved with with them? 15 16 Well, it started when we were in Α. 17 college. I used to try to set Jerry up with some 18 of my girlfriends but as I became a special ed 19 teacher and I had a whole lot in common with 20 Jerry because I always used him as my motivator 21 and inspiration. 22 The last 20 years I have worked for the 23 Chester County Intermediate Unit in a federally 24 funded program called Pennsylvania Migrant 25 Education. I was the coordinator or partnership

1	collaborations and I would bring many students to
2	Penn State for all the wonderful supplemental
3	educational programs throughout the year. I
4	would stay in the Sandusky home probably eight to
5	11 times a year. I tried to figure out. I could
6	have stayed in a hotel
7	THE COURT: If I could interrupt you
8	just for a second.
9	THE WITNESS: Sure.
10	THE COURT: Let's let Mr. Amendola ask
11	the questions and then you can answer the
12	questions.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
15	Q. So I'll ask the question. How often did
16	you stay in Mr. and Mrs. Sandusky's home?
17	A. I stayed in their home maybe eight to 11
18	times a year. I could have stayed in hotels or
19	motels and put it on my expenses but I wanted to
20	be with Dottie and Jerry to be inspired and
21	motivated.
22	Q. Did there come a time a couple of years
23	ago when you were visiting the Sanduskys and met
24	a young man by the name of Brett Swisher Houtz?
2.5	A. Yes

Q. Can you tell us the circumstances surrounding that meeting?

A. I believe it is was in the summer of

A. I believe it is was in the summer of 2009, and I remember Jerry coming into the room and saying: Oh, guess who called? Brett and he wants to come over and bring his young son. I thought it was going to be a baby. And Jerry said to Dottie: Do we have anything to feed them? Dottie said: No, but we'll go to KFC.

So Dottie and I went to KFC and got this wonderful fried chicken spread. I remember that really well. We ate it on the back deck. And the whole purpose of the visit to me was, obviously, for Jerry and Dottie to make a big fuss over his son. When you have a new baby, you want everybody to goo-goo and gah-gah over it, and that's what that day was about. I would say he stayed for two or three hours.

- Q. What observations, if any, did you make about the relationship how Jerry and Brett Swisher Houtz interacted during your visit?
- A. Well, they were quite friendly. I mean, it was very amicable. Just a lovely afternoon.

I remember commenting to Dottie, and I remember this very well, wow, you're going to see

- a lot of them because obviously he's looking for some foster grandparents, you know, to brag about his kid and bring the kid to see him. And I said to Dottie: You're not -- you know, you're going to see a lot of him because there were always people in and out of the Sandusky home.
  - Q. Now, Mrs. Steinbacher, do you know other people who have known Jerry Sandusky during the time frame that you have known him over the years?
  - A. Yes. There are about five couples that played on the same team that Jerry did in 1962 to 1966 and we have always been very friendly with the Sanduskys and we visited their house many times and our children played together and grew up together, and we all just revered Jerry. We think the world of him, and we were just proud.
  - Q. Over the years have you heard those individuals talk about Jerry's reputation for being honest, truthful, law abiding, peaceful, and nonviolent?
    - A. Yes.

- Q. What is the reputation?
- A. The reputation. I mean, I can speak for myself and then my last --

1	Q. No.
2	A. Oh, their reputation?
3	Q. What's his reputation among those
4	people?
5	A. All right. I feel until all of the five
6	couples that knew him and also I worked on the
7	Chester County chapter of The Second Mile. So I
8	knew pretty much the staff of The Second Mile
9	because I sent so many kids to their programs. I
10	just feel that all of us feel that Jerry Sandusky
11	led a purpose-driven life and
12	MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection.
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
15	Q. What was his reputation? Good? Bad?
16	Indifferent?
17	A. Good. There was never in all the years
18	that I have known him
19	MR. FINA: Objection.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	THE WITNESS: That we have all known
22	him
23	MR. FINA: Objection.
24	THE COURT: That's enough.
25	MR. FINA: Objection.

1	THE WITNESS: There was never a red
2	flag.
3	MR. AMENDOLA: I have no further
4	questions.
5	THE WITNESS: Okay.
6	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
9	Q. Ma'am, you were on the board of The
LO	Second Mile?
11	A. The Chester County Chapter. That's in
12	King of Prussia.
L3	Q. Still?
L 4	A. No. I retired in 2010 and moved to
L5	North Carolina and that ended it.
L 6	Q. Were you still on the board of The
L 7	Second Mile at the time the defendant took a
L 8	temporary leave of absence?
L 9	A. I don't know what year that was. I was
20	on the board in 2008.
21	Q. Pardon me?
22	A. 2008. I was on the board.
23	Q. Do you recall at the time when the
24	defendant took a temporary leave of absence from
25	The Second Mile?

1 Α. No. 2 Q. Do you know that he did? 3 Α. Yeah. 4 Okay. And then you know he subsequently Q. resigned? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 And you know why he subsequently 8 resigned; do you not? 9 No, I don't know why. 10 Well, this must have come as a sudden surprise to you that he had resigned from the 11 12 organization which he had founded; did it not? 13 A. No, I never really thought that much 14 about it. I didn't. 15 How often did you talk to the defendant 16 or his wife during that period of time? 17 Α. Well, at least eight or ten times a 18 year. 19 Q. So eight or ten times --20 Α. Yeah. 21 Let me see if I can pose a question? Q. 22 Α. Very good. 23 Eight or ten times you see or talk to or Q. 24 stay at their house? 25 Um-hum. Α.

1 And you knew how involved the defendant Ο. 2 was in The Second Mile, right? 3 Α. Um-hum. 4 Q. This was a big deal? 5 Α. Um-hum. 6 Okay. He was always with those kids and 7 always doing things with kids, things like that, 8 right? 9 Yeah. Α. 10 Sometimes when you were there staying in 11 the house, too, right? 12 Α. Yeah. 13 All of a sudden he resigns, right? Now, 14 what's the next conversation that you had with 15 the defendant or his wife after he resigned from 16 The Second Mile? 17 Honestly, I never had a conversation. 18 I've thought a lot but I never thought about that. Sorry. 19 20 That's okay. Do you think now that it's Q. 21 just kind of funny that you never had this 22 conversation because that Second Mile with those 23 kids had been a big deal, he guits --24 Α. Yeah. And you never even talked about it? 25

1	A. (Witness shakes head side to side.)
2	Q. No?
3	A. I maybe, probably I know he wasn't
4	into administration or financial things. I just
5	felt maybe they couldn't use him, I guess. I'm
6	just thinking now.
7	Q. No recollection?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Okay. During the times that you stayed
10	at the defendant's home, were there ever
11	children, young boys staying there?
12	A. Not overnight, but I did go several
13	occasions and play games with boys, maybe one boy
14	that was there.
15	Q. How do you know there weren't boys
16	staying overnight?
17	A. Well, I was in the upstairs there's a
18	guest room right in the front of the house but I
19	don't recollect any child staying overnight in
20	all the times I was there.
21	Q. So you just don't recollect it?
22	A. Yeah. I'm pretty sure not.
23	Q. When was the last conversation you had
24	with the defendant?
25	A. Jerry?

- O. That's the defendant.
- A. My last conversation. I saw him here today. I said hello. Jerry and I would talk every time I would come to his house but not much on the phone. I guess the last time I was there probably in 2010 right before I retired. I haven't been there since 2010.
  - Q. Did you have a conversation with him or his wife subsequent to that?
    - A. Yes.

- O. After that?
- A. Yes. One time I remember I was back on the back deck and Jerry and I talked -- I looked at my watch when we were done. Dottie went someplace and Jerry and I had talked for four hours because we had such a special interest in children, his cases, my cases, and we would talk about them. I was, like, amazed that I could talk so long to one person. I had so much in common with him, when I can't even talk to my own husband for four minutes.
- Q. Thank you, ma'am. When was the last time you talked with the defendant's wife, Dottie, I think?
- A. Today.

1 Before that? Ο. 2 Α. Dottie and I text a lot. We have been 3 texting all through this drama. When was the first time after the 4 Ο. 5 defendant was arrested that you talked with or 6 texted Dottie? Would that have been the same day 7 you think? You would have seen it in the paper? 8 Α. Yeah. 9 Talked the same day? Ο. 10 I'm not sure. I don't think so. Α. 11 Q. The next day? 12 Α. I don't think the same day. 13 The next day, the day after, or Ο. 14 something like that? 15 Α. Maybe. 16 Q. Okay. 17 We would text almost every other day. Α. 18 Okay. It's fair to say, based upon your 0. 19 long relationship, you'd like to do whatever you 20 could to help? 21 Α. Um-hum. 22 Okay. And you talked with Mr. Amendola 23 about your testimony here today or -- who did 24 most of the talking, you or him? 25 I did most of the talking but they try Α.

1 to cut me off so. I'm a little bit nervous 2 because I like to --3 Q. Okay. 4 A. -- elaborate I quess. 5 And I think you used the expression to 6 answer one of my earlier questions that throughout this trial, you said that it's fair to 7 8 say you have talked to or texted, you're a 9 texter? 10 E-mailer and texter. Α. 11 Ο. Okay. To the defendant's wife, 12 Mrs. Dottie Sandusky, a fair amount during the 13 course of the events preceding the trial? 14 (Witness nods head up and down.) 15 Ο. Okay. So is it fair to say that -- and 16 again I want to be absolutely fair. It's fair to 17 say that you were fairly certain you could help 18 and wanted to do so if you could? 19 Α. (Witness nods head up and down.) 20 Q. Okay. You have done your best to help? 21 Yeah. Α. 22 Thank you very much. Q. 23 MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 MR. AMENDOLA: I'm reluctant to ask any 25 more questions, Your Honor. I have no further

1	questions.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. You can step
3	down.
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5	Whereupon,
6	DAWN DANIELS
7	was called as a witness and having been duly
8	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
LO	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
11	Q. Ms. Daniels, my name is Joe Amendola. I
L2	represent Mr. Sandusky. I'm going to ask you
L3	some questions. If you're not sure what I'm
L 4	asking, let me know and I'll ask it a different
L 5	way, okay?
L 6	A. Okay.
L 7	Q. Can you state your full name please?
L 8	A. Dawn Renee Daniels.
L 9	Q. What town do you live? I'm not going to
20	ask you your address because you have been a
21	Commonwealth witness. What town do you live in?
22	A. Lock Haven.
23	Q. And is your son Aaron Fisher?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Is he the same Aaron Fisher who

1	testified earlier in this trial?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Do you know Josh Fravel?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And do you know his younger sister?
6	A. Yes?
7	Q. Do you know his mother?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Going back to the latter part of 2000
10	what would have been 2008, was Josh Fravel living
11	in Lock Haven?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And where was he living in relationship
14	to where you were living?
15	A. He was living right in the other half of
16	the house that I lived in.
17	Q. So there was a duplex?
18	A. Yeah.
19	Q. And you shared the duplex. He lived on
20	one side and you on the other?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Was there a time in late 2008 when you
23	made a comment to Josh Fravel that because of the
24	situation, the allegations against Mr. Sandusky,
25	that you were going to make a lot of money?

1 Α. No, I did not. Did you ever say to Josh Fravel in late 2 3 2008 that you were going to be able to buy a big 4 house in the country with a white fence and have 5 room for your dogs to run around? 6 No, I did not. 7 Did you ever say anything to Josh Fravel or his younger sister or his mother that by the 8 9 time the Sandusky case was over, you were going 10 to be a very wealthy person? 11 Α. I did not say that, no. 12 0. Thank you. 13 MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have, Your 14 Honor. 15 MR. McGETTIGAN: Briefly on cross. 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: 18 Ms. Daniels, you have retained an 19 attorney on behalf of your son in this case? 20 Α. Yes. 21 When did you hire an attorney? Q. 22 That would have been in November after Α. 23 Josh Fravel gave --24 Q. What year --25 Α. -- reporters --

1	Q.	do you remember?
2	Α.	2008.
3	Q.	That's when you hired an attorney?
4	Α.	I'm sorry. 2011.
5	Q.	Who's the attorney?
6	Α.	Michael Boni.
7	Q.	Okay. Did you have an attorney before
8	2011?	
9	Α.	No.
10	Q.	Had you tried to hire an attorney before
11	2011?	
12	Α.	No.
13	Q.	Anyone talk to you about well, I'll
14	strike t	hat. You got an attorney in 2011 to
15	protect	your interest and your son's?
16	Α.	Right.
17	Q.	Thank you.
18		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. A	MENDOLA:
20	Q.	But you have an attorney now, don't you?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	And what's his name?
23	Α.	Michael Boni.
24	Q.	Have you paid him any fees so far?
25	Α.	No.

Has he sent you any bills so far? 1 Ο. 2 Α. No. 3 Didn't you retain him to represent your Ο. civil lawsuit potential interest in this case? 4 5 No. I retained him to keep the press 6 away from my family, away from my employment, 7 away from my kids' schools. 8 Did you sign any sort of fee agreement 9 with him to your knowledge? 10 I believe I did. Α. 11 Do you know what the contents of that 12 fee agreement were? 13 No, I don't. Α. 14 And you haven't paid him a penny to 0. 15 date? 16 Α. No. 17 To your knowledge has he been sitting in 0. the courtroom for the last two weeks? 18 19 Α. No. 20 If you don't know, that's fine. And you 21 can't tell us a thing about what fee arrangements 22 you made with your attorney today? 23 MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection. Asked and 24 answered, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Sustained.

1 MR. AMENDOLA: Okav. 2 BY MR. AMENDOLA: 3 How did it come about that you retained Ο. 4 this attorney? 5 MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Overruled. BY MR. AMENDOLA: 7 8 How did it come about that you retained 0. 9 this attorney? 10 Well, during the time frame when this 11 all happened, prior to me hiring an attorney, I 12 had moved. I didn't change my address and the 13 only person that knew where I moved was the 14 neighbor, Josh Fravel. And which I gave him 15 instructions if anybody came there, he was to 16 just tell them that he hasn't seen me. Doesn't 17 know where I moved. 18 After that point, I found out he was 19 talking to reporters and that he gave out my 20 address and that he also tried to get money out 21 of me to keep my address a secret. 22 Does your attorney practice in Lock Ο. 23 Haven? 24 MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection, Your Honor. 25 The location of the attorney is not relevant.

1	THE COURT: Overruled.
2	THE WITNESS: No.
3	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
4	Q. Where does your attorney practice as far
5	as you know? Out of what offices? Where are his
6	offices located?
7	A. Philadelphia.
8	Q. Philadelphia?
9	A. Um-hum.
LO	Q. Thank you.
11	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
L2	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing on
L3	recross, Your Honor. Thank you.
L 4	THE COURT: Thank you. You can step
L 5	down.
L 6	MR. AMENDOLA: Josh Fravel.
L 7	Whereupon,
L 8	JOSHUA FRAVEL
L 9	was called as a witness and having been duly
20	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. AMENDOLA:
23	Q. Will you state your full name please?
24	A. Joshua James Fravel, Senior.
25	Q. Will you spell your last name?

1 Α. F-r-a-v-e-l. 2 Q. Where do you reside? 135 Youngs Avenue, Lock Haven. 3 Α. 4 If you could keep your voice up just a Q. little bit. 5 6 Α. Okay. 7 With whom do you reside there? Q. My fiance and my four children. 8 Α. 9 How old are your kids? Ο. 10 Eleven, eight, six and five. Α. 11 Was there a time when you resided near 0. 12 an individual by the name of Dawn Daniels? 13 Α. Yes. 14 When did that occur? When did you live Ο. 15 near her? I believe the start of around 2007 and 16 Α. 17 carried through till either 2010, early 2011. Who resided, if you know, with Dawn 18 Daniels? 19 20 Α. The household composition was Dawn 21 Daniels, Aaron Fisher, Katie, I'm not sure of her 22 last name, I think Daniels, and Eric Daniels. 23 Was there a time in late 2008 when you Ο. 24 were at home and you had a conversation with Dawn

Daniels about her son, Aaron Fisher, and maybe

25

1 | going somewhere?

A. I recall an argument that had taken place. She would frequently send her children off to several different places so she could have a weekend --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. You're going to have to speak into microphone to be able to hear you.

THE WITNESS: She would often send her children away to different -- her parents or her friends and I guess Aaron was involved in The Second Mile. So she would send him off there. So she could have a weekend here and there.

The one weekend Aaron and Dawn were arguing because he did not want to go. He wanted to stay at home and spend time with his friends.

BY MR. AMENDOLA:

- Q. Was there a particular time in late 2008 when Dawn, you were present when Dawn and Aaron Fisher, her son, had some sort of argument about where he was going?
- A. That was the argument I was talking about.
- Q. And specifically -- I'm having trouble hearing you. I apologize. Specifically what was

I think the

Excuse me.

1 that argument about where Aaron was going? 2 She wanted him to go to with Jerry to 3 The Second Mile program and he didn't want to go. 4 He wanted to be with his friends and stay home and hang out with his friends. 5 6 So the argument was over him going to 7 see his friends versus going with Jerry? 8 Α. Going with Jerry. 9 Now, at some point -- at some point was 10 there a discussion by Dawn Daniels about a money 11 situation? 12 At the time that argument, they went 13 inside and then she came over and said: How do I 14 find out if somebody is a registered sex 15 offender? At the time I had no idea what she was 16 talking about or why she would need that 17 information. I say: Why? What's up? She says 18 because I was just told that Aaron was touched by 19 Jerry Sandusky. She says: I need to know and 20 need to find out how to take this further. Ву 21 further she meant, you know, get a lawyer. 22 Again, I'm having trouble hearing you. 23 If you could talk into that mic.

She wanted to know --

MR. McGETTIGAN:

24

25

Α.

1 witness said by further she meant. I'm not sure if that's appropriate testimony. 2 3 THE COURT: Sustained. 4 MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you. 5 BY MR. AMENDOLA: 6 What did Dawn Daniels tell you after she 7 had that discussion with you about her son being touched? 8 9 Α. I believe her comment was: I'll own his 10 house. 11 Ο. Referring to who? 12 Jerry Sandusky. Α. 13 Did she have other discussions with you Ο. 14 after that about monetary issues? 15 Α. Yeah, she had said about when this all 16 settles out, she'll have a nice big house in the 17 country with a fence and the dogs can roam free. 18 Did you ever have any discussions with 19 Aaron or overhear Aaron discussing about making 20 purchases with money he might obtain? 21 Not so much other than the one statement 22 that he had made that -- and the only thing he 23 had said was: When this is over, I'll have a 24 nice new Jeep. 25 Thank you. Q.

1	MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have.
2	MR. McGETTIGAN: May I, Your Honor?
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
5	Q. How are you doing today Mr. Fravel?
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. Okay. You recall the statement you gave
8	before?
9	A. Yeah.
10	Q. Don't blame me for keeping you here for
11	two days, do you?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Okay. Back in these conversations
14	that you recollect, are you certain what year
15	they're in or do you have any recollection?
16	A. The time frame is a little sketchy.
17	Q. So when Mr. Amendola asked you that
18	particular year, would you be willing to swear
19	under oath it was 2008, 2009, 2010 or you're not
20	sure?
21	A. No, I can't place an exact date on it.
22	Q. Okay. Could it have easily been 2010 or
23	'11 or 2008?
24	A. I can give, like, time markers of, like,
25	when I seen certain things.

Okay. And you're under oath. You have 1 Ο. 2 to be completely honest with me. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. You're not real fond of Dawn Daniels, 5 are you? 6 Α. No. 7 Okay. In fact, you -- you loath the Q. 8 person, don't you? You can't stand her? 9 Α. No. 10 Okay. And you think she's a bad person? Q. 11 Α. Yeah. 12 Okay. You think she's a bad mother? Ο. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. Do you think she forced her son Ο. 15 to get with Jerry Sandusky? In fact, you saw 16 that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And she did -- in fact, she expressed 19 why she did that? What did she say? 20 Α. So she could have a weekend out with her 21 friends. 22 Ο. Use her exact words. 23 Α. You want me? I'm allowed to do that. 24 Absolutely. Q. 25 She told her son: You're going to The

Α.

Second Mile because you're not going to fuck up 1 my weekend. 2 3 Q. Okay. She made Aaron go with the defendant? 4 I don't think he actually ended up going 5 6 that night, no. 7 Okay. He split? Ο. 8 Yeah, I think he went to his friends 9 after all was said and done. 10 You had seen the defendant, however, on 11 previous occasions driving a black Lexus? 12 It was a black SUV of some sort. Α. No. 13 SUV. Okay. Come to pick up Aaron on 0. 14 those occasions? 15 Α. And dropping off. 16 Okay. Did Aaron ever tell you what Ο. 17 happened to him? 18 Α. No. 19 Q. Okay. And you didn't ask? 20 No. Α. 21 Part of the way that you got stuck in Ο. 22 this and missing a couple days of work is because 23 you talked to a reporter once? 24 Α. Yes. The reporter told you he wasn't going to 25

1 write down what you said? 2 Α. Correct. 3 That was just after Dawn moved? Ο. 4 Α. Yes. And he said: I'm not going to tell 5 6 anybody. I won't write it, nothing like that 7 will happen. 8 He asked for my opinion. 9 He asked for your opinion, and you gave 10 him your opinion? Yes. 11 Α. 12 Okay. He asked where she lived and you 13 told him where he she lived and you didn't really 14 want it coming back to you but that's what 15 happened? 16 Yeah, exactly. Α. 17 That wasn't even at the beginning of 0. 18 your animosity -- of your dislike for Dawn 19 because you disliked her before that and disliked 20 her more after that? 21 Α. Correct. 22 Okay. And she got in your face about 23 this kind of stuff? 24 Over the telephone, very vocal over the Α. 25 telephone when she seen the report.

1 Yapping at you and stuff like that? Q. 2 Α. Yes. 3 That didn't help your feelings about Q. her? 4 5 Α. No. Okay. And also -- and I'm going to make 6 7 reference -- I don't think you need to see this 8 document. You applied for a job at the place 9 where she had some kind of responsibilities? 10 Α. Correct. Not that long ago. It would have been 11 Q. 12 February of this year? 13 Α. Correct. 14 And you need a job? Ο. 15 Α. Yeah, I did. 16 Well, that's right. You now have a job Q. 17 that we're keeping you from? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. But you have four kids you're 20 responsible for? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And your fiance? Q. 23 Α. Yes. 24 So at the time you wanted to get Q. 25 working?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Walked in there ready to work?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. And, in fact, you used Dawn as a
5	reference?
6	A. Because she told me to.
7	Q. Okay. And you have a feeling that she
8	kept you from getting that job?
9	A. I do.
10	Q. Okay. That's about all you know.
11	That's the only thing you recall is that one
12	statement that was said in a big time frame. In
13	fact Aaron wasn't even present when Dawn said
14	this thing?
15	A. Yeah, Dawn and Aaron were never together
16	during those statements.
17	Q. Okay. How are the kids now?
18	A. Great.
19	Q. Good. They have a good recollection of
20	my detective?
21	A. The youngest one.
22	Q. Okay. Thank. Thanks very much.
23	MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing further,
24	Your Honor.
25	PEDIBECT EYAMINATION

1 BY MR. AMENDOLA: Just to clarify something, Mr. Fravel, 2 3 and that is going back to the time frame you were 4 asked on cross-examination about what year it 5 was. Your testimony -- correct me if I'm wrong, 6 your testimony was that this conversation with 7 Dawn Daniels occurred the night that you heard 8 her ask about is there some sort of Internet site for --9 10 Α. Yes. 11 -- pedophiles? And that sparked her 12 comments to you? 13 MR. McGETTIGAN: Inappropriate redirect, 14 Your Honor. I would object and ask it be 15 stricken. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 BY MR. AMENDOLA: 19 Q. And you're sure about that? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Thank you. 22 MR. AMENDOLA: That's all I have. 23 MR. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing further, 24 Your Honor. 25 You can step down. THE COURT:

1	MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, can we
2	approach?
3	(Whereupon, the following discussion was
4	held at sidebar:)
5	MR. ROMINGER: We could do Dr. Atkins
6	right now but we still haven't gotten the
7	Commonwealth's report before we placed him on the
8	stand.
9	MR. McGETTIGAN: John gave me one and
LO	asked for it back to sign it. Let me find it.
L1	MR. ROMINGER: And then we just have to
L2	set up our AVA, little PowerPoint.
13	THE COURT: So how much time does
L 4	Dr. Atkins need to review this report?
L 5	MR. ROMINGER: Only a few minutes.
L 6	MR. McGETTIGAN: It's two pages.
L 7	MR. ROMINGER: I mean, I would assume it
L 8	would only take a few minutes.
L 9	THE COURT: Okay. So we'll wait here
20	and sit here while he does it. Okay.
21	You can get your PowerPoint set up.
22	MR. ROMINGER: In the mean time.
23	THE COURT: Yeah. We're not going to
24	recess. We'll just wait till you're ready.
25	MR. ROMINGER: I understand.

1 (End of sidebar discussion.) 2 MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, we call 3 Dr. Atkins to the stand. MR. FINA: Your Honor, obviously because 4 5 there had to be a report and it was just 6 employed, so we needed to an opportunity to 7 review that. Your Honor, I'm sorry. Provide us with 8 9 just one minute to approach? 10 (Whereupon, the following discussion was 11 held at sidebar:) 12 We didn't get a -- sorry I MR. FINA: 13 didn't mention. We didn't get a chance to 14 discuss whether the jury is going to get any 15 instructions about expert testimony and what this 16 testimony, the purpose of it is, and any kind of 17 quidance on this because of the issue of --18 THE COURT: They need to be told the 19 purpose --20 MR. FINA: They need to be clear that 21 psychiatric, psychologic to refute specific 22 intent of a crime with the exception of murder. 23 We don't need to get into that. I have ab aside 24 and it needs to be given -- need not be given now 25 but at some point there has to be --

1 THE COURT: I don't know that it would 2 hurt to explain now the purpose of the testimony 3 by stipulation is to explain, offer an 4 explanation for the letters that had been described as love letters. 5 6 MR. ROMINGER: Right. 7 THE COURT: And that as an expert, an 8 expert is permitted to offer an opinion as 9 opposed to other witnesses who can only testify 10 about facts. 11 MR. ROMINGER: They're going to try --12 personality disorder --13 THE COURT: Yeah, but only for the 14 purpose of explaining the letters, not for 15 defending whether the conduct occurred. 16 We're not sure about that, MR. FINA: 17 Judge. 18 MR. ROMINGER: We're pretty sure about 19 that, Judge. Spent quite a bit of time with Dr. Atkins. 20 21 MR. McGETTIGAN: The Commonwealth has to 22 address the fact that personality disorder does 23 not preclude the other characteristics. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. 25 MR. McGETTIGAN: Okay.

1 MR. FINA: Again, I'm just concerned 2 about any confusion to the jury that this can be 3 considered in any way to minimize, eliminate any 4 specific intent. I mean, that's where this 5 really can --6 THE COURT: You can do that. 7 MR. AMENDOLA: Yeah. I can do that now by stipulation? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 (End of sidebar discussion.) 11 Whereupon, 12 ELLIOT ATKINS 13 was called as a witness and having been duly 14 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 15 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, before 16 you begin the examination of this witness, 17 counsel have agreed that I can give you a certain 18 instruction about the purpose of this testimony 19 and some testimony which you may hear from the 20 Commonwealth and how this testimony may be used 21 by you. 22 An expert is a person who is qualified 23 by training, experience, or background to offer 24 an opinion as opposed to other witnesses who 25 testify about facts. The purpose of this

1 testimony which you are about to hear is to offer 2 an explanation concerning the letters which you 3 had previously seen projected onto the screen. 4 Expert testimony such as this witness 5 may not be used, and counsel have agreed, to 6 explain a way, rebut, or excuse any other intent 7 to create any -- to perform any act. So this is offered for a very limited 8 9 purpose, simply to explain the letters and the 10 motivation of the defendant in writing the 11 letters and, of course, you may hear an 12 alternative opinion. I don't know. But that is 13 the only purpose for which this is offered and 14 counsel have agreed to that effect. 15 Mr. Amendola, correct? 16 MR. AMENDOLA: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Mr. McGettigan? 18 MR. McGETTIGAN: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Correct? 20 MR. MCGETTIGAN: Absolutely, Your Honor. 21 Go ahead, Mr. Rominger. THE COURT: 22 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. ROMINGER: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION AS TO QUALIFICATIONS 24 BY MR. ROMINGER: Would you give your name for the record? 25

1 A. Elliot Atkins.

- Q. And what is your field of expertise?
  - A. I am a psychologist.
  - Q. I have had marked as Defendant's Exhibit 5 a curriculum vitae?
    - A. Yes.
      - Q. Is that your curriculum vitae?
    - A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. Can you tell us what qualifications you have as a psychologist?
  - A. Yes. I have been licensed as a psychologist in Pennsylvania since 1977. I have worked as a school psychologist and a clinical psychologist and over the last 25 years or so also forensic psychologist.

My education includes bachelor's degree in fine arts from Temple University. I was a painter and sculptor and realized I wasn't good enough to support myself or a family. I went back and got my master's in clinical psychology. Then I got my doctorate in school psychology. And the doctoral in school psychology provided a degree of E.D.D., Doctor of Education, which was in addition to my master's degree which was in psychology.

The Doctoral of Education program at

Temple was identical to the Ph.D. program except
that instead of taking three research courses, I
took three courses that dealt with working with
young people in the schools and doing
psychological evaluations in the school.

Once I completed that doctoral program,

I began working as a school psychologist and as a
clinical psychologist since I had already been
licensed in Pennsylvania to practice clinical
psychology prior to my doctorate.

In those days you did not have to have a doctorate to be licensed. Today everybody has to have a doctorate. So I began practicing clinical psychology with my Master's Degree back in the late seventies, 1977.

Once I began practicing clinical psychology I began focusing primarily in the area of working with young adults, adolescents, teenagers, particularly people who were having substance abuse problems. As a result of the work I was doing in that area, I consulted with city, state and federal agencies in the areas of developing psychological treatment, intervention, prevention programs in the area of substance

abuse.

As a result of that work, I began testifying in court as an expert witness on adolescent substance abuse and then ultimately on adult substance abuse. Because of those court experiences, I began to develop what's called a forensic psychological practice.

A forensic practice means -- well, let me explain it simply. Clinical practice is treating emotional problems, behavior problems. Psychologists who have a clinical practice treat patients. Forensic psychology doesn't involve the treatment of a patient. It involves the evaluation and the consultation with attorneys, evaluation of people who are either involved in civil lawsuits or in criminal lawsuits or even custody matters or visitation matters. I developed this forensic practice but never gave up my clinical practice. They operate side by side.

My forensic practice is divided pretty much in half. Half of it is civil where I -- half of that civil practice is plaintiff's work where people are suing other people and half is defense work where the people are being sued by

1	other people and attorneys from both sides bring
2	me in to evaluate the individuals to see the
3	extent to which they may or may not have suffered
4	injuries as a result of those particular claims.
5	On the criminal side, I testify
6	primarily for the defense. I would say about 25
7	percent of the time, I do testify for
8	prosecution, but 75 percent of the time I testify
9	for the defense.
LO	In these criminal cases, I testify
11	THE COURT: I think maybe if we could
L2	focus on specific questions, it would move this
L3	along.
L 4	MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, I think the
L 5	Commonwealth has indicated they'll agree that he
L 6	is an expert in psychology?
L 7	MR. McGETTIGAN: We would have agreed
L 8	long ago that the witness is qualified to offer
L 9	expert opinion in the area of psychology. Thank
20	you, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Very well. Go ahead.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. ROMINGER:
24	Q. Did you have a chance to meet with
25	Mr. Sandusky and review that for purposes of

1 making a diagnosis? 2 Α. Yes. 3 You were asked to evaluate at some point 0. 4 and look at some letters. Did you do that before 5 or after you reached that diagnosis? 6 The letters were after reached the 7 diagnosis. 8 Now, we have heard the letters described as, by at least one of the witnesses or more and 9 10 I believe an argument, as creepy love letters? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Are there any other possible 13 explanations for those letters? 14 Α. In my opinion, there are. 15 Ο. Can you explain? 16 Well, based on my evaluation of Α. 17 Mr. Sandusky, I diagnosed a histrionic 18 personality disorder. I will present you with some information about what that means, and I 19 20 will also help you, hopefully, recognize how that 21 personality disorder is evidenced in these 22 letters, and I will hopefully be able to give you 23 some understanding that this could also explain

MR. McGETTIGAN: I would object to the

why these letters are written.

24

1 response and move that it be stricken. THE COURT: Doctor, I'm going to -- this 2 3 is a very narrow question. I am going to ask you 4 to respond very specifically to the questions that are asked, not to try to get off or not 5 6 inadvertently get off course. 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ROMINGER: Permission to lead a 8 9 little bit, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 BY MR. ROMINGER: 12 You had a chance to run various 0. 13 diagnostics on Mr. Sandusky? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. What diagnostics did you use? 16 There are two instruments that I used Α. 17 that are called objective psychologist tests. 18 One was the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality 19 Inventory Second Edition, MMPI-2. 20 That is the most widely used measure of 21 psychological functioning and personality 22 characteristics. It's got the highest validity 23 and reliability scores. It's been accepted and 24 researched more than just about any other --25 MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained. Doctor, please.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

## BY MR. ROMINGER:

- Q. Besides the MMPI-2, what else did you use?
- A. The Millon Clinical Inventory which assesses primarily personality structure as opposed to psychological problems, even though it does that also.
- Q. Besides the two objective tests, did you do anything else which we would consider on the subjective side of your profession?
- A. I spent six hours interviewing
  Mr. Sandusky. I spent an hour interviewing his
  wife. I reviewed the discovery materials, the
  investigation reports, the statements of the
  alleged victims and then more recently I had the
  opportunity to read Mr. Sandusky's book that he
  coauthored, reviewed the letters that are the
  reason why I am testifying today, and I also had
  the opportunity to review the transcript of the
  accusers' grand jury testimony.
- Q. As a result of all that review, and I think you said prior to reading the letters, you reached a conclusion that he suffered from a

## 1 disorder? 2 Α. Yes. 3 What disorder is that? Ο. 4 Α. Histrionic personality disorder. Would it help to put a slide up to 5 Q. 6 explain that? 7 Α. I think so. Doctor, can you tell the jury about 8 0. 9 histrionic personality order? 10 What you are seeing on the screen is 11 from the book called the DSM-IV-TR. That.'s 12 American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and 13 Statistical Manual Fourth Edition Text Revision. 14 This is considered to be the classification book. 15 Some people refer to it as the Bible in 16 psychiatry and psychology that we are able to 17 look at the various criteria for each disorder to 18 see whether or not a person might fit that 19 particular disorder. 20 This is directly from this DSM-IV-TR. 21 It says that a histrionic personality disorder is 22 a persuasive pattern of excessive emotionality 23 and attention seeking beginning by early 2.4 adulthood and present in a variety of contexts as

indicated by five or more of the following:

25

1 Is uncomfortable in situations in which 2 he or she is not the center of attention. 3 The interactions with others is often 4 characterized by inappropriate sexually seductive 5 or provocative behavior. Displays rapidly shifting and shallow 6 7 expressions of emotions. Consistently uses physical appearance to 8 draw attention to self. 9 10 Has a style of speech that is 11 excessively impressionistic and lacking in 12 detail. 13 Shows self dramatization, theatricality, 14 and exaggerated expression of emotions. 15 Is suggestible, easily influenced by 16 others or circumstances. 17 And considers relationships to be more 18 intimate than they actually are. 19 Ο. Now, the DSM-IV, my understanding is 20 there maybe a V coming out? 21 Yes. The DSM-V will be the replacement 22 for DSM-IV-TR. It is expected to come out in the 23 next year or so and it -- right now there's a tentative draft of that DSM-V. 2.4 In that DSM-V the ten personality 25

disorders that are listed in DSM-IV-TR are going
to be collapsed into five, and those five are
going to be including all of the same personality
characteristics and all the same diagnoses that
are included in DSM-IV-TR but for the sake of
classifying them in a different way, they're
going to be collapsed into five.

- Q. I'm going to interrupt you. But basically, just so we understand, even though DSM-V is coming out IV-TR is still the one that a licensed psychologist or person in the profession relies on at this point?
  - A. That is correct.

- Q. And V is not going to materially change, having looked at it though, your diagnosis in this case?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. We're going to look at your next slide.

  I believe you picked pages out of -- is it the

  DSM-IV-TR?
- A. Yes, I have a few sections. This is -what I have just presented was the checklist.

  This is the description from the DSM-IV-TR of
  histrionic personality disorder. If I could just
  read a couple of these sections.

1 Individuals with histrionic personality 2 disorder are uncomfortable or --3 THE COURT: Doctor, you moved away from 4 the microphone and now the jury can't hear you. 5 THE WITNESS: I actually have it. 6 BY MR. ROMINGER: 7 Did you have a paper version? 8 Yeah. Can that be enlarged for the 9 jury, too? 10 MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, we haven't 11 been provided this in any event. 12 MR. ROMINGER: It may be different. 13 BY MR. ROMINGER: 14 Is this a picture of the DSM-IV-TR? 15 Α. Yes, this is directly from -- this is 16 directly from the -- it's the standard text. 17 Okay. What significant points did you 18 want to make out of it? 19 MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, just if I may, counsel is asking the witness to read from a 20 21 document which he has not provided to us. 22 don't carry a DSM-IV around with me, and I would 23 like to be able to follow along. We can't read 24 that. 25 Do you have a copy? THE COURT:

1 MR. ROMINGER: I believe it's right here 2 on Mr. McGettigan's screen, Your Honor. 3 MR. McGETTIGAN: I can't read that 4 either. 5 MR. ROMINGER: Maybe if they switch 6 I don't have an extra printout of that, 7 Your Honor. We do have it up here on the screen. THE COURT: Okay. Just sit closer to 8 9 the screen. 10 MR. McGETTIGAN: I will, Your Honor. Ι 11 beg your pardon. 12 THE WITNESS: I apologize for how small 13 this is. I thought this was on PowerPoint and it 14 didn't come out that way. It can't be enlarged 15 so easily. 16 Individuals with histrionic personality 17 disorder are uncomfortable or feeling 18 unappreciated when they're not the center of 19 attention. 20 Often lively and dramatic, they tend to 21 draw attention to themselves and may initially 22 charm new acquaintances by their enthusiasm, 23 apparent openness or flirtatiousness. These 24 qualities wear thin, however, as these individuals continually demand to be the center 25

of attention. They commandeer the role of life of the party. If they're not the center of attention, they may do something dramatic such as making up stories or creating a scene to draw attention to themselves.

I'm skipping down a little bit.

The appearance and behavior of individuals with this disorder are often inappropriately sexually provocative or seductive. This behavior is directed not only to persons whom the person has a sexual or romantic interest but occurs in a wide variety of social occupational and professional relationships beyond what is appropriate for the social context.

Skipping down a little bit more.

Individuals with this disorder are characterized by self dramatization, theatricality, and an exaggerated expression of emotion. They may embarrass friends and acquaintances by an excessive public display of emotions. For example, embracing casual acquaintances with excessive ardor, sobbing uncontrollably on minor sentimental occasions or having temper tantrums.

Onto the next page.

## 2 BY MR. ROMINGER:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Again, this next page is going to be the DSM-IV again?
- Individuals with histrionic personality disorder may have difficulty achieving emotional intimacy in romantic or sexual relationships. They may seek to control their partner through emotional manipulation or seductiveness on one level whereas displaying a marked dependency on them at another level. Individuals with this disorder often have impaired relationships with same-sex friends because they're sexually provocative interpersonal style may seem a threat to their friends' relationships. These individuals may also alienate friends with demands for constant attention. They often become depressed and upset when they're not the center of attention. Longer-term relationships may be neglected to make way for the excitement of new relationships.

I think that's basically all that I need to go over. The rest is pretty consistent with that.

Q. Okay. My computer is pretty slow. So

1 forgive me.

Now, you made some bullet points to help us understand what you just said?

A. Yes. With the diagnosis -- given what I just read to you, there are certain assumptions that one could make. With the diagnosis of histrionic personality disorder, someone would have a need for attention, approval, respect, admiration, intimacy.

With a diagnosis of histrionic personality disorder, someone would likely act in ways that would be attention-seeking, dramatic, emotional, charming, energetic, seductive, manipulative, impulsive, erratic, demanding.

With a diagnosis of histrionic personality disorder, someone would likely expect appreciation, loyalty, cooperation, reciprocity, and approval.

With a diagnosis of histrionic personality disorder, someone would, if these expectations are not met, likely feel, hurt, taken for granted, betrayed, abandoned, or used.

With a diagnosis of histrionic personality disorder, someone would likely under those circumstances desperately attempt to

1 maintain or reestablish that relationship.

With a diagnosis of histrionic personality disorder, someone would, if those attempts were that thwarted, likely become critical of these rejecting parties, particularly in relation to unmet expectations.

I would go right to the letters.

- Q. Now, and let me before I go to the letters ask you a couple questions. You reached that diagnosis without first seeing the letters. When you saw the letters what struck you?
- A. The letters made me feel more confident about my diagnosis. The field of psychology is not at the state of science where we can be confident that our diagnoses are accurate. There's a lot of subjectivity. There's a lot of personal clinical intuition, and we look for as much evidence as possible to support our diagnoses.

In fact, that the book that I read that Mr. Sandusky coauthored absolutely confirmed in my mind this diagnosis was one thing. But then when I read the letters, they were making it much clearer to me that this, in fact, was the diagnosis.

1	Q. Did you get a chance to review, and I'll
2	just put up before you what you have in the
3	PowerPoint, some of the notes and material that
4	were provided in discovery in this case?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. This right here, we're seeing a list.
7	Do you know what this is?
8	A. I saw that many of the letters or almost
9	all of the letters were addressed to one of the
10	accusers, Brett.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. And I did not know this was not
13	addressed. I didn't know whether this was
14	intended for someone else. So I thought these
15	were letters that were written to more than one
16	person. But ultimately learned that this was
17	Mr. Sandusky's notes to himself in reference to
18	Brett. That's my best understanding of it at
19	this point because it does not have the name of
20	an intended recipient.
21	Q. Now, I'll move forward to this letter
22	here. You saw something significant here?
23	THE COURT: For the record, do you have
24	an exhibit number on this?

MR. ROMINGER: I believe, Your Honor.

1 MR. FINA: The one with the questions 2 was 22, Your Honor. 3 MR. ROMINGER: First one is 22. This is 26. 4 Commonwealth's 26. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 BY MR. ROMINGER: 7 Anything significant about Commonwealth Ο. 2.6? 8 9 What I did and I don't know if the Α. Yes. 10 jury can see it here but I have highlighted 11 certain sections. I'm just going to just cite 12 this. 13 I write because of the churning in my 14 own stomach when you don't care. I write because 15 I still hope that there will be meaning to the 16 time that we have known each other. 17 This is an example of the expectations 18 that the person would reciprocate the caring, the 19 respect, and admiration. I think many of these 20 examples that I have highlighted represent 21 exactly those -- not only those reciprocal 22 responses that were being expected by 23 Mr. Sandusky but the letters represent his hurt, his disappointment, and his criticism of these 24 25 people for not fulfilling what he was hoping he

would be getting back in return for what he gave in these relationships.

O. Go ahead.

- A. You just skipped over one. Just scroll back up a little bit.
  - Q. This is 26 still?
  - A. Yep. That's it.

We seem to be an inconvenience. When it is inconvenient or a little or a better deal comes along, you leave a trail of broken promises. Commitments seem to be meaningless. You don't understand or choose not to worry about loyalty, commitment, or caring. The motivation is to get what you want regardless of others.

Q. And then as you went -- I'm going to be frank. We'll cut this down a little bit. Let's get to the point. The next letter here which I believe is -- I'm going to take you down to one in particular. Bear with me for a second.

Okay. Here's another one which is Commonwealth's 24. Can you tell us what was significant in that letter?

A. Driving home the other night from Snow Shoe I had many thoughts, some happy, some a little sad. As you know I am very emotional and

kind of let everything out. I'm not good at hiding my feelings. I have many Forest Gump qualities and I thought a lot about that movie as I was driving home. As you would expect, I cried at that movie.

I remembered Forest and how he was so naive, oblivious to the world. I'm not very smart. He was so happy because he wasn't caught up in being anything other than a caring person. I wish I had more of that in me.

There was his wife and some friends who didn't understand what he did and tried to find their happiness by partying and living the fast life. So many people look for happiness that way.

- Q. What about that terminology, that letter is consistent with histrionic?
- A. His admission that he is an extremely emotional person; that he has strong feelings that he can't contain; that he expects that people would stay committed to him, people would be loyal. He's kind of saying that he is kind of an unusual person that's naive and not very bright in some ways and -- at least socially and in this case he was hoping that he could be

understood by the person that he's writing this to.

- Q. Is self-deprecating behavior something that's common amongst histrionics?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Why is that?
- A. Underlying the histrionic sense of entitlement that they get back so much and they're extending themselves so much to other people is a basic underlying insecurity, basic underlying a lack of self-confidence. Often these are people who did not have as much success in relationships, emotional or romantic relationships, earlier in life and reached out more to those people who would be more likely to admire them, more likely to look up to them, give them the approval and the admiration and love that they felt they might not be able to learn from their peers.
- Q. So I want to ask a couple more things. You saw a report that was generated about a Dr. O'Brien?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. I believe the Commonwealth had that done. Do you have any reaction to his report?

1	A. Dr. O'Brien basically concluded, after
2	reviewing my report and interviewing Mr. Sandusky
3	and reviewing some materials, that Mr. Sandusky
4	does not meet the criteria for this disorder.
5	But he didn't give any reasons in his report as
6	to why he does not meet this. He just simply
7	gave his opinion that he doesn't meet it.
8	Q. So you're pretty sure that the objective
9	testing is consistent with histrionic
10	personality?
11	MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection. Leading.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	BY MR. ROMINGER:
14	Q. You disagree with that doctor's
15	conclusion?
16	MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection. That's been
17	asked and answered. He's offered his opinion.
18	THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
19	BY MR. ROMINGER:
20	Q. You disagree with that opinion?
21	A. Yeah. In fact, Mr. O'Brien cites the
22	objective testing for the Millon and cites that
23	it does come up with the conclusion that
24	Mr. Sandusky clearly meets the criteria for
25	histrionic personality disorder. That's even

1 cited in Dr. O'Brien's report. 2 I'm not going to belabor -- we'll go 3 through these letters during closing. But my understanding is to a reasonable degree of 4 5 psychological certainty, Mr. Sandusky suffers 6 from histrionic personality order? 7 Α. Yes. And that that disorder can explain 8 alternatively the content of these letters as 9 10 opposed to being -- quote -- creepy love letters? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Ο. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Cross. 14 MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, we may need 15 to address the Court and put something on the 16 record having to do with -- preliminarily before 17 my cross. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 (Whereupon, the following discussion was 20 held at sidebar:) 21 MR. FINA: Your Honor, I have I guess 22 two primary concerns. 23 Number one, despite the representations 24 that were made that this was going to be limited 25 to the letters, I would aver that is not

what happened here. I would just go through some of the facts of what I just saw.

Notably the expert testified -- the expert testified that he reviewed all of the evidence, the grand jury materials, the discovery in this case, the testimony of the accusers, essentially everything but the letters, when before he reached his diagnosis. He then went on to say that only after he had reached his diagnosis did he see the letters.

Well, the message that sends very clearly is that this disorder applies to all of his other conduct. As if that is not enough, then in the presentation of the disorder itself, there was extensive display showing to the jury in which -- I'll just read some of the language, Your Honor.

In which the elements of this histrionic personality order sexually, seductive, or provocative behavior. A person who assumes relationships to be more intimate than they actually are. A person who often inappropriately uses sexually, provocative, or seductive acts. A person who does things is beyond what is appropriate in a social -- in appropriate social

1 context, and then a person who engages in embarrassing -- in embracing casual 2 3 acquaintances, a specific reference to embracing. 4 THE COURT: You think this is harmful to the Commonwealth? 5 6 MR. FINA: No. 7 MR. McGETTIGAN: It's opened the door. MR. FINA: What I think, Your Honor, is 8 this has -- this is why I want to be clear before 9 10 the cross. That has not only opened the door, I 11 respectfully, Judge, and I don't mean to 12 overstate this. This has obliterated the door. 13 There is no more door. 14 This has gone directly into the issue of 15 his broader behavior including his sexual 16 behavior. 17 I'm also concerned -- the second part, 18 Your Honor, is that the Court gave an instruction 19 to the jury that now I think is not accurate. 20 The Court specifically told the jury based on the 21 good faith representations that this was going to 22 be limited to the letters. It seems, Your Honor, 23 clear now that it is not limited to the letters. 24 It is a very back door, an obvious way of going 25 after criminal intent in this case. I think a

more severe instruction, a more pointed instruction now has to be made that this can in no way, shape, or form affect criminal effect.

2.4

I have case law on that, Your Honor. I would like to be present that to the Court where the Supreme Court has been just emphatic about this in the context by way of personality disorders saying that personality disorders are specifically inadmissible in this context.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FINA: I'm sorry, Judge.

THE COURT: I will charge the jury at the end of the case.

MR. FINA: Yes.

THE COURT: That the expert testimony is not sufficient to rebut. I have a whole thing that you haven't seen yet about intent that I have written.

I'm not going to say that it opened the door. Obviously, he has to explain histrionic personality disorder. He didn't talk specifically about any conduct of this case or even the defendant's specific conduct except insofar as it related to the last question was said an alternative explanation for what's been

```
1
      described as creepy love letters.
                                          So I don't
 2
      think it's opened the door and.
 3
                MR. McGETTIGAN:
                                 I have to ask this
 4
      witness whether this histrionic personality
 5
      disorder precludes the existence of a clinical
 6
      diagnosis.
 7
                THE COURT:
                            Sure.
 8
                MR. McGETTIGAN:
                                 Okay.
 9
                            I have no problem with that.
                THE COURT:
10
                                And I'm going to ask
                MR. McGETTIGAN:
11
      him if some of the characteristics of histrionic
12
      personality disorder, in fact, overlap or can go
13
      with other clinical diagnosis.
14
                THE COURT:
                            That's all right, too.
15
                MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
      I am going to name them. Psychosexual disorders
17
      because that is, in fact -- - and Dr. Atkins
18
      confirmed this to me.
                THE COURT: I think that's --
19
20
                MR. ROMINGER: I don't have a problem
21
      with that.
22
                            That's fair.
                THE COURT:
23
                (End of sidebar discussion.)
24
                THE COURT: We'll take a 20-minute break
25
      at this point. We'll reconvene at 20 to 3:00.
```

1	We'll remain seated please while the
2	jury is taken out.
3	(Whereupon, the jury was escorted from
4	the courtroom.)
5	THE COURT: We'll be in recess until
6	2:45.
7	(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
8	THE COURT: You may be seated. Court is
9	now in session.
LO	Would you bring the jury in please?
11	(Whereupon, the jury was escorted into
L2	the courtroom.)
13	THE COURT: Mr. McGettigan, go ahead.
L 4	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
L5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L 6	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
L 7	Q. Sir, when were you retained?
L 8	A. I guess about a month ago, three weeks
L 9	ago.
20	Q. Well, you tell me.
21	A. I don't have the exact date. My letter
22	was written June 10th. My report was written
23	June 10th. I would imagine it would have been
24	about two weeks before then.
25	Q. Your report was written June 10th. Do

1 you know when it was provided to us? I have no idea. 2 Α. 3 When did you try and provide --Ο. allegedly try and provide it to Dr. O'Brien? 4 5 I have that right here. 6 How about two days ago; does that Q. 7 refresh your recollection? Sunday? 8 Yes, Sunday. Α. 9 Okay. So that's the first time you 10 generated a report provided to us; is that 11 correct? 12 Α. I was asked on --13 Ο. Excuse me. 14 Α. -- Saturday. 15 Excuse me. If I ask a question that is Q. 16 susceptible to a yes or no answer, I would like 17 you to provide and then offer any explanation you 18 see fit. Okav? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Is the first time you generated and 21 tried to provide a report to us about this 22 histrionic personality disorder was Sunday; is 23 that correct? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Notwithstanding that you Q. Okay. Fine.

1 were hired three weeks or a month ago; is that 2 your response? 3 Α. It was a few weeks before I wrote it, 4 yes. 5 A few weeks before you wrote it. Ο. 6 much have you been paid? 7 I'm charging at the rate of \$375 an hour and whatever the amount of hours were that I put 8 9 into it, that's what I get paid. 10 Okay. Who retained you? Q. 11 Mr. Amendola. Α. 12 And you are retained for the purpose of 0. 13 assisting the defendant in his defense; is that 14 correct? 15 Α. Yeah. 16 Q. Okay. 17 For whatever purpose he had in mind. Α. 18 Okay. And these -- the MMTI (sic)? Ο. MMPI. 19 Α. 20 MMPI. What's the other one? Q. 21 The Millon. Α. 22 Okay. And one of them reflected that 0. 23 there was no personality disorder, did it not? 24 Α. It didn't actually say there was no 25 personality order.

- 1 Ο. It reflected upon. There were none 2 available from the review of the raw material; is 3 that correct? 4 Nothing that stood out significantly, 5 correct. 6 So that in one of those, there was no 7 histrionic personality disorder indicated; is 8 that correct? 9 It's not that easy to say yes or no to. 10 Well, give it a try. Q. 11 Α. Maybe. Maybe. 12 So you searched around and found it. Ο. 13 I said maybe there is an indication of Α. 14 aspects of that disorder. 15 Ο. Okay. And now, you were retained 16 particularly for the purpose of determining 17 whether these letters reflected histrionic 18 personality disorder; is that correct? 19 Α. No. 20
  - Q. You were retained for the purpose of examining these letters to see if they were product of histrionic personality disorder; is that correct?

21

22

23

A. No. I didn't know about these letters
when I was retained.

1	Q. You didn't know about the letters?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Okay. And so basically when did you
4	first examine the defendant?
5	A. You asked me that before. I told you it
6	was probably
7	Q. No, I asked when you were retained
8	first. Now I asked you when you examined the
9	defendant?
10	A. Probably about a week and a half before
11	I wrote the report.
12	Q. Do you know exactly?
13	A. I don't have that in front of me.
14	Actually I do.
15	Q. Great.
16	A. May 24th.
17	Q. May 24th. And up until Sunday, the
18	Commonwealth had no report. You had generated a
19	one-page letter with one paragraph claim that the
20	defendant suffered from histrionic personality
21	disorder; would that be correct?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Okay. Now, when you were asked to
24	examine the defendant, did they say he has these
25	characteristics. Let's see what we got. Just

1 examine him; see what you can locate. Did they just say examine the defendant. Here's the DSM. 2 3 Look and see if something is in there? 4 Α. No. 5 What did they say? Ο. 6 Take a look at the discovery materials, 7 take a look at -- talk to Mr. Sandusky, and see 8 if you're able to have any understanding about 9 his actions and his behavior. What can you learn 10 that could help us. 11 Okay. So -- now --Ο. 12 MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, I'm going to 13 object if the Commonwealth is going to try take 14 this outside of our very limited purpose. THE COURT: Yeah. 15 That's correct. 16 MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, I'll do my 17 best in trying to determine the diagnostic 18 efforts and how they arose. I think that's the 19 solution. 20 THE COURT: You're very aware of the 21 limitations and the problems. 22 MR. McGETTIGAN: I am indeed, Your 23 Honor. 24 BY MR. McGETTIGAN:

And you know the defendant's age?

1 Sixty-eight. Α. 2 Q. And did they provide you with any 3 records of previous psychiatric treatment, 4 psychological examinations, or anything of the 5 sort? 6 No. Α. 7 And you are aware the defendant had none 8 of those issues? 9 Α. Yes. 10 So that at the age of 68, you were 11 examining the defendant and you discovered he had 12 -- suddenly that he had histrionic personality 13 disorder at the age of 68? Is that a yes? 14 Α. Is that a question? 15 Q. That's a question yes or no. 16 Yes. Α. 17 And may I have the first page there? Ο. 18 Histrionic personality disorder. And, sir, is 19 that from the DSM you said? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And DSM-IV-TR? Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 And you talked a little bit about DSM-V Q. 24 that you expect to come out? 25 Α. Yes.

1 They're actually folding a bunch of Ο. 2 personality disorders into one thing. This will 3 disappear in the next DSM, will it not? 4 It will be collapsed into those five 5 categories. 6 Q. No longer exist as an independent 7 disorder. It's just going to be collapsed, 8 correct? 9 Correct. Α. 10 Okay. But while it's present, this is 11 what you are diagnosing it? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. Let's go over these again. 14 second one there, interaction with others is 15 often characterized by inappropriate sexually 16 seductive or provocative behavior. Okay. And 17 considers relationship to be more intimate than 18 they actually are. You said these are some of 19 the characteristics of histrionic personality 20 disorder; is that correct? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 You are supposed to find, what, five or 23 more of those on the list? 24 That's correct. Α.

Okay. How many did you find?

25

Q.

1	A. At least five, probably six, maybe
2	seven.
3	Q. Obviously at least five.
4	MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, may I
5	approach the witness?
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	By MR. McGETTIGAN:
8	Q. Sir, I'm placing in front of you a book.
9	Do you recognize the book at least by its cover?
LO	A. Yes.
11	Q. What is it?
12	A. That's the DSM-IV-TR.
L3	Q. Okay. Do you see there's a yellow
L 4	marker there, little legal thing? There we go.
L 5	Opens you to personality disorders, doesn't it?
L 6	A. Yes.
L 7	Q. Okay. Could you do me a favor? This is
L 8	what you referred to when you talked about this
L 9	list?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And what you relied upon. Could you
22	read just that first paragraph I believe, and
23	you'll correct me if I'm wrong, that describes
24	what a personality disorder is?
25	A. Yes.

Q. Could you do that?

- A. This section begins with the general definition of personality disorder that applies to each of the ten specific personality disorders. A personality disorder is an enduring pattern of inner experience and behavior that deviates markedly from the expectation of the individual's culture. It's pervasive and inflexible, has an onset in adolescent or early adulthood, is stable over time, and leads to distress or impairment.
  - Q. Thank you. And you would agree that that is the DSM definition of a personality disorder?
    - A. Absolutely.
  - Q. So, and if you can -- very briefly tell me when in the defendant's adolescence or early adulthood this histrionic personality disorder arose?
    - A. It's been a part of his life throughout.
    - Q. Excuse me. When did it arise?
    - A. During the adolescence.
  - Q. Okay. Exactly when was the marker that you discovered that said it arose or that it existed?

A. It's not a single marker.

- Q. Well, let's see the first one you located.
- A. I listened to him talk about his childhood. I listened to him talk about the way in which he would act around other people. How he always needed to be the center of attention, how he was also overly emotional, how he was always hypersensitive. These are things he described about his growing up.
- Q. That's how he described him presently.

  Do you have some objective marker that you were able to locate that indicated the early onset to adulthood or adolescence of these characteristics?
- A. The earliest I have that I could say is objective would be the book he wrote in 2000.
  - Q. Okay. So --
  - A. And in that book --
- Q. He certainly was not an adolescent or in early adulthood in the year 2000, was he?
- A. No, but he wrote that book before there were any charges. That book described over dozens and dozens of pages what his adolescence was like.

1 That is self description, not an Ο. objective marker; is that correct? 2 3 Α. That's correct. The book itself --4 Now, a personality disorder, I believe, Q. 5 leads to distress or impairment. In fact it's 6 supposed to interfere with the normal functioning 7 of life, isn't it? 8 It sure does. Α. 9 Okay. And the defendant, as you know, 10 has a Bachelor's Degree? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Master's Degree? 0. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Employed for 20 plus, almost 30 years in 0. 15 a high-functioning job; would you agree with 16 that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And founded a nonprofit and made that --19 that employed dozen of people and had millions of 20 dollars in funding? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 And he was -- yet he was impaired in his 23 normal functioning? 24 Α. Yes, to some extent he was. 25 Okay. And now, may I have the next page Q.

1 please? 2 Thank you. 3 With the diagnosis of histrionic 4 personality disorder, someone would have a need 5 for attention, approval, respect, admiration, and 6 intimacy. That sounds like -- that sounds like a 7 lot of people, doesn't it? 8 Α. It does. 9 Now, is this in the DSM-IV? Where is 10 that book? Is that there? That list? 11 That list is not from the DSM-IV. Α. 12 That's collapsed from what the DSM-IV described. 13 That's your version? 0. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. Do you have a need for attention? 16 I have a need for every one of those Α. 17 things. 18 Ο. Great. Okay. So in that respect your 19 description of histrionic personality disorder 20 would say that, well, they have the same needs 21 everybody else has. That doesn't help us much, 22 does it? 23 I think we could say that about any 24 diagnosis in that textbook. 25 Next page please. Q.

Histrionic personality disorder, someone would act attention-seeking, dramatic, emotional, charming, energetic, seductive, manipulative, impulsive, erratic, and demanding. That's sounds like that would dramatically interfere with a person's ability to function on a normal basis, doesn't it?

- A. Depending on the severity of these, yes, and certainly areas of a person's life would be affected by that. There's no question about it. It would not affect every aspect of their life.
- Q. Okay. So someone could go to work and say -- seek attention all day, be dramatic, emotional, charming, energetic, seductive, manipulative, impulsive, erratic, and demanding and still have no problem working through the day?
- A. The findings on these personality disorders indicate that people could be extremely high functioning and still have these personality disorders.
- Q. It says -- I believe you just read the description. It says leads to distress or impairment?
  - A. That's correct.

1 So they're high functioning, yet they're Ο. 2 distressed and impaired? 3 Yes, in certain areas. 4 Ο. In this list up here, is that in the 5 DSM-IV either, in that form? 6 That didn't come in that form but all of 7 that is from the DSM description. That's your version? 8 Ο. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Thank you. Next page please. Q. 11 With a diagnosis of histrionic 12 personality disorder, someone would likely expect 13 appreciation, loyalty, cooperation, reciprocity, 14 and approval. Once again, if you lead an 15 organization, pretty much everybody would expect 16 that, wouldn't they? 17 To some degree, yes. Α. 18 Okay. And, again, that's not from the 0. 19 DSM-IV either, is it? That's your version of it? 20 That's taken right from it but it's not Α. 21 verbatim from that. 22 Just so -- the word appreciation is 23 somewhere and you put it on the list? 24 Α. That's right. 25 And the word loyalty is somewhere in Q.

1 there. You put it on the list? 2 Α. Yes. 3 And the word cooperation is somewhere in 0. 4 there and you put it on the list? 5 That is correct. Α. 6 That's a big book. You could have made Q. 7 a bigger list, couldn't you? It came from histrionic. 8 Α. 9 May I have the next page please? Ο. 10 With the diagnosis of histrionic 11 personality disorder, someone with these 12 expectations would likely feel hurt, taken for 13 granted, betrayed, abandoned, or used. 14 Can we go back to the other page? Thank 15 you. 16 And now, so if someone doesn't get the 17 list on the first page, they might feel like the 18 list on the second page? 19 Α. That's right. 20 Again, you would admit that is a Ο. 21 common-place response, would you not, among many 22 people? 23 Α. Everything is a matter of degree. 24 I see. And, again, this is not from the Q. 25 This is from your -- you saw or heard it DSM-IV.

1 someplace and you put it on your list? 2 That came from the description in the 3 DSM-IV and from the literature on it. 4 Ο. Is there a list like this in the DSM-IV? Not in that exact form. 5 6 Okay. So you took the word hurt from Q. somewhere in there -- one of these disorders and 7 8 put it on there and then taken for granted and 9 put that on there and that's how you made up the 10 list; is that correct? 11 Α. Not from different disorders. They're 12 all from histrionic. 13 Okay. And if I may have the next one Ο. 14 please? 15 Would likely under those 16 circumstances -- did you get that from these 17 letters that you are supposed to be talking 18 about? Did you say that a person with a 19 diagnosis of histrionic personality disorder, 20 someone would likely under those circumstances 21 desperately attempt to maintain or reestablish 22 the relationship; is that from the letters? 23 Α. That's from the literature on 2.4 histrionic. That's before the letters.

25

Q.

Okay.

1 MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, if I may 2 once again approach the witness? 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: 6 O. You have been handed a document which 7 was previously marked and not yet published to the jury as No. 22. 8 9 MR. McGETTIGAN: It's No. 22, Your 10 Honor. 11 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: 12 Q. And you relied on the letters that you 13 saw? In some part you relied on the letters 14 that --15 Α. Well, my diagnosis was formulated before 16 I saw these letters. It was reinforced by the 17 letters. Okay. So you relied on them at some 18 Ο. point? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. Okay. Fine. Would you take a look at 22 that one? 23 Α. Yes. 24 You reviewed that letter; did you not? Q. 25 Α. Yes.

1 Ο. Okay. 2 MR. McGETTIGAN: May I publish to the 3 jury, Your Honor? 4 THE COURT: I don't know what it is. Do 5 you have an objection? 6 MR. ROMINGER: I don't believe so. 7 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 8 MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you. 9 BY MR. MCGETTIGAN: 10 I would like you to read the first line 11 of the letter. 12 What was your reaction when you first Α. 13 met me? 14 Ο. Okay. How did you feel about me then? How do 15 16 you feel about me now? Is there anything 17 positive about me now? Do you care at all about 18 me as a person? Is there anything satisfying 19 about staying involved with me? 20 You can stop right there for a second. Which of the characteristics of a histrionic 21 22 personality disorder would you say these letters 23 reflect? What you have read so far? 2.4 The need for attention. The need for Α. 25 admiration. The need for reciprocity. This

person is kind of consumed with themselves and
wants the other person's affirmation and the
other person's admiration and loyalty.

- Q. Sir, would you agree that there is something of an adolescent quality itself about that correspondence?
  - A. Absolutely.

- Q. Okay. And could you go on and read the next line there?
- A. What does the word loyalty mean? Are you loyal to people who care about you? Do you trust people? Can you been trusted?
- Q. I am going to -- I'm sorry, doctor. I just think we skipped a line there. After -- could you read the line after do you care at all about me as a person?
- A. Is there anything satisfying about staying involved with me?
  - Q. Go on.
- A. What does the word loyalty mean? Are you loyal to people who care about you? Do you trust people? Can you be trusted? Have you been trusted by me? Do you appreciate me? Do you appreciate anything about me? Do you like me to be in control?

Do you take pride in conquering people?

Do you ever do anything for anybody when there's nothing in it for you? When you give your word, does it have any meaning? Do you listen to anybody but yourself? How is school? Do you need anybody? What is cool? Are you cool?

What's your name? What's your claim to fame? Do you put much into relationships? Do you feel bad when Tim -- I can't read the last name -- drove over from Clearfield to skate with you and you didn't show? Have you ever felt sad? Have you ever been happy? What is happiness? Do you recall saying I'm lucky now? What do you think about me?

Do you like to compete? Do you have pride? What are your most proud of? What is love? What are your most memorable experiences with me? What would you miss most if a magician could make me disappear -- oh. What would you miss most if a magician would make me disappear?

Are long-term relationships important?

Have you ever felt used? Have you ever felt

discarded? Where are we right now? Going up a

one-way street. No substance to our

relationship. No use in your life. No purpose.

1 You do not care about anybody. Always find somebody else to use until you get tired of them. 2 3 Okay. I am going to stop you for a 4 second now. Would you say that your review of 5 these letters confirms, rebuts, or has no 6 influence on your diagnosis of histrionic 7 personality disorder by the author? 8 Α. Confirms. 9 Now, I'm going to ask It's confirms. 10 you. Would you say that this is systematic of 11 histrionic personality disorder or that it 12 reflects -- well, let me put it that way. 13 Do you think this is appropriate and 14 solely the result of histrionic personality 15 disorder that a middle-aged man sends this to a 16 15-year old boy? 17 Α. No. 18 MR. ROMINGER: Objection. There's no 19 evidence this was sent to anybody. 20 Sustained. THE COURT: 21 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: 22 That it was written in regard to a 23 15-year old boy? 24 Α. Could you ask the question again? 25 I will. You thought the specific -- the Q.

1 fact that this letter was written to a 15-year 2 old boy, do you find that -- by a middle-aged 3 man, do you find that that is reflective of histrionic personality disorder only or is it 4 5 consistent with another clinical diagnosis? 6 It is -- to some extent that letter --7 to a large extent that letter is a product of a histrionic personality disorder. Is the letter 8 9 solely a product of that disorder? I can't say 10 that. 11 It is consistent with another clinical 12 diagnosis; is it not? 13 Α. Not necessarily. 14 Sir, I'm going to ask you that very 15 clearly. Does the fact that a middle-aged man 16 wrote this to an adolescent boy reflect -- have 17 any reflection on another clinical diagnosis? 18 MR. ROMINGER: Objection. It's to a 19 thousand, not two. 20 MR. McGETTIGAN: No, it was written to 21 It was supposed to be received by --Tim. 22 THE COURT: We don't know whether it was 23 written to -- it's written. 24 MR. McGETTIGAN: Reference within the

25

letter, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Objection sustained to the phrasing of the question.

MR. McGETTIGAN: Fine. Okay.

BY MR. McGETTIGAN:

Q. You would agree -- and why don't you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that notwithstanding what you say is a histrionic personality disorder, that does not exclude another clinical diagnosis, if in fact histrionic personality disorder does exist, that does not preclude or limit the existence of another clinical diagnosis; is that correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. In fact you would say that what you determined through your examination and testing is not only consistent or reflects a histrionic personality disorder but in fact reflects a psychosexual the person has psychosexual disorder; is that not correct as well?
- A. What my findings were? No. You would have to say that again. I got lost on that.
- Q. Okay. Some elements that you found that you say underlie this histrionic personality disorder also underlie a psychosexual disorder; isn't that correct?

1 Some of those elements would be Α. consistent with other disorders, including that. 2 3 Including psychosexual disorder? 0. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Okay. Including a psychosexual disorder Ο. 6 that would involve sexual contact with 7 adolescents, would that be correct? 8 Anything is possible. This does not Α. 9 preclude any other diagnosis. 10 I'm not asking if it precludes any other 11 diagnosis. I am asking you if the elements that 12 you saw, that you say underlie in this defendant 13 what you claim is a histrionic personality 14 disorder also underlie or are congruent with, consistent with, not in everything you saw but in 15 16 that which you did see, consistent with a 17 psychosexual disorder which involves sex with 18 adolescents; is that correct? 19 Α. I can't go that far. I can't say that. 20 There is no clear pattern or clear diagnosis of a 21 psychosexual disorder without certain behaviors 22 and Mr. Sandusky denied those behaviors. 23 Ο. Oh. So, in part your conclusion is that 2.4 based on his denial?

If, in fact, the things that he is

25

Α.

1 accused of are true, then he would have a 2 psychosexual disorder. I found nothing to 3 support that that's the case. 4 Q. Say that again. If, in fact, what he is --5 6 MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, if he wants 7 to ask him. MR. McGETTIGAN: I didn't understand the 8 9 answer, Your Honor. I'll withdraw the question and move it along, Your Honor. 10 11 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: 12 Now, the testing that you had the 0. 13 defendant engage in, it also, in addition to 14 reflecting what you say is a histrionic 15 personality disorder, reflected deception, did it 16 not? 17 To a very --Α. 18 Sir, excuse me. Do me a favor. If an 19 answer -- if a question permits a yes or no 20 answer, please answer yes or no and then explain 21 away. So my question, I'm going repeat it, is: 22 The testing that you engaged in with the 23 defendant is reflective of deception on his part, 24 is it not? To some extent but if I can explain it. 25 Α.

1	Q. Yes or no first and then go ahead.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. There you go. Thank you.
4	A. And I can explain.
5	Q. Thank you. Go ahead.
6	A. There's several types of deception that
7	could be determined on these tests.
8	One type of deception is to exaggerate
9	symptoms and to make yourself look like you have
10	a disorder. If a person, for example, is filing
11	a lawsuit might exaggerate their symptoms because
12	they were hurt and they want to make themselves
13	look more depressed.
14	If a person is putting on an insanity
15	defense, they might exaggerate their symptoms to
16	show that they're crazy.
17	Q. Doctor, excuse me. Excuse me. An
18	explanation of what you saw in this test, rather
19	than the treatise on deception entirely please.
20	A. Judge, I really need to finish this.
21	THE COURT: You told him he could
22	explain his answer.
23	MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, I stand
24	corrected, Your Honor. Go ahead.
25	THE WITNESS: There are circumstances

that I just gave examples of where a person would magnify their emotional problems. That's one type of deception.

There's another type of deception where a person needs to put on a good front, where they try to present themselves in the best possible light, where they don't want people to see the weaknesses in themselves. That's the other type of deception. That's the type of deception we have here.

What this testing is indicating is that if a person is minimizing their problems, if they're deceptive in the sense that they don't want you to see how they really are, we really need to go even further in explaining — looking at these results and say it's probably more severe than the testing is even telling us because they're minimizing. That's the only deception that he showed on this test.

BY MR. McGETTIGAN:

- Q. So the deception that you saw was an attempt to minimize problems?
  - A. Yes.

Q. An attempt to conceal an element of himself?

1 Α. An attempt to put himself in a better 2 light, yes. 3 An attempt to conceal an element of himself? 4 5 I wouldn't say it exactly like that. 6 Then say yes or no. Attempt to conceal 7 an element of himself? 8 Α. I would have to say no. 9 That's fine. Thank you. 10 One of the things that the testing 11 reflected, in fact it was written there, is he 12 does appear to be virtuous, a better person doing 13 things; isn't that it? 14 Very important for him to be seen that 15 way. 16 Okay. That was, in fact, the area that 0. 17 lit up like a red light and said deception kind 18 of? 19 Α. I'm not sure about that. 20 You have the results in front of you? Ο. 21 Yeah, but I'm not sure that that was 22 what lit up like a red light that caused that. 23 There were many responses that he gave that 24 caused the interpretation of the test to indicate

that he was trying to present himself in a better

1 light. 2 So there were many, many results that 3 were deceptive? 4 Many answers that he gave that turned 5 out to be part of that pattern that they 6 considered to be him trying to present himself in 7 a better light, yes. 8 So there was a large pattern of 9 deception? 10 I wouldn't say that. Α. 11 I thought --Q. 12 MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, I believe 13 we're getting into the area --14 THE COURT: Which you are. 15 MR. McGETTIGAN: I am, Your Honor. 16 This was supposed to be a THE COURT: 17 very limited purpose, and I would have assumed 18 the cross would have been limited as well. 19 MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, may I have 20 one moment to confer with my counsel, and then I 21 think I'll be able to wrap up. 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 I'm gong to go over a few MR. ROMINGER: 25 points.

1	THE COURT: Very few points.
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. ROMINGER:
4	Q. The copy of the DSM-IV, was that what
5	Mr. McGettigan apparently has?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Number two. You did your report on July
8	(sic) 9th and provided it to me and Mr. Amendola?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. If I told you that we provided it as
11	soon as we got it to the judge and Mr. Fina,
12	would that be sometime around June 9th?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. The question you were asked about these
15	lists of concepts that you put up and how they
16	could be in everybody's life, the issue is that
17	they are over magnified for some people?
18	A. Right.
19	MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection. Leading.
20	MR. ROMINGER: I'll withdraw the
21	question and rephrase.
22	BY MR. ROMINGER:
23	Q. You put up a list of various personality
24	traits that you drew from the DSM-IV-TR?
25	A. Yes.

1 And what is significant about that that Ο. 2 you weren't allowed to answer on 3 cross-examination? 4 MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Sustained. 6 BY MR. ROMINGER: 7 What was significant about that list? Q. 8 MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ROMINGER: I'll rephrase it one more 9 10 time. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 BY MR. ROMINGER: 13 You were asked if those would apply to 14 everybody? 15 Α. Yes. 16 How do they apply to a histrionic? Ο. 17 The DSM-IV makes it very clear that when Α. 18 you look at the personality disorders as a 19 diagnosis, that everybody to some degree has 20 various symptoms or meets various criteria for 21 this. Nobody is going to go through reading the 22 criteria for this without seeing themselves in 23 it. 24 In order to be diagnosed with this personality disorder, these things have to be 25

evident to a degree that is causing that person to have difficulties adjusting in life or is beyond what we would typically see. If that person only has it in one area or two areas, we're not going to be able to diagnose this.

They have to have at least five in this particular case.

We all may identify with one or two of these items. If we all identified with seven or eight of them, we may have a histrionic personality disorder. I come pretty close. I really do.

- Q. And, finally, there was some question about the ability to function because it results in impairment. One of the impairments might be writing letters that don't come across as normal?
- A. His behavior was inappropriate. His behavior was not typical behavior for someone his age. His behavior caused him to be viewed with suspicion at different points of his life. It led to where he is right now. If that's not a distress, I don't know what is.
- Q. In regards to these letters alone, that is an explanation for their content and the list you saw?

1 MR. McGETTIGAN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. 2 3 MR. ROMINGER: Nothing further. 4 MR. McGETTIGAN: Just briefly. 5 question on redirect (sic). 6 RECROSS EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. MCGETTIGAN: 8 Sir, can you tell us what the --9 enduring, inflexible, long-term impairment that 10 the defendant suffered at the time of this 11 adolescent onset occurred? 12 There have been many, and if you want me Α. 13 to go into them, I will. I don't know if that's 14 part --15 Ο. No. Just tell me one. What was the 16 first impairment that he had? 17 His ability to have -- sustain normal 18 adult relationships was limited. He was not 19 able -- he needed to search out people who would 20 admire him and respect him to the point where he 21 didn't pay as much attention to the adult 22 relationships in his life. He didn't get along 23 as well in many of the adult relationships as he 24 did in -- as most people would. 25 Thank you. Q.

1	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you very much,
2	Your Honor.
3	Thank you, sir.
4	THE COURT: Thank you, Doctor. You may
5	step down.
6	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, we call
7	Dottie Sandusky. She's in the back room.
8	MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, I thought
9	we were going to call Dr. O'Brien.
10	THE COURT: No. I guess not.
11	Dottie Sandusky.
12	MR. AMENDOLA: I don't have an objection
13	if they want to do Dr. O'Brien now. It's up
14	to them
15	MR. McGETTIGAN: What do you want, Your
16	Honor?
17	THE COURT: I would rather keep focused
18	on the defense case.
19	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	MR. AMENDOLA: Dottie.
21	Whereupon,
22	DOROTHY SANDUSKY
23	was called as a witness and having been duly
24	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

1 BY MR. AMENDOLA: Mrs. Sandusky, would you state your full 2 Q. 3 name please? Dorothy Dean Sandusky. 4 Α. 5 Where do you live? Q. 6 In State College. Α. 7 Q. And how long have you lived in State 8 College? Since '69, 42 years. 9 10 I'm going to ask you what seems like a 11 silly question but for the record I have to. 12 you know Jerry Sandusky? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Who is he to you? Ο. 15 Α. My husband. 16 How long have you and Jerry been 0. 17 married? 18 Α. Forty-five years. It will be 46 in 19 September. 20 Now, can you tell us a little bit about 0. 21 your background? For example, did you go to 22 college or did you go to a school after high 23 school? 24 Α. I went to a business college. 25 And where did you meet Jerry? Q.

- 1 My dad had been transferred to Chicago, Α. 2 and I moved with my family. I was working for a 3 glass factory as a switchboard receptionist, and 4 I didn't like Chicago. I was there for -- I don't even know -- six or eight months. I moved 5 6 back to Washington, Pennsylvania, and lived with 7 a girlfriend's family, and they were best friends with Jerry's family. That's how we met. 8
  - Q. So how did you and Jerry meet?
  - A. The family -- I think their family had a picnic and we met each other then. Then he was playing softball and his mother asked me to go to a softball game with him -- with her.
    - Q. Was she trying to fix you two up?
    - A. Maybe. I guess.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. When you first met Jerry, what was he doing? Was he working? Was he in school?
- A. He was a senior. He was starting his senior year at Penn State.
- Q. And when did you two actually start dating?
  - A. That summer.
  - Q. When did you two get married?
- A. In 1966, a year and a half later.
- Q. What was Jerry doing in 1966 when you

1 two were married? He was coming back to Penn State to be a 2 3 graduate assistant. Q. Now, did there come a time when you and 4 5 Jerry left State College for a short period of 6 time? 7 Yes. We were at Juniata for a football 8 season and at Boston University for a football 9 season. 10 And when did you two return to State 11 College? 12 In 1969. In March of 1969. Α. 13 And why did that happen? 0. 14 Because Joe called Jerry and asked Jerry 15 to come back to coach. 16 Now, did you and Jerry have any 0. children? 17 18 Did we have any children? Α. 19 Q. Yes. 20 A. At that time? 21 Yes? Q. 22 Α. No. 23 And when did you and Jerry have Q. children? 24 25 In 1969, we had been trying for a while Α.

- and nothing had happened, and so we had always thought about adopting, and we were lucky.
  - Q. Do you and Jerry have any natural children?
    - A. No, we don't.
  - Q. And how many children did you and Jerry adopt?
    - A. Six.

- Q. And can you tell us a little bit about each of them? Who are they? Their names?
- A. Their names. Okay. There's Ray, E.J.,
  Kara, Jeff, Jon, and Matt.
  - Q. Tell us about your life as the wife of a football coach at Penn State.
  - A. It was a busy life because we had, you know, we had a family and it was rough. It was rough because Jerry was -- he was not around a lot. He did make -- a lot of the other coaches didn't come home for dinner but Jerry always came home for dinner and he would -- we would have dinner around 6:30, 7:00 o'clock during the season but he would go upstairs and work after that.

He wasn't home. It was long days, long times. He would travel a lot because they would

be recruiting and at one point on weekends he had to scout other teams. So he was not around a lot.

- Q. You have the nickname of Sarge?
- A. Yes, I do.

- Q. How did you get that name?
- A. I guess because I was -- I'm strict and I like for things to run a certain way, and we expect a lot of our kids.
- Q. On average, if you can tell us -- when Jerry was still coaching at Penn State, on average during the football season, how many hours out of the day would he be away from the house or working, working with the football team?
- A. He would probably be away from the house maybe 12 hours but then when he was home, like I said, we would have dinner at 6:30, 7:00 and he would spend an hour or so with the kids and then he would disappear up to his study to work.
- Q. Now, when your kids and Jerry's kids were growing up, can you tell us a little bit about the life at home? Were they the only kids there? Do you have other kids come over and visit?
  - A. There were other kids that would be at

1 the house.

- Q. When did Jerry get involved with The Second Mile, if you know? I'm not asking for an exact date. Just ball park.
- A. I forget what year The Second Mile was founded, but I mean there were times before then. We had taken foster children and we had some foster children that went back home and we just enjoyed helping the children and we knew we couldn't have -- you know, we couldn't take any more kids into our family and Jerry felt that there was a need to start a home like that or a foundation that would help children.
- Q. Can you tell us whether or not Jerry traveled on behalf of The Second Mile over the years?
- MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, I'm reluctant to object. I just object and ask that the direct examination be somewhat more focused, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I assume the nature of the questioning is to establish some sort of time schedules in terms of ability to be around home. Is that what you are going?

MR. AMENDOLA: Yes. Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Let's focus on that. 2 MR. McGETTIGAN: And within the time 3 frame relevant to this case, that's the only 4 thing I would ask, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 BY MR. AMENDOLA: 7 Let's take you then into the 1990s. Ο. 8 Α. Okay. 9 Mid- and late 1990s? Ο. 10 Α. Um-hum. 11 Q. What was Jerry's schedule like, prior to 12 retiring, with the football team? 13 Would he -- like I said before, in the 14 mornings, he would travel with the team when the 15 team traveled. 16 I'm going to ask you to be a little bit 0. 17 closer to the microphone. 18 I'm sorry. He would travel when -- you 19 know, with the team when they would travel. On 20 Sundays we would go to church, have lunch, and 21 then he would disappear for the day because they 22 had meetings all day long. 23 MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, would the 24 report permit me to maybe move over there a 25 little bit so I can hear a little better? Tt.

1 might save us time. 2 THE COURT: Just don't block the jury. I'm not. I'm going to 3 MR. AMENDOLA: 4 stay back here, Your Honor. 5 BY MR. AMENDOLA: 6 Mrs. Sandusky, tell me. I missed that 7 last question -- missed that last answer. 8 terms of Jerry's schedule mid- to late '90s, just 9 prior to retiring, can you tell us what his 10 football schedule was like on average in terms of 11 his work schedule? 12 It was like busy day. He would come 13 home at 6:30, 7:00 o'clock. Would go to work. 14 On Fridays he would have a little bit 15 more freer time. He would come spend some time 16 with, you know, anybody, the children, our 17 children, that were around. 18 Saturday mornings he would get up. He 19 would take -- he would -- if there was some kids 20 coming to footballs games, he would go get kids. 21 Bring them to the house. He would go to the 22 stadium. 23 Then on Sunday mornings, we would go to 24 church. We would go to lunch with our family

that was -- whatever family was around. And then

he would go into the office and wouldn't come
home until 7:30, 8:00 o'clock at night on Sunday
nights.

- Q. And during that time was he also continuing his activities with The Second Mile?
  - A. Yes, he was.

- Q. And tell us about what responsibilities he had with them in terms of the time frames that he was away from them for Second Mile purposes?
- A. At that time when he was still coaching, he just did local things. I mean, sometimes it would be other things he would do around but once he retired, he was gone -- I don't even know how many -- he was gone a lot. He traveled a lot for fund raisers.
- Q. Now, during this from mid-nineties really up through the end of Jerry's coaching career, were there times when kids from The Second Mile or kids other than your own kids visited the house?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. How often did that occur?
- A. It depended. It was more during football season. When there were home games and then sometimes, maybe once a month or so. I

- 1 don't know. I don't remember.
  - Q. Was there usually just one child who came over? Were there groups of kids?
    - A. Different things different times. Lots of groups of kids. Sometimes there were two kids. Sometimes there was only one.
    - Q. And on any of those occasions, did any of those kids stay overnight at your home?
      - A. Yes.

- Q. And when they did, how often would that occur on average?
- A. It depended the time of the year. Like I said, football season it would be more often because they -- you know, because they were coming to football games.
- Q. And where would those kids sleep when they stayed at your home?
- A. It depended on -- we would give them a choice where they wanted to sleep. We had a bedroom in the basement. We had a bedroom on the first floor and if there were any bedrooms up on the second floor that were available, they could sleep up there.
- Q. After Jerry retired, can you tell us what year he retired from Penn State as coach?

- A. 1999, I think. I don't remember. I'm sorry.
  - O. You're not sure?
  - A. I'm not sure.
  - Q. But you think it was 1999?
  - A. I think.

- Q. After he retired, did his work schedule lighten up because he was no longer coaching?
  - A. No.
  - Q. What happened?
- A. He just became more involved in The Second Mile in fund raising and working with The Second Mile program.
- Q. How often on average was Jerry away from home after he retired doing Second Mile things?
- A. I would say at least two weekends a month. It was different. He did a lot of -there would be overnights that he would have to
  go but mainly it was he would drive for three or
  four hours, speak to some group, and then come
  back home. And it depended on the time of the
  year. Because in the spring time, in the
  summertime, it was the really busy fund raising
  part of the time.
  - Q. After he retired from coaching, was

1 there a change in the number of times that kids, Second Mile kids or kids would say overnight at 2 3 your place? 4 A. I'm sorry? 5 After your husband retired coaching in 6 1999? 7 Α. Right. Did the frequency with which kids stayed 8 9 overnight at your home, did that increase or decrease or stay the same? 10 11 I think it stayed the same. 12 And, again, on average how typical was 13 it in any given month that maybe kids might stay 14 overnight? 15 Α. Maybe once, twice a month. It depended 16 on, like I said, on the time of the year. 17 Well, can you give us some idea? 18 example, during football season? 19 Α. Right. During football season, it might 20 be more often when there were home football 21 games. 22 How about during non-football times of 23 the year? 24 During non-football times of the year? Α.

It would depend on Jerry's schedule.

1 Do you have any grandchildren? Ο. 2 Α. Yes, we do. 3 How many? 0. 4 Α. We have 12 and our 13th is due in 5 September. 6 Q. How old is your oldest grandchild? 7 I'm sorry. We have one step-grandchild who is 17. And our youngest is 13. 8 9 Now --Ο. 10 I'm sorry. Three months. Α. 11 When you and Jerry started having Q. 12 grandchildren, did your schedules change? 13 Α. What do --14 In terms of seeing the grandkids? 0. 15 Α. Yes. We tried to spend as much time as 16 we could with our grandkids and they were spread 17 all over, so it was --18 Did they have a tendency to visit your 19 home or did you go see them? 20 Α. It would be both ways. 21 Was Jerry also with you when that Q. 22 occurred? 23 Α. Most of the time, he was. 24 By the way to your knowledge, did you 25 and Jerry ever own a silver colored convertible?

1 Α. No, we never did. We've never had a convertible. I had one before we were married 2 3 but we've never had one since we were married. 4 Now, you are aware there have been Q. 5 certain allegations in this case that's made 6 against your husband that he had sexual --7 inappropriate sexual contact with kids. You are 8 aware of those allegations, right? 9 Α. Right. 10 I'm going to ask you about some specific 11 individuals and ask you if you know them. 12 Α. Okay. 13 Do you know Aaron Fisher? Ο. 14 Α. I did. 15 Ο. Can you tell us how you know Aaron 16 Fisher? 17 Because he was a Second Mile child and Α. 18 he used to come to visit our house. 19 Q. How often -- if you know, how often did 20 Aaron Fisher stay at your house? 21 I don't know. I mean, it would -- I 22 have no idea how many times he would stay. 23 Well, was it every weekend, for example? Q. 24 No, it wasn't every weekend. Α. Was it three weekends out of a month? 25

Q.

1 I don't think so. Α. 2 Q. Do you recall the time frame when Aaron 3 Fisher stayed at your home? What time period? 4 Α. I'm sorry. I mean, I don't remember the 5 years. 6 Did you know Jason Simcisko? Q. 7 Α. Yes, I did. I do. 8 And did he ever stay at your home? Q. 9 Yes, he did. Α. 10 Can you give us a ball park idea of how Q. 11 often he stayed at your home? Once a month? 12 Twice a month? 13 It would depend. Not -- it would 14 I have no -- it would be one time -- I depend. don't remember. I'm sorry. 15 16 And did you -- do you recall a Brett 0. 17 Swisher Houtz? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. And did Brett stay at your home? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Can you give us a ball park idea how Q. 22 often Brett stayed there? 23 Α. Over --24 Over a period of time that he stayed? Q. 25 Maybe an average of once a month. Α.

1 Can you give us an idea of the time Ο. 2 frame when he stayed at your home? 3 Α. The years? 4 Q. Yes. 5 I'm sorry. I'm not really good with Α. 6 years. 7 Do you recall a Michal Kajak? I vaguely remember the name. I met him 8 9 once or twice but I really don't know him. 10 And do you recall Zach Konstas? 11 Α. Yes, I do. 12 Did Zach Konstas ever stay overnight at 13 your house? 14 I don't think he ever stayed at our Α. 15 house overnight. 16 Do you recall a Dustin Struble? 0. 17 Α. Yes, I do. 18 To your knowledge, to your recollection, Ο. 19 did Dustin ever stay overnight at your home? 20 Α. He did, um-hum. 21 Can you give us again a ball park idea 22 of how often that occurred? 23 Α. Maybe once an month. I'm not sure. I'm 24 sorry. 25 Do you know a Sabastian Paden?

1 Α. Yes, I do. Did Sabastian ever stay overnight at 2 Q. 3 your house? 4 Α. Yes, he did. 5 Can you give us an idea of how long he 6 stayed over or on a monthly or a yearly basis? 7 On a yearly basis? Monthly. Α. 8 Was it every week? Q. 9 Α. No. 10 Was it twice a month? Q. 11 I don't think so. Α. 12 Do you recall a Ryan Rittmeyer? Ο. 13 Α. I have no idea who that is. 14 Ο. Now, did you and Jerry ever take any of 15 these kids to the football bowl games? 16 Α. Yes, we did. 17 Who would that have been? 0. 18 Α. It would have been Brett. 19 Q. Brett Swisher Houtz? 20 Α. Um-hum. 21 Do you recall which bowl games that Q. 22 Brett accompanied you and your husband to? 23 Α. On the Outback Bowl and the Alamo Bowl. 24 And going back, I guess the Outback Bowl 25 That would have been, I imagine from was first.

1 what I understand, in the 1998 season. Where did 2 you and Jerry stay when you went to that bowl 3 game? 4 Α. Where did we stay? 5 Ο. Yes. 6 Do you mean what hotel? We stayed in a Α. 7 hotel. 8 Who stayed there with you? Q. 9 Α. At the Outback Bowl? 10 Q. Yes. 11 Α. It was Jerry and I and our son and 12 Brett. 13 So four of you? 0. 14 Right, but we had two rooms which were 15 connecting with a door in between which we left 16 open which was like a two-bedroom --17 What were the sleeping arrangements? 0. 18 The boys stayed in one room and Jerry Α. 19 and I stayed in the other room. 20 Q. In the Alamo Bowl the following year, 21 who accompanied you and Jerry to the Alamo Bowl? 22 Two of our sons were with us but they 23 were with the team, and Jerry and I and Brett 24 stayed in the room. It was like an efficiency

apartment. We had -- I don't remember if it was

a pull-out couch or if it was hide-a-bed or a bed that came out of the wall and then we had a cot for Brett.

- Q. Now, while you were at the Alamo Bowl, did anything unusual happen involving Brett Swisher Houtz and Jerry?
- A. I came in one day and they were -Brett -- they were in -- it was like a -- there
  was a bathroom and there's a dressing area. They
  were standing there and I said what's going on
  because Jerry was very upset. And he said -- we
  had asked Brett if he wanted to go a luncheon
  which they had which was \$50 to go to. And Brett
  said, yeah, he would really like to go. So Jerry
  said okay. It was -- I think it was day of the
  luncheon and Brett refused to go. And Jerry knew
  that I would be very upset that we had spent the
  money and that he wouldn't go.
- Q. Now, just so I'm clear on this. When you walked back in the room on the occasion you just described, where precisely were Jerry and Brett in the room?
- A. They were just standing in the room. It was, you know, just like a little hallway kind of thing.

- Q. And how were they dressed?
- A. They had their clothes on. They were fully clothed, both of them.
- Q. You mentioned Jerry was upset. Was he yelling? How did you know he was upset?
  - A. He was yelling.

- Q. Do you recall what he was yelling?
- A. No. He just said, you know -- I don't remember what he said. I just -- I know Jerry was mad because he said -- he was just -- the way he looked and he said, you know, we did this for you. You know, you have got to do this.
  - Q. And that had to do with the banquet?
  - A. Right, or luncheon.
- Q. At that time was \$50 a lot for you and Jerry?
- A. To us it was because we had, you know, we had our own children and our grandchildren and we took him to the bowl game with us. We had to pay for his airline ticket. We had to pay for his food and any places that we went. Like, we went to some special, you know, to the Alamo. I took him to a big theater. We did different things.
  - Q. Did Brett go to that banquet?

1 Α. No. Did you go to the banquet? 2 Q. 3 No, I didn't. Α. 4 Do you recall if Jerry did? Q. 5 Yes. Α. 6 Going back to when you and Jerry first Q. 7 met, can you tell us a little bit about his 8 family back in Washington, PA? 9 He grew up in the recreation Yes. 10 center and his parents ran the recreation center. 11 MR. McGETTIGAN: I'm going to object, 12 Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Wait a second. What's your 14 objection? 15 MR. McGETTIGAN: Relevance, Your Honor. 16 MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, my response 17 is there's been a lot of talk about Mr. Sandusky 18 having a lot of kids over to the house and what 19 Mrs. Sandusky would testify to is as growing up 20 and in her experience when they were dating in 21 Washington, PA, Mr. Sandusky's parents owned the 22 recreation building and had kids there all the 23 time. Jerry grew up in that atmosphere. 24 MR. McGETTIGAN: We'll stipulate to what 25 he just said, Your Honor.

Τ

1 THE COURT: Go ahead. I'll overrule 2 but --3 MR. AMENDOLA: It's going to be limited, 4 It's not going be a half-hour question and answer. 5 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 BY MR. AMENDOLA: Can you tell us a little bit about 8 Jerry's life when you met him in Washington, PA, 9 10 where he lived, who he lived with and so on? 11 Α. When he was away at college, but when he 12 came home, he stayed with his parents. His 13 parents had an apartment on the second floor, I 14 quess it was, of the Bronson House which was the 15 recreation center, and there were always kids 16 around. They had a football field. They had 17 basketball courts. They had wrestling matches --18 wrestling mats. They had a pool table and the 19 kids would come and go, and there was a 20 playground. 21 While you were there, did you usually 22 see lots of kids? 23 Α. Oh, yes. 24 Was that place open seven days a week? Q.

I don't think it was open on Sunday.

25

Α.

1 don't remember. I'm sorry. So just six days a week? 2 Q. 3 Α. Right. 4 Did you and Jerry ever do anything when 5 you were there with kids? 6 Yes, we did. We used to take kids to 7 the park, baseball game. We would take them 8 swimming at the swimming pool in Washington. 9 These were kids from the neighborhood? Ο. 10 Α. Right. 11 Ο. This was a poor neighborhood, a steel 12 town? 13 There were kids from -- but a lot of Α. 14 them that lived right around there were that type 15 of children. 16 Do you own a freezer? Ο. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Where is that located? Ο. 19 Α. In the basement in our storage room. 20 And how often do you go down to the Q. basement area to utilize the freezer? 21 22 At least once a day. Sometimes more 23 depending. During football season, I would go 24 down a lot because I would freeze things and make 25 things.

1	Q. Between the mid-90s to the late 90s and
2	to the 2000s up until these charges were brought,
3	between you and Jerry who usually went to bed
4	first?
5	A. Jerry did.
6	Q. About how much of the time?
7	A. Probably 90, 95 percent of the time.
8	Q. Did you two sleep in the same room?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Same bed?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Is your basement soundproof?
13	A. No.
14	Q. How is your hearing? I realize that you
15	are middle-aged now, 39, right? But how is your
16	hearing?
17	A. I think it's pretty good. I mean, I
18	hear I'm a very light I hear lots of
19	noises.
20	Q. If you're on the ground floor I say
21	the ground floor, the main floor?
22	A. Right.
23	Q. Someone is down in your basement, can
24	you generally hear them?
25	A. Yes.

Q. Did you ever hear -- did you ever hear
one of the young men I mentioned previously
yelling for help while he was staying at your
home?

A. No.

- Q. In regard to Aaron Fisher, did you ever see any inappropriate contact between Jerry and Aaron Fisher?
- A. Not really inappropriate contact. There was one time that we were watching TV and Jerry was in the lazy boy. I was on one couch. Aaron was on the other couch. We were watching TV, and all of a sudden just in the middle of the show, I think it was baseball or something, he jumps up and runs and jumps in the chair with Jerry.

And we went to -- Jerry had gotten a phone call from his mother or his granddad, I don't remember which, asking Jerry if he would come to see Aaron wrestling. He was wrestling at Bald Eagle. So Jerry and I went. When we walked into the gym, Aaron was clear across the room. He ran clear across the room and jumped up and -- jumped up and hugged Jerry.

- Q. In regard to Jason Simcisko --
- A. Um-hum.

1	Q while he was in your presence at home
2	or somewhere else, did you ever see any
3	inappropriate contact or conduct between Jerry
4	and Jason?
5	A. No.
6	Q. With regard to Brett Swisher Houtz, did
7	you ever see any inappropriate contact between
8	Brett and Jerry?
9	A. No.
10	Q. In regard to Michal Kajak and I believe
11	you said you only remember seeing him once or
12	twice?
13	A. That's all I remember.
14	Q. Did you ever see any inappropriate
15	contact between Michal Kajak and Jerry?
16	A. No.
17	Q. And in a regard to Zachary Konstas, did
18	you ever see any inappropriate contact between
19	Zach and Jerry?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Now, were you aware that there was a
22	1998 investigation regarding Zach?
23	A. After it happened, I was.
24	Q. What was your understanding about that?
25	A. That Jerry had showered with Zach and

1 that it had been investigated and his mother had called him over to talk to him, and he talked to 2 3 her, and a few days later we received a letter 4 from the state saying that there were no charges. 5 When's the last time you recall seeing 6 Zach Konstas? 7 Α. It was sometime last summer. Under what circumstances? 8 Ο. 9 He went out to dinner with us. Α. 10 I'm sorry. What? Q. 11 He went out to dinner with us. Α. 12 Ο. Do you recall where you went? 13 We went to the Cracker Barrel. Α. 14 And was there anyone else there? 0. 15 Another --16 Another Second Mile child was with us. Α. 17 How would you describe that dinner? 0. 18 It was very good. We talked about Α. 19 Zach's school and how he was doing in school and 20 how his life was changing because of his values 21 and the Bible school that he was going to. 22 talked about his trip -- his mission trip that he 23 had taken. 24 In regard to Dustin Struble, did you 25 ever see any inappropriate contact between Jerry

1 and Dustin Struble? 2 Α. No. 3 Do you recall the last time you might 0. have seen Dustin Struble, when that would have 4 5 been? 6 Maybe -- time just goes. It was 7 probably a year, year and a half ago. He worked at the Cinema Six Theaters and I went to a movie 8 and he was working. I talked to him there. 9 10 Maybe it was longer. I don't remember when they 11 closed. 12 In regard to Sabastian Paden, did you 0. 13 ever see any inappropriate contact between Jerry 14 and Sabastian? 15 Α. No. 16 Do you recall the last time you saw 17 Sabastian? 18 It was this football season. Α. 19 Q. Last year? 20 A. In 2011, um-hum. 21 And --Q. 22 THE COURT: I'm sorry. What year? 23 2011. THE WITNESS: 24 BY MR. AMENDOLA: 25 Can you tell us the circumstances around 1 that get-together, that meeting?

- A. He and a friend came over for a football game. Jerry went to pick them up. I don't think we didn't tailgate in 2011. We used to tailgate with a group of people from our church and take Second Mile kids with us. We went to the game and Jerry got a phone call halfway through the game because we were sitting in the press box. The boys were sitting down in the stand. The kid that was with him had to go home because his girlfriend was in the hospital. So we had to leave the game at half time and take them back to Lewistown.
  - Q. Going back to be Zach Konstas for a moment.
    - A. Um-hum.
  - Q. After that 1998 incident that you indicated earlier was reported as unfounded, did Zach have additional further contact with you and Jerry?
    - A. Oh, yeah.
    - O. How often?
- A. Whenever he would come home from school, he would -- you know, he would come. Sometimes he would come to football games, too. If he came

1 home, he would go to his dad's and then they would come down to a football game. 2 3 What, if anything, can you tell us about 0. 4 the mission trip that Zach took to Mexico and 5 your involvement, Jerry's involvement? 6 I don't remember if he wrote us or 7 called us and asked if we would sponsor him to go on a mission trip. 8 9 Ο. Did you --10 Yes, we did. Α. 11 Ο. -- give him financial assistance? 12 Um-hum, we did. Α. 13 Were there any times when Zach would Ο. 14 come back after he left and borrow the family 15 car? 16 There was a car he would come back Α. Yes. 17 -- because his dad didn't live in State College 18 and he needed the car to go see friends and his 19 mother. 20 0. Now, in regards to Brian Rittmeyer, as I 21 recall, you said you don't remember him at all? 22 I have know idea who he is. Α. 23 No idea today who that is? Q. 24 No, I don't. I'm sorry. Α.

Going back to Brett Swisher Houtz, when

25

Q.

- 1 is the last time you and Jerry saw him? I think it was three years ago or two 2 3 years ago. I'm not sure. 4 You have to keep your voice up. 5 I think it was two or three years ago. 6 I'm not sure. 7 Q. Can you tell us about that? 8 Yeah. Jerry got a phone call. 9 Brett. He said I want to come over. I want to 10 bring my girlfriend and my baby for you to see. 11 The baby was like two years old. 12 And they came over and my friend Elaine 13 Steinbacher was there and we went and got 14 Kentucky Fried Chicken and had dinner. And it 15 was a very pleasant visit. 16 How would you describe that meeting when 0. 17 Brett stopped over with his girlfriend and with 18 his baby? 19 Α. It was good to see him and, you know, 20 think he had gotten his life together and things 21 were going really well for him. 22 Did you feed him? Ο. 23 Yes, we did. Α.
- 25 A. Yeah. Kentucky Fried Chicken.

Q.

Do you remember what you fed him?

24

1 Who got that? Q. Elaine and I went to get it. 2 Α. 3 Thank you. That's all I have. Q. 4 THE COURT: Cross. 5 MR. McGETTIGAN: Very briefly, Your 6 Honor. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. MCGETTIGAN: 9 Ma'am, I have to ask you a few 10 questions. 11 Α. Sure. 12 You said that Second Mile kids just 13 stayed at your house frequently? 14 I said they stayed, um-hum. Α. 15 Q. Frequently? Not -- often, yes, but not -- I mean, I 16 Α. 17 can't tell you exactly how many times. 18 Okay. This would be over the course of 19 many years? This would be over the course of 20 many years, would it not? 21 Α. Sure. 22 Going back to the nineties at the very Ο. 23 least? 24 Α. Yes. 25 And extending up until as recently as Q.

1 2008, would that be correct? 2 I quess. Until the charges were 3 brought, um-hum. Q. And so you would recall back in the 4 5 nineties, late nineties Brett Swisher staying at 6 your house? 7 Α. Yes, I do. And you recall his latest 2008, 8 9 Sabastian Paden staying at your house? 10 Α. Right, um-hum. 11 And in between there would be other Ο. 12 children staying in the house frequently, 13 correct? Sometimes? 14 The frequently to me is not -- what do 15 you mean by frequently? 16 How often -- can you tell us how often 0. 17 say, for instance, Brett would stay at your 18 house? 19 Α. It would be different times depending on 20 Jerry's schedule. 21 Can you give us an idea about how many 22 times on an average six-month period would Brett 23 stay at your house? 24 Α. In what year? 25 1999? Q.

1 He would stay overnight in six months? Α. 2 Q. Yes. 3 Maybe -- I don't know. Maybe ten times. Α. 4 I have no idea. I'm sorry. 5 Could be more? Could be less? 6 Could be more. Could be less. 7 Okay. Did you ever stay at the Q. 8 Toft.rees? 9 Did I ever stay at Toftrees? Α. 10 Q. Yes. 11 Α. No, I didn't. 12 Do you know what the Toftrees --Ο. 13 Α. Yes, I do. 14 Okay. So you knew what the purpose --0. 15 you knew that's where the players stayed the 16 night before a game? 17 Α. Right. 18 Did you know Brett stayed there as well? Ο. 19 Α. Yes, I did. 20 Aaron Fisher stayed at your house as Q. 21 well? 22 Yes, he did. 23 Okay. And he stayed there fairly Q. 24 frequently? Frequently -- again, frequently is a 25 tough one to call?

1 A. Right.

- 2 Q. But he stayed there a lot?
  - A. Right -- I wouldn't say a lot.
  - Q. Okay. And Sabastian Paden would stay at your house a fair amount; would you agree with that? Sabastian?
  - A. Sabastian would stay at our house frequent? He would stay but I wouldn't call it frequent.
  - Q. Wouldn't call it frequently. And would all of them stay downstairs in the basement?
  - A. They had a choice to stay wherever they wanted to stay. As I said before, we have a bedroom in the basement, a bedroom on the first floor, and we have bedrooms upstairs. Sometimes they would sleep upstairs if the kids weren't at home.
  - Q. And you said earlier in questions from Mr. Amendola you said that you were -- that you were frequently the last one up, that the defendant would go to sleep earlier before you?
  - A. I stay up late. I haven't lately but I used to all the time.
- Q. But would you agree that it was your husband who put the kids to bed?

1	A. Would he put them to bed?
2	Q. The Second Mile kids?
3	A. He would go down and tell them
4	goodnight, yes.
5	Q. So that even though on most occasions
6	you might be the one who would go to bed
7	A. No, I'm sorry. I don't think I did go
8	to bed before Jerry goes to bed.
9	Q. Oh, no, no. I mean you had said he
10	went to bed first usually?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. But he would put the kids to bed.
13	You didn't do that?
14	A. I didn't say he would put them to bed.
15	He would go down and tell them goodnight. I
16	would tell them goodnight.
17	Q. You would go down and tuck them in or
18	something like that?
19	A. No, I don't go down and tuck them in.
20	Q. The defendant would? I mean
21	A. I don't know. I don't know. I just
22	know he would go and tell them goodnight.
23	Q. I am going to ask you just take a look
24	and see if you recognize some people if you can,
25	okay?

1 Α. Okay. 2 Q. Thanks. Do you recognize that young 3 man? 4 Um-hum. Α. Where do you recognize him from? 5 6 stay at your house? 7 Yes, he did. Α. 8 Okay. Do you know his name? Q. 9 Α. Aaron. 10 The next one. Do you recognize that Q. 11 young man? 12 No, I don't. Α. 13 If I give you a hint? Jason Simcisko? Ο. 14 Okay. That's not what I remember him Α. 15 looking like. I'm sorry. 16 Q. Okay. Next one? 17 Α. Brett. 18 Next one. You were asked by 0. 19 Mr. Amendola about Michal Kajak. Does that ring a bell now? 20 21 No. I don't remember that's what he 22 looked like. 23 Q. You remember the name Michal Kajak? 24 Α. Right. 25 Next please. Zach Konstas? You Q.

1 recognize him? 2 Α. Right. 3 Thank you. 0. Dustin. 4 Α. 5 Dustin Struble. Okay. Thank you. Q. 6 Α. And Sabastian. 7 Is that how he looked when he would stay Q. at your house sometimes? 8 9 Like that? Α. 10 Not the face but that age? Q. Well, yeah. 11 Α. 12 Next. Ryan? Doesn't ring a bell? Ο. 13 (Witness shakes head side to side.) Α. 14 Thank you. And you said you had Zach 0. 15 Konstas, you had a long-term relationship -- you 16 and your husband had a long-term relationship 17 with Zach? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Good relationship? 20 I thought, yes. I mean, every time he Α. 21 would come in the door, he would give us a hug 22 and say how good it was to see us. 23 And do you recall your last meeting with 24 Brett Swisher was not one that was hostile. In 25 fact, he came over with his child; would be

1 correct as well? 2 Α. Correct. 3 Do you recall the last time Sabastian 0. 4 was at your home? Yes. It was this last football season. 5 6 Do you recall his mother having to come Q. 7 and get him in the middle of the night? 8 No, I don't. Α. 9 In terms of particularly Zach and Brett, 10 these were both rather long-term relationships 11 that each of these young men had -- where they 12 started out as boys and ended up as young men, 13 had with you and your husband; would that be 14 correct? They were kind of long-term 15 relationships; were they not? 16 Well, they fell -- we would see them but Α. 17 once they were in high school and once they were in college, we didn't -- you know, you didn't see 18 19 them that much. 20 Well, but during the course the 0. 21 relationship that your husband and you had with 22 the defendant (sic) lasted over three or four 23 years; wouldn't you say? 24 Α. Yes.

You took him to bowl games?

25

- 1 Α. Yes. We took Brett to bowl games. 2 Q. Brett. Yeah. 3 Α. Right. 4 Okay. And the same thing with Aaron Q. 5 Fisher, you would agree that the relationship 6 that your husband had with Aaron Fisher lasted 7 over three years; would you not? I quess. I'm sorry. I don't --8 Α. 9 Ο. That's okay. 10 My memory and time is not good. Α. 11 Ο. I understand. And I know you talked 12 about you were raising your own adopted children, 13 you know, at one point. At the time that Brett 14 was around, you still had one or two in the home, didn't you? 15 16 I don't think so. I think there was 17 only -- one might have been in college and back
  - and forth but that would have been it.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Ο. Just because I remember Mr. Amendola asked you about spending some money on this kid?
- We were still helping our kids because we had two in college at the time, and our other kids had just started out and we were helping them also.
  - Okay. And who decided to take Brett to

1 the bowl game, you or --2 Jerry talked to me about it and said, 3 you know, he thought it would be nice. Did I 4 mind and would it be okay. 5 Just take a look at this picture, 6 please, ma'am? 7 Α. Sure. Um-hum. That was taken at your home, wasn't it? 8 Q. 9 Yes, it was. Α. 10 THE COURT: You want to identify these 11 for the record? 12 MR. McGETTIGAN: I beg your pardon, Your 13 Honor. 14 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: 15 Q. You are being shown a couple pictures. 16 The first one you were shown was Commonwealth's 17 5. And the next one was Commonwealth 2. And so 18 do you recognize that last one there? 19 Α. Yes. 20 That 2? Q. 21 Α. Um-hum. 22 That was taken at your home, wasn't it? Q. 23 Yes, it was. Α. 24 And that's Brett right there? Q. 25 Right. Α.

1 Nice kid? Ο. 2 He was -- he's -- I mean, he had his 3 problems. He was very demanding and he was very 4 conniving and he wanted his way and he, you know, didn't listen a whole lot. 5 6 Q. And notwithstanding that, you made your best efforts, your husband did, over the next 7 three or four years. Do you know how old Brett 8 9 was there when that picture of him was taken in 10 your home? 11 Α. I have no idea. Maybe -- I don't know. 12 Ten, nine. 13 Q. And you would agree your husband 14 maintained a relationship with him for the next 15 three or four or five years after that, wouldn't 16 you, or you don't recall? 17 I don't think it was that long but I Α. don't know. 18 19 Q. You are now going to be shown a picture 20 marked Commonwealth's C-40. Do you know who that 21 is in that picture? 22 That's Aaron. Α. 23 Okay. That was taken in your home as Q. 24 well?

25

Yes.

Α.

1 And you said Brett was kind of Ο. 2 demanding, conniving, okay? 3 Α. Um-hum. Wanted his own way. Was there something 4 5 wrong with Aaron that you can recollect? 6 Aaron was very clingy to Jerry. Aaron 7 would never look people in the eye, not just me, 8 not just Jerry. He would not look at people. 9 How about Dustin? 10 Dustin? Dustin was a very nice --11 Dustin was great. Nothing springs to mind that was wrong 12 13 with him? 14 I had no problems with Dustin. Α. 15 Ο. How about Zach? 16 Α. No. 17 How about Jason? Ο. 18 Α. Jason? No. 19 Q. Okay. And Ryan you don't recall? 20 Right. And Michal. Α. 21 And Michal Kajak? Yeah. Q. 22 Α. No. 23 And Sabastian? Okay kid? Nice kid? Q. 24 He was a kid that -- he was a charmer. Α. He knew what to say, when to say it. 25

1 He had a few more problems than other Ο. 2 kids, wouldn't you say? 3 Α. Sabastian? 4 Q. Yeah. 5 I don't know. You weren't aware of any of his problems 6 7 that he had? 8 No, I wasn't aware of any of his 9 problems. 10 Were you aware of his family situation? Q. 11 I knew his mom was a single mom. Α. 12 Do you remember anything else about his Ο. 13 personal life? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Were you aware of anything about Aaron's 16 personal life? 17 About Aaron's? Α. 18 O. Yeah? 19 Α. About Aaron's personal life? 20 Q. Yes. 21 Α. Yes, I was. 22 How about Brett? Were you aware of Q. 23 anything about his personal life? 24 I knew Brett's mom. Brett's mom Α. Yes. 25 worked at Giant and we used to talk a lot when

1 she lived here. I think we've, you know, mentioned all 2 3 these children. And did you know Michael 4 McQueary? 5 Do I know Mike McQueary? 6 Q. Yes. 7 Yes, I do. Α. Michael McQueary had been to your home 8 Q. 9 at sometime in the distant past you think? 10 you knew him from the football team? 11 Α. I don't remember him being at our house. 12 Okay. Ο. 13 But maybe he was. I don't know. Α. 14 You knew him from the football team? Ο. 15 Α. I'm sorry? 16 You knew him from the football team? 0. 17 He played football with our one son. Α. 18 They were on the same high school football team. 19 I knew him that way, not personally. 20 Okay. Off the top of your head, can you Q. 21 think of any reason why any of these persons I've 22 named, those young men, those boys, those young 23 men or Mike McQueary would lie about anything? 24 Α. Would lie? 25 Yeah. Can you think of a good reason Q.

1	why they would?
2	A. I I don't know what it would be for.
3	Q. Thanks very much, ma'am.
4	Mr. McGETTIGAN: I have nothing further,
5	Your Honor.
6	MR. AMENDOLA: Nothing on redirect, Your
7	Honor.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. You can step
9	down.
10	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, may we
11	approach?
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	(Whereupon, a sidebar discussion was
14	held off the record.)
15	THE COURT: We'll be back in session. I
16	believe the Commonwealth is going to take a
17	witness out of turn.
18	MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, the
19	Commonwealth calls Dr. O'Brien.
20	Whereupon,
21	JOHN O'BRIEN
22	was called as a witness and having been duly
23	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
24	MR. McGETTIGAN: If I may, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Yes.

1 MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you. 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION AS TO QUALIFICATIONS 3 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: 4 Could you state your full name please, Q. sir? 5 6 Yes. It's John Sebastian O'Brien, II, 7 last name O-'-B-r-i-e-n. Another Sebastian. Dr. O'Brien, what is 8 0. 9 your profession? And I'm a psychiatrist and medical 10 11 doctor and a lawyer. 12 And can you give us a brief -- a brief 13 recitation of your professional -- your education 14 and professional background? Sure. I'm a graduate of Jefferson 15 Α. 16 Medical College in Philadelphia and Georgetown 17 Law School in Washington, DC. I did my 18 psychiatric training at George Washington 19 University Hospital in Washington, DC. And since 20 the time of my residency, I worked for a number of different hospitals, initially from the State 21 22 of Maryland and then different hospitals in 23 Philadelphia until 1996 and then in -- since 1996 24 I have been in private practice of outpatient 25 psychiatry treating patients in my office and

participating in forensic psychiatry evaluations both civilly in connection with civil cases like personal injury cases, malpractice cases, and criminally in connection with cases such as this one and others.

I also am a salaried consultant for the Court of Common Pleas in Philadelphia for the criminal courts and in connection with that job, I do up to 300 evaluations per year by court order directly for the Courts in connection with criminal cases.

A percentage of those, and it varies from one moment in time to another, is pretrial evaluations primarily to assess for the presence of a psychiatric illness and whether or not the person is competent to stand trial. And then a portion is post trial, after trial, evaluations and in those situations, the focus is on whether or not a person has a psychiatric illness and if they need treatment during their sentencing.

When I say psychiatric illness, it's actually a broader umbrella. It includes psychiatric illness, substance abuse disorders, alcohol abuse, and also what we call cognitive disorders diagnoses which would be brain damage.

1	In addition, I am sort of a backup
2	mental health screener for the Federal Court in
3	Philadelphia. When they need additional
4	assistance, they'll call me up and I'll do
5	evaluation for them on a case-by-case basis. I'm
6	also on the medical panel for the Bureau of
7	Pensions and Retirement for the City of
8	Philadelphia in connection with which I evaluate
9	people who are city employees who are seeking
10	disability retirement and part of that part of
11	the disability that's being alleged includes
12	psychiatric problems, not it doesn't come up
13	that frequently but sometimes it does. In those
14	cases, there are a couple of psychiatrists that
15	do what I do. The board will refer the case to
16	me or the other individuals. The employee gets
17	evaluated and then the report goes to the board
18	and the board decides if the person is put on
19	disability.
20	THE COURT: Doctor, could I ask you to
21	just move a little closer to the microphone?
22	THE WITNESS: Sure.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	THE WITNESS: Sure.
25	MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, we'll agree

that he's an expert in psychiatric medicine -- I
mean in psychology.

MR. McGETTIGAN: One or two more questions on qualifications, and I'll move on.

THE COURT: Okay.

## BY MR. McGETTIGAN:

- Q. Dr. O'Brien, in the course of your work as both a psychiatrist and a forensic psychiatrist, have you had occasion to examine people to determine if they had cognitive dysfunction, whether they were sane or whether they had personality disorders, haven't you?
  - A. Oh, Yes.
- Q. And can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury approximately how many people -- ball park how many you may have examined for some area of a psychiatric disorder?
- A. It's probably in the thousands. As I said, see up to 300 cases just for the Courts in Philadelphia. That's in addition to the private cases I see. I have been doing that since 1996. So it's been quite a number of cases and I have been practicing forensic psychiatry since returning to the Philadelphia area in 1986.
  - Q. Have you ever been qualified to give

1 your opinion as an expert in the field of 2 psychiatric disorders such as personality 3 disorders in the past? 4 Α. Have I ever qualified? 5 Ο. Qualified as an expert? 6 Α. Yes. 7 On how many occasions? Q. 8 Many, many. Α. 9 Ο. In excess --Probably in the thousands. I couldn't 10 Α. 11 tell you exactly. 12 Okav. You have often testified --0. 13 offered testimony in federal court? 14 Federal and state courts Α. Yes. 15 throughout Pennsylvania, courts in Delaware, 16 Jersey, both federal and state courts. My 17 practice tends to be Regional Tri-State. So it's 18 mostly Delaware, Jersey -- New Jersey and 19 Pennsylvania. 20 MR. McGETTIGAN: Have any questions on 21 qualifications? 22 THE COURT: Voir dire on qualifications? 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION AS TO QUALIFICATIONS 2.4 BY MR. ROMINGER: 25 Did you say 300 cases a year for the

1	Philadelphia Court or 300 total?
2	A. Up to 300 per year.
3	MR. ROMINGER: I have no other
4	questions. I think I already said that I am
5	satisfied.
6	THE COURT: You may proceed.
7	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
10	Q. Dr. O'Brien, I'm going to ask if you had
11	occasion to examine the defendant, Jerry
12	Sandusky?
13	A. Yes, I did.
14	Q. When did you conduct your examination?
15	A. I saw Mr. Sandusky on Father's Day, on
16	Sunday.
17	Q. Okay. And prior to that examination,
18	did you have the benefit of any testing that was
19	allegedly conducted by Dr. Atkins?
20	A. I believe I received it before I saw
21	Mr. Sandusky. It was faxed to me by
22	Mr. Amendola's office.
23	Q. Okay. Just give us a brief description
24	how you tried to get those reports that day.
25	A. Well, it was a little more complicated

1 than I thought it would be. MR. ROMINGER: Objection. Relevance. 2 3 MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, we have put 4 this witness on a very pressed time frame. I 5 think he's entitled to explain how he got these 6 reports. 7 THE COURT: He got the reports, right? THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. McGETTIGAN: Fine. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: 13 Did you have a chance to review the 14 reports? 15 Α. Yes, I did. I think I reviewed some of 16 them prior to seeing Mr. Sandusky and some afterward. 17 18 Q. Okay. And you were permitted then and 19 did sit in the courtroom and hear the testimony 20 of Dr. Atkins? 21 Yes. And I also heard the testimony of 22 some character and fact witnesses and 23 Mrs. Sandusky's testimony. Okay. And can you tell the ladies and 2.4 Q. gentlemen of the jury first about what your 25

review of the test, I think the MMPI and the other test whose name I forget, what your review of them revealed and how it relates to the testimony offered by Dr. Atkins?

A. Okay. The two tests that Dr. Atkins testified about, one is called the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory. It's a personality test that also looks at the presence of other psychiatric symptoms. The second one is the Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory. That's also a personality test.

The way in which these tests are taken is basically an individual answers questions and fills out an answer sheet and then the answer sheet is computer scored most of the time these days. In the old days, psychologists would score them themselves, but pretty much nowadays you just feed the answer sheet into a computer using whatever computer program for scoring you prefer. And then a report is generated.

What I received was the computer-generated reports for those two tests.

What was noteworthy is that both tests picked up a tendency on Mr. Sandusky's part to portray himself in overly positive terms and to

deny having any problems. I think this was what Dr. Atkins testified to as the misrepresentation or the -- I forget the term used. But the way in which the tests showed that he wasn't being fully straightforward.

The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality

Inventory computer printout is several pages

long. I think the actual test is eight pages or

seven pages and a little bit on an eighth page.

The Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory is very short. This is the entirety of the report.

Dr. Atkins testified, and I was a bit perplexed by this, that the tests didn't indicate that -- one of the tests did not indicate that Mr. Sandusky did not have a personality disorder. In other words, one of the tests showed that he didn't have a personality problem. But, in fact, the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory, the eight-page test which or eight-page report which is a more comprehensive test, came to the conclusion that the profile that Mr. Sandusky exhibited was within normal limits. So that means that there's no specific diagnosis suggested.

It also went on further to say that the profile suggested mild histrionic personality traits. But it goes into or specifically mentions viewing or assessing or accepting the results with caution. And that's because of this misrepresentation of one's self or of Mr. Sandusky as being better than he may be.

Specifically, the test makes reference to this in a very specific way. I just want to find it because I think it's useful to refer to it.

Okay. This is on page 4 of the report and it reads: The indications from a consciousness defensiveness scales -- that's a scale is what the test breaks down your different responses into. The indications from the consciousness defensiveness of scales that

Mr. Sandusky biased many of his responses in a self favorable direction urge a careful consideration of how he expected the test results to be used. That is, he may have been quite concerned less the results of the inventory reflect badly on him be used against him or otherwise somehow end up being damaging to his self interests.

One has to consider the results of any tests and particularly a psychological test in the context in which the test is given. And in this particular case, the context is a high stakes one. The outcome of the trial could be very damaging to Mr. Sandusky and he's aware of that. And one cannot accept the results of the testing on -- at face value because that defensiveness shows up and the report itself specifically says that you have to be careful drawing conclusions from tests of this type in this context.

The other test which I said, the Millon, generated a very brief report, also refers to Mr. Sandusky presenting himself in an overly favorable light. And then under possible diagnosis it states that he appears to -- I can't read it very well -- fit the following two classifications best. Histrionic personality disorder with obsessive compulsive personality traits and narcissistic personality traits but, again, it's in the same -- it picks up the same tendency to portray himself in an overly favorable light which in this context should raise significant concern about the validity of

what the test conclusion is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing that you need to be aware of, too, is that personality tests cannot be relied upon entirely as the source of a diagnosis. And they all are and indicate that they should be used to be considered in the overall assessment of the person and not be looked to as the entire source of diagnostic consideration. And they're not regarded as definitive or as good sources of diagnosis. have to put them in the context within which the test is being given. You also have to step back and include consideration of everything else you have seen, such as the investigative materials in this case, such as the testimony during the grand jury, such as the letters and the drafts of letters that Mr. Sandusky wrote that are part of the evidence, and, of course, the evaluation itself, the face-to-face time that you spend with the person.

So prior to coming to a conclusion as to diagnosis, you need to take all of that into consideration and not just rely on a test.

I was very struck during the examination or the testimony of Dr. Atkins by his review of

the histrionic personality disorder diagnostic criteria and his opinion that these -- evidence of that particular personality disorder was evident at an early age in Mr. Sandusky.

As you did hear during the testimony, personality disorders are personality traits that basically trip you up. They cause problems in your life, prevent you from achieving or maintaining a stable interaction with other people. Everybody has a personality and we all have positive and negative personality traits. A personality disorder is just what it says. It's a personality that interferes, that creates problems. It either causes distress or an impairment in functioning or both.

I spent between two and a half or three hours with Mr. Sandusky on Sunday, and I didn't detect any distress in him whatsoever other than pertaining to this circumstance.

I also note from reviewing his history with him and hearing it and finding out about it through the press and other things, that

Mr. Sandusky is an individual who's been extremely high functioning over the years. He's an individual with a Bachelor's Degree and a

Master's Degree who was an assistant coach at a nationally prominent -- in a nationally prominent football program. And then developed The Second Mile program, a foundation to benefit underprivileged kids. That was also very successful.

2.4

I heard his wife testify that he was a very committed worker. He was a committed father. He took time out on a nightly basis to have dinner with his family. He was an individual who kept all the balls in the air. I don't see anything in any of that information to suggest that he had a personality disorder that was causing him problems.

The other thing is that histrionic personality disorder is characterized and you heard about it and you also were read to about it from the diagnostic manual. It is a personality disorder in which the person absolutely has to have or has to be the center of attention and you heard that.

And they're very preoccupied with a lot of superficial manifestations of successfulness and manifestations of happiness and manifestations of how good they look. There's

very little depth. And it's very difficult for people with histrionic personality disorders to even develop close relationships because they're very superficial people.

In addition, these are individuals who have a great deal of difficulty being on the sidelines or being the assistant coach. It would be very, very unlikely for an individual with a histrionic personality disorder to tolerate being routinely upstaged by their boss. It is a situation that Mr. Sandusky worked in and thrived in for many years. That's inconsistent with what you would expect to see in an individual with a histrionic personality disorder.

In addition, on clinical examination and by virtue of his reported history and by the report of that history by his wife, there was nothing about Mr. Sandusky's childhood or adolescence that suggested that he was developing or presenting early signs or symptoms of a histrionic personality disorder.

What he talks about in clinical examination is the selfless commitment that his parents had to the recreation center and their commitment to kids, to the disabled, and to

developing and maintaining program for kids who had very little else. That's a far cry, almost a polar opposite to somebody who's histrionic and has a histrionic personality disorder and who needs to be the center of attention and not focusing attention on others.

Mr. Sandusky, in creating The Second Mile program, was really by his own rapport fulfilling a desire to follow in his parents' footsteps and to give back and to give to others and to provide to others. So there's -- even The Second Mile program itself is not consistent with a person who wants to be the center of attention and is superficial and is concerned more about how they look in a particular photograph than how they're actually doing in terms of their fund raising efforts and developing and maintaining a program. It's very a different focus.

And so I, personally, think that the psychological testing done by Dr. Atkins as well as the clinical evaluations, the history, the information that's known about Mr. Sandusky are not at all compatible with a diagnosis of histrionic personality disorder.

Q. What you're saying is Mr. Sandusky in no

1	way fits the profile of what you would anticipate
2	in a histrionic personality disorder?
3	A. No. I think that the letters had been
4	misconstrued in that regard as well.
5	Q. Can you offer an explanation of what the
6	letters represent as opposed to the histrionic
7	personality disorder?
8	A. Well, the letters given the other,
9	given what the evidence and the
10	MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, I believe the
11	limited purpose should be to whether or not these
12	letters are histrionic in origin or not, not
13	anything else.
14	MR. McGETTIGAN: I think we are quite
15	permitted to distinguish them and this is the way
16	we distinguish them. They brought them up for
17	this purpose and I think they, frankly, expanded
18	above and beyond that.
19	THE COURT: Would you rephrase your
20	question and make sure that we're careful about
21	exactly what the question is?
22	BY MR. McGETTIGAN:
23	Q. You heard Dr. Atkins testify that these
24	letters were a systematic would that be
25	correct? Systematic of demonstrative of a

1 person or this person with histrionic personality 2 disorder? 3

- Α. Yes, that's what I heard.
- Q. You agree with that?
- Α. No, I do not.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Okay. Do you have an alternative which Q. clinically explains the origin and cause of these letters that is not histrionic personality disorder?
- Well, I can explain to you why I don't think they don't, in my opinion, show that.
  - Ο. Yes. Thank you.
- First of all, you heard Dr. Atkins read from the manual, the diagnostic manual on cross-examination that personality disorders are pervasive. They're lifelong. They are constantly present. They're not something that you select -- that would selectively show up in connection with a particular relationship. They're 24/7.

And so in trying to sidestep all of the indications of positive strength and capable functioning in Mr. Sandusky and focus on letters as the primary manifestation of a personality disorder is really inconsistent with what a

personality disorder is. It is who you are all 2 the time.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so you wouldn't expect it just to show up in a certain kind of circumscribed context, number one.

Number two, the letters themselves are written to reflect disappointment and emotional upset about what appears to be the determination or the change of a relationship. And what is apparent in the letters is that different techniques are utilized to try to get the attention of the reader and to draw them in.

Those techniques include questioning. You know, how do you feel about me? What do you think about us? That kind of stuff, direct questioning. But it also includes referring to other people, other football players, other people that the recipient has known and to try to generate sort of a wider array of contact between the reader and Sandusky who indicates to the reader that, in fact, there's all these people here that are interested in you and that have feelings for you and are positive about you.

So it actually expands Mr. Sandusky's disappointment and desire to improve or resume

the relationship into something bigger.

In addition, a number of the letters are written in a very adolescent way and it really -- many of them don't read as if -- as if you are -- in a way that you would expect a letter from the head of a foundation to read as sent to a recipient of the foundation's assistants, meaning as an adult to a child.

They're presented in a way that creates this illusion that Sandusky and the child are on the same plain. And that he understands -- they understand each other and they sort of think alike.

And in my opinion, the letters are not consistent with a personality disorder per se. They're actually, in my opinion, highly manipulative and utilize different techniques to try to draw the attention of the reader and to sway their intentions or their behavior in certain ways. And I don't see them as anything more than that.

Q. Now, earlier you defined for the jury a personality disorder or what personality disorder is and you said that -- I think, that one of the reports -- the more comprehensive one -- was

reflective and not evident of personality
disorder; am I correct there, Dr. O'Brien?

- A. Yes. It specifically states, as I read, that the profile was within normal limits.

  That's what it says. The profile is within normal limits. That's a direct quote.
  - Q. Okay. Now, if that's personality disorder, are there other conditions or cognitive dysfunctions or other traits that are susceptible to and which are detectable by clinical examination?
  - A. Well, sure. I mean, when you look at an individual to do an evaluation, you are looking at everything and then you're also considering -- you are considering their response to the questions. You are considering what the evidence or the materials show or suggest and you are considering other sources of information like transcripts of testimony, the letters.

So you're considering it all and looking for any patterns basically that would suggest that the individuals -- the individual meets the diagnostic criteria for a psychiatric illness or a personality disorder or whatever. You are basically looking for information to support your

1 conclusion.

2.4

- Q. Okay. So the mere absence of a personality disorder does not preclude the existence of another psychiatric, psychological condition other than a disorder?
- A. No, it doesn't. In fact, many people have -- who have personality disorders also have other psychiatric diagnoses. So the mere presence or absence of a personality disorder does not have any automatic bearing on what other disorders could be or are present.
- Q. You heard Dr. Atkins' testimony about some of the traits that were displayed that he claimed underlaid the histrionic personality disorder and these letters as reflected in his testimony or his claim or exam?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And are they consistent with other, not a personality order, with other conditions that you determine based on the review of the testing and your clinical examination?
- A. It's my opinion that the clinical examination -- my clinical examination of Mr. Sandusky, the psychological testing, the personality testing done by Dr. Atkins,

1	Dr. Atkins' report, the investigative materials,
2	the discovery evidence, and the transcripts as
3	well as the letters are consistent with the
4	possibility of another diagnosis being present.
5	Q. Okay. Would one or more of those be a
6	psychosexual disorder with a focus on adolescence
7	or preadolescence?
8	A. In my opinion, yes, it would.
9	Part of the difficulty is that in doing
LO	such an evaluation, you're considering evidence
11	that hasn't been proven, evidence which is still
12	pretrial evidence. So it's difficult to draw
L3	factual conclusions impossible to draw factual
L 4	conclusions from that sort of information.
L 5	MR. McGETTIGAN: That's the end of my
L 6	questioning.
L 7	THE COURT: Okay.
L 8	MR. McGETTIGAN: That's it. If that's
L 9	the end of the answer.
20	THE WITNESS: I'm done.
21	Thank you, Doctor.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. ROMINGER:
24	Q. Do you recall testifying about the
25	Commonwealth yeah, about the Commonwealth when

1 I represented a police officer who killed his 2 wife? 3 No, I actually I thought about that when 4 you asked me the other day. I have no 5 recollection of that. Maybe you are mistaken is 6 my opinion. Testify in Philadelphia, right? 7 8 I have testified all over the place but 9 I really don't remember it. 10 How many times has Attorney McGettigan 11 called you to the stand? 12 Not very often actually. Years ago in 13 the John DuPont case, I worked with 14 Mr. McGettigan. But I don't -- maybe one or two 15 other times since then and that was mid-1990s. 16 And how many times would you say you and 0. 17 Dr. Atkins have been on the opposite side of the 18 same case? 19 Α. Well, I can't give you a number but it's 20 most of the time when we're together. 21 In your area of the state, there's you 0. 22 and him, kind of a --23 Α. That's absolutely not true. There's a 24 lot of us actually.

I had a chance to look at your report.

You would agree that Dr. Atkins is correct that 1 2 at least one of the objective tests suggested 3 histrionic personality disorder? 4 Α. Yes, and I testified to that. 5 And also that there was some 6 defensiveness where you're saying this individual 7 tried to make themselves appear better to the 8 testing? 9 Α. Yes. 10 But in order to -- you're predisposing 11 the person is being defensive but they may not 12 know what the intended result would be, correct? 13 Α. The intended result of what? 14 Ο. Of defending on the task? In other 15 words, you try to put yourself in a more positive 16 light, correct? 17 Well, in the context of the testing that 18 was done with Mr. Sandusky, it's pretty -- it 19 would be pretty expectable that he would try to 20 present himself as unlikely to have the kind of 21 traits that the evidence suggest he does have. 22 And despite that, it suggests he could 23 have a histrionic personality disorder? 24 It suggested it could be considered but Α.

the MMPI II alerts caution about coming to any

1 conclusion because of his misrepresentation of 2 himself. 3 And then if like Dr. Atkins did, you 4 meet with him for five plus hours, I believe he's 5 met with him for three, correct? 6 Between two and a half and three hours. 7 With myself and Mr. McGettigan there, correct? 8 9 That's correct. Α. 10 He spent an hour I believe you said with Ο. 11 Dottie? 12 I expected he spoke with Mr. Sandusky's Α. 13 wife is what he testified to and I heard her 14 testify. So, yes. 15 And when you heard her testify, however, 16 that testimony wasn't necessarily the same 17 questions that Dr. Atkins asked? 18 I would expect it not to be but I thought that the material covered -- the 19 20 information covered was not dissimilar. 21 So when he reaches his conclusions to 22 reasonable degree of psychological certainty, 23 part of that then is going to be based on how he

took the interview and how he perceived what the

person said during that interview?

24

A. Yes, but it sounded to me based on his testimony that he was -- he was relying heavily on what the testing showed.

- Q. You said, for instance, that a person who has this kind of characteristic personality disorder can't be high functioning?
- A. No. No. I wouldn't expect a person with a personality disorder to be very high functioning. But the bottom line is it has to cause basically, you know, emotional upset at the very least but more frequently impairment in functioning, and I don't see that in this case.
- Q. Well, there are high functioning individuals with personality disorders, correct, who are very successful in their careers?
- A. You could probably try to give me an example of one and I could respond as an armchair psychiatrist without knowing the specifics. I've never encountered any. But I imagine theoretically it's possible.
- Q. The degree of the impairment is going to depend on the degree of the disorder, correct?
- A. At some point in assessing personality, a person has to cross a threshold where they're not able to function because of their personality

- 1 traits, not able to function well. That's really 2 in my view, and based upon my read of the book, 3 how it's defined. 4 What about you say -- did you read Touched? 5 6 No, I didn't read Touched. 7 Are you aware Dr. Atkins had read that for additional biographical -- I think he pointed 8 9 out on cross-examination additional biographical information on the childhood? 10 11 Α. He said that during his testimony. 12 wasn't listed as a reference or a source of 13 information in his report. And he didn't specify 14 in his testimony specifically what he was talking 15 about in terms of the information he gleaned from 16 the book. 17 Q. And your opinion is that histrionic 18 diagnosis wouldn't explain the letters at all? 19 It's my opinion it doesn't exist in this 20 case. 21 If it did exist, you still say it 22 wouldn't explain the letters at all? 23 Α. No, it does not explain the letters in
  - Q. In your opinion. How much are you paid

24

25

my opinion.

1 per hour? 2 Α. \$450. 3 How often do you testify on behalf of defendants? 4 In criminal cases? 5 Α. 6 What percentage of the time? Q. 7 It varies from one moment in time to the It's usually -- the breakdown is 60 to 70 8 percent prosecution to 30 to 40 percent defense. 9 10 Lately I think I have as many defense cases I'm 11 working on as prosecution cases. Q. 12 Is that because of taking over the 13 courts job of being the Court's psychologist? 14 Oh, no, it has nothing. I'm called by 15 the defense, not infrequently. And I also will 16 tell either side that calls me what I think and 17 if they don't think it's favorable, they don't 18 use me. That happened in December on a case or 19 in a case for the public defender's office in 20 Philadelphia. 21 MR. ROMINGER: I don't have any other 22 questions. 23 Your Honor, may I, just MR. McGETTIGAN: 24 two matters? One I neglected on direct.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## 1 BY MR. McGETTIGAN: Q. Dr. O'Brien, all the testimony you 2 3 offered and the conclusions you reached, had you 4 reached them to a reasonable degree of medical 5 certainty? 6 A. Yes, reasonable degree of psychiatric 7 and medical certainty, yes. 8 And one other question which I forgot to 9 ask Dr. Atkins. How many times in your career 10 have you seen a histrionic personality disorder? 11 I have seen personality disorders many, 12 many times. A clean clear-cut case of histrionic 13 personality disorder, probably five or six. 14 Ο. In how many years? 15 Α. Since the mid-1980s. 16 Thanks, Dr. O'Brien. Q. 17 Α. Sure. 18 MR. ROMINGER: Nothing. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. You may step 20 down. 21 Thank you. THE WITNESS: 22 MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, may I consult 23 with Dr. Atkins to see if he has surrebuttal? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MR. ROMINGER: Call Dr. Atkins back to

1	the stand for surrebuttal, Your Honor.
2	MR. McGETTIGAN: Can we get an offer of
3	proof, Your Honor?
4	(Whereupon, the following discussion was
5	held at sidebar:)
6	MR. ROMINGER: The doctor is going to
7	testify that he mischaracterized portions of his
8	report and that there were things contained in
9	his report that he said weren't, for instance,
LO	and he just wants to clean that up to make
11	sure
L2	MR. McGETTIGAN: That's bologna.
L3	THE COURT: I think the jury heard what
L 4	they want to hear heard what the doctors said.
L 5	Unless you are saying this is absolutely critical
L 6	to his testimony.
L 7	MR. McGETTIGAN: I'm going to go on,
L 8	too.
L 9	MR. ROMINGER: On surrebuttal? I
20	understand.
21	MR. AMENDOLA: We have kicked the dog.
22	THE COURT: So where are you in the
23	case?
24	MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, we have a few
25	short witnesses who couldn't be here today, but

1	the only one that would take maybe a little bit
2	of time would Dr. Dranov.
3	THE COURT: Dranov.
4	MR. AMENDOLA: We have two or three
5	character witnesses that will take two minutes
6	each and then if we call the defendant.
7	THE COURT: If you call the defendant or
8	you are going to call the defendant or you are
9	not?
10	MR. AMENDOLA: We have to talk.
11	MR. ROMINGER: We intend to raise with
12	Your Honor and I think this is the issue. We
13	have to finish
14	THE COURT: Are we done for today?
15	MR. ROMINGER: Yeah, and then we can
16	talk about that.
17	THE COURT: Now, we've got to deal with
18	this motion to quash the subpoena.
19	MR. AMENDOLA: Wouldn't it be simple if
20	I just withdrew the subpoena?
21	THE COURT: Well, if you're going to do
22	that. I don't know.
23	MR. AMENDOLA: What happened, Your
24	Honor, I didn't serve
25	THE COURT: Let me excuse the jury and

1 then --

2 MR. FINA: Meet in chambers in a little 3 while?

THE COURT: Well, I don't want to recess because I might have to come back into court to do this.

MR. FINA: Certainly, Judge.

MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

(End of sidebar discussion.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I have a couple matters that I have to take up with counsel yet. But we are through for the witnesses that we're going to have today. We are still on track to conclude with the schedule that I mentioned to you yesterday. I don't anticipate that there will be a change at least as of right now with that schedule.

So I'm going to excuse you for the evening with the standard admonition that you can all repeat now as well as I can say it; that you should not discuss the case with anyone, permit anyone to discuss the case with you, directly or indirectly, in writing, by conversation, by telephone, on the news or any -- in any other way, and if that should happen, that you should

1	report it to the Court ombudsman first thing in
2	the morning.
3	With that, we'll see you at 9:00 o'clock
4	tomorrow morning.
5	We'll remain seated while the jury is
6	taken out.
7	(Whereupon, the jury was escorted out of
8	the courtroom.)
9	THE COURT: We're not in recess.
LO	Is Mr. Staudenmaier in the courtroom?
L1	Am I pronouncing that right, Mr. Craig
L2	Staudenmaier, Nauman, Smith, Shissler and Hall.
L3	(No response.)
L 4	THE COURT: We'll be in recess for ten
L 5	minutes to see if we can find him and then we'll
L 6	deal with the motion to quash the subpoena. All
L 7	right.
L 8	We'll be in recess for ten minutes until
L 9	5:00 o'clock.
20	(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
21	THE COURT: We are back in session.
22	I have been presented with a motion
23	filed to quash subpoena.
24	Counsel, if you want to approach?
25	MR. STAUDENMAIER: Good afternoon, Your

1 Honor. 2 THE COURT: Good afternoon. If you want 3 to come forward and identify yourself and make 4 whatever record you think is appropriate. 5 MR. STAUDENMAIER: Your Honor, where 6 would you prefer I stand? 7 THE COURT: We kind of got everything filled up. Wherever you are comfortable. 8 9 MR. STAUDENMAIER: Good afternoon, Your 10 Honor. My name is Craig Staudenmaier. I'm with 11 Nauman, Smith, Shissler, Hall in Harrisburg, 12 Pennsylvania. I'm counsel for the Patriot News 13 Company and I'm here this afternoon. 14 understand that one of our reporters, Sara Ganim, 15 was served with a subpoena by the defense this 16 morning. It's the first notice that we had of 17 that. We have since filed with the Court, and I 18 believe Your Honor has a copy, and I also believe 19 that defense counsel and the Commonwealth have 20 copies of the --21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 MR. STAUDENMAIER: I have extra 23 copies --24 THE COURT: I have faxed copies -- or copies of the fax that you sent in earlier this 25

afternoon.

MR. STAUDENMAIER: Basically, Your Honor, we had filed a motion to quash that subpoena on several bases.

The primary ones being, number one, not knowing exactly the nature or the purpose of the subpoena or what is being sought from Ms. Ganim. There are both constitutional, federal and state, and state and statutory protections that are afforded to news gatherers like Ms. Ganim to protect them from subpoenas of this nature. And we, therefore, had filed this motion basically asking the Court to quash the subpoena certainly to the extent that it seeks source information or background documents, notes, drafts, et cetera, or even testimony from Ms. Ganim particularly as it may relate to any endeavor by the defense or even the Commonwealth on cross-examination.

To reveal sources of information that includes, Your Honor, not just the human physical source but also documentary evidence of that same type of information.

I apologize, Your Honor, like I said,
I'm sort of the shooting wide here because I
don't know what the purpose of the subpoena is.

1 THE COURT: Right. 2 MR. STAUDENMAIER: I'm sure Mr. Rominger 3 and Mr. Amendola will enlighten us shortly. I 4 want to throw that out there just to let the 5 Court know the broad basis of why we're 6 objecting. 7 THE COURT: Are you in a position to be 8 able to explain that now? Do we need to do 9 that. --10 MR. AMENDOLA: I am, Your Honor. 11 Actually it's quite a simple explanation. 12 Ms. Ganim was here and, quite honestly, 13 I have known Ms. Ganim professionally for a 14 number of years. I wanted her -- I brought the paper today. I wanted her to simply -- to 15 16 authentic The Patriot newspaper edition that came 17 out on Thursday, March 31, 2011 which had the 18 headline on the front page Sandusky Faces Grand 19 Jury Probe, just to authentic that article and 20 that she wrote it. 21 I also wanted to ask her about a certain 22 e-mail that purportedly was sent from her to Deb 23 McCord, M-c-C-o-r-d, the mother of Accuser No. 6

I believe. That was Zach Konstas. Essentially

what the e-mail said was I'm suggesting you

24

contact a certain investigator -- I believe that was Corporal Leiter -- if you want your case to go forward.

I thought that was interesting given our theory in this case because our theory has been that there were a lot of different forces at work to push this prosecution forward not necessarily indicating that Mr. Sandusky was guilty, since obviously he's always maintained his innocence, and I thought that might be helpful to the case.

We did not intend to question her about any of her sources. We did not intend to ask her for her notes. We did not intend to invade any of her personal information or professional information other than things that were already provided to us including the newspaper which I happened to buy a copy of when it came out and the e-mail information which was provided in a police report by the Commonwealth which at that point I suspect it was certainly information that we had a right to have, given the Commonwealth provided us with it.

Now, since that time, and I have been explained it to counsel in conversations with the Court this morning and in conversations with the

attorney general's staff that we decided that this perhaps maybe wasn't an appropriate avenue for me to pursue given the collateral nature of it and, quite honestly, the subpoena was prepared before we had our meeting. The subpoena was prepared before I had a chance to talk to counsel. If counsel had called, I would have told him what I was after. Had Ms. Ganim approached me and just simply asked what are you looking for by way of the subpoena, I would have advised her.

All of that having been said, quite frankly, I'm in a position to withdraw the subpoena so the Court doesn't have to make a decision on it.

THE COURT: Well, the conversation we had this morning was certainly a very preliminary conversation and I wouldn't want you to change your position or make a legal decision on what was admittedly a very superficial analysis on my part. So if it's your intention to pursue this, I don't want you to withdraw it because of something I might have said without being fully apprised of all the circumstances.

MR. STAUDENMAIER: Your Honor, for what

it's worth, I'm happy to go home.

MR. AMENDOLA: Having heard my so-called -- I guess you could call offer of proof, is counsel still opposed to the subpoena maybe would be in the first instance?

MR. STAUDENMAIER: Well, Your Honor, I am for two reasons.

First of all, with regard to the rules of evidence, newspaper articles are self-authenticating. So I don't think you need Ms. Ganim to take the stand and authentic a document which is self-authenticating.

With regard to the e-mail that's been mentioned, Your Honor, I have to be upfront with you and tell you that I have not seen this e-mail. This is the first I'm hearing about it.

With regard to contacting counsel, the time periods were short and I -- that was not opportunity. I tried to contact Mr. Rominger but that wasn't -- didn't happen either. Like I said, I would like a chance, if I could, Your Honor -- I realize these proceedings are moving along swiftly. With regard to that item, I would like a chance to at least look at it and confer with my client quite frankly to see what our

1 reaction might be.

THE COURT: Let me just ask. Are you authenticating the headline or the contents of the article? Because it happens on occasion that reporters write articles that are changed by editors and there may be something in the article that you need to ask about.

MR. AMENDOLA: Your Honor, that was something I would have asked Ms. Ganim before she testified. I would not have just thrown her on the stand and said tell us what you know about this. I wouldn't do that.

THE COURT: You do not intend to ask about any confidential source?

MR. AMENDOLA: Not at all, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You do not intend to ask for any films, photographs, any documentary evidence?

MR. AMENDOLA: No, Your Honor. To be honest with you, at this point, aside from the newspaper, which speaks for itself and quite frankly I just was going to have her authentic that, that was a really a minor issue. I was simply going to ask her about the e-mails which the Commonwealth provided to us.

THE COURT: Do you have alternative

1 means to acquire that? MR. AMENDOLA: If the Commonwealth would 2 3 stipulate to the e-mail, we would. 4 THE COURT: I'm not asking that you do I'm not going to put you on the spot and 5 6 ask you to do that. 7 MR. FINA: I guess, Your Honor, we have the issue of authentication and then we have the 8 9 issue of relevance and admissibility which I 10 think are significant problems in this whole 11 theory that's being propagated. 12 I think counsel is absolutely right. 13 Newspapers are self-authenticating. So I don't 14 think there's any issue there with the 15 authenticity of the newspaper nor would we 16 contest the authenticity of the newspaper. 17 Likewise, we will not contest the 18 authenticity of the e-mails, but in both areas, 19 we have significant admissibility and relevance 20 concerns. 21 But I would suggest to MR. AMENDOLA: 22 the Court the relevancy issue is different from 23 the issue that the paper is raising. 24 That's correct. THE COURT: 25 MR. AMENDOLA: We would argue that later

on a sidebar.

MR. STAUDENMAIER: Your Honor, I know it's not my fight. I agree. However, Your Honor, I want to point out that with regard to the e-mails and even -- in these cases always is, Your honor, that the subpoenaing party will say I'm just going to ask this or I'm just going to do this. Obviously, the Commonwealth has the right to cross-examine and they are very prudently waiting to see what happens and I'm sure aren't going to divulge what they may or may not do.

However, that does not eliminate those protections I mentioned earlier and does not eliminate the issue of having the reporter on the stand to start with. I mean, it goes without saying that our juris prudence has long -- doesn't want reporters on the witness stand period.

THE COURT: Unless they are fact issues -- unless they're a fact witness.

MR. STAUDENMAIER: That can occur, Your Honor. However, as I said before, with regard to this e-mail and I think I heard the e-mail or of e-mails, and I'm not sure how many we're talking

1 about or what subject matters they cover. 2 So I would once again reiterate if the 3 Court is considering that aspect of it, if 4 Mr. Amendola is not going to withdraw the 5 subpoena, which I assume is still on the table, I would just be asking for a few minutes to talk to 6 7 my client to look at these e-mails and just see 8 what we're talking about so that I can provide 9 whatever other arguments might be relevant that 10 I'm not aware of currently. 11 THE COURT: That's certainly fair 12 enough. 13 My suggestion would be that you confer 14 after court, maybe exchange the e-mails, and then you can decide whether there is a stipulation on 15 16 the authenticity or that deals with the issues 17 and we'll argue relevance at some later time. 18 And if you can't get it worked out, you can call 19 Ms. Ganim in the morning and you can be available 20 to protect her interests. 21 MR. STAUDENMAIER: All right, Your 22 Honor. Thank you. 23 MR. AMENDOLA: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Is that satisfactory?

Temporary solution until morning?

1 MR. STAUDENMAIER: So, Your Honor, as I 2 understand the e-mails that, e-mail or e-mails 3 that are at issue will be made available to you to review? 4 5 MR. AMENDOLA: 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 MR. AMENDOLA: 8 THE COURT: With regard to the 9 newspaper, the question I take it is some content 10 of the article whether that was the work of 11 Ms. Ganim or --12 MR. AMENDOLA: Just the article whether 13 or not it was the work of Ms. Ganim, Your Honor. 14 As a matter of fact, we weren't even going to go 15 into it because, obviously, it's froth with grand 16 jury information, anonymous sources. We weren't 17 going to question her about that. 18 With the Commonwealth's stipulating to 19 it, quite frankly, I think it speaks for itself. 20 MR. FINA: No, no, no, Judge. This is 21 where we get -- I am not stipulating to the 22 admissibility of that newspaper. 23 I understand that. THE COURT: 24 MR. FINA: It's chalked with hearsay. 25 It's completely inappropriate from our

1 perspective. THE COURT: We're talking about 2 3 relevance. I understand that. MR. FINA: I'm saying it's authentic. 4 5 I'm not saying it's true. 6 THE COURT: I understand the difference 7 between authenticity --MR. FINA: I understand, Judge. I am 8 9 not sure he does. 10 THE COURT: And probative value and 11 relevancy. 12 And I also understand that there are 13 delicate matters here involving grand jury 14 secrecy that I have to be careful about. 15 MR. ROMINGER: Judge, one housekeeping 16 matter. 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. ROMINGER: We marked the exhibit as 19 Exhibit 7 which was the overhead for the record. 20 I just want to make sure that's put on the record 21 today. Mr. Fina is aware of that. 22 THE COURT: This is Dr. Atkins. 23 MR. ROMINGER: Correct. 24 MR. STAUDENMAIER: Judge, I'm sorry. 25 Just one point of clarification so I can

1 understand. 2 THE COURT: Sure. 3 MR. STAUDENMAIER: With regard to the 4 newspaper article, the reason I say, Judge, is 5 that typically -- there again until I consult 6 with my client, typically articles being 7 published we will stand behind as to the article as published in the paper. That's not an issue 8 9 if that's the extent, I mean, from our 10 perspective to -- if that's the extent of the 11 nature of why Ms. Ganim was subpoenaed. 12 I think the distinction, the THE COURT: 13 theoretical distinction. I don't know if it 14 applies in this case or not. 15 Suppose the newspaper reporter witnesses 16 an accident and then writes the story about the 17 accident, there's no particular privilege that 18 protects that reporter from testifying as a fact 19 witness about the accident; would that be 20 correct? 21 MR. STAUDENMAIER: I would agree, Your 22 Honor, in that instance. 23 THE COURT: So that's the only 2.4 hesitation I have here. Because I'm not sure how 25 he intends to use this.

1	MR. STAUDENMAIER: Judge, you and I are
2	both confused. I wanted to make sure
3	THE COURT: We don't need to resolve
4	that now. The two of you can talk about that.
5	You can be available in the morning?
6	MR. STAUDENMAIER: I can be, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: And
8	MR. AMENDOLA: I could explain to the
9	Court the relevance and significance of just the
LO	date it was published.
11	THE COURT: If we're going to talk about
12	this in the morning, I want you to be here early
L3	enough that we don't hold up the jury.
L 4	MR. STAUDENMAIER: Judge, I'm sorry.
L 5	That means be here at?
L 6	THE COURT: 8:30. Unless you have other
L 7	issues you need to talk about. We're still on
L 8	schedule?
L 9	MR. AMENDOLA: Yeah, very much so, Your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
22	MR. STAUDENMAIER: Thank you, Your
23	Honor.
24	MR. FINA: Thanks, Judge.
25	(Whereupon, court was recessed for the

1				d	ay.	)															
2			Ε	N	D		0	F	Р	R	0	С	Ε	Ε	D	Ι	N	G	S		
4																					
5																					
6																					
7																					
4 5 6 7 8 9																					
9																					
10																					
11																					
12																					
13																					
14																					
15																					
16																					
17																					
18																					
19																					
20																					
21																					
22																					
23																					
24																					
25																					

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I hereby certify that the proceedings
4	and evidence are contained fully and accurately
5	in the notes taken by me upon the hearing of the
6	within matter, and that this copy is a correct
7	transcript of the same.
8	
9	
10	Data Data Da Cura DDD
11	Date Patricia A. Grey, RPR Official Reporter
12	
13	
14	APPROVAL OF COURT
15	
16	The foregoing record of the proceedings
17	had upon the hearing in the within case, upon
18	review and approval of counsel, is hereby
19	approved and directed to be filed.
20	
21	
22	Date Toba M. Claland Conion Today
23	Date John M. Cleland, Senior Judge Specially Presiding
24	
25	